

State of Colorado
Energy & Carbon Management Commission

1120 Lincoln Street, Suite 801, Denver, Colorado 80203
Phone: (303) 894-2100 Fax: (303) 894-2109



Document Number:
404529298
Receive Date:
05/04/2026

Report taken by:
Kari Brown

Site Investigation and Remediation Workplan (Supplemental Form)

This form shall be submitted to the Director for approval prior to the initiation of site investigation and remediation activities. However, this shall not preclude the Operator from taking immediate action to protect public health or safety, the environment, wildlife, or livestock.

This Form 27 describes site conditions as currently understood by the Operator; approval of this Form 27 by ECMC is based on the site conditions accurately described herein; any changes in site conditions identified during or subsequent to the performance of the approved workplan may necessitate additional investigation or remediation which shall be described on a supplemental Form 27. This Form 27 is intended to provide basic information regarding the proposed site investigation and remediation actions, but the workplan may be more fully described in attached documentation.

Closure request is not available for an Initial Site Investigation and Remediation Workplan.

OPERATOR INFORMATION

Name of Operator: <u>KERR MCGEE OIL & GAS ONSHORE LP</u>	Operator No: <u>47120</u>	Phone Numbers
Address: <u>P O BOX 173779</u>		Phone: <u>(720) 929-4307</u>
City: <u>DENVER</u>	State: <u>CO</u>	Zip: <u>80217-3779</u>
Contact Person: <u>Max Moran</u>	Email: <u>DJRemediation_Forms@oxy.com</u>	Mobile: <u>()</u>

PROJECT, PURPOSE & SITE INFORMATION

PROJECT INFORMATION

Remediation Project #: 34348 Initial Form 27 Document #: 403681168

PURPOSE INFORMATION

- Rule 913.c.(1): Pit or Cuttings Trench closure.
- Rule 913.c.(2): Buried or partially buried vessel closure, which will be by removal.
- Rule 913.c.(3): Remediation of Spill and Releases pursuant to Rule 912.
- Rule 913.c.(4): Land treatment of Oily Waste pursuant to Rule 905.e.
- Rule 913.c.(5): Closure of Centralized E&P Waste Management Facilities pursuant to Rule 907.h.
- Rule 913.c.(6): Remediation of impacted Groundwater pursuant to Rule 915.e.(3).D, and the contaminant concentrations in Table 915-1.
- Rule 913.c.(7): Investigation and remediation of natural gas in soil or Groundwater.
- Rule 913.c.(8): When requested by the Director due to any potential risk to soil, Groundwater, or surface water.
- Rule 913.c.(9): Decommissioning of Oil and Gas Facilities.
- Rule 913.g: Changes of Operator.
- Rule 915.b: Request to leave elevated inorganics in situ.
- Other: Request Director's Approval to establish site-specific waste profile

SITE INFORMATION

Yes Multiple Facilities

Facility Type: <u>WELL</u>	Facility ID: _____	API #: <u>123-25467</u>	County Name: <u>WELD</u>
Facility Name: <u>WATERFRONT 12-27</u>	Latitude: <u>40.193160</u>	Longitude: <u>-104.995120</u>	
** correct Lat/Long if needed: Latitude: _____		Longitude: _____	
QtrQtr: <u>SWSW</u>	Sec: <u>27</u>	Twp: <u>3N</u>	Range: <u>68W</u> Meridian: <u>6</u> Sensitive Area? <u>Yes</u>

Facility Type: <u>WELL</u>	Facility ID: _____	API #: <u>123-25468</u>	County Name: <u>WELD</u>
Facility Name: <u>WATERFRONT 11-27</u>	Latitude: <u>40.193070</u>	Longitude: <u>-104.995120</u>	
** correct Lat/Long if needed: Latitude: _____		Longitude: _____	
QtrQtr: <u>SWSW</u>	Sec: <u>27</u>	Twp: <u>3N</u>	Range: <u>68W</u> Meridian: <u>6</u> Sensitive Area? <u>Yes</u>

Facility Type: WELL Facility ID: API #: 123-25469 County Name: WELD
 Facility Name: WATERFRONT 14-27 Latitude: 40.192990 Longitude: -104.995130
 ** correct Lat/Long if needed: Latitude: Longitude:
 QtrQtr: SWSW Sec: 27 Twp: 3N Range: 68W Meridian: 6 Sensitive Area? Yes

Facility Type: WELL Facility ID: API #: 123-25487 County Name: WELD
 Facility Name: WATERFRONT 33-27 Latitude: 40.192920 Longitude: -104.995120
 ** correct Lat/Long if needed: Latitude: Longitude:
 QtrQtr: SWSW Sec: 27 Twp: 3N Range: 68W Meridian: 6 Sensitive Area? Yes

Facility Type: LOCATION Facility ID: 336060 API #: County Name: WELD
 Facility Name: WATERFRONT-63N68W 27SWSW Latitude: 40.192820 Longitude: -104.995120
 ** correct Lat/Long if needed: Latitude: 40.192838 Longitude: -104.994548
 QtrQtr: SWSW Sec: 27 Twp: 3N Range: 68W Meridian: 6 Sensitive Area? Yes

Facility Type: SPILL OR RELEASE Facility ID: 487042 API #: County Name: WELD
 Facility Name: Waterfront 11 12 13 14 33 Facility Latitude: 40.192984 Longitude: -104.994473
 ** correct Lat/Long if needed: Latitude: Longitude:
 QtrQtr: SWSW Sec: 27 Twp: 3N Range: 68W Meridian: 6 Sensitive Area? Yes

SITE CONDITIONS

General soil type - USCS Classifications OL Most Sensitive Adjacent Land Use Foster Reservoir
 Is domestic water well within 1/4 mile? No Is surface water within 1/4 mile? Yes
 Is groundwater less than 20 feet below ground surface? Yes

Other Potential Receptors within 1/4 mile

Domestic water well: none
 Surface water: multiple surface water features within 1/4 mile
 Wetlands: multiple areas with wetland characteristics within 1/4 mile
 Livestock: none
 Occupied Building: multiple occupied buildings within 1/4 mile
 High Priority Habitats: none

SITE INVESTIGATION PLAN

TYPE OF WASTE:

- E&P Waste Other E&P Waste Non-E&P Waste
- Produced Water Workover Fluids
- Oil Tank Bottoms
- Condensate Pigging Waste
- Drilling Fluids Rig Wash
- Drill Cuttings Spent Filters
- Pit Bottoms
- Other (as described by EPA)

DESCRIPTION OF IMPACT

Impacted?	Impacted Media	Extent of Impact	How Determined
Yes	GROUNDWATER	TBD	Groundwater samples/laboratory analytical results
Yes	SOILS	TBD	Inspection/soil samples/laboratory analytical results

INITIAL ACTION SUMMARY

Description of initial action or emergency response measures take to abate, investigate, and/or remediate impacts associated with E&P Waste.

Wellhead cut and cap operations, flowline removal, and facility decommissioning activities were completed at the Waterfront 11,12,14,33-27 wellheads, Waterfront 11 12 13 14 33 Facility on 4/24-6/11/24, as summarized in Form 27-Supp. (Doc.403874423). Analytical results indicated that constituent concentrations in the soil samples were in compliance with the applicable ECMC Table 915-1 standards and/or within background limits, with the exception of pH, barium, chromium VI and/or selenium concentrations in AST-B01@6", AST-B01@3', and WH-B01@6'. Based on the absence of organic detections in the initial samples, verification samples AST-B01-01@6", AST-B01-01@3', and WH-B01-01@6' were collected and submitted for analysis of pH, Ba, Cr VI, and/or Se to verify the inorganic constituents, as previously presented in Form 27-Supplemental Document #403874423. Final analytical results indicated that constituent concentrations in the verification soil samples were in compliance with the applicable Table 915-1 standards and/or within background limits.

Due to an ECMC complaint (Doc.403774445) submitted 4/30/24, site assessment activities were conducted on 5/15/24 to investigate potential soil and groundwater impacts at the former Waterfront 11 12 13 14 33 Facility location. The former facility was associated with the Anderson Family Trust 1 wellhead/flowline. Analytical results indicated that soil and groundwater samples collected during the 5/15/24 site assessment contained organic impacts exceeding the applicable ECMC Table 915-1 standards. As such, the impacts were reported under the Form 19-Initial Spill/Release Report (Doc.403794324) submitted on 5/17/24, and the ECMC issued Spill/Release Point ID 487042. Soil sample location and field screening data is presented in Table 1. The applicable secured laboratory analytical reports are attached.

PROPOSED SAMPLING PLAN

Proposed Soil Sampling

Will soil samples be collected as part of this investigation? (Number, type (grab/composite), analyses, and locations of samples):

On 5/15/24-7/1/25, 103 soil samples were collected from the former Waterfront 11 12 13 14 33 Facility location. The soil boring assessment samples were submitted for analysis of the full ECMC Table 915-1 analytical suite. Based on the waste characterization results from the 10 soil boring samples, confirmation samples were submitted for analysis of BTEX, TPH, naph., 1,2,4-TMB, 1,2-methyl, fluorene, pH, boron, Table 915-1 metals (excluding Ag and Cr VI), and/or SAR. Analytical results indicate that naph., 1,2,4-TMB, 1,2-methyl, pH, Ba, Cd, and/or Se impacts remain in multiple soil samples. Additional site-specific background soil samples will be collected to further assess native soil conditions. Soil analytical data is presented in Tables 2 through 5.

Proposed Groundwater Sampling

Will groundwater samples be collected as part of this investigation? (Number, analyses, and locations of samples):

On 5/15/24, groundwater samples were collected and analyzed for BTEX, naph., and TMBs, as approved in Form 27-Initial Doc. #403681168. Analytical results indicated that the benzene, ethylbenzene, total xylenes, naph., and/or TMB concentrations in samples GW-02-GW-04 exceeded Table 915-1 standards. Groundwater monitoring wells were installed at the site on 4/7-4/10/25. On 4/28/25, 7/16-7/21/25, 10/20/25, and 1/19-1/20/26, samples were collected and analyzed for the Table 915-1 groundwater suite, 1,2-methylnaph., and/or dissolved Cd. Analytical results indicated that all samples were within Table 915-1, WQCC Reg. 41 standards, EPA RSLs for Tapwater, and/or background limits. As of 4Q25, the extent of organic groundwater impacts has been fully delineated.

Proposed Surface Water Sampling

Will surface water samples be collected as part of this investigation? (Number, analyses, and locations of samples):

Additional Investigative Actions

Additional alternative investigative actions described in attached Site Investigation Plan (summary):

To delineate and characterize remaining impacts, 22 borings were advanced in and around the final excavation extents to total depths of approx. 11.5-15' bgs. Monitoring wells were installed in each boring location. Soil samples were collected based on the interval exhibiting the highest PID and/or from the interval above the observed water table. The samples were submitted for analysis of full Table 915-1. Final results for the samples collected during monitoring well installation indicate that constituent concentrations were in compliance with Table 915-1 standards and/or background limits, with the exception of the pH concentration in MW-12@10-13'.

SITE INVESTIGATION REPORT

SAMPLE SUMMARY

Soil	NA / ND
Number of soil samples collected <u>161</u>	-- Highest concentration of TPH (mg/kg) <u>4031</u>
Number of soil samples exceeding 915-1 <u>148</u>	-- Highest concentration of SAR <u>7.21</u>
Was the areal and vertical extent of soil contamination delineated? <u>No</u>	BTEX > 915-1 <u>Yes</u>
Approximate areal extent (square feet) <u>39000</u>	Vertical Extent > 915-1 (in feet) <u>12</u>
Groundwater	
Number of groundwater samples collected <u>93</u>	-- Highest concentration of Benzene (µg/l) <u>838</u>
Was extent of groundwater contaminated delineated? <u>Yes</u>	-- Highest concentration of Toluene (µg/l) <u>268</u>
Depth to groundwater (below ground surface, in feet) <u>4</u>	-- Highest concentration of Ethylbenzene (µg/l) <u>1260</u>
Number of groundwater monitoring wells installed <u>22</u>	-- Highest concentration of Xylene (µg/l) <u>6410</u>
Number of groundwater samples exceeding 915-1 <u>3</u>	NA Highest concentration of Methane (mg/l) _____

Surface Water

0 Number of surface water samples collected
Number of surface water samples exceeding 915-1

If surface water is impacted, other agency notification may be required.

OTHER INVESTIGATION INFORMATION

Were impacts to adjacent property or offsite impacts identified?

[Empty box for adjacent property impacts]

Were background samples collected as part of this site investigation?

Background soil samples REC-BG01 - REC-BG12 and WH-BG01 - WH-BG03 were collected from native non-impacted material adjacent to the former tank battery and wellhead cut and cap excavations at depths ranging from approximately 0-12' bgs. The background soil samples were submitted for laboratory analysis of the Soil Suitability for Reclamation Parameters and Table 915-1 Metals using standard methods appropriate for detecting target analytes in Table 915-1. Analytical results for the background soil samples are presented in Tables 3 and 5.

Was investigation derived waste (IDW) generated as part of this investigation?

Volume of solid waste (cubic yards) _____ Volume of liquid waste (barrels) _____

Is further site investigation required?

Additional site-specific background soil samples will be collected to further assess native soil conditions. Groundwater monitoring wells will be sampled on a quarterly basis and submitted for laboratory analysis of the full ECMC Table 915-1 groundwater analytical suite. Groundwater will also be monitored for 1-methylnaphthalene, 2-methylnaphthalene, and dissolved metals (Ba, Cd, and Se) to investigate if a pathway to groundwater exists for these constituents in accordance with Table 915-1, Footnote 7.

REMEDIAL ACTION PLAN

Does this Supplemental Form 27A include changes to a previously approved Remedial Action Plan? No _____

SOURCE REMOVAL SUMMARY

Describe how source is to be removed.

Between 9/11/24 and 11/20/24, approximately 15,610 cubic yards of impacted soil was excavated and transported to the Front Range Landfill located in Erie, Colorado for disposal. Approximately 7,039 barrels of impacted groundwater were removed from the excavation area via vacuum truck and transported to the Kerr-McGee Aggregate Recycling Facility for recycling. The excavation areas have been backfilled and contoured to match pre-existing conditions.

REMEDICATION SUMMARY

Describe how remediation of existing impacts to soil and groundwater is to be accomplished (i.e. summarize remedial action plan). Provide a brief narrative description including: technical justification, schedule for implementation, estimated time to attain NFA status, plus plans and specifications for the selected remedial action technology.

Prior to backfilling, approximately 3920 lbs of COGACpH® & WPX activated carbon were added to the groundwater within the excavation area to mitigate remaining hydrocarbon impacts in groundwater. On 7/1/25, additional soil samples were collected to investigate the effectiveness of source removal & natural attenuation & to assess the efficacy of the COGACpH® & WPX in-situ treatment for the impacted groundwater & remaining soil impacts that were left in place. The remaining locations of organic soil exceedances are shown in Figure 2. Based on analytical results, the in-situ application of activated carbon has been effective in the reduction of soil & groundwater impacts. Additionally, based on analytical results, enhanced natural attenuation is occurring at this location, & soil concentrations have either dropped below Table 915-1 standards, or indicate that degradation of impacts is occurring. Naph, 1,2,4-TMB, 1,2-methyl, pH, Ba, Cd, &/or Se impacts remain. Additional background samples will be collected. Based on the remaining impacts, the groundwater monitoring wells will be sampled on a quarterly basis & analyzed for the Table 915-1 groundwater analytical suite, as well as 1,2-methyl. & dissolved metals (Ba, Cd, & Se), in accordance with ECMC Rule 915.a Operator Guidance. Estimated time to attain NFA is TBD based on the groundwater analyte concentrations, & the efficacy of the selected remedial technologies.

Based on waste characterization results, KMOG is requesting Director's approval of the reduced analyte list for the confirmation samples collected from the former facility excavation area. Based on waste characterization results from the 10 soil boring samples, samples from the former facility excavation area were analyzed for BTEX, TPH, naph., 1,2,4-TMB, 1,2-methyl, fluorene, pH, boron, Table 915-1 metals (except Ag and Cr VI), & SAR.

KMOG is assessing additional remedial options for the remaining exceedances of ECMC Table 915-1 cleanup concentrations.

Soil Remediation Summary

In Situ

Ex Situ

_____ Bioremediation (or enhanced bioremediation) Yes Excavate and offsite disposal
_____ Chemical oxidation If Yes: Estimated Volume (Cubic Yards) 15610
_____ Air sparge / Soil vapor extraction Name of Licensed Disposal Facility or ECMC Facility ID # _____
Yes Natural Attenuation _____ Excavate and onsite remediation
_____ Other _____ Land Treatment

_____ Bioremediation (or enhanced bioremediation)
_____ Chemical oxidation
_____ Other _____

Groundwater Remediation Summary

_____ Bioremediation (or enhanced bioremediation)
_____ Chemical oxidation
_____ Air sparge / Soil vapor extraction
Yes _____ Natural Attenuation
Yes _____ Other _____ Activated carbon adsorption _____

GROUNDWATER MONITORING

If groundwater has been impacted, describe proposed monitoring plan, including # of wells or sample points, monitoring schedule, analytical methods, points of compliance. Attach a groundwater monitoring location diagram.

On 4/7/25-4/10/25, 22 groundwater monitoring wells (MW-01 - MW-22) were installed at the site to delineate remaining impacts and to monitor groundwater conditions. Quarterly groundwater monitoring was initiated on 4/28/25. On 4/28/25, 7/16-7/21/25, 10/20/25, and 1/19-1/20/26 groundwater samples (MW-01-MW-22) were collected and submitted for analysis of the full Table 915-1 groundwater analytical suite, 1,2-methylnaph., and/or dissolved Cd. Analytical results indicated that all samples were in compliance with Table 915-1 standards, WQCC Regulation 41 standards, EPA Regional Screening Levels for Tapwater, and/or within background limits. As of the Fourth Quarter of 2025, the extent of organic groundwater impacts has been fully delineated. Based on the attached data which indicates no detections of organic analytes in the groundwater samples (with the exception of MW-12 and MW-15, which contained low-level detections of total xylenes, and TMBs), source removal was successful and natural attenuation is occurring. Groundwater monitoring wells will be sampled on a quarterly basis and submitted for laboratory analysis of the full ECMC Table 915-1 groundwater analytical suite. Groundwater will also be monitored for 1-methylnaphthalene, 2-methylnaphthalene, and dissolved metals (Ba, Cd, and Se) to investigate if a pathway to groundwater exists for these constituents in accordance with Table 915-1, Footnote 7. The First Quarter 2026 groundwater elevation data is summarized in Table 6 and illustrated on Figure 1. The groundwater analytical results are summarized in Tables 7 and 8.

REMEDATION PROGRESS UPDATE

PERIODIC REPORTING

Approved Reporting Schedule:

Quarterly Semi-Annually Annually Other

Request Alternative Reporting Schedule:

Semi-Annually Annually Other

Rule 913.e:

After initial approval of a Form 27, the Operator will provide quarterly update reports in a Supplemental Form 27 to document progress of site investigation and remediation, unless an alternative reporting schedule has been requested by the Operator and approved by the Director. The Director may request a more frequent reporting schedule based on site-specific conditions.

Report Type: Groundwater Monitoring Land Treatment Progress Report O&M Report
 Other _____

Adequacy of Operator's General Liability Insurance and Financial Assurance

Describe the adequacy of the Operator's general liability insurance and Financial Assurance to fully address the anticipated costs of Remediation, including the estimated remaining cost for this project (below).

If this information has been provided on a Form 27 within the last 12 months, provide the Document Number of that form.

KMOG has sufficient insurance and bonding to fully address the anticipated costs of Remediation, including the remaining estimated costs for this project. KMOG currently has over 40 million in bonds with the Energy & Carbon Management Commission. The cost for remediation is a preliminary estimate only, costs may change upwards or downward based on site-specific information. KMOG makes no representation or guarantees as to the accuracy of the preliminary estimate.

Operator anticipates the remaining cost for this project to be: \$ 15000 _____

WASTE DISPOSAL INFORMATION

Was E&P waste generated as part of this remediation? Yes _____

Describe beneficial use, if any, of E&P Waste derived from this remediation project:

N/A

Volume of E&P Waste (solid) in cubic yards _____ 15610

E&P waste (solid) description _____ Impacted soil

ECMC Disposal Facility ID #, if applicable: _____

Non-ECMC Disposal Facility: _____ Front Range Landfill in Erie, Colorado

Volume of E&P Waste (liquid) in barrels _____ 7039

E&P waste (liquid) description _____ Impacted groundwater

ECMC Disposal Facility ID #, if applicable: _____ 434766

Non-ECMC Disposal Facility: _____

REMEDATION COMPLETION REPORT

REMEDATION COMPLETION SUMMARY

Is this a Final Closure Request for this Remediation Project? No _____

If YES:

- Compliant with Rule 913.h.(1).
- Compliant with Rule 913.h.(2).
- Compliant with Rule 913.h.(3).

Do all soils meet Table 915-1 standards? _____

Does the previous reply indicate consideration of background concentrations? _____

Does Groundwater meet Table 915-1 standards? _____

Is additional groundwater monitoring to be conducted? _____

Operator shall comply with the ECMC 1000-Series Reclamation Requirements for all impacted and disturbed areas.

RECLAMATION PLAN

RECLAMATION PLANNING

Describe reclamation plan. Discuss existing and new grade recontouring; method and testing of compaction alleviation; and reseeding program, including location of new seed, seed mix and noxious weed prevention. Attach diagram or drawing.

The site will be reclaimed in accordance with ECMC 1000 Series Reclamation Rules.

Is the described reclamation complete? _____

Does the reclamation described herein constitute interim or final reclamation of the Oil and Gas Location?

Interim

Final

Did the Surface Owner provide the seed mix? _____

If YES, does the seed mix comply with local soil conservation district recommendations? _____

Did the local soil conservation district provide the seed mix? _____

SITE RECLAMATION DATES

Proposed date of commencement of Reclamation. _____

Proposed date of completion of Reclamation. _____

IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE

Per Rule 913.d.(2): Any change from the approved implementation schedule will be requested at least 14 days in advance, and the Operator may not make the change without the Director's approval.

PRIOR DATES

Date of Surface Owner notification/consultation, if required. 01/17/2024

Actual Spill or Release date, or date of discovery. 05/16/2024

SITE INVESTIGATION DATES

Date of Initial Actions described in Site Investigation Plan (start date). 04/24/2024

Proposed site investigation commencement. 04/24/2024

Proposed completion of site investigation. 12/31/2026

REMEDIAL ACTION DATES

Proposed start date of Remediation. 05/16/2024

Proposed date of completion of Remediation. 12/31/2026

Per Rule 913.d.(2): Any change from the approved implementation schedule will be requested at least 14 days in advance, and the Operator may not make the change without the Director's approval.

Change from approved implementation schedule per Rule 913.d.(2).

Basis for change in implementation schedule:

OPERATOR COMMENT

Based on the absence of release indicators in the initial samples, verification soil samples and/or analytical reruns for pH, EC, As, Ba, and/or Se were deemed to be scientifically justified. The scientific justification for the inclusion of these verification results is the assessment of soil heterogeneity and identification of analytical variability due to constituent composition and distribution within the sampled area.

Based on waste characterization results, KMOG is requesting Director's approval of the reduced analyte list for the confirmation soil samples collected from the former facility excavation area. Based on waste characterization results from the 10 soil boring samples, soil samples from the former facility excavation area were submitted for analysis of BTEX, TPH, naph., 1,2,4-TMB, 1,2-methyl, fluorene, pH, boron, Table 915-1 metals (except Ag and Cr VI), and SAR.

The previous Form 27-Supplemental Report (Document #404425682, submitted 11/11/25) is still in process with the ECMC.

I hereby certify all statements made in this form are to the best of my knowledge true, correct, and complete.

Signed: Max Moran

Title: Environmental Advisor

Submit Date: 05/04/2026

Email: DJRemediation_Forms@oxy.com

Based on the information provided herein, this Application for Site Investigation and Remediation Workplan complies with ECMC Rules and applicable orders and is hereby approved.

ECMC Approved: Kari Brown

Date: 06/01/2026

Remediation Project Number: 34348

COA Type**Description**

	ECMC agrees to the reduced analyte list based on waste characterization data provided to date. However, if during subsequent site investigation/remediation soil that appears to be more impacted (based on PID readings, visual and/or olfactory indicators) is discovered, Operator shall collect a sample(s) from that location (those locations) for laboratory analysis of full ECMC Table 915-1 contaminants of concern. If analytes beyond those proposed in the above amended sampling plan are detected, those compounds will be added to the sampling plan and additional confirmation samples may be required.
1 COA	

ATTACHMENT LIST

Upon approval, the approved Form 27 and all listed attachments will be indexed to the Remediation Project file. Only the approved Form 27 will also be indexed to the related Facilities.

Att Doc Num**Name**

404529298	INVESTIGATION/REMEDATION WORKPLAN (SUPPLEMENTAL)
404529319	LABORATORY ANALYTICAL REPORT
404529320	LABORATORY ANALYTICAL REPORT
404640077	ANALYTICAL DATA SUMMARY TABLE(S)
404643286	SOIL SAMPLE LOCATION MAP
404643347	GROUND WATER ELEVATION MAP
404678843	FORM 27-SUPPLEMENTAL-SUBMITTED

Total Attach: 7 Files

General Comments**User Group****Comment****Comment Date**

		Stamp Upon Approval
--	--	---------------------

Total: 0 comment(s)