

State of Colorado
Energy & Carbon Management Commission

1120 Lincoln Street, Suite 801, Denver, Colorado 80203
Phone: (303) 894-2100 Fax: (303) 894-2109



Document Number:
404420281
Receive Date:
11/05/2025

Report taken by:
Taylor Robinson

Site Investigation and Remediation Workplan (Supplemental Form)

This form shall be submitted to the Director for approval prior to the initiation of site investigation and remediation activities. However, this shall not preclude the Operator from taking immediate action to protect public health or safety, the environment, wildlife, or livestock.

This Form 27 describes site conditions as currently understood by the Operator; approval of this Form 27 by ECMC is based on the site conditions accurately described herein; any changes in site conditions identified during or subsequent to the performance of the approved workplan may necessitate additional investigation or remediation which shall be described on a supplemental Form 27.

This Form 27 is intended to provide basic information regarding the proposed site investigation and remediation actions, but the workplan may be more fully described in attached documentation.

Closure request is not available for an Initial Site Investigation and Remediation Workplan.

OPERATOR INFORMATION

Name of Operator: KERR MCGEE OIL & GAS ONSHORE LP	Operator No: 47120	Phone Numbers Phone: (720) 929-4307 Mobile: ()
Address: P O BOX 173779		
City: DENVER	State: CO	Zip: 80217-3779
Contact Person: Max Moran	Email: DJRemediation_Forms@oxy.com	

PROJECT, PURPOSE & SITE INFORMATION

PROJECT INFORMATION

Remediation Project #: 33747 Initial Form 27 Document #: 403640086

PURPOSE INFORMATION

- Rule 913.c.(1): Pit or Cuttings Trench closure.
- Rule 913.c.(2): Buried or partially buried vessel closure, which will be by removal.
- Rule 913.c.(3): Remediation of Spill and Releases pursuant to Rule 912.
- Rule 913.c.(4): Land treatment of Oily Waste pursuant to Rule 905.e.
- Rule 913.c.(5): Closure of Centralized E&P Waste Management Facilities pursuant to Rule 907.h.
- Rule 913.c.(6): Remediation of impacted Groundwater pursuant to Rule 915.e.(3).D, and the contaminant concentrations in Table 915-1.
- Rule 913.c.(7): Investigation and remediation of natural gas in soil or Groundwater.
- Rule 913.c.(8): When requested by the Director due to any potential risk to soil, Groundwater, or surface water.
- Rule 913.c.(9): Decommissioning of Oil and Gas Facilities.
- Rule 913.g: Changes of Operator.
- Rule 915.b: Request to leave elevated inorganics in situ.
- Other: _____

SITE INFORMATION

Yes Multiple Facilities

Facility Type: LOCATION	Facility ID: 329523	API #: _____	County Name: WELD
Facility Name: MAYER-63N67W 27SENE	Latitude: 40.198825	Longitude: -104.869670	
** correct Lat/Long if needed: Latitude: 40.200669		Longitude: -104.868157	
QtrQtr: SENE	Sec: 27	Twp: 3N	Range: 67W Meridian: 6 Sensitive Area? Yes

Facility Type: SPILL OR RELEASE	Facility ID: 487396	API #: _____	County Name: WELD
Facility Name: Mayer 27-7L,27-8L Facility	Latitude: 40.200669	Longitude: -104.868157	
** correct Lat/Long if needed: Latitude: _____		Longitude: _____	
QtrQtr: NENE	Sec: 27	Twp: 3N	Range: 67W Meridian: 6 Sensitive Area? Yes

SITE CONDITIONS

General soil type - USCS Classifications SM

Most Sensitive Adjacent Land Use Surface Water

Is domestic water well within 1/4 mile? Yes

Is surface water within 1/4 mile? Yes

Is groundwater less than 20 feet below ground surface? Yes

Other Potential Receptors within 1/4 mile

Irrigation ditch 40 feet (ft) east and 130 ft southwest. Water well 220 ft north. Occupied buildings 210 ft north and 1,020 ft northwest. County Road 200 ft east. State highway 1,100 ft north. Agriculture.

DENIED

SITE INVESTIGATION PLAN

TYPE OF WASTE:

- | | | |
|--|--|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> E&P Waste | <input type="checkbox"/> Other E&P Waste | <input type="checkbox"/> Non-E&P Waste |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Produced Water | <input type="checkbox"/> Workover Fluids | _____ |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Oil | <input type="checkbox"/> Tank Bottoms | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Condensate | <input type="checkbox"/> Pigging Waste | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Drilling Fluids | <input type="checkbox"/> Rig Wash | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Drill Cuttings | <input type="checkbox"/> Spent Filters | |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Pit Bottoms | |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Other (as described by EPA) | _____ |

DESCRIPTION OF IMPACT

Impacted?	Impacted Media	Extent of Impact	How Determined
UNDETERMINED	GROUNDWATER	TBD	Groundwater Samples/Laboratory Analytical Results
UNDETERMINED	SOILS	TBD	Soil Samples/Laboratory Analytical Results

INITIAL ACTION SUMMARY

Description of initial action or emergency response measures take to abate, investigate, and/or remediate impacts associated with E&P Waste.

Decommissioning activities at the Mayer 27-7L, 27-8L Facility were conducted on June 27, 2024. Groundwater was not encountered during excavation activities. Visual inspection and field screening of soils at two aboveground storage tanks (ASTs), one produced water vessel (PWV), one emission control device (ECD), two meter houses, six dumpline potholes, and two separator were conducted following removal activities and soil samples (AST01@0.5', AST02@0.5', PWV-N01@2.5', PWV-B01@5', SEP01-INLET@3', SEP01-OUTLET@3', SEP02-INLET@3', SEP02-OUTLET@3', and PH01@5') were submitted for laboratory analysis of full list Table 915-1 constituents, to determine if a release occurred. Initial analytical results indicated that benzene, pH, cadmium, and lead concentrations above the Table 915-1 allowable levels and background levels were present at the AST01@0.5', AST02@0.5', and PH01@5' locations. Verification samples were collected to confirm the initial results. Final laboratory analytical results indicated that pH, cadmium, and lead impacts exceeding Table 915-1 allowable levels and site-specific background levels were present at the AST01@0.5' and AST02@0.5' locations. As such, a Form 19 Initial/Supplemental Spill/Release Report (Document No. 403845274) was submitted on July 5, 2024, and the ECMC issued Spill/Release Point ID 487396. Per updated ECMC guidance, verification sampling of organic exceedances is not considered valid and additional assessment will be conducted at the PH01@5' location.

PROPOSED SAMPLING PLAN

Proposed Soil Sampling

Will soil samples be collected as part of this investigation? (Number, type (grab/composite), analyses, and locations of samples):

Between June 27 and August 14, 2024, excavation activities were conducted to address remaining soil impacts at the former AST01 and AST02 locations. Confirmation soil samples were collected from a depth of 2 ft below ground surface (bgs) and were submitted for laboratory analysis of the excavation-specific waste profile including total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPH), polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), pH and select Table 915-1 metals using ECMC-approved methods. Laboratory analytical results indicated all constituent concentrations were within the ECMC Table 915-1 allowable levels or within 1.25 times background levels for Table 915-1 metals at the final excavation extents.

Proposed Groundwater Sampling

Will groundwater samples be collected as part of this investigation? (Number, analyses, and locations of samples):

Groundwater was not encountered during facility decommissioning activities.

Proposed Surface Water Sampling

Will surface water samples be collected as part of this investigation? (Number, analyses, and locations of samples):

Additional Investigative Actions

Additional alternative investigative actions described in attached Site Investigation Plan (summary):

On June 27, 2024, visual inspections and field screening of soil were conducted at the hatch and loadout of each AST, three sidewalls of the PWV excavation, two meter houses, five potholes, and one ECD. Based on the inspection and screening results, hydrocarbon-impacted soils were not observed at the soil screening locations. As a result, no soil samples were submitted for laboratory analysis from these areas in accordance with the ECMC Operator Guidance.

SITE INVESTIGATION REPORT

SAMPLE SUMMARY

Soil

Number of soil samples collected 16

Number of soil samples exceeding 915-1 13

Was the areal and vertical extent of soil contamination delineated? No

Approximate areal extent (square feet) 50

NA / ND

-- Highest concentration of TPH (mg/kg) 36.1

-- Highest concentration of SAR 2.04

BTEX > 915-1 Yes

Vertical Extent > 915-1 (in feet) 2

Groundwater

Number of groundwater samples collected 0

Was extent of groundwater contaminated delineated? No

Depth to groundwater (below ground surface, in feet) _____

Number of groundwater monitoring wells installed _____

Number of groundwater samples exceeding 915-1 _____

_____ Highest concentration of Benzene (µg/l) _____

_____ Highest concentration of Toluene (µg/l) _____

_____ Highest concentration of Ethylbenzene (µg/l) _____

_____ Highest concentration of Xylene (µg/l) _____

_____ Highest concentration of Methane (mg/l) _____

Surface Water

0 Number of surface water samples collected

_____ Number of surface water samples exceeding 915-1

If surface water is impacted, other agency notification may be required.

OTHER INVESTIGATION INFORMATION

Were impacts to adjacent property or offsite impacts identified?

Were background samples collected as part of this site investigation?

One tank battery background soil sample (TB-BG01@0.5') was collected from the soil used to construct the tank battery for comparison to shallow samples collected within the fill material. Six background soil samples (NATIVE-BG01@3' through NATIVE-BG03@3' and NATIVE-BG01@6' through NATIVE-BG03@6') were collected from the native material outside of the facility excavations. Background samples were submitted for laboratory analysis of electronic conductivity (EC), sodium adsorption ratio (SAR), pH, boron, and Table 915-1 metals. Laboratory analytical results indicate that arsenic and barium are high in the material used to construct the tank battery, and that pH, arsenic, and barium are naturally high in the native soil.

Was investigation derived waste (IDW) generated as part of this investigation?

Volume of solid waste (cubic yards) _____

Volume of liquid waste (barrels) _____

Is further site investigation required?

Assessment activities are ongoing, and details will be provided in a subsequent Form 27 Supplemental report.

REMEDIAL ACTION PLAN

Does this Supplemental Form 27A include changes to a previously approved Remedial Action Plan? No

SOURCE REMOVAL SUMMARY

Describe how source is to be removed.

Approximately 40 cubic yards of impacted soil were removed from the site and transported to Front Range Landfill in Erie, Colorado for disposal. Disposal records are kept on file and are available upon request. The excavation areas have been backfilled and contoured to match pre-existing conditions.

REMEDICATION SUMMARY

Describe how remediation of existing impacts to soil and groundwater is to be accomplished (i.e. summarize remedial action plan). Provide a brief narrative description including: technical justification, schedule for implementation, estimated time to attain NFA status, plus plans and specifications for the selected remedial action technology.

Laboratory data indicate that impacted soil in the excavation areas has been removed and all remaining soil at the final extent of the excavations is in compliance with the ECMC Table 915-1 standards or within 1.25 times background levels for Table 915-1 metals. Groundwater was not encountered in the facility excavations. Assessment activities are ongoing and will be summarized in a subsequent Form 27 Supplemental report.

Soil Remediation Summary

In Situ

Ex Situ

_____ Bioremediation (or enhanced bioremediation)

Yes _____ Excavate and offsite disposal

_____ Chemical oxidation

_____ If Yes: Estimated Volume (Cubic Yards) _____ 40

_____ Air sparge / Soil vapor extraction

_____ Name of Licensed Disposal Facility or ECMC Facility ID # _____

_____ Natural Attenuation

No _____ Excavate and onsite remediation

_____ Other _____

_____ Land Treatment

_____ Bioremediation (or enhanced bioremediation)

_____ Chemical oxidation

_____ Other _____

Groundwater Remediation Summary

_____ Bioremediation (or enhanced bioremediation)

_____ Chemical oxidation

_____ Air sparge / Soil vapor extraction

_____ Natural Attenuation

_____ Other _____

GROUNDWATER MONITORING

If groundwater has been impacted, describe proposed monitoring plan, including # of wells or sample points, monitoring schedule, analytical methods, points of compliance. Attach a groundwater monitoring location diagram.

REMEDIATION PROGRESS UPDATE

PERIODIC REPORTING

Approved Reporting Schedule:

Quarterly Semi-Annually Annually Other

Request Alternative Reporting Schedule:

Semi-Annually Annually Other

Rule 913.e:

After initial approval of a Form 27, the Operator will provide quarterly update reports in a Supplemental Form 27 to document progress of site investigation and remediation, unless an alternative reporting schedule has been requested by the Operator and approved by the Director. The Director may request a more frequent reporting schedule based on site-specific conditions.

Report Type: Groundwater Monitoring Land Treatment Progress Report O&M Report
 Other _____

Adequacy of Operator's General Liability Insurance and Financial Assurance

Describe the adequacy of the Operator's general liability insurance and Financial Assurance to fully address the anticipated costs of Remediation, including the estimated remaining cost for this project (below).

If this information has been provided on a Form 27 within the last 12 months, provide the Document Number of that form.

KMOG has sufficient insurance and bonding to fully address the anticipated costs of Remediation, including the remaining estimated costs for this project. KMOG currently has over 40 million in bonds with the Energy and Carbon Management Commission. The cost for remediation is a preliminary estimate only, costs may change upwards or downward based on site-specific information. KMOG makes no representation or guarantees as to the accuracy of the preliminary estimate.

Operator anticipates the remaining cost for this project to be: \$ 16500 _____

WASTE DISPOSAL INFORMATION

Was E&P waste generated as part of this remediation? Yes _____

Describe beneficial use, if any, of E&P Waste derived from this remediation project:

N/A

Volume of E&P Waste (solid) in cubic yards _____ 40

E&P waste (solid) description _____ Impacted Soil

ECMC Disposal Facility ID #, if applicable: _____

Non-ECMC Disposal Facility: _____ Front Range Landfill in Erie, CO

Volume of E&P Waste (liquid) in barrels _____ 0

E&P waste (liquid) description _____

ECMC Disposal Facility ID #, if applicable: _____

Non-ECMC Disposal Facility: _____

REMEDIATION COMPLETION REPORT

REMEDIATION COMPLETION SUMMARY

Is this a Final Closure Request for this Remediation Project? No _____

If YES:

- Compliant with Rule 913.h.(1).
- Compliant with Rule 913.h.(2).
- Compliant with Rule 913.h.(3).

Do all soils meet Table 915-1 standards? _____

Does the previous reply indicate consideration of background concentrations? _____

Does Groundwater meet Table 915-1 standards? _____

Is additional groundwater monitoring to be conducted? _____

Operator shall comply with the ECMC 1000-Series Reclamation Requirements for all impacted and disturbed areas.

RECLAMATION PLAN

RECLAMATION PLANNING

Describe reclamation plan. Discuss existing and new grade recontouring; method and testing of compaction alleviation; and reseeded program, including location of new seed, seed mix and noxious weed prevention. Attach diagram or drawing.

The site will be reclaimed in accordance with ECMC 1000 Series Reclamation Rules.

Is the described reclamation complete? _____

Does the reclamation described herein constitute interim or final reclamation of the Oil and Gas Location?

Interim

Final

Did the Surface Owner provide the seed mix? _____

If YES, does the seed mix comply with local soil conservation district recommendations? _____

Did the local soil conservation district provide the seed mix? _____

SITE RECLAMATION DATES

Proposed date of commencement of Reclamation. _____

Proposed date of completion of Reclamation. _____

IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE

Per Rule 913.d.(2): Any change from the approved implementation schedule will be requested at least 14 days in advance, and the Operator may not make the change without the Director's approval.

PRIOR DATES

Date of Surface Owner notification/consultation, if required. 07/04/2024

Actual Spill or Release date, or date of discovery. 07/03/2024

SITE INVESTIGATION DATES

Date of Initial Actions described in Site Investigation Plan (start date). 06/27/2024

Proposed site investigation commencement. 06/27/2024

Proposed completion of site investigation. 05/03/2026

REMEDIAL ACTION DATES

Proposed start date of Remediation. 06/27/2024

Proposed date of completion of Remediation. 05/03/2026

Per Rule 913.d.(2): Any change from the approved implementation schedule will be requested at least 14 days in advance, and the Operator may not make the change without the Director's approval.

Change from approved implementation schedule per Rule 913.d.(2).

Basis for change in implementation schedule:

OPERATOR COMMENT

No additional work has been done since the previous Form 27 submitted on 8/13/2025 (Document No. 404307280). Additional assessment at this location is pending. Work is scheduled to resume by May, 2026. As such, none of the previous attachments have been included with this form. The implementation schedule has been updated.

Please refer to the Form 27 submitted on 8/13/2025 (Document No. 404307280) for the request for the Director's Approval to establish site specific waste profile.

The submitted Form 27 Document No. 404307280 is still under review. The report has auto-populated the report verbiage from the previously approved Form 27 (Document No. 404184560) submitted on 5/8/2025.

I hereby certify all statements made in this form are to the best of my knowledge true, correct, and complete.

Signed: Max Moran _____

Title: Environmental Advisor _____

Submit Date: 11/05/2025 _____

Email: DJRemediation_Forms@oxy.com _____

Based on the information provided herein, this Application for Site Investigation and Remediation Workplan complies with ECMC Rules and applicable orders and is hereby approved.

ECMC Approved: _____

Date: _____

Remediation Project Number: 33747 _____

COA Type

Description

<u>COA Type</u>	<u>Description</u>
0 COA	

ATTACHMENT LIST

Upon approval, the approved Form 27 and all listed attachments will be indexed to the Remediation Project file. Only the approved Form 27 will also be indexed to the related Facilities.

Att Doc Num

Name

404420281	FORM 27 DENIED
404424261	IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE
404459277	FORM 27-SUPPLEMENTAL-SUBMITTED

Total Attach: 3 Files

General Comments

User Group

Comment

Comment Date

Environmental	ECMC has denied this form without technical review as Operator has not provided an updated Form that is complete and accurate as required by Rule 207. Operator shall submit a replacement form that has been updated to accurately reflect remediation status and site conditions. All ongoing/unaddressed comments/COAs from previous Forms remain applicable.	12/03/2025
---------------	--	------------

Total: 1 comment(s)