

State of Colorado  
Energy & Carbon Management Commission

1120 Lincoln Street, Suite 801, Denver, Colorado 80203  
Phone: (303) 894-2100 Fax: (303) 894-2109



Document Number:  
404386065  
Receive Date:  
10/16/2025

Report taken by:  
Nick Cholas

Site Investigation and Remediation Workplan (Supplemental Form)

This form shall be submitted to the Director for approval prior to the initiation of site investigation and remediation activities. However, this shall not preclude the Operator from taking immediate action to protect public health or safety, the environment, wildlife, or livestock.

This Form 27 describes site conditions as currently understood by the Operator; approval of this Form 27 by ECMC is based on the site conditions accurately described herein; any changes in site conditions identified during or subsequent to the performance of the approved workplan may necessitate additional investigation or remediation which shall be described on a supplemental Form 27. This Form 27 is intended to provide basic information regarding the proposed site investigation and remediation actions, but the workplan may be more fully described in attached documentation.

Closure request is not available for an Initial Site Investigation and Remediation Workplan.

OPERATOR INFORMATION

Name of Operator: NOBLE ENERGY INC	Operator No: 100322	<b>Phone Numbers</b>
Address: 1099 18TH STREET SUITE 1500		Phone: (970) 730-7281
City: DENVER	State: CO	Zip: 80202
Contact Person: Dan Peterson	Email: danpeterson@chevron.com	Mobile: ( )

PROJECT, PURPOSE & SITE INFORMATION

PROJECT INFORMATION

Remediation Project #: 23578 Initial Form 27 Document #: 403079506

PURPOSE INFORMATION

- Rule 913.c.(1): Pit or Cuttings Trench closure.
- Rule 913.c.(2): Buried or partially buried vessel closure, which will be by removal.
- Rule 913.c.(3): Remediation of Spill and Releases pursuant to Rule 912.
- Rule 913.c.(4): Land treatment of Oily Waste pursuant to Rule 905.e.
- Rule 913.c.(5): Closure of Centralized E&P Waste Management Facilities pursuant to Rule 907.h.
- Rule 913.c.(6): Remediation of impacted Groundwater pursuant to Rule 915.e.(3).D, and the contaminant concentrations in Table 915-1.
- Rule 913.c.(7): Investigation and remediation of natural gas in soil or Groundwater.
- Rule 913.c.(8): When requested by the Director due to any potential risk to soil, Groundwater, or surface water.
- Rule 913.c.(9): Decommissioning of Oil and Gas Facilities.
- Rule 913.g: Changes of Operator.
- Rule 915.b: Request to leave elevated inorganics in situ.
- Other: \_\_\_\_\_

SITE INFORMATION

Yes Multiple Facilities

Facility Type: _____	Facility ID: _____	API #: _____	County Name: _____
Facility Name: _____	Latitude: _____	Longitude: _____	
** correct Lat/Long if needed: Latitude: _____		Longitude: _____	
QtrQtr: _____	Sec: _____	Twp: _____	Range: _____
		Meridian: _____	Sensitive Area? _____

SITE CONDITIONS

General soil type - USCS Classifications SW Most Sensitive Adjacent Land Use crop  
Is domestic water well within 1/4 mile? Yes Is surface water within 1/4 mile? No  
Is groundwater less than 20 feet below ground surface? No

**Other Potential Receptors within 1/4 mile**

HPH: no, residence ~0.25 mi SW, no surface waters  
No other potential receptors are located within 1/4 mile of the Site.  
Above distances are approximations.

**SITE INVESTIGATION PLAN**

**TYPE OF WASTE:**

- E&P Waste
- Other E&P Waste
- Non-E&P Waste
- Produced Water
- Workover Fluids
- Oil
- Tank Bottoms
- Condensate
- Pigging Waste
- Drilling Fluids
- Rig Wash
- Drill Cuttings
- Spent Filters
- Pit Bottoms
- Other (as described by EPA)

**DESCRIPTION OF IMPACT**

Impacted?	Impacted Media	Extent of Impact	How Determined
UNDETERMINED	GROUNDWATER	NA	Laboratory analysis if encountered
Yes	SOILS	Refer to Tables and Figures	Field Screening and Lab Analysis

**INITIAL ACTION SUMMARY**

Description of initial action or emergency response measures take to abate, investigate, and/or remediate impacts associated with E&P Waste.

Pursuant to ECMC Rule 911 a site investigation was conducted pertaining to the KERBS K20-06 flowline removal. Approximately 718' of flowline was removed. Additionally, soil samples were collected at any points of material change and/or hammer unions, directional changes, as well as at the bell holes on either side of a waterway, AS APPLICABLE to abandonment type. The wellhead was previously cut and capped per ECMC rules.

**PROPOSED SAMPLING PLAN**

**Proposed Soil Sampling**

Will soil samples be collected as part of this investigation? ( Number, type (grab/composite), analyses, and locations of samples ):

A grab soil sample was collected at the base of the excavation or the area showing the highest degree of impact during field screening activities. Additionally, soil samples were collected at any points of material change and/or hammer unions, directional changes, as well as at the bell holes on either side of a waterway. Soil samples were analyzed by a certified laboratory for TPH (total volatile [C6-C10] and extractable [C10-C36] hydrocarbons) organic compounds in soil per ECMC Table 915-1, and EC, SAR, pH, and boron. All samples collected were analyzed by a certified laboratory using approved ECMC laboratory analysis methods.

**Proposed Groundwater Sampling**

Will groundwater samples be collected as part of this investigation? ( Number, analyses, and locations of samples ):

If groundwater is encountered during the site investigation a grab groundwater will be collected and analyzed for full Table 915-1 organic and inorganic constituents in groundwater (Benzene, Toluene, Ethylbenzene, Total Xylenes (BTEX), naphthalene, 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene, 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene, Chloride ion, Sulfate ion and Total Dissolved Solids (TDS).

**Proposed Surface Water Sampling**

Will surface water samples be collected as part of this investigation? ( Number, analyses, and locations of samples ):

**Additional Investigative Actions**

Additional alternative investigative actions described in attached Site Investigation Plan ( summary ):

Visual inspection along the flowline and at the wellhead and separator areas occurred during abandonment activities. Field personnel field screened all disturbed areas using a PID, visual, and olfactory senses to determine if laboratory confirmation sampling was required. The applicable ECMC Closure Checklists were utilized and filled out during the abandonment process. A photolog was attached.

# SITE INVESTIGATION REPORT

## SAMPLE SUMMARY

### Soil

Number of soil samples collected 6

Number of soil samples exceeding 915-1 2

Was the areal and vertical extent of soil contamination delineated? No

Approximate areal extent (square feet) 200

### NA / ND

ND Highest concentration of TPH (mg/kg) \_\_\_\_\_

-- Highest concentration of SAR 3.12

BTEX > 915-1 No

Vertical Extent > 915-1 (in feet) 2

### Groundwater

Number of groundwater samples collected 0

Was extent of groundwater contaminated delineated? Yes

Depth to groundwater (below ground surface, in feet) \_\_\_\_\_

Number of groundwater monitoring wells installed \_\_\_\_\_

Number of groundwater samples exceeding 915-1 \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_ Highest concentration of Benzene (µg/l) \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_ Highest concentration of Toluene (µg/l) \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_ Highest concentration of Ethylbenzene (µg/l) \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_ Highest concentration of Xylene (µg/l) \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_ Highest concentration of Methane (mg/l) \_\_\_\_\_

### Surface Water

0 Number of surface water samples collected

\_\_\_\_\_ Number of surface water samples exceeding 915-1

If surface water is impacted, other agency notification may be required.

## OTHER INVESTIGATION INFORMATION

Were impacts to adjacent property or offsite impacts identified?

Were background samples collected as part of this site investigation?

Four background soil samples were collected from an area not impacted by oil and gas development and at similar depths and lithologies as confirmation soil samples collected at the location and analyzed for Table 915-1 metals and SSR constituents. Background soil sample analytical results were reported with elevated levels of Arsenic (As) and Barium (Ba).

Background Soil Sample Analysis (mg/kg)  
Total As: Max\*1.25 = 3.81  
Total Ba: Max\*1.25 = 108

Was investigation derived waste (IDW) generated as part of this investigation?

Volume of solid waste (cubic yards) \_\_\_\_\_

Volume of liquid waste (barrels) \_\_\_\_\_

Is further site investigation required?

Elevated concentrations of lead and nickel were detected at two discrete locations during the former Kerbs K 20-6 flowline FL01 - 2FT remedial excavation. Soil samples will be resampled and analyzed for the full Table 915-1 analyte suite at the S Wall - 2ft and E Wall - 2ft locations, at the same depth where the initial elevated lead and nickel levels were observed. Additional local background samples will also be collected to support this effort. Noble will request a No Further Action (NFA) determination if the reanalyzed samples meet the Table 915-1 concentration standards. Background samples will be utilized to assess whether elevated concentrations are consistent with native soil conditions.

Alternatively, if the resampled results exceed Table 915-1 standards and elevated concentrations cannot be attributed to natural soil background levels, a minimum of five additional samples will be collected to delineate the extent and magnitude of the contamination.

Noble will then evaluate remedial alternatives to address any in situ elevated metal concentrations that are not attributable to background conditions and exceed the applicable Table 915-1 standards.

Please refer to the attached Site Investigation Plan under document number 404282935 for the proposed resample locations and additional background sampling points.

## REMEDIAL ACTION PLAN

Does this Supplemental Form 27A include changes to a previously approved Remedial Action Plan? No

## SOURCE REMOVAL SUMMARY

Describe how source is to be removed.

The organic compound exceedances observed at sample locations FL01 - 2ft were removed through a remedial excavation. Remedial excavation confirmation soil samples were collected and analyzed for full ECMC Table 915-1 constituents. The impacted soil was disposed of at an approved landfill as non-hazardous waste in accordance with Rules 905 and 906. Copies of the waste manifests are available upon request.

## REMEDIATION SUMMARY

Describe how remediation of existing impacts to soil and groundwater is to be accomplished (i.e. summarize remedial action plan). Provide a brief narrative description including: technical justification, schedule for implementation, estimated time to attain NFA status, plus plans and specifications for the selected remedial action technology.

Laboratory analytical results indicated a historical release at the FL01 - 2ft soil sample location, which was documented as a historic release under Form 19, Document No. 403843595.

To address contamination identified at two feet below ground surface (bgs), impacted soil was excavated, with remedial excavation activities completed on March 24, 2025. Following the excavation, confirmation soil samples were collected and analyzed for constituents listed in ECMC Table 915-1, including both organic parameters and soil suitability indicators for reclamation. Analytes included Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (TPH), BTEX, 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene, 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene, Naphthalene, Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs), pH, Sodium Adsorption Ratio (SAR), Electrical Conductivity (EC), Boron, and Table 915-1 metals. Groundwater was not encountered during excavation.

Analytical results confirmed that all organic petroleum-related constituents and inorganic parameters met the applicable ECMC Protection of Groundwater Soil Screening Levels (PGSSLs) and soil suitability standards for reclamation.

Elevated concentrations of arsenic and barium were observed in both excavation and background samples. Due to similar exceedances in adjacent native soil, these constituents are proposed to be naturally occurring. This determination is supported by evaluating arsenic and barium concentrations against 1.25 times the maximum values detected in local background samples.

Regarding PGSSL exceedances for lead and nickel, Noble proposes to resample the original sidewall locations (S Wall - 2ft and E Wall - 2ft) at the same depths where the initial exceedances were detected. These resamples will be analyzed for the full ECMC Table 915-1 analyte suite.

For additional information regarding the proposed remedial actions and sample locations, please refer to the Site Investigation Report work plan.

## Soil Remediation Summary

In Situ

Ex Situ

<input type="checkbox"/> Bioremediation ( or enhanced bioremediation )	Yes	Excavate and offsite disposal
<input type="checkbox"/> Chemical oxidation		If Yes: Estimated Volume (Cubic Yards) <u>10</u>
<input type="checkbox"/> Air sparge / Soil vapor extraction		Name of Licensed Disposal Facility or ECMC Facility ID # _____
<input type="checkbox"/> Natural Attenuation	No	Excavate and onsite remediation
<input type="checkbox"/> Other _____		Land Treatment
		<input type="checkbox"/> Bioremediation (or enhanced bioremediation)
		<input type="checkbox"/> Chemical oxidation
		<input type="checkbox"/> Other _____

## Groundwater Remediation Summary

No  Bioremediation ( or enhanced bioremediation )

Chemical oxidation

Air sparge / Soil vapor extraction

Natural Attenuation

Other \_\_\_\_\_

## GROUNDWATER MONITORING

If groundwater has been impacted, describe proposed monitoring plan, including # of wells or sample points, monitoring schedule, analytical methods, points of compliance. Attach a groundwater monitoring location diagram.

If groundwater is encountered during additional site assessment activities, a grab groundwater sample will be collected and analyzed for all organic compounds and inorganic parameters per Table 915-1.

# REMEDIATION PROGRESS UPDATE

## PERIODIC REPORTING

### Approved Reporting Schedule:

Quarterly     Semi-Annually     Annually     Other

### Request Alternative Reporting Schedule:

Semi-Annually     Annually     Other

Rule 913.e:

After initial approval of a Form 27, the Operator will provide quarterly update reports in a Supplemental Form 27 to document progress of site investigation and remediation, unless an alternative reporting schedule has been requested by the Operator and approved by the Director. The Director may request a more frequent reporting schedule based on site-specific conditions.

**Report Type:**     Groundwater Monitoring     Land Treatment Progress Report     O&M Report  
 Other Timeline Update

## Adequacy of Operator's General Liability Insurance and Financial Assurance

Describe the adequacy of the Operator's general liability insurance and Financial Assurance to fully address the anticipated costs of Remediation, including the estimated remaining cost for this project (below).

If this information has been provided on a Form 27 within the last 12 months, provide the Document Number of that form.

Noble intends to directly address the costs of remediation at the locations as part of our asset retirement obligation process and operations. Noble has general liability insurance (policy MWZZ316714 and MWZX316724) and financial assurance in compliance with ECMC rules. Records are available on the ECMC's website. The cost for remediation is an estimate only, costs may change upwards or downward based on site-specific information. Noble makes no representation or guarantees as to the accuracy of the estimate.

Operator anticipates the remaining cost for this project to be: \$ 50000

## WASTE DISPOSAL INFORMATION

Was E&P waste generated as part of this remediation? Yes

Describe beneficial use, if any, of E&P Waste derived from this remediation project:

No beneficial use

Volume of E&P Waste (solid) in cubic yards 10

E&P waste (solid) description Hydrocarbon impacted soil

ECMC Disposal Facility ID #, if applicable: \_\_\_\_\_

Non-ECMC Disposal Facility: Buffalo Ridge Landfill in Keenesburg, Colorado

Volume of E&P Waste (liquid) in barrels 0

E&P waste (liquid) description \_\_\_\_\_

ECMC Disposal Facility ID #, if applicable: \_\_\_\_\_

Non-ECMC Disposal Facility: \_\_\_\_\_

## REMEDIATION COMPLETION REPORT

### REMEDIATION COMPLETION SUMMARY

Is this a Final Closure Request for this Remediation Project? No

If YES:

- Compliant with Rule 913.h.(1).  
 Compliant with Rule 913.h.(2).  
 Compliant with Rule 913.h.(3).

Do all soils meet Table 915-1 standards? No

Does the previous reply indicate consideration of background concentrations? \_\_\_\_\_

Does Groundwater meet Table 915-1 standards? Yes

Is additional groundwater monitoring to be conducted? \_\_\_\_\_

Operator shall comply with the ECMC 1000-Series Reclamation Requirements for all impacted and disturbed areas.

## RECLAMATION PLAN

### RECLAMATION PLANNING

Describe reclamation plan. Discuss existing and new grade recontouring; method and testing of compaction alleviation; and reseeding program, including location of new seed, seed mix and noxious weed prevention. Attach diagram or drawing.

Reclamation will be in accordance with ECMC 1000 Series Rules.

Is the described reclamation complete? Yes

Does the reclamation described herein constitute interim or final reclamation of the Oil and Gas Location?

Interim  Final

Did the Surface Owner provide the seed mix? \_\_\_\_\_

If YES, does the seed mix comply with local soil conservation district recommendations? \_\_\_\_\_

Did the local soil conservation district provide the seed mix? \_\_\_\_\_

### SITE RECLAMATION DATES

Proposed date of commencement of Reclamation. 10/31/2025

Proposed date of completion of Reclamation. 06/30/2027

## IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE

Per Rule 913.d.(2): Any change from the approved implementation schedule will be requested at least 14 days in advance, and the Operator may not make the change without the Director's approval.

### PRIOR DATES

Date of Surface Owner notification/consultation, if required. 07/02/2024

Actual Spill or Release date, or date of discovery. 07/02/2024

### SITE INVESTIGATION DATES

Date of Initial Actions described in Site Investigation Plan (start date). 06/07/2024

Proposed site investigation commencement. 06/20/2022

Proposed completion of site investigation. 12/31/2025

### REMEDIAL ACTION DATES

Proposed start date of Remediation. 07/16/2024

Proposed date of completion of Remediation. 03/24/2025

Per Rule 913.d.(2): Any change from the approved implementation schedule will be requested at least 14 days in advance, and the Operator may not make the change without the Director's approval.

Change from approved implementation schedule per Rule 913.d.(2).

Basis for change in implementation schedule:

\_\_\_\_\_

**OPERATOR COMMENT**

This form serves to comply with the Rule 913.e. reporting schedule for the Kerbs K20-6 (REM # 23678). The Operator will complete the additional site investigation as outlined in this proposed Site Investigation Report workplan prior to the Proposed completion of site investigation date detailed in the Implementation schedule. Supplemental Form 27s will be prepared and submitted on a quarterly schedule to provide updates and progress of the remediation until closure criteria has been achieved.

I hereby certify all statements made in this form are to the best of my knowledge true, correct, and complete.

Signed: Jeff Griggs

Title: Consultant

Submit Date: 10/16/2025

Email: jeffg@fremontenv.com

Based on the information provided herein, this Application for Site Investigation and Remediation Workplan complies with ECMC Rules and applicable orders and is hereby approved.

ECMC Approved: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Remediation Project Number: 23578

**COA Type****Description**

0 COA	
-------	--

**ATTACHMENT LIST**

Upon approval, the approved Form 27 and all listed attachments will be indexed to the Remediation Project file. Only the approved Form 27 will also be indexed to the related Facilities.

**Att Doc Num****Name**

404386065	FORM 27-SUPPLEMENTAL-SUBMITTED
-----------	--------------------------------

Total Attach: 1 Files

**General Comments****User Group****Comment****Comment Date**

Environmental	<p>ECMC has denied this form without technical review as Operator has provided no analytical or site investigation data showing progress of remediation of impacts documented at this location.</p> <p>Per Rule 912.a.(1-2): Immediately upon discovering any Spills or Releases of E&amp;P Waste, produced Fluids, or unauthorized Releases of natural gas that meet the criteria of Rules 912.b.(1).H, I, or J, regardless of size or volume, Operators will control and contain the Spill or Release to protect and minimize adverse impacts to public health, safety, welfare, the environment, and wildlife resources. Operators will investigate, clean up, and document impacts resulting from Spills and Releases as soon as the impacts are discovered. Operator shall not delay execution of remedial or investigative actions while waiting for ECMC approval and should request expedited review if necessary.</p> <p>Operator shall conduct work in compliance with previously approved workplans and the 900 Series Rules. In accordanc</p>	10/27/2025
---------------	---	------------

Total: 1 comment(s)