

State of Colorado
Energy & Carbon Management Commission

1120 Lincoln Street, Suite 801, Denver, Colorado 80203
Phone: (303) 894-2100 Fax: (303) 894-2109



Document Number:
404383330
Receive Date:
10/22/2025

Report taken by:
Kilian Collins

Site Investigation and Remediation Workplan (Supplemental Form)

This form shall be submitted to the Director for approval prior to the initiation of site investigation and remediation activities. However, this shall not preclude the Operator from taking immediate action to protect public health or safety, the environment, wildlife, or livestock.

This Form 27 describes site conditions as currently understood by the Operator; approval of this Form 27 by ECMC is based on the site conditions accurately described herein; any changes in site conditions identified during or subsequent to the performance of the approved workplan may necessitate additional investigation or remediation which shall be described on a supplemental Form 27. This Form 27 is intended to provide basic information regarding the proposed site investigation and remediation actions, but the workplan may be more fully described in attached documentation.

Closure request is not available for an Initial Site Investigation and Remediation Workplan.

OPERATOR INFORMATION

| | | |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|
| Name of Operator: NOBLE ENERGY INC | Operator No: 100322 | Phone Numbers |
| Address: 1099 18TH STREET SUITE 1500 | | Phone: (970) 304-5000 |
| City: DENVER | State: CO | Zip: 80202 |
| Contact Person: Dan Peterson | Email: RBUEUF27@chevron.com | Mobile: () |

PROJECT, PURPOSE & SITE INFORMATION

PROJECT INFORMATION

Remediation Project #: 37163 Initial Form 27 Document #: 403815574

PURPOSE INFORMATION

- Rule 913.c.(1): Pit or Cuttings Trench closure.
- Rule 913.c.(2): Buried or partially buried vessel closure, which will be by removal.
- Rule 913.c.(3): Remediation of Spill and Releases pursuant to Rule 912.
- Rule 913.c.(4): Land treatment of Oily Waste pursuant to Rule 905.e.
- Rule 913.c.(5): Closure of Centralized E&P Waste Management Facilities pursuant to Rule 907.h.
- Rule 913.c.(6): Remediation of impacted Groundwater pursuant to Rule 915.e.(3).D, and the contaminant concentrations in Table 915-1.
- Rule 913.c.(7): Investigation and remediation of natural gas in soil or Groundwater.
- Rule 913.c.(8): When requested by the Director due to any potential risk to soil, Groundwater, or surface water.
- Rule 913.c.(9): Decommissioning of Oil and Gas Facilities.
- Rule 913.g: Changes of Operator.
- Rule 915.b: Request to leave elevated inorganics in situ.
- Other: _____

SITE INFORMATION

Yes Multiple Facilities

| | | | |
|--|--------------------|------------------|-----------------------|
| Facility Type: _____ | Facility ID: _____ | API #: _____ | County Name: _____ |
| Facility Name: _____ | Latitude: _____ | Longitude: _____ | |
| ** correct Lat/Long if needed: Latitude: _____ | | Longitude: _____ | |
| QtrQtr: _____ | Sec: _____ | Twp: _____ | Range: _____ |
| | | Meridian: _____ | Sensitive Area? _____ |

SITE CONDITIONS

General soil type - USCS Classifications SW Most Sensitive Adjacent Land Use Grassland
 Is domestic water well within 1/4 mile? Yes Is surface water within 1/4 mile? Yes
 Is groundwater less than 20 feet below ground surface? No

Other Potential Receptors within 1/4 mile

Riverine 0.12mi W
Freshwater Emergent Wetland 0.18mi NE
Farm Structure 0.19mi SE

DENIED

SITE INVESTIGATION PLAN

TYPE OF WASTE:

- | | | |
|--|--|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> E&P Waste | <input type="checkbox"/> Other E&P Waste | <input type="checkbox"/> Non-E&P Waste |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Produced Water | <input type="checkbox"/> Workover Fluids | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Oil | <input type="checkbox"/> Tank Bottoms | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Condensate | <input type="checkbox"/> Pigging Waste | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Drilling Fluids | <input type="checkbox"/> Rig Wash | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Drill Cuttings | <input type="checkbox"/> Spent Filters | |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Pit Bottoms | |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Other (as described by EPA) | |

DESCRIPTION OF IMPACT

| Impacted? | Impacted Media | Extent of Impact | How Determined |
|--------------|----------------|-----------------------------|--|
| UNDETERMINED | GROUNDWATER | NA | Lab Analysis and Field Screening, if encountered |
| Yes | SOILS | Refer to Tables and Figures | Lab Analysis and Field Screening |

INITIAL ACTION SUMMARY

Description of initial action or emergency response measures take to abate, investigate, and/or remediate impacts associated with E&P Waste.

Pursuant to ECMC Rule 911 a site investigation was conducted pertaining to the Howell C32-12 wellhead cut and cap. Wellhead decommissioning activities and confirmation soil sampling at the Howell C32-12 wellhead occurred on October 10, 2024.

The flowline was previously abandoned on 7/23/2018, and the ECMC was notified on Form 44 Document #402060535.

Excavation activities at the wellhead were conducted on April 29, 2025. Supplemental source mass removal activities were initiated via mechanical excavation. Following source mass removal of hydrocarbon impacted soil, confirmation soil samples were collected from the final extents of the excavation. Soil samples were analyzed by a certified laboratory for the full extent of Table 915-1, including but not limited to: TPH (total volatile [C6-C10] and extractable [C10-C36] hydrocarbons) organic compounds in soil per ECMC Table 915-1, and EC, SAR, pH, metals, and boron. All samples collected were analyzed by a certified laboratory using approved ECMC laboratory analysis methods

PROPOSED SAMPLING PLAN

Proposed Soil Sampling

Will soil samples be collected as part of this investigation? (Number, type (grab/composite), analyses, and locations of samples):

A grab soil sample was collected from the area showing the highest degree of impact during field screening activities at the wellhead excavation. Additionally, soil samples were field screened at the N-E-S-W sides of the wellhead. Following source mass removal of hydrocarbon impacted soil, confirmation soil samples were collected from the final extents of the excavation. Soil samples were analyzed by a certified laboratory for the full extent of Table 915-1, including but not limited to: TPH (total volatile [C6-C10] and extractable [C10-C36] hydrocarbons) organic compounds in soil per ECMC Table 915-1, and EC, SAR, pH, metals, and boron. All samples collected were analyzed by a certified laboratory using approved ECMC laboratory analysis methods.

Proposed Groundwater Sampling

Will groundwater samples be collected as part of this investigation? (Number, analyses, and locations of samples):

If groundwater is encountered during the site investigation a grab groundwater sample will be collected and analyzed for all organic compounds and inorganic parameters per ECMC Table 915-1.

Proposed Surface Water Sampling

Will surface water samples be collected as part of this investigation? (Number, analyses, and locations of samples):

Additional Investigative Actions

Additional alternative investigative actions described in attached Site Investigation Plan (summary):

Visual inspection at the wellhead area occurred during abandonment activities. Field personnel field screened all disturbed areas using visual and olfactory senses. Additionally, discrete soil samples were collected from the base of the excavation and excavation sidewall in areas most likely to be impacted and exhibiting the highest field screened VOC concentration. The ECMC Wellhead Closure Checklist was utilized and filled out during the abandonment process. A detailed summary of decommissioning activities, including field notes, site photos, figures, and laboratory analytical results, was attached to Form 27 (404270333).

SITE INVESTIGATION REPORT

SAMPLE SUMMARY

Soil

Number of soil samples collected 5
Number of soil samples exceeding 915-1 1
Was the areal and vertical extent of soil contamination delineated? No
Approximate areal extent (square feet) 100

NA / ND

ND Highest concentration of TPH (mg/kg) _____
-- Highest concentration of SAR 0.563
BTEX > 915-1 No
Vertical Extent > 915-1 (in feet) 8

Groundwater

Number of groundwater samples collected 0
Was extent of groundwater contaminated delineated? Yes
Depth to groundwater (below ground surface, in feet) _____
Number of groundwater monitoring wells installed _____
Number of groundwater samples exceeding 915-1 _____

Highest concentration of Benzene (µg/l) _____
Highest concentration of Toluene (µg/l) _____
Highest concentration of Ethylbenzene (µg/l) _____
Highest concentration of Xylene (µg/l) _____
Highest concentration of Methane (mg/l) _____

Surface Water

0 Number of surface water samples collected
0 Number of surface water samples exceeding 915-1
If surface water is impacted, other agency notification may be required.

OTHER INVESTIGATION INFORMATION

Were impacts to adjacent property or offsite impacts identified?

Were background samples collected as part of this site investigation?

Six background soil samples were collected near the wellhead and analyzed for metals in soil per ECMC Table 915-1, pH, SAR, EC, and boron. The background soil samples were collected from a depth of 6 to 8 feet below ground surface (ft bgs). The lithology between the site and background locations was observed to be poorly graded sands and clayey sands. The maximum background concentration for pH is 9.33. The maximum background concentrations with a 1.25x multiplier applied for arsenic and barium were calculated to be 1.363 mg/kg at 6 and 8 ft bgs and 167.5 mg/kg at 6 and 8 ft bgs, respectively. All pH, arsenic and barium concentrations observed during decommissioning were below background levels. As such, pH, arsenic and barium should be considered resolved. Additional background samples will be collected to determine site specific background concentrations of lead.

Was investigation derived waste (IDW) generated as part of this investigation?

Volume of solid waste (cubic yards) _____ Volume of liquid waste (barrels) _____

Is further site investigation required?

A supplemental site investigation (SSI) will be completed to collect additional background samples to determine if elevated levels of lead are attributed to native soil conditions at the site. The proposed background sample locations were included in the Site Map attached to Form 27 (404270333). Background soil samples will be analyzed by a certified laboratory for analysis of metals per ECMC Table 915-1 and soil suitability parameters including pH, EC, SAR, and boron. The SSI will be completed in accordance with the proposed implementation schedule, and the results of the SSI will be submitted on a subsequent Form 27.

REMEDIAL ACTION PLAN

Does this Supplemental Form 27A include changes to a previously approved Remedial Action Plan? No

SOURCE REMOVAL SUMMARY

Describe how source is to be removed.

On 4/29/2025, 40 cubic yards of impacted material at the wellhead was removed and hauled to a permitted disposal facility, North Weld Landfill. Confirmation samples collected after excavation were analyzed for the full Table 915-1 suite, and results indicated all organic impacts have been removed. Refer to the Remediation Summary and Operator Comment sections for additional work planned to address remaining inorganic impacts.

REMEDICATION SUMMARY

Describe how remediation of existing impacts to soil and groundwater is to be accomplished (i.e. summarize remedial action plan). Provide a brief narrative description including: technical justification, schedule for implementation, estimated time to attain NFA status, plus plans and specifications for the selected remedial action technology.

A supplemental site investigation (SSI) will be completed to collect additional background samples to determine if elevated levels of lead are attributed to native soil conditions at the site. The proposed background sample locations were included in the Site Map attached to Form 27 (404270333). Background soil samples will be analyzed by a certified laboratory for analysis of metals per ECMC Table 915-1 and soil suitability parameters including pH, EC, SAR, and boron. The SSI will be completed in accordance with the proposed implementation schedule, and the results of the SSI will be submitted on a subsequent Form 27.

Soil Remediation Summary

In Situ

Ex Situ

_____ Bioremediation (or enhanced bioremediation)

Yes _____ Excavate and offsite disposal

_____ Chemical oxidation

If Yes: Estimated Volume (Cubic Yards) _____ 40

_____ Air sparge / Soil vapor extraction

Name of Licensed Disposal Facility or ECMC Facility ID # _____

_____ Natural Attenuation

_____ Excavate and onsite remediation

_____ Other _____

_____ Land Treatment

_____ Bioremediation (or enhanced bioremediation)

_____ Chemical oxidation

_____ Other _____

Groundwater Remediation Summary

_____ Bioremediation (or enhanced bioremediation)

_____ Chemical oxidation

_____ Air sparge / Soil vapor extraction

_____ Natural Attenuation

_____ Other _____

GROUNDWATER MONITORING

If groundwater has been impacted, describe proposed monitoring plan, including # of wells or sample points, monitoring schedule, analytical methods, points of compliance. Attach a groundwater monitoring location diagram.

Groundwater was not encountered during site investigation or excavation activities.

REMEDIATION PROGRESS UPDATE

PERIODIC REPORTING

Approved Reporting Schedule:

Quarterly Semi-Annually Annually Other

Request Alternative Reporting Schedule:

Semi-Annually Annually Other

Rule 913.e:

After initial approval of a Form 27, the Operator will provide quarterly update reports in a Supplemental Form 27 to document progress of site investigation and remediation, unless an alternative reporting schedule has been requested by the Operator and approved by the Director. The Director may request a more frequent reporting schedule based on site-specific conditions.

Report Type: Groundwater Monitoring Land Treatment Progress Report O&M Report

Other Decommissioning Sample Summary & Timeline Update

Adequacy of Operator's General Liability Insurance and Financial Assurance

Describe the adequacy of the Operator's general liability insurance and Financial Assurance to fully address the anticipated costs of Remediation, including the estimated remaining cost for this project (below).

If this information has been provided on a Form 27 within the last 12 months, provide the Document Number of that form.

Noble intends to directly address the costs of remediation at the locations as part of our asset retirement obligation process and operations. Noble has general liability insurance (policies MWZZ316714 and MWZX316724) and financial assurance in compliance with ECMC rules. Records are available on the ECMC's website. The cost for remediation is an estimate only, costs may change upwards or downward based on site-specific information. Noble makes no representation or guarantees as to the accuracy of the estimate.

Operator anticipates the remaining cost for this project to be: \$ 50000

WASTE DISPOSAL INFORMATION

Was E&P waste generated as part of this remediation? Yes

Describe beneficial use, if any, of E&P Waste derived from this remediation project:

No beneficial use.

Volume of E&P Waste (solid) in cubic yards 40

E&P waste (solid) description Hydrocarbon impacted soil

ECMC Disposal Facility ID #, if applicable: _____

Non-ECMC Disposal Facility: North Weld Landfill

Volume of E&P Waste (liquid) in barrels 0

E&P waste (liquid) description _____

ECMC Disposal Facility ID #, if applicable: _____

Non-ECMC Disposal Facility: _____

REMEDIATION COMPLETION REPORT

REMEDIATION COMPLETION SUMMARY

Is this a Final Closure Request for this Remediation Project? No

If YES:

Compliant with Rule 913.h.(1).

Compliant with Rule 913.h.(2).

Compliant with Rule 913.h.(3).

Do all soils meet Table 915-1 standards? _____

Does the previous reply indicate consideration of background concentrations? _____

Does Groundwater meet Table 915-1 standards? _____

Is additional groundwater monitoring to be conducted? _____

Operator shall comply with the ECMC 1000-Series Reclamation Requirements for all impacted and disturbed areas.

RECLAMATION PLAN

RECLAMATION PLANNING

Describe reclamation plan. Discuss existing and new grade recontouring; method and testing of compaction alleviation; and reseeded program, including location of new seed, seed mix and noxious weed prevention. Attach diagram or drawing.

Reclamation will be in accordance with ECMC 1000 Series Rules.

Is the described reclamation complete? Yes _____

Does the reclamation described herein constitute interim or final reclamation of the Oil and Gas Location?

Interim Final

Did the Surface Owner provide the seed mix? _____

If YES, does the seed mix comply with local soil conservation district recommendations? _____

Did the local soil conservation district provide the seed mix? _____

SITE RECLAMATION DATES

Proposed date of commencement of Reclamation. 10/10/2024

Proposed date of completion of Reclamation. 10/31/2027

IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE

Per Rule 913.d.(2): Any change from the approved implementation schedule will be requested at least 14 days in advance, and the Operator may not make the change without the Director's approval.

PRIOR DATES

Date of Surface Owner notification/consultation, if required. 10/11/2024

Actual Spill or Release date, or date of discovery. 10/10/2024

SITE INVESTIGATION DATES

Date of Initial Actions described in Site Investigation Plan (start date). 10/10/2024

Proposed site investigation commencement. 12/14/2025

Proposed completion of site investigation. 04/24/2026

REMEDIAL ACTION DATES

Proposed start date of Remediation. 12/14/2025

Proposed date of completion of Remediation. 04/24/2026

Per Rule 913.d.(2): Any change from the approved implementation schedule will be requested at least 14 days in advance, and the Operator may not make the change without the Director's approval.

Change from approved implementation schedule per Rule 913.d.(2).

Basis for change in implementation schedule:

The implementation schedule has been changed due to the decommissioning of the HOWELL C32-12 wellhead and necessity for supplemental site investigation activities adjacent to the wellhead. The proposed site investigation will be completed following the approval of this form.

OPERATOR COMMENT

This Form 27 is being submitted to include a 4Q2025 update for the HOWELL C 32-12 (REM 38205). A supplemental source mass removal (SSMR) was completed to excavate organic impacts and collect additional samples to delineate the historical release. The April 29, 2025, wellhead excavation results were summarized via supplemental Form 27 document number 404270333, which remains "In Process" at the time of this submittal. Based on laboratory analytical results, all organic impacts have been removed at the site.

Six background soil samples were collected near the wellhead and analyzed for metals in soil per ECMC Table 915-1, pH, SAR, EC, and boron. The background soil samples were collected from a depth of 6 to 8 feet below ground surface (ft bgs). The lithology between the site and background locations was observed to be poorly graded sands and clayey sands. The maximum background concentration for pH is 9.33. The maximum background concentrations with a 1.25x multiplier applied for arsenic and barium were calculated to be 1.363 mg/kg at 6 and 8 ft bgs and 167.5 mg/kg at 6 and 8 ft bgs, respectively. All pH, arsenic and barium concentrations observed during decommissioning were below background levels. As such, pH, arsenic and barium should be considered resolved. Additional background samples will be collected to determine site specific background concentrations of lead.

Quarterly reporting will be conducted until closure criteria are achieved for the remediation project. The results of the supplemental site investigation will be submitted on a subsequent Form 27.

I hereby certify all statements made in this form are to the best of my knowledge true, correct, and complete.

Signed: Kayla White, P.E.

Title: Environmental Consultant

Submit Date: 10/22/2025

Email: CVX-PM@cdhconsult.com

Based on the information provided herein, this Application for Site Investigation and Remediation Workplan complies with ECMC Rules and applicable orders and is hereby approved.

ECMC Approved: _____

Date: _____

Remediation Project Number: 37163

COA Type

Description

| | |
|-------|--|
| 0 COA | |
|-------|--|

ATTACHMENT LIST

Upon approval, the approved Form 27 and all listed attachments will be indexed to the Remediation Project file. Only the approved Form 27 will also be indexed to the related Facilities.

Att Doc Num

Name

| | |
|-----------|--------------------------------|
| 404383330 | FORM 27-SUPPLEMENTAL-SUBMITTED |
|-----------|--------------------------------|

Total Attach: 1 Files

General Comments

User Group

Comment

Comment Date

| | | |
|---------------|---|------------|
| Environmental | <p>ECMC has denied this form without technical review as Operator has provided no analytical or site investigation data showing progress of remediation of impacts documented at this location.</p> <p>Per Rule 912.a.(1-2): Immediately upon discovering any Spills or Releases of E&P Waste, produced Fluids, or unauthorized Releases of natural gas that meet the criteria of Rules 912.b.(1).H, I, or J, regardless of size or volume, Operators will control and contain the Spill or Release to protect and minimize adverse impacts to public health, safety, welfare, the environment, and wildlife resources. Operators will investigate, clean up, and document impacts resulting from Spills and Releases as soon as the impacts are discovered. Operator shall not delay execution of remedial or investigative actions while waiting for ECMC approval and should request expedited review if necessary.</p> <p>Operator shall conduct work in compliance with previously approved workplans and the 900 Series Rules. In accordanc</p> | 10/27/2025 |
|---------------|---|------------|

Total: 1 comment(s)