

State of Colorado
Energy & Carbon Management Commission

1120 Lincoln Street, Suite 801, Denver, Colorado 80203
Phone: (303) 894-2100 Fax: (303) 894-2109



Document Number:
404207501
Receive Date:
05/27/2025

Report taken by:
Kilian Collins

Site Investigation and Remediation Workplan (Supplemental Form)

This form shall be submitted to the Director for approval prior to the initiation of site investigation and remediation activities. However, this shall not preclude the Operator from taking immediate action to protect public health or safety, the environment, wildlife, or livestock.

This Form 27 describes site conditions as currently understood by the Operator; approval of this Form 27 by ECMC is based on the site conditions accurately described herein; any changes in site conditions identified during or subsequent to the performance of the approved workplan may necessitate additional investigation or remediation which shall be described on a supplemental Form 27. This Form 27 is intended to provide basic information regarding the proposed site investigation and remediation actions, but the workplan may be more fully described in attached documentation.

Closure request is not available for an Initial Site Investigation and Remediation Workplan.

OPERATOR INFORMATION

Name of Operator: NOBLE ENERGY INC Operator No: 100322 Phone Numbers
Address: 1099 18TH STREET SUITE 1500 Phone: (970) 730-5582
City: DENVER State: CO Zip: 80202 Mobile: ()
Contact Person: Jason Davidson Email: jason.davidson@chevron.com

PROJECT, PURPOSE & SITE INFORMATION

PROJECT INFORMATION

Remediation Project #: 23372 Initial Form 27 Document #: 403065060

PURPOSE INFORMATION

- Rule 913.c.(1): Pit or Cuttings Trench closure.
- Rule 913.c.(2): Buried or partially buried vessel closure, which will be by removal.
- Rule 913.c.(3): Remediation of Spill and Releases pursuant to Rule 912.
- Rule 913.c.(4): Land treatment of Oily Waste pursuant to Rule 905.e.
- Rule 913.c.(5): Closure of Centralized E&P Waste Management Facilities pursuant to Rule 907.h.
- Rule 913.c.(6): Remediation of impacted Groundwater pursuant to Rule 915.e.(3).D, and the contaminant concentrations in Table 915-1.
- Rule 913.c.(7): Investigation and remediation of natural gas in soil or Groundwater.
- Rule 913.c.(8): When requested by the Director due to any potential risk to soil, Groundwater, or surface water.
- Rule 913.c.(9): Decommissioning of Oil and Gas Facilities.
- Rule 913.g: Changes of Operator.
- Rule 915.b: Request to leave elevated inorganics in situ.
- Other:

SITE INFORMATION

No Multiple Facilities

Facility Type: WELL Facility ID: API #: 123-13123 County Name: WELD
Facility Name: ALOYSIUS 34-3 Latitude: 40.274400 Longitude: -104.539410
** correct Lat/Long if needed: Latitude: Longitude:
QtrQtr: NENW Sec: 34 Twp: 4N Range: 64W Meridian: 6 Sensitive Area? Yes

SITE CONDITIONS

General soil type - USCS Classifications SW Most Sensitive Adjacent Land Use Prairie Land
Is domestic water well within 1/4 mile? Yes Is surface water within 1/4 mile? Yes
Is groundwater less than 20 feet below ground surface? No

Other Potential Receptors within 1/4 mile

Riverine 0.12mi N, 0.23mi SE
Tank Battery 0.12mi W
Residential 0.2mi NE
Farm Structures 0.22/0.23/0.24mi NE

SITE INVESTIGATION PLAN

TYPE OF WASTE:

- E&P Waste** **Other E&P Waste** **Non-E&P Waste**
- Produced Water Workover Fluids
- Oil Tank Bottoms
- Condensate Pigging Waste
- Drilling Fluids Rig Wash
- Drill Cuttings Spent Filters
- Pit Bottoms
- Other (as described by EPA)

DESCRIPTION OF IMPACT

Impacted?	Impacted Media	Extent of Impact	How Determined
UNDETERMINED	GROUNDWATER	NA	Lab Analysis or Field Screening, if encountered.
Yes	SOILS	Doc #s 403782442 and 403847548	Lab Analysis and Field Screening

INITIAL ACTION SUMMARY

Description of initial action or emergency response measures take to abate, investigate, and/or remediate impacts associated with E&P Waste.

Pursuant to ECMC Rule 911, a site investigation was conducted pertaining to the ALOYSIUS 34-03 wellhead cut and cap and flowline removal. On 06/11/2024, approximately 752' of flowline was removed. On 5/1/2024, the wellhead was cut and capped per ECMC rules. Additionally, soil samples were collected at points of material change and/or hammer unions, directional changes, as well as at the bell holes on either side of a waterway. Please note that the flowline riser at the separator was sampled during decommissioning of the associated tank battery. Please refer to sample SEP01-FL reported under Remediation No. 35480.

PROPOSED SAMPLING PLAN

Proposed Soil Sampling

Will soil samples be collected as part of this investigation? (Number, type (grab/composite), analyses, and locations of samples):

A grab soil sample was collected at the base of the excavation or the area showing the highest degree of impact during field screening activities at the wellhead excavation. A grab confirmation soil sample was collected at the wellhead excavation. Flowline was removed rather than abandoned and soil samples were collected along flowline path. Soil samples were analyzed by a certified laboratory for TPH (total volatile [C6-C10] and extractable [C10-C36] hydrocarbons) organic compounds in soil per ECMC Table 915-1, and EC, SAR, pH, and boron. All samples collected were analyzed by a certified laboratory using approved ECMC laboratory analysis methods.

Proposed Groundwater Sampling

Will groundwater samples be collected as part of this investigation? (Number, analyses, and locations of samples):

If groundwater is encountered during the site investigation a grab groundwater will be collected and analyzed for all organic compounds per ECMC Table 915-1.

Proposed Surface Water Sampling

Will surface water samples be collected as part of this investigation? (Number, analyses, and locations of samples):

[Empty box for surface water sampling details]

Additional Investigative Actions

Additional alternative investigative actions described in attached Site Investigation Plan (summary):

Visual inspection of the flowline areas area occurred during removal activities. Visual inspection of the wellhead areas occurred during the cut and cap activities. Field personnel field screened all disturbed areas using visual and olfactory senses to determine if laboratory confirmation sampling is required. A detailed summary of decommissioning activities, including field notes, site photos, figures, and laboratory analytical results, were attached to Supplemental Form 27 Document #s 403782442 and 403847548.

SITE INVESTIGATION REPORT

SAMPLE SUMMARY

Soil

Number of soil samples collected 0
Number of soil samples exceeding 915-1 0
Was the areal and vertical extent of soil contamination delineated? No
Approximate areal extent (square feet) _____

NA / ND

ND Highest concentration of TPH (mg/kg) _____
-- Highest concentration of SAR 2.6
BTEX > 915-1 No
Vertical Extent > 915-1 (in feet) _____

Groundwater

Number of groundwater samples collected 0
Was extent of groundwater contaminated delineated? Yes
Depth to groundwater (below ground surface, in feet) _____
Number of groundwater monitoring wells installed _____
Number of groundwater samples exceeding 915-1 _____

NA Highest concentration of Benzene (µg/l) _____
NA Highest concentration of Toluene (µg/l) _____
NA Highest concentration of Ethylbenzene (µg/l) _____
NA Highest concentration of Xylene (µg/l) _____
NA Highest concentration of Methane (mg/l) _____

Surface Water

0 Number of surface water samples collected
____ Number of surface water samples exceeding 915-1
If surface water is impacted, other agency notification may be required.

OTHER INVESTIGATION INFORMATION

Were impacts to adjacent property or offsite impacts identified?

Were background samples collected as part of this site investigation?

Three background soil samples were collected near the flowline and analyzed for metals in soil per ECMC Table 915-1, pH, SAR, EC, and boron. Background soil samples were collected from depths ranging between 0-0.5 and 5 feet below ground surface (ft bgs). The maximum background concentration for pH was observed to be 8.83. The maximum background concentration with a 1.25x multiplier applied for arsenic, barium, and lead were calculated to be 6.55 mg/kg, 284 mg/kg, and 7.05 mg/kg. The maximum background concentration for selenium was observed to be <0.260 mg/kg. All pH and barium concentrations observed during decommissioning were below background levels.

Was investigation derived waste (IDW) generated as part of this investigation?

Volume of solid waste (cubic yards) _____ Volume of liquid waste (barrels) _____

Is further site investigation required?

A supplemental site investigation (SSI) will be completed to vertically and horizontally delineate the lead and selenium compound exceedances observed at the wellhead during decommissioning. Along the flowline, FL01-02 will be resampled for full ECMC Table 915-1 analysis to confirm the elevated arsenic concentration of 10.2 mg/kg observed at this location during decommissioning. A proposed SSI map is attached to previously approved Form 27 (#404046200). During the SSI, soil samples will be collected and analyzed for full ECMC Table 915-1 constituents. Concurrently with the SSI, additional background samples will be collected to determine if elevated arsenic, lead, and selenium are attributed to native soil conditions at the site. The SSI will be completed in accordance with the proposed implementation schedule, and the results of the SSI will be submitted on a subsequent Form 27.

REMEDIAL ACTION PLAN

Does this Supplemental Form 27A include changes to a previously approved Remedial Action Plan? No

SOURCE REMOVAL SUMMARY

Describe how source is to be removed.

No impacted material caused by oil and gas operations was identified at this time.

REMEDIATION SUMMARY

Describe how remediation of existing impacts to soil and groundwater is to be accomplished (i.e. summarize remedial action plan). Provide a brief narrative description including: technical justification, schedule for implementation, estimated time to attain NFA status, plus plans and specifications for the selected remedial action technology.

An SSI will be completed to vertically and horizontally delineate the lead and selenium exceedances observed at the wellhead (sample locations WH01 @6 and FLR01 @4) during the wellhead cut and cap activities. Along the flowline, FL01-02 will be resampled for full ECMC Table 915-1 analysis to confirm the elevated arsenic concentration of 10.2 mg/kg observed during decommissioning. The SSI will be completed in accordance with the attached proposed site investigation map, and proposed sampling plan outlined in the Site Investigation Report section of this Form 27.

Soil Remediation Summary

In Situ

Ex Situ

_____ Bioremediation (or enhanced bioremediation)

_____ Excavate and offsite disposal

_____ Chemical oxidation

_____ If Yes: Estimated Volume (Cubic Yards) _____

_____ Air sparge / Soil vapor extraction

_____ Name of Licensed Disposal Facility or ECMC Facility ID # _____

_____ Natural Attenuation

_____ Excavate and onsite remediation

_____ Other _____

_____ Land Treatment

_____ Bioremediation (or enhanced bioremediation)

_____ Chemical oxidation

_____ Other _____

Groundwater Remediation Summary

_____ Bioremediation (or enhanced bioremediation)

_____ Chemical oxidation

_____ Air sparge / Soil vapor extraction

_____ Natural Attenuation

_____ Other _____

GROUNDWATER MONITORING

If groundwater has been impacted, describe proposed monitoring plan, including # of wells or sample points, monitoring schedule, analytical methods, points of compliance. Attach a groundwater monitoring location diagram.

Groundwater was not encountered during flowline or wellhead decommissioning activities.

REMEDIATION PROGRESS UPDATE

PERIODIC REPORTING

Approved Reporting Schedule:

Quarterly Semi-Annually Annually Other

Request Alternative Reporting Schedule:

Semi-Annually Annually Other

Rule 913.e:

After initial approval of a Form 27, the Operator will provide quarterly update reports in a Supplemental Form 27 to document progress of site investigation and remediation, unless an alternative reporting schedule has been requested by the Operator and approved by the Director. The Director may request a more frequent reporting schedule based on site-specific conditions.

Report Type: Groundwater Monitoring Land Treatment Progress Report O&M Report

Other Quarterly Update

Adequacy of Operator's General Liability Insurance and Financial Assurance

Describe the adequacy of the Operator's general liability insurance and Financial Assurance to fully address the anticipated costs of Remediation, including the estimated remaining cost for this project (below).

If this information has been provided on a Form 27 within the last 12 months, provide the Document Number of that form.

Noble intends to directly address the costs of remediation at the locations as part of our asset retirement obligation process and operations. Noble has general liability insurance (policies MWZZ316714 and MWZX316724) and financial assurance in compliance with ECMC rules. Records are available on the ECMC's website. The cost for remediation is an estimate only, costs may change upwards or downward based on site-specific information. Noble makes no representation or guarantees as to the accuracy of the estimate.

Operator anticipates the remaining cost for this project to be: \$ 50000

WASTE DISPOSAL INFORMATION

Was E&P waste generated as part of this remediation? No

Describe beneficial use, if any, of E&P Waste derived from this remediation project:

Volume of E&P Waste (solid) in cubic yards _____

E&P waste (solid) description _____

ECMC Disposal Facility ID #, if applicable: _____

Non-ECMC Disposal Facility: _____

Volume of E&P Waste (liquid) in barrels _____

E&P waste (liquid) description _____

ECMC Disposal Facility ID #, if applicable: _____

Non-ECMC Disposal Facility: _____

REMEDIATION COMPLETION REPORT

REMEDIATION COMPLETION SUMMARY

Is this a Final Closure Request for this Remediation Project? No

If YES:

Compliant with Rule 913.h.(1).

Compliant with Rule 913.h.(2).

Compliant with Rule 913.h.(3).

Do all soils meet Table 915-1 standards? _____

Does the previous reply indicate consideration of background concentrations? _____

Does Groundwater meet Table 915-1 standards? _____

Is additional groundwater monitoring to be conducted? _____

Operator shall comply with the ECMC 1000-Series Reclamation Requirements for all impacted and disturbed areas.

RECLAMATION PLAN

RECLAMATION PLANNING

Describe reclamation plan. Discuss existing and new grade recontouring; method and testing of compaction alleviation; and reseeding program, including location of new seed, seed mix and noxious weed prevention. Attach diagram or drawing.

Reclamation will be in accordance with ECMC 1000 Series Rules.

Is the described reclamation complete? Yes _____

Does the reclamation described herein constitute interim or final reclamation of the Oil and Gas Location?

Interim Final

Did the Surface Owner provide the seed mix? _____

If YES, does the seed mix comply with local soil conservation district recommendations? _____

Did the local soil conservation district provide the seed mix? _____

SITE RECLAMATION DATES

Proposed date of commencement of Reclamation. 05/01/2025

Proposed date of completion of Reclamation. 01/02/2026

IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE

Per Rule 913.d.(2): Any change from the approved implementation schedule will be requested at least 14 days in advance, and the Operator may not make the change without the Director's approval.

PRIOR DATES

Date of Surface Owner notification/consultation, if required. 05/03/2022

Actual Spill or Release date, or date of discovery. _____

SITE INVESTIGATION DATES

Date of Initial Actions described in Site Investigation Plan (start date). 05/01/2024

Proposed site investigation commencement. 01/02/2025

Proposed completion of site investigation. 07/02/2025

REMEDIAL ACTION DATES

Proposed start date of Remediation. 07/02/2025

Proposed date of completion of Remediation. 01/02/2026

Per Rule 913.d.(2): Any change from the approved implementation schedule will be requested at least 14 days in advance, and the Operator may not make the change without the Director's approval.

Change from approved implementation schedule per Rule 913.d.(2).

Basis for change in implementation schedule:

OPERATOR COMMENT

This form has been submitted to provide a 2Q 2025 update for the Aloysius 34-3 FL site (REM #23372). No work has been completed since previously reported investigation activities. A proposal to delineate the lead and selenium exceedances observed at the wellhead during decommissioning (soil samples WH01 @6 and FLR01 @4), resample soil sample location FL01-02 to confirm elevated arsenic concentrations, and collect additional background samples was presented and approved on previous Form 27 (Document # 404046200).

Pursuant to Rule 913.e., Quarterly reporting will be conducted until closure criteria are achieved for the remediation project. The results of the supplemental site investigation will be submitted on a subsequent Form 27.

I hereby certify all statements made in this form are to the best of my knowledge true, correct, and complete.

Signed: Scott Williamson

Title: Environmental Consultant

Submit Date: 05/27/2025

Email: scottwilliamson@montrose-env.com

Based on the information provided herein, this Application for Site Investigation and Remediation Workplan complies with ECMC Rules and applicable orders and is hereby approved.

ECMC Approved: _____

Date: _____

Remediation Project Number: 23372

COA Type

Description

0 COA	
-------	--

ATTACHMENT LIST

Upon approval, the approved Form 27 and all listed attachments will be indexed to the Remediation Project file. Only the approved Form 27 will also be indexed to the related Facilities.

Att Doc Num

Name

404207501	FORM 27 DENIED
404370384	FORM 27-SUPPLEMENTAL-SUBMITTED

Total Attach: 2 Files

General Comments

User Group

Comment

Comment Date

Environmental	Operator has changed the implementation schedule with no explanation of why.	09/26/2025
---------------	--	------------

Total: 1 comment(s)