

State of Colorado
Energy & Carbon Management Commission

1120 Lincoln Street, Suite 801, Denver, Colorado 80203
Phone: (303) 894-2100 Fax: (303) 894-2109



Document Number:
404243115
Receive Date:
06/20/2025
Report taken by:
Taylor Robinson

Site Investigation and Remediation Workplan (Supplemental Form)

This form shall be submitted to the Director for approval prior to the initiation of site investigation and remediation activities. However, this shall not preclude the Operator from taking immediate action to protect public health or safety, the environment, wildlife, or livestock.

This Form 27 describes site conditions as currently understood by the Operator; approval of this Form 27 by ECOM is based on the site conditions accurately described herein; any changes in site conditions identified during or subsequent to the performance of the approved workplan may necessitate additional investigation or remediation which shall be described on a supplemental Form 27. This Form 27 is intended to provide basic information regarding the proposed site investigation and remediation actions, but the workplan may be more fully described in attached documentation.

Closure request is not available for an Initial Site Investigation and Remediation Workplan.

OPERATOR INFORMATION

Name of Operator: <u>KERR MCGEE OIL & GAS ONSHORE LP</u>	Operator No: <u>47120</u>	Phone Numbers
Address: <u>P O BOX 173779</u>		Phone: <u>(713) 350-4906</u>
City: <u>DENVER</u>	State: <u>CO</u>	Zip: <u>80217-3779</u>
Contact Person: <u>Ariana Ochoa</u>	Email: <u>DJRemediation_Forms@oxy.com</u>	Mobile: <u>()</u>

PROJECT, PURPOSE & SITE INFORMATION

PROJECT INFORMATION

Remediation Project #: 36276 Initial Form 27 Document #: 403838897

PURPOSE INFORMATION

- Rule 913.c.(1): Pit or Cuttings Trench closure.
- Rule 913.c.(2): Buried or partially buried vessel closure, which will be by removal.
- Rule 913.c.(3): Remediation of Spill and Releases pursuant to Rule 912.
- Rule 913.c.(4): Land treatment of Oily Waste pursuant to Rule 905.e.
- Rule 913.c.(5): Closure of Centralized E&P Waste Management Facilities pursuant to Rule 907.h.
- Rule 913.c.(6): Remediation of impacted Groundwater pursuant to Rule 915.e.(3).D, and the contaminant concentrations in Table 915-1.
- Rule 913.c.(7): Investigation and remediation of natural gas in soil or Groundwater.
- Rule 913.c.(8): When requested by the Director due to any potential risk to soil, Groundwater, or surface water.
- Rule 913.c.(9): Decommissioning of Oil and Gas Facilities.
- Rule 913.g: Changes of Operator.
- Rule 915.b: Request to leave elevated inorganics in situ.
- Other: _____

SITE INFORMATION

No Multiple Facilities

Facility Type: <u>TANK BATTERY</u>	Facility ID: <u>487127</u>	API #: _____	County Name: <u>WELD</u>
Facility Name: <u>KERN UPRR 31-5 2 Facility TB</u>	Latitude: <u>40.260329</u>	Longitude: <u>-104.799285</u>	
** correct Lat/Long if needed: Latitude: _____		Longitude: _____	
QtrQtr: <u>NWNE</u>	Sec: <u>5</u>	Twp: <u>3N</u>	Range: <u>66W</u>
Meridian: <u>6</u>	Sensitive Area? <u>Yes</u>		

SITE CONDITIONS

General soil type - USCS Classifications SM Most Sensitive Adjacent Land Use Crop land
 Is domestic water well within 1/4 mile? Yes Is surface water within 1/4 mile? Yes
 Is groundwater less than 20 feet below ground surface? Yes

Other Potential Receptors within 1/4 mile

Multiple buildings and livestock holding pens are located within ¼ mile of the facility.
A building is located approximately 1150 feet northeast of the facility.
The nearest domestic water well is located approximately 410 feet to the northeast of the facility.
Surface water is located approximately 1020 feet to the northeast of the facility.
An area with wetland characteristics is located approximately 810 feet northeast of the facility.

SITE INVESTIGATION PLAN

TYPE OF WASTE:

- | | | |
|----------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> E&P Waste | <input type="checkbox"/> Other E&P Waste | <input type="checkbox"/> Non-E&P Waste |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Produced Water | <input type="checkbox"/> Workover Fluids | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Oil | <input type="checkbox"/> Tank Bottoms | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Condensate | <input type="checkbox"/> Pigging Waste | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Drilling Fluids | <input type="checkbox"/> Rig Wash | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Drill Cuttings | <input type="checkbox"/> Spent Filters | |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Pit Bottoms | |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Other (as described by EPA) | |

DESCRIPTION OF IMPACT

Impacted?	Impacted Media	Extent of Impact	How Determined
No	SOILS	N/A	Inspection/soil samples/laboratory analytical results

INITIAL ACTION SUMMARY

Description of initial action or emergency response measures take to abate, investigate, and/or remediate impacts associated with E&P Waste.

Decommissioning activities were completed at the Kern UPRR 31-5 2 facility on January 9 and January 13, 2025. Groundwater was not encountered during decommissioning activities. Visual inspection and field screening of soil at one aboveground storage tank (AST), one produced water vessel (PWV), one separator, one meter house, and one emission control device (ECD) were conducted following removal activities. Soil samples (AST01@0.5', PWVB01@6', PWV-N01@3', SEP01-INLET@4', and SEP01-OUTLET@4') were submitted for analysis of full list Table 915-1 constituents to determine if a release occurred. Initial laboratory analytical results indicated that pH and arsenic impacts exceeding the Table 915-1 allowable levels and background levels are present at the AST01@0.5' location. A verification sample was collected at the AST01 location to confirm the initial results. Final laboratory analytical results indicated all samples collected during facility decommissioning were within the Table 915-1 allowable levels or background levels. The facility is depicted on Figure 1. The PID readings and soil sample results are summarized in Tables 1 and 2, respectively.

PROPOSED SAMPLING PLAN

Proposed Soil Sampling

Will soil samples be collected as part of this investigation? (Number, type (grab/composite), analyses, and locations of samples):

Between January 9 and January 13, 2025, soil samples (AST01@0.5', PWV-B01@6', PWV-N01@3', SEP01-INLET@4', and SEP01-OUTLET@4') were collected at depths ranging from 0.5 ft below ground surface (bgs) to 6 ft bgs. The samples were submitted for analysis of full list Table 915-1 constituents, using ECMC-approved methods. Initial laboratory analytical results indicated that pH and arsenic impacts exceeding the Table 915-1 allowable levels and background levels are present at the AST01 location. A verification sample was collected to confirm the initial results. Final laboratory analytical results indicated all samples collected during facility decommissioning were within the Table 915-1 allowable levels or background levels. The laboratory reports are attached.

Proposed Groundwater Sampling

Will groundwater samples be collected as part of this investigation? (Number, analyses, and locations of samples):

Groundwater was not encountered during facility decommissioning activities.

Proposed Surface Water Sampling

Will surface water samples be collected as part of this investigation? (Number, analyses, and locations of samples):

Additional Investigative Actions

Additional alternative investigative actions described in attached Site Investigation Plan (summary):

On 1/13/2025, visual inspections and field screening of soil was conducted at the base and loadout of the AST, three sidewalls of the PWV excavation, one ECD, and one meter house. Based on the inspection and screening results, hydrocarbon-impacted soil was not observed at the soil screening locations. As a result, no soil samples were submitted for laboratory analysis from these areas in accordance with the ECMC Operator Guidance for Oil & Gas Facility Closure document. A photographic log is attached.

SITE INVESTIGATION REPORT

SAMPLE SUMMARY

Soil

Number of soil samples collected 8
Number of soil samples exceeding 915-1 7
Was the areal and vertical extent of soil contamination delineated? Yes
Approximate areal extent (square feet) 0

NA / ND

ND Highest concentration of TPH (mg/kg) _____
-- Highest concentration of SAR 2.97
BTEX > 915-1 No
Vertical Extent > 915-1 (in feet) 0

Groundwater

Number of groundwater samples collected 0
Was extent of groundwater contaminated delineated? Yes
Depth to groundwater (below ground surface, in feet) _____
Number of groundwater monitoring wells installed _____
Number of groundwater samples exceeding 915-1 _____

Highest concentration of Benzene (µg/l) _____
Highest concentration of Toluene (µg/l) _____
Highest concentration of Ethylbenzene (µg/l) _____
Highest concentration of Xylene (µg/l) _____
Highest concentration of Methane (mg/l) _____

Surface Water

0 Number of surface water samples collected
_____ Number of surface water samples exceeding 915-1
If surface water is impacted, other agency notification may be required.

OTHER INVESTIGATION INFORMATION

Were impacts to adjacent property or offsite impacts identified?

Were background samples collected as part of this site investigation?

One background soil sample (TB-BG01@0.5') was collected from the soil used to construct the tank battery. Six background soil samples were collected from the native material outside of the facility excavations. Twelve background samples were also collected as part of the Kern UPRR 31-5 2 wellhead decommissioning activities (Rem# 36012), located approximately 300 ft south, from similar depths (3' & 6' bgs), & NRCS soil type (Sandy Loam). Background soil samples were submitted for laboratory analysis of pH, electrical conductivity (EC), sodium adsorption ratio (SAR), boron & Table 915-1 metals using ECMC-approved methods. Analytical results indicate that arsenic is naturally high in the soil used to construct the tank battery & SAR, pH, arsenic, barium, & hexavalent chromium are naturally high in the native soil. The background soil sample analytical results are summarized in Table 2. The background soil sample locations are illustrated on Figures 1 & 2.

Was investigation derived waste (IDW) generated as part of this investigation?

Volume of solid waste (cubic yards) _____ Volume of liquid waste (barrels) _____

Is further site investigation required?

REMEDIAL ACTION PLAN

Does this Supplemental Form 27A include changes to a previously approved Remedial Action Plan? No

SOURCE REMOVAL SUMMARY

Describe how source is to be removed.

Laboratory data indicate that soil samples collected during facility decommissioning activities were within the ECMC Table 915-1 allowable levels or within background levels; therefore, no soil was removed from the site during decommissioning activities. The excavation areas were backfilled and contoured to match pre-existing conditions.

REMEDIAL ACTION SUMMARY

Describe how remediation of existing impacts to soil and groundwater is to be accomplished (i.e. summarize remedial action plan). Provide a brief narrative description including: technical justification, schedule for implementation, estimated time to attain NFA status, plus plans and specifications for the selected remedial action technology.

Laboratory data indicate that soil samples collected during facility decommissioning activities were within the ECMC Table 915-1 allowable levels or within background levels. Groundwater was not encountered in the facility excavations. Based on the analytical and soil screening data presented herein, assessment is complete at this site and no further activities are required. As such, KMOG is requesting a No Further Action (NFA) determination for this location.

Soil Remediation Summary

In Situ

- _____ Bioremediation (or enhanced bioremediation)
- _____ Chemical oxidation
- _____ Air sparge / Soil vapor extraction
- _____ Natural Attenuation
- _____ Other _____

Ex Situ

- _____ Excavate and offsite disposal
- _____ If Yes: Estimated Volume (Cubic Yards) _____
- _____ Name of Licensed Disposal Facility or ECMC Facility ID # _____
- _____ Excavate and onsite remediation
- _____ Land Treatment
- _____ Bioremediation (or enhanced bioremediation)
- _____ Chemical oxidation
- _____ Other _____

Groundwater Remediation Summary

- _____ Bioremediation (or enhanced bioremediation)
- _____ Chemical oxidation
- _____ Air sparge / Soil vapor extraction
- _____ Natural Attenuation
- _____ Other _____

GROUNDWATER MONITORING

If groundwater has been impacted, describe proposed monitoring plan, including # of wells or sample points, monitoring schedule, analytical methods, points of compliance. Attach a groundwater monitoring location diagram.

REMEDATION PROGRESS UPDATE

PERIODIC REPORTING

Approved Reporting Schedule:

Quarterly Semi-Annually Annually Other

Request Alternative Reporting Schedule:

Semi-Annually Annually Other

Rule 913.e:

After initial approval of a Form 27, the Operator will provide quarterly update reports in a Supplemental Form 27 to document progress of site investigation and remediation, unless an alternative reporting schedule has been requested by the Operator and approved by the Director. The Director may request a more frequent reporting schedule based on site-specific conditions.

Report Type: Groundwater Monitoring Land Treatment Progress Report O&M Report
 Other NFA Status Request

Adequacy of Operator's General Liability Insurance and Financial Assurance

Describe the adequacy of the Operator's general liability insurance and Financial Assurance to fully address the anticipated costs of Remediation, including the estimated remaining cost for this project (below).

If this information has been provided on a Form 27 within the last 12 months, provide the Document Number of that form.

KMOG has sufficient insurance and bonding to fully address the anticipated costs of Remediation, including the remaining estimated costs for this project. KMOG currently has over 40 million in bonds with the Energy and Carbon Management Commission. The cost for remediation is a preliminary estimate only, costs may change upwards or downward based on site-specific information. KMOG makes no representation or guarantees as to the accuracy of the preliminary estimate.

Operator anticipates the remaining cost for this project to be: \$ 0

WASTE DISPOSAL INFORMATION

Was E&P waste generated as part of this remediation? No

Describe beneficial use, if any, of E&P Waste derived from this remediation project:

Volume of E&P Waste (solid) in cubic yards _____

E&P waste (solid) description _____

ECMC Disposal Facility ID #, if applicable: _____

Non-ECMC Disposal Facility: _____

Volume of E&P Waste (liquid) in barrels _____

E&P waste (liquid) description _____

ECMC Disposal Facility ID #, if applicable: _____

Non-ECMC Disposal Facility: _____

REMEDATION COMPLETION REPORT

REMEDATION COMPLETION SUMMARY

Is this a Final Closure Request for this Remediation Project? Yes

If YES:

- Compliant with Rule 913.h.(1).
- Compliant with Rule 913.h.(2).
- Compliant with Rule 913.h.(3).

Do all soils meet Table 915-1 standards? Yes

Does the previous reply indicate consideration of background concentrations? Yes

Does Groundwater meet Table 915-1 standards? Yes

Is additional groundwater monitoring to be conducted? _____

Operator shall comply with the ECMC 1000-Series Reclamation Requirements for all impacted and disturbed areas.

RECLAMATION PLAN

RECLAMATION PLANNING

Describe reclamation plan. Discuss existing and new grade recontouring; method and testing of compaction alleviation; and reseeding program, including location of new seed, seed mix and noxious weed prevention. Attach diagram or drawing.

The site will be reclaimed in accordance with ECMC 1000 Series Reclamation Rules. Timeliness of reclamation initiation and completion will be subject to NFA, surface owner discretion and land use, and suitable ground conditions which allow for execution of surface reclamation activities so as to not cause unwarranted damages.

Is the described reclamation complete? No

Does the reclamation described herein constitute interim or final reclamation of the Oil and Gas Location?

Interim Final

Did the Surface Owner provide the seed mix? Yes

If YES, does the seed mix comply with local soil conservation district recommendations? Yes

Did the local soil conservation district provide the seed mix? No

SITE RECLAMATION DATES

Proposed date of commencement of Reclamation. 06/17/2025

Proposed date of completion of Reclamation. 06/17/2026

IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE

Per Rule 913.d.(2): Any change from the approved implementation schedule will be requested at least 14 days in advance, and the Operator may not make the change without the Director's approval.

PRIOR DATES

Date of Surface Owner notification/consultation, if required. 05/29/2024

Actual Spill or Release date, or date of discovery. _____

SITE INVESTIGATION DATES

Date of Initial Actions described in Site Investigation Plan (start date). 01/09/2025

Proposed site investigation commencement. 01/09/2025

Proposed completion of site investigation. 01/22/2025

REMEDIAL ACTION DATES

Proposed start date of Remediation. _____

Proposed date of completion of Remediation. _____

Per Rule 913.d.(2): Any change from the approved implementation schedule will be requested at least 14 days in advance, and the Operator may not make the change without the Director's approval.

Change from approved implementation schedule per Rule 913.d.(2).

Basis for change in implementation schedule:

OPERATOR COMMENT

Based on analytical and soil screening data provided herein, assessment is complete and Kerr-McGee is requesting an NFA determination for this location.

I hereby certify all statements made in this form are to the best of my knowledge true, correct, and complete.

Signed: Ariana Ochoa

Title: Sr. HSE Advisor

Submit Date: 06/20/2025

Email: DJRemediation_Forms@oxy.com

Based on the information provided herein, this Application for Site Investigation and Remediation Workplan complies with ECMC Rules and applicable orders and is hereby approved.

ECMC Approved: Taylor Robinson

Date: 09/24/2025

Remediation Project Number: 36276

COA Type**Description**

	<p>Based on the information presented, it appears that no further action is necessary at this time and the ECMC approves the closure request. However, if future conditions at the site indicate contaminant concentrations in soils exceeding ECMC standards or if groundwater is found to be impacted, then further investigation and/or remediation activities may be required.</p> <p>The surface area disturbed by the remediation activity shall be reclaimed in accordance with the 1000 Series Reclamation Rules. For locations with active ongoing oil and gas operations, comply with Rule 1003 interim reclamation requirements and for locations that will no longer have active oil and gas operations, comply with Rule 1004 Final Reclamation requirements.</p>
1 COA	

ATTACHMENT LIST

Upon approval, the approved Form 27 and all listed attachments will be indexed to the Remediation Project file. Only the approved Form 27 will also be indexed to the related Facilities.

Att Doc Num**Name**

404243115	INVESTIGATION/REMEDATION WORKPLAN (SUPPLEMENTAL)
404243118	PHOTO DOCUMENTATION
404243119	LABORATORY ANALYTICAL REPORT
404243120	LABORATORY ANALYTICAL REPORT
404243121	LABORATORY ANALYTICAL REPORT
404243122	LABORATORY ANALYTICAL REPORT
404243123	LABORATORY ANALYTICAL REPORT
404243124	SOIL SAMPLE LOCATION MAP
404245464	SOIL SAMPLE LOCATION MAP
404245465	ANALYTICAL DATA SUMMARY TABLE(S)
404366280	FORM 27-SUPPLEMENTAL-SUBMITTED

Total Attach: 11 Files

General Comments**User Group****Comment****Comment Date**

		Stamp Upon Approval
--	--	---------------------

Total: 0 comment(s)