

State of Colorado
Energy & Carbon Management Commission

1120 Lincoln Street, Suite 801, Denver, Colorado 80203
Phone: (303) 894-2100 Fax: (303) 894-2109



Document Number:
404320564

Receive Date:

Report taken by:

Site Investigation and Remediation Workplan (Supplemental Form)

This form shall be submitted to the Director for approval prior to the initiation of site investigation and remediation activities. However, this shall not preclude the Operator from taking immediate action to protect public health or safety, the environment, wildlife, or livestock.

This Form 27 describes site conditions as currently understood by the Operator; approval of this Form 27 by ECMC is based on the site conditions accurately described herein; any changes in site conditions identified during or subsequent to the performance of the approved workplan may necessitate additional investigation or remediation which shall be described on a supplemental Form 27.

This Form 27 is intended to provide basic information regarding the proposed site investigation and remediation actions, but the workplan may be more fully described in attached documentation.

Closure request is not available for an Initial Site Investigation and Remediation Workplan.

OPERATOR INFORMATION

Name of Operator: KERR MCGEE OIL & GAS ONSHORE LP	Operator No: 47120	Phone Numbers
Address: P O BOX 173779		Phone: (720) 929-4307
City: DENVER	State: CO	Zip: 80217-3779
Contact Person: Max Moran		Mobile: ()
		Email: DJRemediation_Forms@oxy.com

PROJECT, PURPOSE & SITE INFORMATION

PROJECT INFORMATION

Remediation Project #: 32965 Initial Form 27 Document #: 403596886

PURPOSE INFORMATION

- Rule 913.c.(1): Pit or Cuttings Trench closure.
- Rule 913.c.(2): Buried or partially buried vessel closure, which will be by removal.
- Rule 913.c.(3): Remediation of Spill and Releases pursuant to Rule 912.
- Rule 913.c.(4): Land treatment of Oily Waste pursuant to Rule 905.e.
- Rule 913.c.(5): Closure of Centralized E&P Waste Management Facilities pursuant to Rule 907.h.
- Rule 913.c.(6): Remediation of impacted Groundwater pursuant to Rule 915.e.(3).D, and the contaminant concentrations in Table 915-1.
- Rule 913.c.(7): Investigation and remediation of natural gas in soil or Groundwater.
- Rule 913.c.(8): When requested by the Director due to any potential risk to soil, Groundwater, or surface water.
- Rule 913.c.(9): Decommissioning of Oil and Gas Facilities.
- Rule 913.g: Changes of Operator.
- Rule 915.b: Request to leave elevated inorganics in situ.
- Other: _____

SITE INFORMATION

Yes Multiple Facilities

Facility Type: LOCATION	Facility ID: 446172	API #: _____	County Name: WELD
Facility Name: GNB W 31-3,4,5J-6 O SA 36159169	Latitude: 40.098289	Longitude: -104.826068	
** correct Lat/Long if needed: Latitude: _____		Longitude: _____	
QtrQtr: SWNW	Sec: 31	Twp: 2N	Range: 66W Meridian: 6 Sensitive Area? Yes

Facility Type: SPILL OR RELEASE	Facility ID: 486351	API #: _____	County Name: WELD
Facility Name: GNB W 31-3,4,5J,6 Facility	Latitude: 40.098390	Longitude: -104.826120	
** correct Lat/Long if needed: Latitude: _____		Longitude: _____	
QtrQtr: SWNW	Sec: 31	Twp: 2N	Range: 66W Meridian: 6 Sensitive Area? Yes

SITE CONDITIONS

General soil type - USCS Classifications SM

Most Sensitive Adjacent Land Use Surface Water

Is domestic water well within 1/4 mile? Yes

Is surface water within 1/4 mile? Yes

Is groundwater less than 20 feet below ground surface? Yes

Other Potential Receptors within 1/4 mile

Retention pond 980 feet (ft) north, 1,020 northeast, and 1,240 ft northwest. Irrigation ditch 930 ft southeast. South Platte River 1,080 ft southeast. Water well 710 ft northwest. This site is located within a Mule Deer Migration Corridor High Priority Habitat (HPH). This site is located within 1/4-mile of a Mule Deer Severe Winter Range HPH.

SITE INVESTIGATION PLAN

TYPE OF WASTE:

- E&P Waste Other E&P Waste Non-E&P Waste
- Produced Water Workover Fluids
- Oil Tank Bottoms
- Condensate Pigging Waste
- Drilling Fluids Rig Wash
- Drill Cuttings Spent Filters
- Pit Bottoms
- Other (as described by EPA)

DESCRIPTION OF IMPACT

Impacted?	Impacted Media	Extent of Impact	How Determined
Yes	GROUNDWATER	TBD	Groundwater Samples/Laboratory Analytical Results
Yes	SOILS	TBD	Soil Samples/Laboratory Analytical Results

INITIAL ACTION SUMMARY

Description of initial action or emergency response measures take to abate, investigate, and/or remediate impacts associated with E&P Waste.

Decommissioning activities were completed at the GNB W 31-3,4,5J,6 Facility & associated sales line & flowline between 2/27 & 4/11/2024. At the facility, visual inspection & field screening of soil at one aboveground storage tank (AST), one produced water vessel (PWV), one pothole location, & one separator were conducted following removal activities, & soil samples (AST01@0.5', PWV-B01@5', PWV-S01@3', FL01@5', SEP01-INLET@4', & SEP01-OUTLET@4') were submitted for analysis of full list Table 915-1 constituents to determine if a release occurred. Visual inspection and field screening of soils around the flowline & sales line were conducted following removal, & soil samples were collected from the locations where the flowline & sales line risers were disconnected from the separator (FL02@5' & FL03@5'), where the flowline & sales line turned at sharp angles (FL04@4', FL05@4', & FL10@3'), from where groundwater was present in the flowline & sales line potholes (FL06@5', FL07@4', FL08@3', & FL09@2'), & where the flowline & sales lines were previously cut & capped (FL11@3'). Initial lab analytical results indicated that total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPH), benzene, naphthalene, fluorene, 1- & 2-methylnaphthalene, sodium adsorption ratio (SAR), pH, boron, cadmium, hexavalent chromium, lead, &/or selenium impacts exceeding the ECMC Table 915-1 allowable levels & site-specific background levels were present at the AST, PWV, FL01, FL04, FL06, FL07, & FL09 locations. Verification samples were collected to confirm the initial results. Final results confirmed that impacts were present at the AST, PWV, FL01, FL02, FL03, FL04, and FL05 locations. As such, a Form 19 Spill Report (Doc# 403702300) was submitted on 3/7/2024, & the ECMC issued Spill ID 486351.

Assessment activities are ongoing.

PROPOSED SAMPLING PLAN

Proposed Soil Sampling

Will soil samples be collected as part of this investigation? (Number, type (grab/composite), analyses, and locations of samples):

Between 2/27 & 4/17/2023, excavation activities were conducted to address the soil impacts at the AST, PWV & FL01 locations. Confirmation soil samples were collected from the base & sidewalls of the excavation at depths ranging from 3 to 6 ft bgs. The samples were submitted for analysis of the site-specific waste profile, including TPH, benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, xylenes (BTEX), 1,2,4- and 1,3,5-trimethylbenzenes (TMBs), polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), SAR, pH, boron, &/or select Table 915-1 metals, using ECMC-approved methods. Final results indicated SAR, pH, and boron impacts above the ECMC Table 915-1 allowable levels & site-specific background levels, remain in the combined AST, PWV, & FL01 excavation. Assessment activities are ongoing.

Proposed Groundwater Sampling

Will groundwater samples be collected as part of this investigation? (Number, analyses, and locations of samples):

Between March 27 & April 11, 2024, seven groundwater samples (GW01, GW02, FL04-GW, FL05-GW, FL06-GW, FL08-GW, & FL09-GW) were collected from the combined AST, PWV, & pothole excavation, and the flowline & sales line potholes. Samples were submitted for laboratory analysis of full list Table 915-1 constituents in groundwater. Two background groundwater samples (BG02-GW & BG03-GW) were collected & submitted for total dissolved solids (TDS), chloride ion, & sulfate ion parameters. Results indicate that sulfate impacts exceeding the Table 915-1 allowable levels are present in groundwater at the GW02 & FL04 locations.

Proposed Surface Water Sampling

Will surface water samples be collected as part of this investigation? (Number, analyses, and locations of samples):

Additional Investigative Actions

Additional alternative investigative actions described in attached Site Investigation Plan (summary):

Between February 27 and April 11, 2024, visual inspections and field screening of soil were conducted at the hatch and loadout of the AST, three sidewalls of the PWV excavation, the dumpline for the PWV, and six flowline & sales line potholes. Based on the inspection and screening results, hydrocarbon-impacted soils were not observed at the soil screening locations. As a result, no soil samples were submitted for laboratory analysis from these areas in accordance with the ECOM Operator Guidance for Oil & Gas Facility Closure document.

SITE INVESTIGATION REPORT

SAMPLE SUMMARY

Soil	NA / ND
Number of soil samples collected <u>56</u>	-- Highest concentration of TPH (mg/kg) <u>6270</u>
Number of soil samples exceeding 915-1 <u>48</u>	-- Highest concentration of SAR <u>30.8</u>
Was the areal and vertical extent of soil contamination delineated? <u>Yes</u>	BTEX > 915-1 <u>Yes</u>
Approximate areal extent (square feet) <u>8687</u>	Vertical Extent > 915-1 (in feet) <u>6</u>
Groundwater	
Number of groundwater samples collected <u>7</u>	-- Highest concentration of Benzene (µg/l) <u>1.14</u>
Was extent of groundwater contaminated delineated? <u>No</u>	-- Highest concentration of Toluene (µg/l) <u>25.7</u>
Depth to groundwater (below ground surface, in feet) <u>2</u>	-- Highest concentration of Ethylbenzene (µg/l) <u>2.07</u>
Number of groundwater monitoring wells installed <u>0</u>	-- Highest concentration of Xylene (µg/l) <u>30.6</u>
Number of groundwater samples exceeding 915-1 <u>2</u>	NA Highest concentration of Methane (mg/l) _____
Surface Water	
<u>0</u> Number of surface water samples collected	
<u> </u> Number of surface water samples exceeding 915-1	
If surface water is impacted, other agency notification may be required.	

OTHER INVESTIGATION INFORMATION

Were impacts to adjacent property or offsite impacts identified?

Were background samples collected as part of this site investigation?

One tank battery background soil sample (TB-BG01@0.5') was collected from the soil used to construct the tank battery. Four native background soil samples (NATIVE-BG02@3', NATIVE-BG03@3', NATIVE-BG02@6', & NATIVE-BG03@6') were collected from native material adjacent to the facility excavation. Twelve background soil samples were collected during the GNB W 31-3 cut & cap activities (Rem# 32961), located 1,000 ft northeast, from similar depths (3-6 ft bgs), and NRCS soil type (aquolls and aquents). The background samples were submitted for lab analysis of pH, electrical conductivity(EC), SAR, boron, & Table 915-1 metals. Results indicate that arsenic & barium are naturally high in the soil used to construct the tank battery & pH, SAR, boron, arsenic, barium, cadmium, lead, & selenium are naturally high in the native soil.

Was investigation derived waste (IDW) generated as part of this investigation?

Volume of solid waste (cubic yards) _____ Volume of liquid waste (barrels) _____

Is further site investigation required?

Soil assessment activities are ongoing and will be summarized in a subsequent Form 27 Supplemental report.

Monitoring wells will be installed to delineate the sulfate exceedances and verify that no organic constituents exceeding the Table 915-1 allowable levels are present. The monitoring well installation scope of work will be submitted in a subsequent Form 27 Supplemental report following confirmation of completion of soil assessment activities.

REMEDIAL ACTION PLAN

Does this Supplemental Form 27A include changes to a previously approved Remedial Action Plan? No

SOURCE REMOVAL SUMMARY

Describe how source is to be removed.

Approximately 7,100 bbls of impacted groundwater were removed from the site and transported to the Aggregate Recycle Facility in Weld County, Colorado for recycling. Approximately 9 cubic yards of hydro-excavation soil slurry were removed from the site and transported to the Aggregate Recycle Facility in Weld County, Colorado for recycling. Approximately 4,760 cubic yards of impacted soil were removed from the site and transported to the Front Range Landfill in Erie, Colorado for disposal. Disposal records are kept on file and are available upon request. The excavation areas were backfilled and contoured to match pre-existing conditions.

REMEDIATION SUMMARY

Describe how remediation of existing impacts to soil and groundwater is to be accomplished (i.e. summarize remedial action plan). Provide a brief narrative description including: technical justification, schedule for implementation, estimated time to attain NFA status, plus plans and specifications for the selected remedial action technology.

Laboratory data indicate that SAR, pH, and boron impacts remain in the combined AST, PWV, and sales line pothole FL01 excavation. Initial analytical results indicate that SAR exceeding the ECMC Table 915-1 allowable level and background level is present at the FL04@4' location. Final analytical results indicate that pH exceeding the ECMC Table 915-1 allowable level and background level is present at the FL02, FL03, and FL05 locations. Due to the absence of organic 915-1 detections or additional 915-1 inorganic exceedances, when compared to background, the elevated pH at the FL02, FL03, and FL05 locations are considered de minimis and not an indication of a spill or release associated with E&P activities.

Groundwater was encountered in the facility excavation and in the flowline & sales line potholes at depths ranging from 2 to 4 ft bgs. Seven groundwater samples (GW01, GW02, FL04-GW, FL05-GW, FL06-GW, FL08-GW, & FL09-GW) were submitted for laboratory analysis of full Table 915-1 constituents in groundwater. Results indicate that sulfate impacts exceeding the Table 915-1 allowable levels are present in groundwater at the GW02 & FL04 locations.

Monitoring wells will be installed to delineate the sulfate exceedances and verify that no organic constituents exceeding the Table 915-1 allowable levels are present. The monitoring well installation scope of work will be submitted in a subsequent Form 27 Supplemental report following confirmation of completion of soil assessment activities.

Soil Remediation Summary

In Situ

Ex Situ

_____ Bioremediation (or enhanced bioremediation)

Yes _____ Excavate and offsite disposal

_____ Chemical oxidation

If Yes: Estimated Volume (Cubic Yards) _____ 4769

_____ Air sparge / Soil vapor extraction

Name of Licensed Disposal Facility or ECMC Facility ID # _____ 434766

_____ Natural Attenuation

_____ Excavate and onsite remediation

_____ Other _____

_____ Land Treatment

_____ Bioremediation (or enhanced bioremediation)

_____ Chemical oxidation

_____ Other _____

Groundwater Remediation Summary

_____ Bioremediation (or enhanced bioremediation)

_____ Chemical oxidation

_____ Air sparge / Soil vapor extraction

_____ Natural Attenuation

_____ Other _____

GROUNDWATER MONITORING

If groundwater has been impacted, describe proposed monitoring plan, including # of wells or sample points, monitoring schedule, analytical methods, points of compliance. Attach a groundwater monitoring location diagram.

Monitoring wells will be installed to delineate the sulfate exceedances and verify that no organic constituents exceeding the Table 915-1 allowable levels are present. The monitoring well installation scope of work will be submitted in a subsequent Form 27 Supplemental report following confirmation of completion of soil assessment activities.

REMEDIATION PROGRESS UPDATE

PERIODIC REPORTING

Approved Reporting Schedule:

Quarterly Semi-Annually Annually Other _____

Request Alternative Reporting Schedule:

Semi-Annually Annually Other _____

Rule 913.e:

After initial approval of a Form 27, the Operator will provide quarterly update reports in a Supplemental Form 27 to document progress of site investigation and remediation, unless an alternative reporting schedule has been requested by the Operator and approved by the Director. The Director may request a more frequent reporting schedule based on site-specific conditions.

Report Type: Groundwater Monitoring Land Treatment Progress Report O&M Report
 Other _____

Adequacy of Operator's General Liability Insurance and Financial Assurance

Describe the adequacy of the Operator's general liability insurance and Financial Assurance to fully address the anticipated costs of Remediation, including the estimated remaining cost for this project (below).

If this information has been provided on a Form 27 within the last 12 months, provide the Document Number of that form.

KMOG has sufficient insurance and bonding to fully address the anticipated costs of Remediation, including the remaining estimated costs for this project. KMOG currently has over 40 million in bonds with the Energy and Carbon Management Commission. The cost for remediation is a preliminary estimate only, costs may change upwards or downward based on site-specific information. KMOG makes no representation or guarantees as to the accuracy of the preliminary estimate.

Operator anticipates the remaining cost for this project to be: \$ 35000 _____

WASTE DISPOSAL INFORMATION

Was E&P waste generated as part of this remediation? Yes

Describe beneficial use, if any, of E&P Waste derived from this remediation project:

Approximately 7,100 bbls of impacted groundwater were removed from the site and transported to the Aggregate Recycle Facility in Weld County, Colorado for recycling. Approximately 9 cubic yards of hydro-excavation soil slurry were removed from the site and transported to the Aggregate Recycle Facility in Weld County, Colorado for recycling.

Volume of E&P Waste (solid) in cubic yards 4769

E&P waste (solid) description Impacted Soil

ECMC Disposal Facility ID #, if applicable: 434766

Non-ECMC Disposal Facility: Front Range Landfill in Erie, CO (4760)

Volume of E&P Waste (liquid) in barrels 7100

E&P waste (liquid) description Impacted Groundwater

ECMC Disposal Facility ID #, if applicable: 434766

Non-ECMC Disposal Facility: _____

REMEDIATION COMPLETION REPORT

REMEDIATION COMPLETION SUMMARY

Is this a Final Closure Request for this Remediation Project? No

If YES:

Compliant with Rule 913.h.(1).

Compliant with Rule 913.h.(2).

Compliant with Rule 913.h.(3).

Do all soils meet Table 915-1 standards? Yes

Does the previous reply indicate consideration of background concentrations? Yes

Does Groundwater meet Table 915-1 standards? No

Is additional groundwater monitoring to be conducted? Yes

Operator shall comply with the ECMC 1000-Series Reclamation Requirements for all impacted and disturbed areas.

RECLAMATION PLAN

RECLAMATION PLANNING

Describe reclamation plan. Discuss existing and new grade recontouring; method and testing of compaction alleviation; and reseeding program, including location of new seed, seed mix and noxious weed prevention. Attach diagram or drawing.

The site will be reclaimed in accordance with ECMC 1000 Series Reclamation Rules.

Is the described reclamation complete? _____

Does the reclamation described herein constitute interim or final reclamation of the Oil and Gas Location?

Interim Final

Did the Surface Owner provide the seed mix? _____

If YES, does the seed mix comply with local soil conservation district recommendations? _____

Did the local soil conservation district provide the seed mix? _____

SITE RECLAMATION DATES

Proposed date of commencement of Reclamation. _____

Proposed date of completion of Reclamation. _____

IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE

Per Rule 913.d.(2): Any change from the approved implementation schedule will be requested at least 14 days in advance, and the Operator may not make the change without the Director's approval.

PRIOR DATES

Date of Surface Owner notification/consultation, if required. 03/07/2024

Actual Spill or Release date, or date of discovery. 03/07/2024

SITE INVESTIGATION DATES

Date of Initial Actions described in Site Investigation Plan (start date). 02/27/2024

Proposed site investigation commencement. 02/27/2024

Proposed completion of site investigation. 02/18/2026

REMEDIAL ACTION DATES

Proposed start date of Remediation. 02/18/2024

Proposed date of completion of Remediation. 02/18/2026

Per Rule 913.d.(2): Any change from the approved implementation schedule will be requested at least 14 days in advance, and the Operator may not make the change without the Director's approval.

Change from approved implementation schedule per Rule 913.d.(2).

Basis for change in implementation schedule:

OPERATOR COMMENT

No additional work has been done since the previous Form 27 and, as such, none of the previous attachments have been included with this form. The implementation schedule has been updated.

I hereby certify all statements made in this form are to the best of my knowledge true, correct, and complete.

Signed: Max Moran

Title: Environmental Advisor

Submit Date: _____

Email: DJRemediation_Forms@oxy.com

Based on the information provided herein, this Application for Site Investigation and Remediation Workplan complies with ECMC Rules and applicable orders and is hereby approved.

ECMC Approved: _____

Date: _____

Remediation Project Number: 32965

COA Type

Description

COA Type	Description
0 COA	

ATTACHMENT LIST

Upon approval, the approved Form 27 and all listed attachments will be indexed to the Remediation Project file. Only the approved Form 27 will also be indexed to the related Facilities.

Att Doc Num

Name

Att Doc Num	Name

Total Attach: 0 Files

General Comments

User Group

Comment

Comment Date

User Group	Comment	Comment Date
		Stamp Upon Approval

Total: 0 comment(s)