



Alternative Location Analysis

Rule 304.B

Outpost OGDP

Section 26 T33N R8W

La Plata County, Colorado

Updated May 22, 2025

Table of Contents

I.	Introduction	3
II.	Proposed Outpost 33-8 Pad NE/4 Section 26-T33N-R8W Tier IV-A	2
A.	Disadvantages and Triggered ALA Criteria	5
B.	Advantages	5
C.	Potential Impacts to the Environment	6
D.	Permitting considerations for this Location	6
E.	Additional Information and Proposed Mitigation Measures	6
III.	Alternative Location NE/4 Section 23 Tier IV-B	8
A.	Disadvantages and Triggered ALA Criteria	8
B.	Advantages	8
IV.	Alternative Location SW/4 Section 22 Tier IV-B	10
A.	Disadvantages and Triggered ALA Criteria	10
B.	Advantages	10
V.	Alternative Location NE/4 Section 28 Tier I-B	12
A.	Disadvantages and Triggered ALA Criteria	12
B.	Advantages	12
VI.	Alternative Location SE/4 Section 26 Tier III-B	14
A.	Disadvantages and Triggered ALA Criteria	14
B.	Advantages	14
VII.	Conclusions	16
VIII.	Alternative Location Analysis Rule 304.B. Maps	18

Introduction


Catamount Energy Partner LLC's (CEP) preferred Outpost 33-8 well pad location is proposed in the northeast quarter of section 26-T33N-R8W. This well pad expansion location is the sole well pad that will be used to develop the Outpost OGD. As currently planned the well pad will utilize ten horizontal wells to develop approximately 2560 acres.

When considering the development of the 2560 acres developed by Outpost, CEP evaluated four possible alternative locations before selecting the preferred location. The preferred location brought forward by CEP will allow the operator to conduct its operations in a manner that will avoid, minimize, and mitigate adverse impacts to public health, safety, welfare, the environment, and wildlife resources while avoiding drilling unnecessary wells or constructing additional pad locations. The proposed Outpost pad is located on land owned by J. Paul and Debbie Brown, within La Plata County, and zoned Agriculture. The Browns encourage development at the proposed location. The Tank Pad associated with this project is located on land owned by Cordy M Jaques et al and they also encourage development at this location.

OUTPOST 33-8 PAD

ALTERNATIVE LOCATION ANALYSIS - RULE 304b MAP 1

Section 26-T33N-R8W N.M.P.M La Plata County Colorado. South East La Plata Planning District



Alt Loc: NE 28

Alt Loc: SW 22

Alt Loc: NE 23


Alt Loc: SE 26

Outpost 33-8
Water pad

Outpost 33-8
Well pad

- ALTERNATE LOCATIONS
- CO Townships.shp
- OUTPOST RBUs
- SECTION LINE
- Proposed Working Pad Surface
- Roads.shp - COUNTY
- Proposed Reference Point
- Proposed Mineral Development

Proposed & Alternate Location Coordinates		
Name	LATITUDE	LONGITUDE
OUTPOST PAD	37.08017124	-107.6811072
ALT LOC NE 23	37.09589801	-107.6788586
ALT LOC SW 22	37.08614512	-107.7076459
ALT LOC NE 28	37.07935436	-107.7191166
ALT LOC SE 26	37.06908812	-107.6808169



II. Proposed Outpost 33-8 Pad – NE Section 26-T33N-R8W: Tier IV-A

A. Disadvantages and Triggered ALA Criteria

Disadvantages of the Working Pad Surface (WPS) at the preferred proposed location include triggers of the following ALA Criteria:

- *Rule 304.b.(2).B.i The proposed WPS is within 2,000 feet of 1 or more residential Building Units (RBU) or High Occupancy Building Units (HOBUs).*

The WPS is within:

- 0 to 500 feet of zero RBUs
- 501 to 1,000 feet of Zero RBUs
- 1,001 to 2,000 feet of two (2) RBUs
 - The closest RBU is 1,643 feet to the northeast.
 - The additional RBU between 1,001 and 2,000 feet is 1892 feet to the northeast
- *Rule 304.b.(2).B.vii The proposed oil and gas location is within the boundaries of, or is immediately upgradient from, a mapped, visible, or field verified wetland or riparian corridor.*
 - In addition, this location is upgradient of a field verified wetland (42 feet to the southeast)
- *Rule 304.b.(2).B.viii The proposed oil and gas location is within High Priority Habitat and the Operator did not obtain a waiver from CPW through a pre-application consultation*
- *Rule 304.b.(2).B.x The proposed working pad surface is within 2,000 of a Residential Building unit, High occupancy Building Unit, or School Facility within a Disproportionately Impacted Community.*
 - The Entire proposed drilling and spacing unit is Classified as Area under tribal Jurisdiction. The Census block is 080679403001, indicating the block group % minor Population is greater than the county % Minor Pop.

B. Advantages

Advantages of the WPS at the preferred proposed location include not triggering the following criteria:

- The proposed location is not within 2,000 feet of a HOBUs, school facilities, or childcare centers.
- There are no Designated Outside Activity Areas (DOAA) within 1,500 feet.
- The proposed Working Pad Surface is not less than 2,000 feet of a municipal or county boundary, and the Proximate Local Government hasn't objected to the location or requested an alternative location analysis.
- The location is not within a floodplain.

- The proposed oil and gas location is not within a surface water supply area or within 2,640 feet of a Public Water System supply well that is completed in a Type III Aquifer or is a groundwater under the direct influence of surface water well as defined in Rule 411.b.(1).
- The surface owners at this location encourage development of their land and a surface owner protection bond to access the proposed Oil and Gas Location is not required.
- CEP's stringent Best Management Practices (BMPs) allow for minimization and mitigation resulting in minimal impacts.

C. Potential Impacts to the Environment

- Potential impacts to the environment related to the development of this location are anticipated to be minimal because of stringent BMPs.
- CEP uses SCADA to monitor well pad conditions. Alarms of abnormal conditions alert personnel who can shut in facilities remotely 24 hours per day, seven days per week.
- During the production phase, CEP anticipates minimal to no noise, dust, and light impacts to any nearby wildlife.
- For further wildlife considerations please see the Wildlife Mitigation Plan.

D. Permitting considerations for this location

- A Surface Use Agreement (SUA) has been executed with the surface owners for the well pad, J. Paul and Debbie. Brown, and for the water Pad TUA by Cordy M Jaques et al
- The proposed spacing unit includes Tribal Minerals. Because of this CEP has consulted with the Southern Ute Indian Tribe Department of Energy and Red Willow Production Company.
- A BLM permit will be submitted for the wells that will encounter Tribal Minerals.
 - CEP will file a Notice of Staking and submit BLM APDs concurrently with the ECMC OGDG Process.
- A La Plata County Oil and Gas Minor Facility Permit will be applied for.
 - CEP has filed the La Plata County Permitting Feasibility Request, Met onsite with La Plata County and has requested a planning application at the guidance from the county.
- A Driveway access permit will be applied for from the La Plata County Engineering Department.


OUTPOST 33-8 PAD

Section 26-T33N-R8W N.M.P.M La Plata County Colorado. South East La Plata Planning District



Outpost 33-8 Pad	Latitude	Longitude
Reference Point	37.08017124	-107.6811072
304.b.(2).C.ii --> 304.b.(3).A		
Distance to nearest Cultural Feature:	Distance	Direction
Building	1660	E
Residential Building Unit	1643	E
HOBUs	2000+	
Designated Outside Activity Area	2000+	
Public Road	2000+	
Above-ground Utility	1065	NE
Railroad	2000+	
Property Line	30	W
School Facility	2000+	
Child Care Center	2000+	
Boundary of DIC	0	
RBU, HOBUs, or School Facility within a Disproportionately Impacted Community within 2000 feet	1643	E

- Proposed Mineral Boundary
- CO Townships.shp
- OUTPOST RBUs
- Outpost_Pad_ALA_Hydrology.shp
- Parcels.shp
- SECTION LINE
- SEV MULE DEER WINTER
- 500' Buffer
- 1000' Buffer
- 2000' Buffer
- LPEA Ovrhd Line 1 Phase
- LPEA Ovrhd Line 3 Phase
- LPEA UNDERGROUND LINE
- Proposed Working Pad Surface
- Roads.shp - COUNTY
- Roads.shp - STATE
- Proposed Reference Point



304.b.(2).C.ii --> 304.b.(3).B			
Number of cultural features within:	0-500 feet	501-1,000 feet	1,001-2,000 feet
BUs	0	0	2
RBUs	0	0	2
HOBUs	0	0	0
School Properties	0	0	0
School Facilities	0	0	0
DOAAs	0	0	0

III. Alternative Location:– NE Section 23-T33N-R8W: Tier IV-B

A. Disadvantages and Triggered ALA Criteria

Disadvantages of the Alternative location include triggers of the following ALA Criteria:

- *Rule 304.b.(2).B.i* The proposed WPS is within 2,000 feet of 1 or more residential Building Units (RBU) or High Occupancy Building Units (HOBUs).
The WPS is within:
 - 0 to 500 feet of zero RBUs
 - 501 to 1,000 feet of Zero RBUs
 - 1,001 to 2,000 feet of one (1) RBUs
 - The closest RBU is 1,056 feet to the west.
- *Rule 304.b.(2).B.vii* The proposed oil and gas location is within the boundaries of, or is immediately upgradient from, a mapped, visible, or field verified wetland or riparian corridor.
- *Rule 304.b.(2).B.viii* The proposed oil and gas location is within High Priority Habitat and the Operator did not obtain a waiver from CPW through a pre-application consultation
- *Rule 304.b.(2).B.x* The proposed working pad surface is within 2,000 of a Residential Building unit, High occupancy Building Unit, or School Facility within a Disproportionately Impacted Community.
 - The Entire proposed drilling and spacing unit is Classified as Area under tribal Jurisdiction. The Census block is 080679403001, indicating the block group % minor Population is greater than the county % Minor Pop.

B. Advantages


Advantages of the WPS at the preferred proposed location include not triggering the following criteria:

- The proposed location is not within 2,000 feet of a HOBUs, school facilities, or childcare centers.
- There are no Designated Outside Activity Areas (DOAA) within 1,500 feet.
- The proposed Working Pad Surface is not less than 2,000 feet of a municipal or county boundary, and the Proximate Local Government hasn't objected to the location or requested an alternative location analysis.
- The location is not within a floodplain.
- The proposed oil and gas location is not within a surface water supply area or within 2,640 feet of a Public Water System supply well that is completed in a Type III Aquifer or is a groundwater under the direct influence of surface water well as defined in Rule 411.b.(1).

OUTPOST 33-8 PAD

ALTERNATIVE LOCATION ANALYSIS - NE/4 Section 23

Section 23-T33N-R8W N.M.P.M La Plata County Colorado. South East La Plata Planning District



- ⋯ Proposed Mineral Boundary
- ALTERNATE LOCATIONS
- CO Townships.shp
- OUTPOST RBUs
- OUTPOST A.L.A. 2000 BUFFER
- Outpost_Pad_ALA_Hydrology.shp
- Parcels.shp
- SECTION LINE
- SEV MULE DEER WINTER
- LPEA Ovrhd Line 1 Phase
- LPEA Ovrhd Line 3 Phase
- LPEA UNDERGROUND LINE
- Roads.shp - COUNTY
- Roads.shp - STATE
- Proposed Reference Point

304.b.(2).C.ii --> 304.b.(3).B			
Number of cultural features within:	0-500 feet	501-1,000 feet	1,001-2,000 feet
BUs	0	0	1
RBUs	0	0	1
HOBUs	0	0	0
School Properties	0	0	0
School Facilities	0	0	0
DOAAs	0	0	0

ALT LOC NE 23		
ALT LOC NE 23	Latitude	Longitude
Reference Point	37.09589801	-107.6788586
304.b.(2).C.ii --> 304.b.(3).A		
Distance to nearest Cultural Feature:	Distance	Direction
Building	1056	W
Residential Building Unit	1056	W
HOBUs	2000+	
Designated Outside Activity Area	2000+	
Public Road	354	
Above-ground Utility	378	W
Railroad	2000+	
Property Line	297	N
School Facility	2000+	
Child Care Center	2000+	
Boundary of DIC	0	
RBUs, HOBUs, or School Facility within a Disproportionately Impacted Community within 2000 feet	1056	W



IV. Alternative Location:– SW Section 22-T33N-R8W: Tier IV-B

A. Disadvantages and Triggered ALA Criteria

Disadvantages of the Alternative location include triggers of the following ALA Criteria:


- *Rule 304.b.(2).B.i* The proposed WPS is within 2,000 feet of 1 or more residential Building Units (RBU) or High Occupancy Building Units (HOBUs).
The WPS is within:
 - 0 to 500 feet of zero RBUs
 - 501 to 1,000 feet of Zero RBUs
 - 1,001 to 2,000 feet of one (1) RBUs
 - The closest RBU is 1,906 feet to the northwest.
- *Rule 304.b.(2).B.vii* The proposed oil and gas location is within the boundaries of, or is immediately upgradient from, a mapped, visible, or field verified wetland or riparian corridor.
- *Rule 304.b.(2).B.viii* The proposed oil and gas location is within High Priority Habitat and the Operator did not obtain a waiver from CPW through a pre-application consultation
- *Rule 304.b.(2).B.x* The proposed working pad surface is within 2,000 of a Residential Building unit, High occupancy Building Unit, or School Facility within a Disproportionately Impacted Community.
 - The Entire proposed drilling and spacing unit is Classified as Area under tribal Jurisdiction. The Census block is 080679403001, indicating the block group % minor Population is greater than the county % Minor Pop.

B. Advantages


Advantages of the WPS at the preferred proposed location include not triggering the following criteria:

- The proposed location is not within 2,000 feet of a HOBUs, school facilities, or childcare centers.
- There are no Designated Outside Activity Areas (DOAA) within 1,500 feet.
- The proposed Working Pad Surface is not less than 2,000 feet of a municipal or county boundary, and the Proximate Local Government hasn't objected to the location or requested an alternative location analysis.
- The location is not within a floodplain.
- The proposed oil and gas location is not within a surface water supply area or within 2,640 feet of a Public Water System supply well that is completed in a Type III Aquifer or is a groundwater under the direct influence of surface water well as defined in Rule 411.b.(1).

OUTPOST 33-8 PAD
ALTERNATIVE LOCATION ANALYSIS SW/4 Section 22
 Section 26-T33N-R8W N.M.P.M La Plata County Colorado. South East La Plata Planning District




- ⬢ Proposed Mineral Boundary
- ALTERNATE LOCATIONS
- CO Townships.shp
- OUTPOST RBUs
- OUTPOST A.L.A. 2000 BUFFER
- Outpost_Pad_ALA_Hydrology.shp
- Parcels.shp
- SECTION LINE
- SEV MULE DEER WINTER
- LPEA Ovrhd Line 1 Phase
- LPEA Ovrhd Line 3 Phase
- LPEA UNDERGROUND LINE
- Roads.shp - COUNTY
- Roads.shp - STATE
- Proposed Reference Point



304.b.(2).C.ii --> 304.b.(3).B			
Number of cultural features within:	0-500 feet	501-1,000 feet	1,001-2,000 feet
BU's	0	0	1
RBUs	0	0	1
HOBUs	0	0	0
School Properties	0	0	0
School Facilities	0	0	0
DOAAs	0	0	0

ALT LOC SW 22		
Reference Point	Latitude	Longitude
	37.08614512	-107.708
304.b.(2).C.ii --> 304.b.(3).A		
Distance to nearest Cultural		
Feature:	Distance (FT)	Direction
Building	1906	NW
Residential Building Unit	1906	NW
HOBUs	2000+	
Designated Outside Activity Area	2000+	
Public Road	2000+	
Above-ground Utility	2472	E
Railroad	2000+	
Property Line	475	E
School Facility	2000+	
Child Care Center	2000+	
Boundary of DIC	0	
RBUs, HOBUs, or School Facility within a Disproportionately Impacted Community within 2000 feet	1906	NW



V. Alternative Location:– NE Section 28-T33N-R8W: Tier I-B

A. Disadvantages and Triggered ALA Criteria

- The location is located on the north end of the Mesa Mountains and is 650 feet higher in elevation than the other proposed and alternative locations.
 - a. This makes logistics of moving water and sand for completion to the location prohibitive
- The location falls within a designated La Plata County Skyline viewshed.
- The location is located on Trust lands for the Southern Ute Indian Tribe


B. Advantages

Advantages of the WPS at the preferred proposed location include not triggering any Alternative Location Analysis criteria.

OUTPOST 33-8 PAD

ALTERNATIVE LOCATION ANALYSIS NE Section 28

Section 28-T33N-R8W N.M.P.M La Plata County Colorado. South East La Plata Planning District




Alt Loc: NE 28

SW 22

- ⋯ Proposed Mineral Boundary
- ALTERNATE LOCATIONS
- CO Townships.shp
- OUTPOST RBUs
- OUTPOST A.L.A. 2000 BUFFER
- Outpost_Pad_ALA_Hydrology.shp
- Parcels.shp
- SECTION LINE
- SEV MULE DEER WINTER
- LPEA Ovrhd Line 1 Phase
- LPEA Ovrhd Line 3 Phase
- LPEA UNDERGROUND LINE
- Roads.shp - COUNTY
- Roads.shp - STATE
- Proposed Reference Point

304.b.(2).C.ii --> 304.b.(3).B			
Number of cultural features within:	0-500 feet	501-1,000 feet	1,001-2,000 feet
BUs	0	0	0
RBUs	0	0	0
HOBUs	0	0	0
School Properties	0	0	0
School Facilities	0	0	0
DOAAs	0	0	0

ALT LOC NE 28		
Reference Point	Latitude	Longitude
	37.07935436	-107.7191166
304.b.(2).C.ii --> 304.b.(3).A		
Feature:	Distance	Direction
Building	2000+	
Residential Building Unit	2000+	
HOBUs	2000+	
Designated Outside Activity Area	2000+	
Public Road	2000+	
Above-ground Utility	2000+	
Railroad	2000+	
Property Line	341	SE
School Facility	2000+	
Child Care Center	2000+	
Boundary of DIC	0	
RBUs, HOBUs, or School Facility within a Disproportionately Impacted Community within 2000 feet	2000+	



VI. Alternative Location:– SE Section 26-T33N-R8W: Tier III-B

A. Disadvantages and Triggered ALA Criteria

Disadvantages of the Alternative location include triggers of the following ALA Criteria:


- *Rule 304.b.(2).B.vii* The proposed oil and gas location is within the boundaries of, or is immediately upgradient from, a mapped, visible, or field verified wetland or riparian corridor.
- *Rule 304.b.(2).B.viii* The proposed oil and gas location is within High Priority Habitat and the Operator did not obtain a waiver from CPW through a pre-application consultation

B. Advantages

Advantages of the WPS at the preferred proposed location include not triggering the following criteria:

- The proposed working pad is not within 2,000 feet of 1 or more Residential Building units or High Occupancy Building Units;
- The proposed location is not within 2,000 feet of a HOBUs, school facilities, or childcare centers.
- There are no Designated Outside Activity Areas (DOAA) within 1,500 feet.
- The proposed Working Pad Surface is not less than 2,000 feet of a municipal or county boundary, and the Proximate Local Government hasn't objected to the location or requested an alternative location analysis.
- The location is not within a floodplain.
- The proposed oil and gas location is not within a surface water supply area or within 2,640 feet of a Public Water System supply well that is completed in a Type III Aquifer or is a groundwater under the direct influence of surface water well as defined in Rule 411.b.(1).


OUTPOST 33-8 PAD
 ALTERNATIVE LOCATION ANALYSIS SE Section 26
 Section 26-T33N-R8W N.M.P.M La Plata County Colorado. South East La Plata Planning District



- ⋯ Proposed Mineral Boundary
- ALTERNATE LOCATIONS
- CO Townships.shp
- OUTPOST RBUs
- OUTPOST A.L.A. 2000 BUFFER
- Outpost_Pad_ALA_Hydrology.shp
- Parcels.shp
- SECTION LINE
- SEV MULE DEER WINTER
- LPEA Ovrhd Line 1 Phase
- LPEA Ovrhd Line 3 Phase
- LPEA UNDERGROUND LINE
- Roads.shp - COUNTY
- Roads.shp - STATE
- Proposed Reference Point

304.b.(2).C.ii --> 304.b.(3).B			
Number of cultural features within:	0-500 feet	501-1,000 feet	1,001-2,000 feet
BUs	0	0	0
RBUs	0	0	0
HOBUs	0	0	0
School Properties	0	0	0
School Facilities	0	0	0
DOAAs	0	0	0

ALT LOC SE 26	Latitude	Longitude
Reference Point	37.06908812	-107.6808169
304.b.(2).C.ii --> 304.b.(3).A		
Distance to nearest Cultural		
Feature:	Distance	Direction
Building	2000+	
Residential Building Unit	2000+	
HOBUs	2000+	
Designated Outside Activity Area	2000+	
Public Road	2000+	
Above-ground Utility	452	SW
Railroad	2000+	
Property Line	346	W
School Facility	2000+	
Child Care Center	2000+	
Boundary of DIC	0	
RBU, HOBUs, or School Facility within a Disproportionately Impacted Community within 2000 feet		



VII. Conclusions

CEP has thoughtfully considered the potential impacts to the public health, safety, welfare, the environment, and wildlife resources while considering the five locations identified in this application:

The Preferred location in the NE of Section 26 is the best choice for the following reasons:

- CEP is able to place the WPS more than 2,000 feet from all but 2 RBUs. One RBU is not lived in. The other RBU within 2000' of the WPS is shielded by a hill and a dense stand of trees. Both RBU owners have signed informed consent waiver letters.
- CEP is able to develop the full 2,560 acres from expanding one surface location.
- No trees need to be removed for the pad construction
- CEP is able to use existing access roads and existing pipelines to further minimize disturbance.
- Surface owners encourage use of their land by CEP to develop the minerals.

Although some of the alternative locations may appear to have fewer potential impacts than the preferred location, in each case the impacts are greater or are not as protective to minimize and mitigate operational activities.

For instance:

- Section 23 NE/4 Alternative
 - Rule 304.b.(2).B.i. One RBU within 2,000 feet (1,056') of the WPS.
 - The WPS is adjacent to La Plata County Road 318 and activity would impact traffic flow.
 - Significant trees would need to be removed
 - CEP cannot fully develop the DSU from this location; full mineral development would require an additional pad.
- Section 22 SW Alternative
 - Rule 304.b.(2).B.i. One RBUs with 2,000 feet of the WPS
 - Multiple RBUs just outside of the 2,000' WPS buffer.
 - CEP cannot fully develop the DSU from this location
 - Significant trees would need to be removed
- Section 28 NE/4 Alternative
 - This location's position is on Southern Ute lands. CEP attempts to avoid or minimize the impact to Southern Ute lands which is important to the tribe.
 - Significant trees would need to be removed.
 - This location is in a La Plata County area designated as "County Skyline"
 - This location is located on top of the Mesa Mountains and is logistically prohibitive.
- Section 26 SE/4 Alternative –
 - CEP cannot fully develop the DSU from this location; full mineral development would require an additional pad.


This proposed location allows CEP to responsibly develop the area in a protective manner using the fewest wells and surface locations. As a result of CEP's thorough and careful consideration,

potential impacts to surrounding neighbors are avoided and mitigated. CEP does not anticipate any negative impacts to health, safety, welfare to the environment associated with the development of oil and gas.

OUTPOST 33-8 PAD

ALTERNATIVE LOCATION ANALYSIS - RULE 304b MAP 1

Section 26-T33N-R8W N.M.P.M La Plata County Colorado. South East La Plata Planning District



Alt Loc: NE 28

Alt Loc: SW 22

Alt Loc: NE 23


Outpost 33-8
Water pad

Outpost 33-8
Well pad


Alt Loc: SE 26

- ALTERNATE LOCATIONS
- CO Townships.shp
- OUTPOST RBUs
- SECTION LINE
- Proposed Working Pad Surface
- Roads.shp - COUNTY
- Proposed Reference Point
- Proposed Mineral Development

Proposed & Alternate Location Coordinates		
Name	LATITUDE	LONGITUDE
OUTPOST PAD	37.08017124	-107.6811072
ALT LOC NE 23	37.09589801	-107.6788586
ALT LOC SW 22	37.08614512	-107.7076459
ALT LOC NE 28	37.07935436	-107.7191166
ALT LOC SE 26	37.06908812	-107.6808169



OUTPOST 33-8 PAD
 Rule 304b MAP 2
 Section 26-T33N-R8W N.M.P.M La Plata County Colorado. South East La Plata Planning District



Alt Loc: SW 22

Alt Loc: NE 28


Alt Loc: NE 23

Outpost 33-8
Water pad


Outpost 33-8
Well pad

Alt Loc: SE 26

- Proposed Mineral Boundary
- ALTERNATE LOCATIONS
- CO Townships.shp
- OUTPOST RBUs
- Parcels.shp
- SECTION LINE
- 2000' Buffer
- Proposed Working Pad Surface
- Roads.shp - COUNTY
- Proposed Reference Point
- Oil and Gas Locations
- Active location
- Abandoned location



OUTPOST 33-8 PAD
ALTERNATIVE LOCATION ANALYSIS - Rule 304b MAP 3
Section 26-T33N-R8W N.M.P.M La Plata County Colorado. South East La Plata Planning District



Alt Loc: SW 22

Alt Loc: NE 23

Alt Loc: NE 28

Outpost 33-8
Water pad


Outpost 33-8
Well pad

Alt Loc: SE 26


- Proposed Mineral Boundary
- ALTERNATE LOCATIONS
- CO Townships.shp
- OUTPOST RBUs
- Outpost_Pad_ALA_Hydrology
- Parcels.shp
- SECTION LINE
- 2000' Buffer
- Proposed Working Pad Surface
- Roads.shp - COUNTY
- Proposed Reference Point




OUTPOST 33-8 PAD
ALTERNATIVE LOCATION ANALYSIS - Rule 304b MAP 4
 Section 26-T33N-R8W N.M.P.M La Plata County Colorado. South East La Plata Planning District



- Proposed Mineral Boundary
- ALTERNATE LOCATIONS
- CO Townships.shp
- OUTPOST RBUs
- Parcels.shp
- SECTION LINE
- SEV MULE DEER WINTER
- 2000' Buffer
- Proposed Working Pad Surface
- Roads.shp - COUNTY
- Proposed Reference Point



OUTPOST 33-8 PAD
 ALTERNATIVE LOCATION ANALYSIS - Rule 304b MAP 5
 Section 26-T33N-R8W N.M.P.M La Plata County Colorado. South East La Plata Planning District



Alt Loc: NE 28

Alt Loc: SW 22

Alt Loc: NE 23

Outpost 33-8
Water pad

Outpost 33-8
Well pad

Alt Loc: SE 26

- Proposed Mineral Boundary
- ALTERNATE LOCATIONS
- CO Townships.shp
- OUTPOST RBUs
- Parcels.shp
- SECTION LINE
- 2000' Buffer
- Proposed Working Pad Surface
- Roads.shp - COUNTY
- Proposed Reference Point
- DIC-Area under Tribal Jurisdiction - 3526

