

State of Colorado
Energy & Carbon Management Commission

1120 Lincoln Street, Suite 801, Denver, Colorado 80203
Phone: (303) 894-2100 Fax: (303) 894-2109



Document Number:
404131126
Receive Date:
03/18/2025

Report taken by:
Collin Metz

Site Investigation and Remediation Workplan (Supplemental Form)

This form shall be submitted to the Director for approval prior to the initiation of site investigation and remediation activities. However, this shall not preclude the Operator from taking immediate action to protect public health or safety, the environment, wildlife, or livestock.

This Form 27 describes site conditions as currently understood by the Operator; approval of this Form 27 by ECMC is based on the site conditions accurately described herein; any changes in site conditions identified during or subsequent to the performance of the approved workplan may necessitate additional investigation or remediation which shall be described on a supplemental Form 27. This Form 27 is intended to provide basic information regarding the proposed site investigation and remediation actions, but the workplan may be more fully described in attached documentation.

Closure request is not available for an Initial Site Investigation and Remediation Workplan.

OPERATOR INFORMATION

Name of Operator: <u>NOBLE ENERGY INC</u>	Operator No: <u>100322</u>	Phone Numbers
Address: <u>1099 18TH STREET SUITE 1500</u>		
City: <u>DENVER</u>	State: <u>CO</u>	Zip: <u>80202</u>
Contact Person: <u>Dan Peterson</u>	Email: <u>danpeterson@chevron.com</u>	Phone: <u>(970) 730-7281</u>
		Mobile: <u>()</u>

PROJECT, PURPOSE & SITE INFORMATION

PROJECT INFORMATION

Remediation Project #: 22552 Initial Form 27 Document #: 402992008

PURPOSE INFORMATION

- Rule 913.c.(1): Pit or Cuttings Trench closure.
- Rule 913.c.(2): Buried or partially buried vessel closure, which will be by removal.
- Rule 913.c.(3): Remediation of Spill and Releases pursuant to Rule 912.
- Rule 913.c.(4): Land treatment of Oily Waste pursuant to Rule 905.e.
- Rule 913.c.(5): Closure of Centralized E&P Waste Management Facilities pursuant to Rule 907.h.
- Rule 913.c.(6): Remediation of impacted Groundwater pursuant to Rule 915.e.(3).D, and the contaminant concentrations in Table 915-1.
- Rule 913.c.(7): Investigation and remediation of natural gas in soil or Groundwater.
- Rule 913.c.(8): When requested by the Director due to any potential risk to soil, Groundwater, or surface water.
- Rule 913.c.(9): Decommissioning of Oil and Gas Facilities.
- Rule 913.g: Changes of Operator.
- Rule 915.b: Request to leave elevated inorganics in situ.
- Other: _____

SITE INFORMATION

Yes Multiple Facilities

Facility Type: <u>LOCATION</u>	Facility ID: <u>333177</u>	API #: _____	County Name: <u>WELD</u>
Facility Name: <u>HANSEN BC O-64N67W 1NESE</u>	Latitude: <u>40.339463</u>	Longitude: <u>-104.832572</u>	
	** correct Lat/Long if needed: Latitude: <u>40.339724</u>	Longitude: <u>-104.830548</u>	
QtrQtr: <u>NESE</u>	Sec: <u>1</u>	Twp: <u>4N</u>	Range: <u>67W</u> Meridian: <u>6</u> Sensitive Area? <u>Yes</u>

Facility Type: <u>SPILL OR RELEASE</u>	Facility ID: <u>485166</u>	API #: _____	County Name: <u>WELD</u>
Facility Name: <u>Hansen BC O-64N67W 1NESE</u>	Latitude: <u>40.339600</u>	Longitude: <u>-104.830274</u>	
	** correct Lat/Long if needed: Latitude: _____	Longitude: _____	
QtrQtr: <u>NESE</u>	Sec: <u>1</u>	Twp: <u>4N</u>	Range: <u>67W</u> Meridian: <u>6</u> Sensitive Area? <u>Yes</u>

SITE CONDITIONS

General soil type - USCS Classifications SW _____

Most Sensitive Adjacent Land Use Prairie Land

Is domestic water well within 1/4 mile? Yes

Is surface water within 1/4 mile? Yes

Is groundwater less than 20 feet below ground surface? Yes

Other Potential Receptors within 1/4 mile

High Priority Habitat - Mule Deer Severe Winter Range
Freshwater Emergent Wetlands 0.06mi N
Riverine 0.18mi SE
No other potential receptors are located within ¼ mile of the Site.
Above distances are approximations.

SITE INVESTIGATION PLAN

TYPE OF WASTE:

- | | | |
|--|--|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> E&P Waste | <input type="checkbox"/> Other E&P Waste | <input type="checkbox"/> Non-E&P Waste |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Produced Water | <input type="checkbox"/> Workover Fluids | _____ |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Oil | <input type="checkbox"/> Tank Bottoms | _____ |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Condensate | <input type="checkbox"/> Pigging Waste | _____ |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Drilling Fluids | <input type="checkbox"/> Rig Wash | _____ |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Drill Cuttings | <input type="checkbox"/> Spent Filters | _____ |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Pit Bottoms | _____ |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Other (as described by EPA) | _____ |

DESCRIPTION OF IMPACT

Impacted?	Impacted Media	Extent of Impact	How Determined
No	GROUNDWATER	NA	Lab analysis if encountered
Yes	SOILS	15'x15'x5' deep	Lab analysis

INITIAL ACTION SUMMARY

Description of initial action or emergency response measures take to abate, investigate, and/or remediate impacts associated with E&P Waste.

A site investigation will be conducted pursuant to ECMC Rule 911 at the HANSEN T4N-R67W-S1 L01 Tank Battery location.

PROPOSED SAMPLING PLAN

Proposed Soil Sampling

Will soil samples be collected as part of this investigation? (Number, type (grab/composite), analyses, and locations of samples):

Grab confirmation soil samples were collected from the produced water vessel(s) excavation, beneath the ground oil tank(s), and at the separator(s). Soil samples were analyzed by a certified laboratory for TPH (total volatile [C6-C10] and extractable [C10-C36] hydrocarbons) organic compounds in soil per ECMC Table 915-1, and EC, SAR, pH, and boron. All samples collected were analyzed by a certified laboratory using approved ECMC laboratory analysis methods.

Proposed Groundwater Sampling

Will groundwater samples be collected as part of this investigation? (Number, analyses, and locations of samples):

Groundwater was not encountered during the site investigation.

Proposed Surface Water Sampling

Will surface water samples be collected as part of this investigation? (Number, analyses, and locations of samples):

Additional Investigative Actions

Additional alternative investigative actions described in attached Site Investigation Plan (summary):

Visual inspection at the wellhead area occurred during abandonment activities. Field personnel field screened all disturbed areas using visual and olfactory senses to determine if laboratory confirmation sampling was required. The applicable ECMC Closure Checklists were utilized and filled out during the abandonment process.

SITE INVESTIGATION REPORT

SAMPLE SUMMARY

Soil

Number of soil samples collected 5

Number of soil samples exceeding 915-1 5

NA / ND

ND Highest concentration of TPH (mg/kg) _____

-- Highest concentration of SAR 0.32

Was the areal and vertical extent of soil contamination delineated? No

BTEX > 915-1 No

Approximate areal extent (square feet) 225

Vertical Extent > 915-1 (in feet) 5

Groundwater

Number of groundwater samples collected 0

Highest concentration of Benzene (µg/l) _____

Was extent of groundwater contaminated delineated? No

Highest concentration of Toluene (µg/l) _____

Depth to groundwater (below ground surface, in feet) _____

Highest concentration of Ethylbenzene (µg/l) _____

Number of groundwater monitoring wells installed _____

Highest concentration of Xylene (µg/l) _____

Number of groundwater samples exceeding 915-1 _____

Highest concentration of Methane (mg/l) _____

Surface Water

0 Number of surface water samples collected

 Number of surface water samples exceeding 915-1

If surface water is impacted, other agency notification may be required.

OTHER INVESTIGATION INFORMATION

Were impacts to adjacent property or offsite impacts identified?

Were background samples collected as part of this site investigation?

Five background samples were collected from an area not impacted by oil and gas development at similar depths (4' and 5') and lithologies as confirmation samples collected at the location and analyzed for ECMC Table 915-1 metals and soil suitability for reclamation standards (pH, EC, SAR, and Boron). The samples will be used to characterize native soil and potentially attribute elevated metals and inorganics concentrations to native soil conditions.

The maximum background concentrations for pH (8.93 units) and specific conductance (5.32 mmhos/cm), and metals with a 1.25x multiplier applied arsenic (24.1 mg/kg) and barium (162 mg/kg), were calculated to be greater than concentrations observed in the excavation confirmation samples.

Was investigation derived waste (IDW) generated as part of this investigation?

Volume of solid waste (cubic yards) _____

Volume of liquid waste (barrels) _____

Is further site investigation required?

Elevated pH was observed at the former Hasnsen BC O-64N67W Tank Battery. Soil will be resampled and analyzed for the full suite of Table 915-1 soil constituents at the AST01@0-6" sample location at the same depth (0.5') where the initial elevated pH concentrations were observed. Noble will request an NFA be granted if the reanalyzed samples comply with the Table 915-1 concentration standard. Background samples will be used to justify elevated concentrations.

Alternatively, if the sample results exceed the Table 915-1 standards and cannot be attributed to native soil conditions via background soil characterization a minimum of five additional samples will be collected to delineate the magnitude and extent of elevated constituents. Following completion of the delineation, Noble will submit a detailed reclamation plan to address elevated SSR constituents that includes, but is not limited to, soil analysis from adjacent undisturbed lands, revegetation techniques, site stabilization, and details of seeded species and will request NFA designation be granted under Rule 915.b: Request to leave elevated inorganics in situ.

REMEDIAL ACTION PLAN

Does this Supplemental Form 27A include changes to a previously approved Remedial Action Plan? No

SOURCE REMOVAL SUMMARY

Describe how source is to be removed.

Approximately 25 cubic yards of impacted soil was removed from the Hansen BC O-64N67W 1NESE produced water vault (PWV) release area by excavation. The impacted soil was disposed of at an approved landfill as non-hazardous waste in accordance with Rules 905 and 906. Copies of the waste manifests are available upon request.

REMEDICATION SUMMARY

Describe how remediation of existing impacts to soil and groundwater is to be accomplished (i.e. summarize remedial action plan). Provide a brief narrative description including: technical justification, schedule for implementation, estimated time to attain NFA status, plus plans and specifications for the selected remedial action technology.

Excavation of impacted soil was undertaken to remove impacts observed four feet below ground surface at the former PWV location. Confirmation soil samples were collected and analyzed for ECMC Table 915-1 organic and Soil Suitability for Reclamation constituents (TPH, BTEX, 1,2,4 Trimethylbenzene, 1,3,5 Trimethylbenzene, Naphthalene, Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons [PAHs], pH, SAR, EC, and Boron) and ECMC Table 915-1 metals by a certified laboratory.

Five background characterizations samples were collected in native soil, adjacent to the Hansen facility and flowline and analyzed by a certified laboratory for metals and reclamation and soil suitability with regard to the ECMC Table 915-1 standards. The background samples collected from similar depths and lithologies as the samples collected during the Hansen facility decommissioning determined if elevated concentrations of metals and inorganics are attributable to native concentrations since background concentrations are greater than concentrations observed in the excavation confirmation samples. No additional active remediation is required following source removal at the location. The previous excavation report included data from the associated Hansen O 1-23 flowline and the Hansen BC O-64N67W 1NESE PWV with the intent to use local background data and local groundwater data as closure criteria for both the tank battery and flowline. This caused unnecessary confusion and the Operator is reviewing and recompiling the Hansen BC O-64N67W 1NESE PWV excavation report to present data as it pertains to the produced water vault excavation only.

Additional site investigation and remediation is need at the AST01 location to address elevated pH. A no further action (NFA) determination will be requested following sampling detailed in the Site Investigation Report "Is further site investigation required?" section of this form.

Soil Remediation Summary

In Situ

Ex Situ

_____ Bioremediation (or enhanced bioremediation)

Yes _____ Excavate and offsite disposal

_____ Chemical oxidation

If Yes: Estimated Volume (Cubic Yards) _____ 25

_____ Air sparge / Soil vapor extraction

Name of Licensed Disposal Facility or ECMC Facility ID # _____

_____ Natural Attenuation

No _____ Excavate and onsite remediation

_____ Other _____

_____ Land Treatment

_____ Bioremediation (or enhanced bioremediation)

_____ Chemical oxidation

_____ Other _____

Groundwater Remediation Summary

No _____ Bioremediation (or enhanced bioremediation)

No _____ Chemical oxidation

No _____ Air sparge / Soil vapor extraction

No _____ Natural Attenuation

No _____ Other _____

GROUNDWATER MONITORING

If groundwater has been impacted, describe proposed monitoring plan, including # of wells or sample points, monitoring schedule, analytical methods, points of compliance. Attach a groundwater monitoring location diagram.

Groundwater was not encountered during the site investigation or excavation at the Hansen PWV.

REMEDIATION PROGRESS UPDATE

PERIODIC REPORTING

Approved Reporting Schedule:

Quarterly Semi-Annually Annually Other

Request Alternative Reporting Schedule:

Semi-Annually Annually Other

Rule 913.e:

After initial approval of a Form 27, the Operator will provide quarterly update reports in a Supplemental Form 27 to document progress of site investigation and remediation, unless an alternative reporting schedule has been requested by the Operator and approved by the Director. The Director may request a more frequent reporting schedule based on site-specific conditions.

Report Type: Groundwater Monitoring Land Treatment Progress Report O&M Report

Other Supplemental Form 27: Timeline Update and Sampling Proposal

Adequacy of Operator's General Liability Insurance and Financial Assurance

Describe the adequacy of the Operator's general liability insurance and Financial Assurance to fully address the anticipated costs of Remediation, including the estimated remaining cost for this project (below).

If this information has been provided on a Form 27 within the last 12 months, provide the Document Number of that form.

Noble intends to directly address the costs of remediation at the locations as part of our asset retirement obligation process and operations. Noble has general liability insurance (policy MWZZ 316714) and financial assurance in compliance with ECMC rules. Records are available on the ECMC's website. The cost for remediation is an estimate only, costs may change upwards or downward based on site-specific information. Noble makes no representation or guarantees as to the accuracy of the estimate.

Operator anticipates the remaining cost for this project to be: \$ 50000

WASTE DISPOSAL INFORMATION

Was E&P waste generated as part of this remediation? Yes

Describe beneficial use, if any, of E&P Waste derived from this remediation project:

No beneficial use

Volume of E&P Waste (solid) in cubic yards 25

E&P waste (solid) description hydrocarbon impacted soil

ECMC Disposal Facility ID #, if applicable:

Non-ECMC Disposal Facility: Buffalo Ridge Landfill, Keenesburg, CO

Volume of E&P Waste (liquid) in barrels 0

E&P waste (liquid) description

ECMC Disposal Facility ID #, if applicable:

Non-ECMC Disposal Facility:

REMEDIATION COMPLETION REPORT

REMEDIATION COMPLETION SUMMARY

Is this a Final Closure Request for this Remediation Project? No

If YES:

Compliant with Rule 913.h.(1).

Compliant with Rule 913.h.(2).

Compliant with Rule 913.h.(3).

Do all soils meet Table 915-1 standards? No

Does the previous reply indicate consideration of background concentrations?

Does Groundwater meet Table 915-1 standards? Yes

Is additional groundwater monitoring to be conducted? _____

Operator shall comply with the ECMC 1000-Series Reclamation Requirements for all impacted and disturbed areas.

RECLAMATION PLAN

RECLAMATION PLANNING

Describe reclamation plan. Discuss existing and new grade recontouring; method and testing of compaction alleviation; and reseeding program, including location of new seed, seed mix and noxious weed prevention. Attach diagram or drawing.

Reclamation will be in accordance with COGCC 1000 Series Rules.

Is the described reclamation complete? Yes

Does the reclamation described herein constitute interim or final reclamation of the Oil and Gas Location?

Interim Final

Did the Surface Owner provide the seed mix? _____

If YES, does the seed mix comply with local soil conservation district recommendations? _____

Did the local soil conservation district provide the seed mix? _____

SITE RECLAMATION DATES

Proposed date of commencement of Reclamation. 10/31/2025

Proposed date of completion of Reclamation. 10/31/2027

IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE

Per Rule 913.d.(2): Any change from the approved implementation schedule will be requested at least 14 days in advance, and the Operator may not make the change without the Director's approval.

PRIOR DATES

Date of Surface Owner notification/consultation, if required. 02/01/2022

Actual Spill or Release date, or date of discovery. 09/01/2023

SITE INVESTIGATION DATES

Date of Initial Actions described in Site Investigation Plan (start date). 08/22/2023

Proposed site investigation commencement. 04/01/2022

Proposed completion of site investigation. 08/22/2023

REMEDIAL ACTION DATES

Proposed start date of Remediation. 08/22/2023

Proposed date of completion of Remediation. 03/31/2025

Per Rule 913.d.(2): Any change from the approved implementation schedule will be requested at least 14 days in advance, and the Operator may not make the change without the Director's approval.

Change from approved implementation schedule per Rule 913.d.(2).

Basis for change in implementation schedule:

OPERATOR COMMENT

This form serves to comply with the Rule 913.e. reporting schedule. The Operator will complete the additional site investigation as outlined in this proposed Site Investigation Report workplan prior to the Proposed completion of site investigation date detailed in the Implementation schedule. Work is tentatively scheduled for 3/21/2025. Supplemental Form 27s will be prepared and submitted on a quarterly schedule to provide updates and progress of the remediation until closure criteria has been achieved.

I hereby certify all statements made in this form are to the best of my knowledge true, correct, and complete.

Signed: Ethan Black

Title: Consultant

Submit Date: 03/18/2025

Email: ethanb@fremontenv.com

Based on the information provided herein, this Application for Site Investigation and Remediation Workplan complies with ECMC Rules and applicable orders and is hereby approved.

ECMC Approved: Collin Metz

Date: 07/25/2025

Remediation Project Number: 22552

COA Type**Description**

0 COA	
-------	--

ATTACHMENT LIST

Upon approval, the approved Form 27 and all listed attachments will be indexed to the Remediation Project file. Only the approved Form 27 will also be indexed to the related Facilities.

Att Doc Num**Name**

404131126	INVESTIGATION/REMEDATION WORKPLAN (SUPPLEMENTAL)
404294861	FORM 27-SUPPLEMENTAL-SUBMITTED

Total Attach: 2 Files

General Comments**User Group****Comment****Comment Date**

	A more recent form, Document #404241245, has been submitted. The COAs/comments on that form take precedence.	07/25/2025
--	--	------------

Total: 1 comment(s)