

State of Colorado
Energy & Carbon Management Commission

1120 Lincoln Street, Suite 801, Denver, Colorado 80203
Phone: (303) 894-2100 Fax: (303) 894-2109



Document Number:
404222858
Receive Date:
06/02/2025

Report taken by:
Nick Cholas

Site Investigation and Remediation Workplan (Supplemental Form)

This form shall be submitted to the Director for approval prior to the initiation of site investigation and remediation activities. However, this shall not preclude the Operator from taking immediate action to protect public health or safety, the environment, wildlife, or livestock.

This Form 27 describes site conditions as currently understood by the Operator; approval of this Form 27 by ECMC is based on the site conditions accurately described herein; any changes in site conditions identified during or subsequent to the performance of the approved workplan may necessitate additional investigation or remediation which shall be described on a supplemental Form 27. This Form 27 is intended to provide basic information regarding the proposed site investigation and remediation actions, but the workplan may be more fully described in attached documentation.

Closure request is not available for an Initial Site Investigation and Remediation Workplan.

OPERATOR INFORMATION

Name of Operator: PDC ENERGY INC	Operator No: 69175	Phone Numbers Phone: (303) 860-5800 Mobile: ()
Address: 1099 18TH STREET SUITE 1500		
City: DENVER	State: CO	Zip: 80202
Contact Person: Karen Olson	Email: karen.olson@chevron.com	

PROJECT, PURPOSE & SITE INFORMATION

PROJECT INFORMATION

Remediation Project #: 21589 Initial Form 27 Document #: 402902806

PURPOSE INFORMATION

- Rule 913.c.(1): Pit or Cuttings Trench closure.
- Rule 913.c.(2): Buried or partially buried vessel closure, which will be by removal.
- Rule 913.c.(3): Remediation of Spill and Releases pursuant to Rule 912.
- Rule 913.c.(4): Land treatment of Oily Waste pursuant to Rule 905.e.
- Rule 913.c.(5): Closure of Centralized E&P Waste Management Facilities pursuant to Rule 907.h.
- Rule 913.c.(6): Remediation of impacted Groundwater pursuant to Rule 915.e.(3).D, and the contaminant concentrations in Table 915-1.
- Rule 913.c.(7): Investigation and remediation of natural gas in soil or Groundwater.
- Rule 913.c.(8): When requested by the Director due to any potential risk to soil, Groundwater, or surface water.
- Rule 913.c.(9): Decommissioning of Oil and Gas Facilities.
- Rule 913.g: Changes of Operator.
- Rule 915.b: Request to leave elevated inorganics in situ.
- Other: _____

SITE INFORMATION

Yes Multiple Facilities

Facility Type: LOCATION	Facility ID: 329872	API #: _____	County Name: WELD
Facility Name: MCLEOD-64N66W 29NESE	Latitude: 40.281146	Longitude: -104.794021	
** correct Lat/Long if needed: Latitude: 40.282597		Longitude: -104.795100	
QtrQtr: NESE	Sec: 29	Twp: 4N	Range: 66W Meridian: 6 Sensitive Area? Yes

Facility Type: SPILL OR RELEASE	Facility ID: 481811	API #: _____	County Name: WELD
Facility Name: McLeod 29-41	Latitude: 40.282693	Longitude: -104.795070	
** correct Lat/Long if needed: Latitude: _____		Longitude: _____	
QtrQtr: NENE	Sec: 29	Twp: 4n	Range: 66w Meridian: 6 Sensitive Area? Yes

SITE CONDITIONS

General soil type - USCS Classifications SC

Most Sensitive Adjacent Land Use Residential /
Agricultural

Is domestic water well within 1/4 mile? Yes

Is surface water within 1/4 mile? Yes

Is groundwater less than 20 feet below ground surface? No

Other Potential Receptors within 1/4 mile

Nearest Well: Other - 920' WSW / Irrigation - 1,255' WNW; Surface Water: Irrigation Ditch - 920' E; Occupied Building: 750' E; FWS Wetlands: 1,130' SE Freshwater Pond (PUBFx).

DENIED

SITE INVESTIGATION PLAN

TYPE OF WASTE:

- | | | |
|--|--|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> E&P Waste | <input type="checkbox"/> Other E&P Waste | <input type="checkbox"/> Non-E&P Waste |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Produced Water | <input type="checkbox"/> Workover Fluids | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Oil | <input type="checkbox"/> Tank Bottoms | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Condensate | <input type="checkbox"/> Pigging Waste | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Drilling Fluids | <input type="checkbox"/> Rig Wash | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Drill Cuttings | <input type="checkbox"/> Spent Filters | |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Pit Bottoms | |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Other (as described by EPA) | |

DESCRIPTION OF IMPACT

Impacted?	Impacted Media	Extent of Impact	How Determined
Yes	GROUNDWATER	Refer to ECMC Document #403562813	Laboratory analysis and field screening
Yes	SOILS	Refer to ECMC Document #403562813	Laboratory analysis and field screening

INITIAL ACTION SUMMARY

Description of initial action or emergency response measures take to abate, investigate, and/or remediate impacts associated with E&P Waste.

On March 21, 2022, field screening and confirmation soil sampling was conducted in accordance with the ECMC Rule 911 during the decommissioning and closure of the McLeod 29-41 Tank Battery. Based on initial results, a historic release was discovered below the former produced water vessel (PWV). Following the discovery, mitigation activities were initiated to delineate and remove remaining hydrocarbon impacts. To date, approximately 2,689 cubic yards (CY) of impacted material were removed and transported to the North Weld Waste Management and Buffalo Ridge Facilities for disposal under PDC manifests.

PROPOSED SAMPLING PLAN

Proposed Soil Sampling

Will soil samples be collected as part of this investigation? (Number, type (grab/composite), analyses, and locations of samples):

Between March 24 and April 11, 2022, three soil samples (PWV01-W, SS01, and SS03) were collected from impacted source material adjacent to and below the PWV between depths of approximately 5 feet and 21 feet bgs. The samples were submitted for laboratory analysis of the full ECMC Table 915-1 analyte suite. Laboratory analytical results from the PWV source area indicated a combination of BTEX, 1,2,4-TMB, 1,3,5-TMB, naphthalene, TPH (C6-C36), fluorene, 1-M, and 2-M above ECMC regulatory limits. Two soil samples (SS02 & SS04) were collected from the base of the excavation at depths of 16 feet and 25 feet bgs and submitted for laboratory analysis of BTEX, 1,2,4-TMB, 1,3,5-TMB, naphthalene, TPH (C6-C36). SS04 was submitted for additional analysis of fluorene, 1-M, & 2-M. Final analytical results for the soil samples (SS01-SS04) indicated that organic concentrations were in exceedance of the applicable ECMC Table 915-1 Protection of Groundwater SSLs in SS04.

Proposed Groundwater Sampling

Will groundwater samples be collected as part of this investigation? (Number, analyses, and locations of samples):

Groundwater was encountered in the engineered excavation at approximately 31 ft bgs on August 10, 2023. As such, one groundwater sample (GW01) was collected from the excavation at approximately 31 ft bgs and was submitted for laboratory analysis of BTEX, naphthalene, 1,2,4-TMB, and 1,3,5-TMB by EPA Method 8260. Analytical results indicated that 1,2,4-TMB & 1,3,5-TMB were in exceedance of the ECMC Table 915-1 standards. Supporting documentation was included on a previously submitted Supplemental Form 27 (ECMC Document #403562813).

Proposed Surface Water Sampling

Will surface water samples be collected as part of this investigation? (Number, analyses, and locations of samples):

Additional Investigative Actions

Additional alternative investigative actions described in attached Site Investigation Plan (summary):

During initial decommissioning activities conducted on March 21, 2022, soil encountered on-site and below production equipment was visually inspected and field screened for VOC concentrations using a PID. Per the approved proposed soil sampling plan, one soil sample (SEP01-DL) was collected adjacent to the separator dump-line riser, one sample (SEP01-FL) was collected beneath the flowline riser at the separator, and one sample (AST01) was collected adjacent to the above ground storage tank. Additionally, one grab soil sample (ECD01 @ 0-6") was collected adjacent to the ECD and field screened for VOCs using a PID. Soil samples SEP01-DL, SEP01-FL, and AST01 were submitted for lab analysis of BTEX, naphthalene, 1,2,4-TMB, 1,3,5-TMB, and TPH (C6-C36). Analytical results indicated that organic compounds were in compliance with the applicable ECMC Table 915-1 Protection of Groundwater SSLs in all three soil samples collected. Supporting documentation can be found in ECMC Document #403562813.

SITE INVESTIGATION REPORT

SAMPLE SUMMARY

Soil

Number of soil samples collected 10
Number of soil samples exceeding 915-1 0
Was the areal and vertical extent of soil contamination delineated? Yes
Approximate areal extent (square feet) 0

NA / ND

ND Highest concentration of TPH (mg/kg) _____
-- Highest concentration of SAR 2.7
BTEX > 915-1 No
Vertical Extent > 915-1 (in feet) 0

Groundwater

Number of groundwater samples collected 10
Was extent of groundwater contaminated delineated? No
Depth to groundwater (below ground surface, in feet) 26
Number of groundwater monitoring wells installed 10
Number of groundwater samples exceeding 915-1 0

ND Highest concentration of Benzene (µg/l) _____
ND Highest concentration of Toluene (µg/l) _____
ND Highest concentration of Ethylbenzene (µg/l) _____
ND Highest concentration of Xylene (µg/l) _____
NA Highest concentration of Methane (mg/l) _____

Surface Water

0 Number of surface water samples collected
0 Number of surface water samples exceeding 915-1
If surface water is impacted, other agency notification may be required.

OTHER INVESTIGATION INFORMATION

Were impacts to adjacent property or offsite impacts identified?

Were background samples collected as part of this site investigation?

On 03/21/2022, two background soil samples were collected from one discrete location (BKG01) near the tank battery. In accordance with the COA issued on ECMC document #403474998, the BKG01 samples are not representative of native soil conditions from similar depths as the impacts, and are excluded from background metals calculations. On 03/26/2025, fifteen background soil samples were collected from five discrete locations (BKG02-BKG06) adjacent to the tank battery and analyzed for metals in soil per ECMC Table 915-1, pH, SAR, EC, and boron. Background sample depths range from approximately 1.5 to 14 ft bgs. The maximum background concentration for pH was observed to be 8.78. The maximum background concentrations with a 1.25x multiplier applied for arsenic and barium were calculated to be 8.61 mg/kg and 208 mg/kg, respectively. All concentrations observed during site investigation activities were below the beforementioned background levels.

Was investigation derived waste (IDW) generated as part of this investigation?

Volume of solid waste (cubic yards) _____ Volume of liquid waste (barrels) _____

Is further site investigation required?

Additional site investigation activities may be proposed on a subsequent Form 27, as applicable. Groundwater monitoring will be conducted until four consecutive quarters of closure criteria are met.

REMEDIAL ACTION PLAN

Does this Supplemental Form 27A include changes to a previously approved Remedial Action Plan? No

SOURCE REMOVAL SUMMARY

Describe how source is to be removed.

Between March 11, 2022 and August 16, 2023, approximately 2,689 cubic yards of impacted material were excavated below and adjacent to the former PWV and transported to the North Weld Waste Management and Buffalo Ridge Facilities for disposal under PDC waste manifests.

Supplemental source mass removal was re-initiated on August 10, 2023, via mechanical excavation under a stamped Engineered Excavation Work Plan. Prior to initiation of excavation activities, Per Document # 403474998, the ECMC approved COC list includes: BTEX, naphthalene, TPH (C6-C36), 1,2,4-TMB, 1,3,5-TMB, fluorene, 1-M, 2-M, arsenic, and selenium. Between August 10 & 17, 2023, fifty-seven (57) soil samples (SS05-SS52 & SS55-SS63) were collected from the base and sidewalls of the engineered excavation extent at depths ranging between approximately 7 feet and 31 feet bgs and submitted for analysis of the COCs. Additionally, six (6) soil samples (SS64-SS69) were collected from approximately 2.5 ft bgs and submitted for laboratory analysis of pH, EC, SAR, & boron. Analytical results indicated that constituent compounds were in compliance of applicable standards from the final excavation extent, with the exception of arsenic exceedances observed in soil samples SS05-SS22, SS24-SS27, SS29-SS52, & SS55-SS63. Due to the COA issued on ECMC document #403474998, background samples (BKG01) collected were not used in determining Table 915-1 metal concentrations in native material.

Confirmation soil samples (CS01-CS10) were collected from clean backfill material and submitted for analysis of BTEX, naphthalene, TMBs, TPH (C6-C36), fluorene, 1-M, 2-M, and arsenic. Analytical results indicated that all constituents were below the applicable standards.

Supporting documentation was included on a previously submitted Supplemental Form 27 (ECMC Document #403562813).

REMEDIATION SUMMARY

Describe how remediation of existing impacts to soil and groundwater is to be accomplished (i.e. summarize remedial action plan). Provide a brief narrative description including: technical justification, schedule for implementation, estimated time to attain NFA status, plus plans and specifications for the selected remedial action technology.

A Site Assessment was conducted between March 6, 2025 and March 17, 2025 to advance ten soil borings (BH01-BH10) in the historically impacted area of the August 2023 engineered excavation to investigate concentrations of 1,2,4-TMB & 1,3,5-TM exceeding ECMC regulatory limits, identified in groundwater sample GW01. BH01 and BH02 were advanced in the approximate center of the former excavation base. BH03-BH10 were advanced surrounding the former excavation base to laterally delineate impacts to groundwater. Soil samples were collected at the terminus depth of each boring (34-35 ft bgs), which is beneath the total depth of the excavation. Soil samples were collected and analyzed for full ECMC Table 915-1 parameters. All analyzed compounds were observed below ECMC Table 915-1 standards or background concentrations. Each of the ten soil borings were converted to temporary monitoring wells. Ten groundwater samples were collected and analyzed for BTEX, Naphthalene, 1,2,4-TMB, 1,3,5-TMB, and inorganic parameters, as described in the Groundwater Monitoring section, below.

Soil Remediation Summary

In Situ

Ex Situ

_____ Bioremediation (or enhanced bioremediation)	Yes	Excavate and offsite disposal
_____ Chemical oxidation		If Yes: Estimated Volume (Cubic Yards) _____ 2689
_____ Air sparge / Soil vapor extraction		Name of Licensed Disposal Facility or ECMC Facility ID # _____
_____ Natural Attenuation		Excavate and onsite remediation
_____ Other _____		Land Treatment
		Bioremediation (or enhanced bioremediation)
		Chemical oxidation
		Other _____

Groundwater Remediation Summary

_____ Bioremediation (or enhanced bioremediation)

_____ Chemical oxidation

_____ Air sparge / Soil vapor extraction

Yes _____ Natural Attenuation

_____ Other _____

GROUNDWATER MONITORING

If groundwater has been impacted, describe proposed monitoring plan, including # of wells or sample points, monitoring schedule, analytical methods, points of compliance. Attach a groundwater monitoring location diagram.

On August 10, 2023, Groundwater was encountered in the engineered excavation at approximately 31 ft bgs. One groundwater sample (GW01) was collected from the excavation at 31 ft bgs and was submitted for laboratory analysis of BTEX, naphthalene, 1,2,4-TMB, and 1,3,5-TMB by EPA Method 8260. Analytical results indicated that 1,2,4-TMB & 1,3,5-TMB were in exceedance of the ECMC Table 915-1 standards.

Between March 6, 2025 and March 17, 2025, ten temporary monitoring wells were installed (BH01-BH10). During the Second Quarter 2025, groundwater monitoring was conducted at all ten wells. Ten groundwater samples were submitted to Summit Scientific Laboratories for analysis of benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, total xylenes (BTEX), naphthalene, 1,2,4-trimethylbenzene (TMB), and 1,3,5-TMB by EPA Method 8260B, Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) by EPA Method SM2540C, and chloride and sulfate ions by EPA Method 300.0. Second quarter 2025 analytical results indicated that organic compound concentrations were in compliance with the applicable ECMC Table 915-1 regulatory standards in all ten monitoring well locations. Additionally, all TDS, chloride ion, and sulfate ion concentrations were below their respective maximum historical background concentrations at up and cross gradient wells BH07 and BH08.

PDC will conduct quarterly groundwater monitoring until four consecutive quarters of closure criteria are met. Groundwater samples will be submitted for laboratory analysis of BTEX, naphthalene, 1,2,4-TMB, and 1,3,5-TMB by EPA Method 8260B, (TDS) by EPA Method SM2540C, and chloride and sulfate ions by EPA Method 300.0.

REMEDIATION PROGRESS UPDATE

PERIODIC REPORTING

Approved Reporting Schedule:

Quarterly Semi-Annually Annually Other

Request Alternative Reporting Schedule:

Semi-Annually Annually Other

Rule 913.e:

After initial approval of a Form 27, the Operator will provide quarterly update reports in a Supplemental Form 27 to document progress of site investigation and remediation, unless an alternative reporting schedule has been requested by the Operator and approved by the Director. The Director may request a more frequent reporting schedule based on site-specific conditions.

Report Type: Groundwater Monitoring Land Treatment Progress Report O&M Report
 Other Supplemental Site Investigation and 2Q25 Groundwater Monitoring Report

Adequacy of Operator's General Liability Insurance and Financial Assurance

Describe the adequacy of the Operator's general liability insurance and Financial Assurance to fully address the anticipated costs of Remediation, including the estimated remaining cost for this project (below).

If this information has been provided on a Form 27 within the last 12 months, provide the Document Number of that form.

Operator does not have site-specific financial assurance for this project; however, Operator has inactive well, blanket, and surface bonding including Surety IDs 106077122, 106473808, and 106473820, as well as commercial general liability and/or umbrella/excess insurance meeting the requirements of Rule 705.b. Operator does not anticipate making an insurance claim for this project.

- Facility and infrastructure were decommissioned and the location will be reclaimed in accordance with the ECMC 1000 Series
- Source mass removal has been completed
- Investigation and delineation of organic and inorganic constituents is complete
- Groundwater Monitoring is ongoing

Costs included herein are estimates only and may change over time based on numerous factors. Accordingly, Operator makes no guarantees as to the accuracy of such cost estimates, thus providing an estimate for the next year below.

Operator anticipates the remaining cost for this project to be: \$ 15000

WASTE DISPOSAL INFORMATION

Was E&P waste generated as part of this remediation? Yes

Describe beneficial use, if any, of E&P Waste derived from this remediation project:

No beneficial use.

Volume of E&P Waste (solid) in cubic yards 2689

E&P waste (solid) description Hydrocarbon Impacted Soil

ECMC Disposal Facility ID #, if applicable:

Non-ECMC Disposal Facility: North Weld Waste Management & Buffalo Ridge Facilities

Volume of E&P Waste (liquid) in barrels 0

E&P waste (liquid) description

ECMC Disposal Facility ID #, if applicable:

Non-ECMC Disposal Facility:

REMEDIATION COMPLETION REPORT

REMEDIATION COMPLETION SUMMARY

Is this a Final Closure Request for this Remediation Project? No

If YES:

Compliant with Rule 913.h.(1).

Compliant with Rule 913.h.(2).

Compliant with Rule 913.h.(3).

Do all soils meet Table 915-1 standards? _____

Does the previous reply indicate consideration of background concentrations? _____

Does Groundwater meet Table 915-1 standards? _____

Is additional groundwater monitoring to be conducted? _____

Operator shall comply with the ECMC 1000-Series Reclamation Requirements for all impacted and disturbed areas.

RECLAMATION PLAN

RECLAMATION PLANNING

Describe reclamation plan. Discuss existing and new grade recontouring; method and testing of compaction alleviation; and reseeding program, including location of new seed, seed mix and noxious weed prevention. Attach diagram or drawing.

Following tank battery decommissioning and supplemental source mass removal activities, the location was backfilled, compacted, and re-contoured to match pre-existing conditions. The location will be reclaimed in accordance with the ECMC 1000 series.

Is the described reclamation complete? Yes _____

Does the reclamation described herein constitute interim or final reclamation of the Oil and Gas Location?

Interim

Final

Did the Surface Owner provide the seed mix? _____

If YES, does the seed mix comply with local soil conservation district recommendations? _____

Did the local soil conservation district provide the seed mix? _____

SITE RECLAMATION DATES

Proposed date of commencement of Reclamation. 03/21/2022

Proposed date of completion of Reclamation. 06/05/2027

IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE

Per Rule 913.d.(2): Any change from the approved implementation schedule will be requested at least 14 days in advance, and the Operator may not make the change without the Director's approval.

PRIOR DATES

Date of Surface Owner notification/consultation, if required. 09/24/2021

Actual Spill or Release date, or date of discovery. 03/21/2022

SITE INVESTIGATION DATES

Date of Initial Actions described in Site Investigation Plan (start date). 01/07/2022

Proposed site investigation commencement. 05/29/2025

Proposed completion of site investigation. 11/29/2025

REMEDIAL ACTION DATES

Proposed start date of Remediation. 11/29/2025

Proposed date of completion of Remediation. 05/29/2026

Per Rule 913.d.(2): Any change from the approved implementation schedule will be requested at least 14 days in advance, and the Operator may not make the change without the Director's approval.

Change from approved implementation schedule per Rule 913.d.(2).

Basis for change in implementation schedule:

The implementation schedule has been changed due to the completion of the March and April 2025 supplemental site investigation (SSI) at the McLeod 29-41 Tank Battery and necessity to complete quarterly groundwater monitoring at the site.

OPERATOR COMMENT

This Form 27 is being submitted to include the March 2025 supplemental site investigation and Second Quarter groundwater monitoring results at the McLeod 29-41 tank battery location (Remediation #21589).

A Site Assessment was conducted between March 6, 2025 and March 17, 2025 to advance ten soil borings (BH01-BH10) in the historically impacted area of the August 2023 engineered excavation to investigate concentrations of 1,2,4-TMB & 1,3,5-TM exceeding ECMC regulatory limits, identified in groundwater sample GW01. BH01 and BH02 were advanced in the approximate center of the former excavation base. BH03-BH10 were advanced surrounding the former excavation base to laterally delineate impacts to groundwater. Soil samples were collected at the terminus depth of each boring (34-35 ft bgs), which is beneath the total depth of the excavation. Soil samples were collected and analyzed for full ECMC Table 915-1 parameters. All analyzed compounds were observed below ECMC Table 915-1 standards or background concentrations. Each of the ten soil borings were converted to temporary monitoring wells.

On March 26, 2025, fifteen background soil samples were collected from five discrete locations (BKG02-BKG06) adjacent to the tank battery and analyzed for metals in soil per ECMC Table 915-1, pH, SAR, EC, and boron. Background sample depths range from approximately 1.5 to 14 ft bgs. The maximum background concentration for pH was observed to be 8.78. The maximum background concentrations with a 1.25x multiplier applied for arsenic and barium were calculated to be 8.61 mg/kg and 208 mg/kg, respectively. All concentrations observed during the March 2025 site investigation activities were below the beforementioned background levels.

During the Second Quarter 2025, groundwater monitoring was conducted at all ten wells. Ten groundwater samples were submitted to Summit Scientific Laboratories for analysis of benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, total xylenes (BTEX), naphthalene, 1,2,4-trimethylbenzene (TMB), and 1,3,5-TMB by EPA Method 8260B, Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) by EPA Method SM2540C, and chloride and sulfate ions by EPA Method 300.0. Second quarter 2025 analytical results indicated that organic compound concentrations were in compliance with the applicable ECMC Table 915-1 regulatory standards in all ten monitoring well locations. Additionally, all TDS, chloride ion, and sulfate ion concentrations were below their respective maximum historical background concentrations at up and cross gradient wells BH07 and BH08.

PDC will conduct quarterly groundwater monitoring until four consecutive quarters of closure criteria are met. Groundwater samples will be submitted for laboratory analysis of BTEX, naphthalene, 1,2,4-TMB, and 1,3,5-TMB by EPA Method 8260B, (TDS) by EPA Method SM2540C, and chloride and sulfate ions by EPA Method 300.0.

Pursuant to Rule 913.e, quarterly reporting will be conducted until closure criteria are achieved for the remediation project.

I hereby certify all statements made in this form are to the best of my knowledge true, correct, and complete.

Signed: Allan Engelhardt

Title: Environmental Consultant

Submit Date: 06/02/2025

Email: aengelhardt@tasman-geo.com

Based on the information provided herein, this Application for Site Investigation and Remediation Workplan complies with ECMC Rules and applicable orders and is hereby approved.

ECMC Approved:

Date:

Remediation Project Number: 21589

COA Type

Description

0 COA	
-------	--

ATTACHMENT LIST

Upon approval, the approved Form 27 and all listed attachments will be indexed to the Remediation Project file. Only the approved Form 27 will also be indexed to the related Facilities.

Att Doc Num

Name

404222858	FORM 27-SUPPLEMENTAL-SUBMITTED
404225130	SITE INVESTIGATION REPORT
404225186	LABORATORY ANALYTICAL REPORT
404225187	LABORATORY ANALYTICAL REPORT
404225189	LABORATORY ANALYTICAL REPORT
404225190	LABORATORY ANALYTICAL REPORT
404225191	LABORATORY ANALYTICAL REPORT
404225193	LABORATORY ANALYTICAL REPORT

Total Attach: 9 Files

General Comments

<u>User Group</u>	<u>Comment</u>	<u>Comment Date</u>
Environmental	Laboratory analytical indicates that a subset of the samples were analyzed outside of the hold time required by the analytical method(s). Operator voluntarily disclosed this information in accordance with Rule 525.e. As discussed with ECMC Staff, Operator shall submit a replacement Form 27 with a revised lab report flagging the out of hold time data and revised workplan.	07/07/2025

Total: 1 comment(s)



DENIED