

State of Colorado
Energy & Carbon Management Commission

1120 Lincoln Street, Suite 801, Denver, Colorado 80203
Phone: (303) 894-2100 Fax: (303) 894-2109



Document Number:
404213276

Receive Date:

Report taken by:

Site Investigation and Remediation Workplan (Supplemental Form)

This form shall be submitted to the Director for approval prior to the initiation of site investigation and remediation activities. However, this shall not preclude the Operator from taking immediate action to protect public health or safety, the environment, wildlife, or livestock.

This Form 27 describes site conditions as currently understood by the Operator; approval of this Form 27 by ECMC is based on the site conditions accurately described herein; any changes in site conditions identified during or subsequent to the performance of the approved workplan may necessitate additional investigation or remediation which shall be described on a supplemental Form 27.

This Form 27 is intended to provide basic information regarding the proposed site investigation and remediation actions, but the workplan may be more fully described in attached documentation.

Closure request is not available for an Initial Site Investigation and Remediation Workplan.

OPERATOR INFORMATION

Name of Operator: NOBLE ENERGY INC	Operator No: 100322	Phone Numbers Phone: (970) 313-5582 Mobile: ()
Address: 1099 18TH STREET SUITE 1500		
City: DENVER	State: CO	Zip: 80202
Contact Person: Jason Davidson	Email: jason.davidson@chevron.com	

PROJECT, PURPOSE & SITE INFORMATION

PROJECT INFORMATION

Remediation Project #: 34125 Initial Form 27 Document #: 403654456

PURPOSE INFORMATION

- Rule 913.c.(1): Pit or Cuttings Trench closure.
- Rule 913.c.(2): Buried or partially buried vessel closure, which will be by removal.
- Rule 913.c.(3): Remediation of Spill and Releases pursuant to Rule 912.
- Rule 913.c.(4): Land treatment of Oily Waste pursuant to Rule 905.e.
- Rule 913.c.(5): Closure of Centralized E&P Waste Management Facilities pursuant to Rule 907.h.
- Rule 913.c.(6): Remediation of impacted Groundwater pursuant to Rule 915.e.(3).D, and the contaminant concentrations in Table 915-1.
- Rule 913.c.(7): Investigation and remediation of natural gas in soil or Groundwater.
- Rule 913.c.(8): When requested by the Director due to any potential risk to soil, Groundwater, or surface water.
- Rule 913.c.(9): Decommissioning of Oil and Gas Facilities.
- Rule 913.g: Changes of Operator.
- Rule 915.b: Request to leave elevated inorganics in situ.
- Other: _____

SITE INFORMATION

No Multiple Facilities

Facility Type: WELL	Facility ID: _____	API #: 123-13629	County Name: WELD
Facility Name: REICHERT 9-5	Latitude: 40.328910	Longitude: -104.675170	
** correct Lat/Long if needed: Latitude: _____		Longitude: _____	
QtrQtr: SWNW	Sec: 9	Twps: 4N	Range: 65W Meridian: 6 Sensitive Area? Yes

SITE CONDITIONS

General soil type - USCS Classifications SW Most Sensitive Adjacent Land Use Cropland

Is domestic water well within 1/4 mile? Yes Is surface water within 1/4 mile? Yes

Is groundwater less than 20 feet below ground surface? No

Other Potential Receptors within 1/4 mile

Freshwater Emergent Wetland 0.13/0.17mi S
Herbaceous Riparian 0.14mi S
Freshwater Pond 0.19mi S
Residential 0.15/0.20mi SW
Farm Structure 0.13/0.20mi SW, 0.16mi NW
Apparent Waterway 0.10mi SW

SITE INVESTIGATION PLAN

TYPE OF WASTE:

- | | | |
|--|--|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> E&P Waste | <input type="checkbox"/> Other E&P Waste | <input type="checkbox"/> Non-E&P Waste |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Produced Water | <input type="checkbox"/> Workover Fluids | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Oil | <input type="checkbox"/> Tank Bottoms | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Condensate | <input type="checkbox"/> Pigging Waste | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Drilling Fluids | <input type="checkbox"/> Rig Wash | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Drill Cuttings | <input type="checkbox"/> Spent Filters | |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Pit Bottoms | |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Other (as described by EPA) | |

DESCRIPTION OF IMPACT

Impacted?	Impacted Media	Extent of Impact	How Determined
UNDETERMINED	GROUNDWATER	NA	Lab Analysis or Field Screening, if encountered
Yes	SOILS	Refer to Tables & Figures	Lab Analysis and Field Screening

INITIAL ACTION SUMMARY

Description of initial action or emergency response measures take to abate, investigate, and/or remediate impacts associated with E&P Waste.

Pursuant to ECMC Rule 911 a site investigation was conducted pertaining to the REICHERT 09-05 wellhead cut and cap on 5/13/2024 and flowline removal on 5/17/2024. Approximately 2166' of flowline was abandoned-in-place due to field constraints. The wellhead was cut and capped per ECMC rules. Additionally, soil samples were field screened at the N-E-S-W sides of the wellhead. So as to not disturb the area of field constraint, soil samples were taken at the start and endpoint of the flowline where the area exists. The flowline is currently planned for future removal, at which time soil sampling and screening samples will be taken along the flowline at any points of material change and/or hammer unions, and directional changes. The ECMC will be updated in a supplemental Form 27 when a portion of the flowline is able to be removed. The ECMC was notified on Form 44 Document number 403881619. Wellhead re-sampling was completed on 11/26/2024.

PROPOSED SAMPLING PLAN

Proposed Soil Sampling

Will soil samples be collected as part of this investigation? (Number, type (grab/composite), analyses, and locations of samples):

A grab soil sample was collected at the base of the excavation or the area showing the highest degree of impact during field screening activities at the wellhead excavation. Additionally, soil samples were field screened at the N-E-S-W sides of the wellhead. The flowline is currently planned for future removal, at which time soil sampling and screening samples will be taken along the flowline at any points of material change and/or hammer unions, and directional changes. Pursuant to the flowline removal, all laboratory analytical samples will be analyzed for full ECMC Table 915-1 contaminants of concern. Soil samples were analyzed by a certified laboratory for the full extent of Table 915-1, including but not limited to: TPH (total volatile [C6-C10] and extractable [C10-C36] hydrocarbons) organic compounds in soil per ECMC Table 915-1, and EC, SAR, pH, metals, and boron. All samples collected were analyzed by a certified laboratory using approved ECMC laboratory analysis methods.

Proposed Groundwater Sampling

Will groundwater samples be collected as part of this investigation? (Number, analyses, and locations of samples):

If groundwater is encountered during the site investigation a grab groundwater sample will be collected and analyzed for all organic compounds and inorganic parameters per ECMC Table 915-1.

Proposed Surface Water Sampling

Will surface water samples be collected as part of this investigation? (Number, analyses, and locations of samples):

Additional Investigative Actions

Additional alternative investigative actions described in attached Site Investigation Plan (summary):

Visual inspection of the wellhead and flowline areas occurred during abandonment activities. Field personnel field screened all disturbed areas using visual and olfactory senses to determine if laboratory confirmation sampling was required. The ECMC Flowline Closure and Wellhead Closure Checklists were utilized and filled out during the abandonment process. A detailed summary of wellhead re-sampling activities, including field notes, site photos, figures, and laboratory analytical results, is attached to this Form 27.

SITE INVESTIGATION REPORT

SAMPLE SUMMARY

Soil

Number of soil samples collected 1
Number of soil samples exceeding 915-1 0
Was the areal and vertical extent of soil contamination delineated? Yes
Approximate areal extent (square feet) 0

NA / ND

ND Highest concentration of TPH (mg/kg) _____
-- Highest concentration of SAR 2.75
BTEX > 915-1 No
Vertical Extent > 915-1 (in feet) 4

Groundwater

Number of groundwater samples collected 0
Was extent of groundwater contaminated delineated? Yes
Depth to groundwater (below ground surface, in feet) _____
Number of groundwater monitoring wells installed _____
Number of groundwater samples exceeding 915-1 _____

_____ Highest concentration of Benzene (µg/l) _____
_____ Highest concentration of Toluene (µg/l) _____
_____ Highest concentration of Ethylbenzene (µg/l) _____
_____ Highest concentration of Xylene (µg/l) _____
_____ Highest concentration of Methane (mg/l) _____

Surface Water

0 Number of surface water samples collected
_____ Number of surface water samples exceeding 915-1
If surface water is impacted, other agency notification may be required.

OTHER INVESTIGATION INFORMATION

Were impacts to adjacent property or offsite impacts identified?

Were background samples collected as part of this site investigation?

Twelve background soil samples were collected near the flowline and wellhead locations and were analyzed for metals in soil per ECMC Table 915-1, pH, SAR, EC, and boron. The background soil samples were collected from depths of 4 and 6 feet below ground surface (ft bgs). The lithology between the site and background locations was observed to be silty sands. The maximum background concentration of pH was found to be 9.28 at 6 ft bgs. The maximum background concentration with a 1.25x multiplier applied for arsenic was calculated to be 2.24 mg/kg at 4 ft bgs and 2.04 mg/kg at 6 ft bgs. All pH and arsenic concentrations observed during decommissioning were below background levels. As such, pH and arsenic should be considered resolved. All other concentrations were below ECMC Table 915-1 Limits.

Was investigation derived waste (IDW) generated as part of this investigation?

Volume of solid waste (cubic yards) _____ Volume of liquid waste (barrels) _____

Is further site investigation required?

The flowline is currently planned for future removal, at which time soil sampling and screening samples will be taken along the flowline at any points of material change and/or hammer unions, and directional changes. Pursuant to the flowline removal, all laboratory analytical samples will be analyzed for full ECMC Table 915-1 contaminants of concern. Additional SSI activities will be proposed (as applicable) on a future Form 27 if further investigation is required.

REMEDIAL ACTION PLAN

Does this Supplemental Form 27A include changes to a previously approved Remedial Action Plan? No

SOURCE REMOVAL SUMMARY

Describe how source is to be removed.

No impacted material caused by oil and gas operations was identified at this time.

REMEDIATION SUMMARY

Describe how remediation of existing impacts to soil and groundwater is to be accomplished (i.e. summarize remedial action plan). Provide a brief narrative description including: technical justification, schedule for implementation, estimated time to attain NFA status, plus plans and specifications for the selected remedial action technology.

The flowline is currently planned for future removal, at which time soil sampling and screening samples will be taken along the flowline at any points of material change and/or hammer unions, and directional changes. Pursuant to the flowline removal, all laboratory analytical samples will be analyzed for full ECMC Table 915-1 contaminants of concern. Additional SSI activities will be proposed (as applicable) on a future Form 27 if further investigation is required.

Soil Remediation Summary

In Situ

- _____ Bioremediation (or enhanced bioremediation)
- _____ Chemical oxidation
- _____ Air sparge / Soil vapor extraction
- _____ Natural Attenuation
- _____ Other _____

Ex Situ

- _____ Excavate and offsite disposal
- _____ If Yes: Estimated Volume (Cubic Yards) _____
- _____ Name of Licensed Disposal Facility or ECMC Facility ID # _____
- _____ Excavate and onsite remediation
- _____ Land Treatment
- _____ Bioremediation (or enhanced bioremediation)
- _____ Chemical oxidation
- _____ Other _____

Groundwater Remediation Summary

- _____ Bioremediation (or enhanced bioremediation)
- _____ Chemical oxidation
- _____ Air sparge / Soil vapor extraction
- _____ Natural Attenuation
- _____ Other _____

GROUNDWATER MONITORING

If groundwater has been impacted, describe proposed monitoring plan, including # of wells or sample points, monitoring schedule, analytical methods, points of compliance. Attach a groundwater monitoring location diagram.

Groundwater was not encountered during site investigation or excavation activities.

REMEDIATION PROGRESS UPDATE

PERIODIC REPORTING

Approved Reporting Schedule:

Quarterly Semi-Annually Annually Other

Request Alternative Reporting Schedule:

Semi-Annually Annually Other

Rule 913.e:

After initial approval of a Form 27, the Operator will provide quarterly update reports in a Supplemental Form 27 to document progress of site investigation and remediation, unless an alternative reporting schedule has been requested by the Operator and approved by the Director. The Director may request a more frequent reporting schedule based on site-specific conditions.

Report Type: Groundwater Monitoring Land Treatment Progress Report O&M Report
 Other Decommissioning Sample Summary

Adequacy of Operator's General Liability Insurance and Financial Assurance

Describe the adequacy of the Operator's general liability insurance and Financial Assurance to fully address the anticipated costs of Remediation, including the estimated remaining cost for this project (below).
If this information has been provided on a Form 27 within the last 12 months, provide the Document Number of that form.

Noble intends to directly address the costs of remediation at the locations as part of our asset retirement obligation process and operations. Noble has general liability insurance (policies MWZZ316714 and MWZX316724) and financial assurance in compliance with ECMC rules. Records are available on the ECMC's website. The cost for remediation is an estimate only, costs may change upwards or downward based on site-specific information. Noble makes no representation or guarantees as to the accuracy of the estimate.

Operator anticipates the remaining cost for this project to be: \$ 50000

WASTE DISPOSAL INFORMATION

Was E&P waste generated as part of this remediation? No

Describe beneficial use, if any, of E&P Waste derived from this remediation project:

Volume of E&P Waste (solid) in cubic yards _____

E&P waste (solid) description _____

ECMC Disposal Facility ID #, if applicable: _____

Non-ECMC Disposal Facility: _____

Volume of E&P Waste (liquid) in barrels _____

E&P waste (liquid) description _____

ECMC Disposal Facility ID #, if applicable: _____

Non-ECMC Disposal Facility: _____

REMEDIATION COMPLETION REPORT

REMEDIATION COMPLETION SUMMARY

Is this a Final Closure Request for this Remediation Project? No

If YES:

- Compliant with Rule 913.h.(1).
- Compliant with Rule 913.h.(2).
- Compliant with Rule 913.h.(3).

Do all soils meet Table 915-1 standards? _____

Does the previous reply indicate consideration of background concentrations? _____

Does Groundwater meet Table 915-1 standards? _____

Is additional groundwater monitoring to be conducted? _____

Operator shall comply with the ECMC 1000-Series Reclamation Requirements for all impacted and disturbed areas.

RECLAMATION PLAN

RECLAMATION PLANNING

Describe reclamation plan. Discuss existing and new grade recontouring; method and testing of compaction alleviation; and reseeding program, including location of new seed, seed mix and noxious weed prevention. Attach diagram or drawing.

Reclamation will be in accordance with ECMC 1000 Series Rules.

Is the described reclamation complete? Yes _____

Does the reclamation described herein constitute interim or final reclamation of the Oil and Gas Location?

Interim Final

Did the Surface Owner provide the seed mix? _____

If YES, does the seed mix comply with local soil conservation district recommendations? _____

Did the local soil conservation district provide the seed mix? _____

SITE RECLAMATION DATES

Proposed date of commencement of Reclamation. 05/13/2024

Proposed date of completion of Reclamation. 10/31/2026

IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE

Per Rule 913.d.(2): Any change from the approved implementation schedule will be requested at least 14 days in advance, and the Operator may not make the change without the Director's approval.

PRIOR DATES

Date of Surface Owner notification/consultation, if required. 01/18/2024

Actual Spill or Release date, or date of discovery. _____

SITE INVESTIGATION DATES

Date of Initial Actions described in Site Investigation Plan (start date). 05/13/2024

Proposed site investigation commencement. 08/02/2025

Proposed completion of site investigation. 11/02/2025

REMEDIAL ACTION DATES

Proposed start date of Remediation. 08/02/2025

Proposed date of completion of Remediation. 11/02/2025

Per Rule 913.d.(2): Any change from the approved implementation schedule will be requested at least 14 days in advance, and the Operator may not make the change without the Director's approval.

Change from approved implementation schedule per Rule 913.d.(2).

Basis for change in implementation schedule:

The implementation schedule has been changed due to the decommissioning of the REICHERT 09-05 wellhead and flowline and necessity for supplemental site investigation activities adjacent to the flowline.

OPERATOR COMMENT

This Form 27 is being submitted to include a 2Q 2025 update for the REICHERT 09-05 wellhead (REM #34125) and the decommissioning results at the former REICHERT 09-05 wellhead. The confirmation soil samples collected during wellhead cut and cap activities were determined to be outside of the required temperature preservation range when delivered to the laboratory. Re-sampling was conducted on 11/26/2024 and results are included in this report.

Twelve background soil samples were collected near the flowline and wellhead locations and were analyzed for metals in soil per ECMC Table 915-1, pH, SAR, EC, and boron. The background soil samples were collected from depths of 4 and 6 feet below ground surface (ft bgs). The lithology between the site and background locations was observed to be silty sands. The maximum background concentration of pH was found to be 9.28 at 6 ft bgs. The maximum background concentration with a 1.25x multiplier applied for arsenic was calculated to be 2.24 mg/kg at 4 ft bgs and 2.04 mg/kg at 6 ft bgs. All pH and arsenic concentrations observed during decommissioning were below background levels. As such, pH and arsenic should be considered resolved. All other concentrations were below ECMC Table 915-1 Limits.

The flowline is currently planned for future removal, at which time soil sampling and screening samples will be taken along the flowline at any points of material change and/or hammer unions, and directional changes. Pursuant to the flowline removal, all laboratory analytical samples will be analyzed for full ECMC Table 915-1 contaminants of concern. Additional SSI activities will be proposed (as applicable) on a future Form 27 if further investigation is required.

Based on currently available data, this project is not affected by data integrity irregularities and is not associated with Operator's data integrity review process and its Rule 525.e. Voluntary Disclosure. As part of its data integrity review process, Operator requested the lab protect the laboratory analytical report from subsequent unauthorized modification by anyone outside the lab, which resulted in the lab reissuing the original report with additional protections (Reissued Report). The Reissued Report was received directly from the lab on 2/13/2025 which includes a watermark confirming both the laboratory representative who reissued the report and the date and time of the reissuance. The metadata associated with this Reissued Report also includes the lab representative's name, the date and time the laboratory reissued the report, and an explanation for the report reissuance. The Reissued Report is attached to this submission.

In the event additional responsive information is received or discovered that would suggest this project should be incorporated into the ongoing data integrity review process associated with Operator's Rule 525.e. Voluntary Disclosure, Operator will update and/or amend the statements in this submission and provide any new or revised data or other information.

Pursuant to Rule 913.e, quarterly reporting will be conducted until closure criteria are achieved for the remediation project. The results of the supplemental site investigation will be submitted on a subsequent Form 27.

I hereby certify all statements made in this form are to the best of my knowledge true, correct, and complete.

Signed: Kayla White

Title: Environmental Consultant

Submit Date: _____

Email: kwhite@cdhconsult.com

Based on the information provided herein, this Application for Site Investigation and Remediation Workplan complies with ECMC Rules and applicable orders and is hereby approved.

ECMC Approved: _____

Date: _____

Remediation Project Number: 34125

COA Type

Description

0 COA	
-------	--

ATTACHMENT LIST

Upon approval, the approved Form 27 and all listed attachments will be indexed to the Remediation Project file. Only the approved Form 27 will also be indexed to the related Facilities.

Att Doc Num

Name

404214067	LABORATORY ANALYTICAL REPORT
404219029	SITE INVESTIGATION REPORT
404222122	SITE MAP

Total Attach: 3 Files

General Comments

User Group

Comment

Comment Date

		Stamp Upon Approval
--	--	---------------------

Total: 0 comment(s)