

State of Colorado  
Energy & Carbon Management Commission

1120 Lincoln Street, Suite 801, Denver, Colorado 80203  
Phone: (303) 894-2100 Fax: (303) 894-2109



Document Number:  
404047203  
Receive Date:  
01/31/2025

Report taken by:  
Taylor Robinson

Site Investigation and Remediation Workplan (Supplemental Form)

This form shall be submitted to the Director for approval prior to the initiation of site investigation and remediation activities. However, this shall not preclude the Operator from taking immediate action to protect public health or safety, the environment, wildlife, or livestock.

This Form 27 describes site conditions as currently understood by the Operator; approval of this Form 27 by ECOM is based on the site conditions accurately described herein; any changes in site conditions identified during or subsequent to the performance of the approved workplan may necessitate additional investigation or remediation which shall be described on a supplemental Form 27. This Form 27 is intended to provide basic information regarding the proposed site investigation and remediation actions, but the workplan may be more fully described in attached documentation.

Closure request is not available for an Initial Site Investigation and Remediation Workplan.

OPERATOR INFORMATION

Name of Operator: <u>KERR MCGEE OIL &amp; GAS ONSHORE LP</u>	Operator No: <u>47120</u>	<b>Phone Numbers</b>
Address: <u>P O BOX 173779</u>		Phone: <u>(720) 929-4306</u>
City: <u>DENVER</u>	State: <u>CO</u>	Zip: <u>80217-3779</u>
Contact Person: <u>Erik Mickelson</u>	Email: <u>DJRemediation_Forms@oxy.com</u>	Mobile: <u>( )</u>

PROJECT, PURPOSE & SITE INFORMATION

PROJECT INFORMATION

Remediation Project #: 37719 Initial Form 27 Document #: 403882182

PURPOSE INFORMATION

- Rule 913.c.(1): Pit or Cuttings Trench closure.
- Rule 913.c.(2): Buried or partially buried vessel closure, which will be by removal.
- Rule 913.c.(3): Remediation of Spill and Releases pursuant to Rule 912.
- Rule 913.c.(4): Land treatment of Oily Waste pursuant to Rule 905.e.
- Rule 913.c.(5): Closure of Centralized E&P Waste Management Facilities pursuant to Rule 907.h.
- Rule 913.c.(6): Remediation of impacted Groundwater pursuant to Rule 915.e.(3).D, and the contaminant concentrations in Table 915-1.
- Rule 913.c.(7): Investigation and remediation of natural gas in soil or Groundwater.
- Rule 913.c.(8): When requested by the Director due to any potential risk to soil, Groundwater, or surface water.
- Rule 913.c.(9): Decommissioning of Oil and Gas Facilities.
- Rule 913.g: Changes of Operator.
- Rule 915.b: Request to leave elevated inorganics in situ.
- Other: \_\_\_\_\_

SITE INFORMATION

No  Multiple Facilities

Facility Type: <u>TANK BATTERY</u>	Facility ID: <u>488015</u>	API #: _____	County Name: <u>WELD</u>
Facility Name: <u>Sarchet 41-23&amp;42-23 Facility</u>	Latitude: <u>40.212344</u>	Longitude: <u>-104.735894</u>	
** correct Lat/Long if needed: Latitude: _____		Longitude: _____	
QtrQtr: <u>SENE</u>	Sec: <u>23</u>	Twps: <u>3N</u>	Range: <u>66W</u> Meridian: <u>6</u> Sensitive Area? <u>Yes</u>

SITE CONDITIONS

General soil type - USCS Classifications SM Most Sensitive Adjacent Land Use Occupied Buildings  
 Is domestic water well within 1/4 mile? Yes Is surface water within 1/4 mile? No  
 Is groundwater less than 20 feet below ground surface? Yes

**Other Potential Receptors within 1/4 mile**

Water well 400 feet (ft) southeast. Commercial Buildings 480 ft south and 710 ft southwest. Occupied Building 880 ft northeast. Livestock 830 ft northeast, 930 ft west, 940 ft north, and 1,070 ft northeast. County Road 410 ft east. Groundwater encountered at approximately 5 ft below ground surface (bgs).

**SITE INVESTIGATION PLAN**

## TYPE OF WASTE:

- E&P Waste       Other E&P Waste       Non-E&P Waste
- Produced Water       Workover Fluids
- Oil       Tank Bottoms
- Condensate       Pigging Waste
- Drilling Fluids       Rig Wash
- Drill Cuttings       Spent Filters
- Pit Bottoms
- Other (as described by EPA)

## DESCRIPTION OF IMPACT

Impacted?	Impacted Media	Extent of Impact	How Determined
UNDETERMINED	GROUNDWATER	TBD	Groundwater Samples/Laboratory Analytical Results
Yes	SOILS	TBD	Soil Samples/Laboratory Analytical Results

## INITIAL ACTION SUMMARY

Description of initial action or emergency response measures take to abate, investigate, and/or remediate impacts associated with E&P Waste.

Decommissioning activities were completed at the Sarchet 41-23&42-23 facility on 12/6/2024. Groundwater was encountered in the produce water vessel excavation at a depth of 5 ft bgs. Visual inspection and field screening of soil at one aboveground storage tank (AST), one produced water vessel (PWV), one separator, one meter house, one emission control device (ECD), and two dumpline potholes were conducted following removal activities. Soil samples (AST01@0.5', PWV-B01@5', PWV-W01@2', SEP-INLET@3', and SEP-OUTLET@3') were submitted for analysis of full list Table 915-1 constituents to determine if a release occurred. Initial laboratory analytical results indicated that pH and arsenic results exceeding the Table 915-1 allowable levels and background levels are present at the AST01@0.5' and PWV-W01@2' locations. Verification samples were collected at both locations to confirm the initial results. Final laboratory analytical results confirmed that pH impacts exceeding the Table 915-1 allowable level and background level is present at the AST01@0.5' location. As such, a Form 19 Initial/Supplemental Spill/Release Report (Document No. 404061394) was submitted on 1/17/2025 and the ECOM Spill/Release Point ID is pending. The facility is depicted on Figure 1. The PID readings and soil sample results are summarized in Tables 1 and 2, respectively.

Assessment activities are ongoing and details will be provided in a subsequent Form 27 supplemental report.

## PROPOSED SAMPLING PLAN

### Proposed Soil Sampling

Will soil samples be collected as part of this investigation? ( Number, type (grab/composite), analyses, and locations of samples ):

On 12/6/2024, five confirmation soil samples (AST01@0.5', PWV-B01@5', PWV-W01@2', SEP-INLET@3', and SEP-OUTLET@3') were collected at depths ranging from 0.5 ft bgs to 5 ft bgs. The samples were submitted for analysis of full list Table 915-1 constituents, using ECOM-approved methods. Initial laboratory analytical results indicated that pH and arsenic results exceeding the Table 915-1 allowable levels and background levels are present at the AST01@0.5' and PWV-W01@2' location. Verification samples were collected at both locations to confirm the initial results. Final laboratory analytical results confirmed that pH impacts exceeding the Table 915-1 allowable level and background level is present at the AST01@0.5' location. The laboratory report is attached.

### Proposed Groundwater Sampling

Will groundwater samples be collected as part of this investigation? ( Number, analyses, and locations of samples ):

On 12/6/2024, one groundwater sample (GW-PWV-B01@5') was collected from the PWV excavation at a depth of 5 ft bgs. Groundwater was not in contact with impacted soil. The groundwater sample was submitted for analysis of full list Table 915-1 constituents in groundwater. Analytical results indicated that groundwater is in compliance with Table 915-1 organic constituents. No organic constituents were detected above the laboratory reporting limits. Background groundwater samples are needed to assess compliance of Total Dissolved Solids (TDS). The groundwater sample location is depicted on Figure 1. The groundwater sample analytical results are summarized in Table 3.

### Proposed Surface Water Sampling

Will surface water samples be collected as part of this investigation? ( Number, analyses, and locations of samples ):

## Additional Investigative Actions

Additional alternative investigative actions described in attached Site Investigation Plan ( summary ):

On 12/6/2024, visual inspection and field screening of soil were conducted at the footprint and hatch of the AST, three sidewall locations within the PWV excavation, one meter house, one ECD, and two dumpline locations. Based on the inspection and screening results, hydrocarbon-impacted soil was not observed at the screening locations, and no soil samples were submitted for laboratory analysis from these areas, in accordance with the ECOM Operator Guidance. A photographic log is attached.

# SITE INVESTIGATION REPORT

## SAMPLE SUMMARY

### Soil

Number of soil samples collected 10  
Number of soil samples exceeding 915-1 8  
Was the areal and vertical extent of soil contamination delineated? No  
Approximate areal extent (square feet) 25

### NA / ND

ND Highest concentration of TPH (mg/kg) \_\_\_\_\_  
-- Highest concentration of SAR 0.552  
BTEX > 915-1 No  
Vertical Extent > 915-1 (in feet) 1

### Groundwater

Number of groundwater samples collected 1  
Was extent of groundwater contaminated delineated? No  
Depth to groundwater (below ground surface, in feet) 5  
Number of groundwater monitoring wells installed 0  
Number of groundwater samples exceeding 915-1 0

ND Highest concentration of Benzene (µg/l) \_\_\_\_\_  
ND Highest concentration of Toluene (µg/l) \_\_\_\_\_  
ND Highest concentration of Ethylbenzene (µg/l) \_\_\_\_\_  
ND Highest concentration of Xylene (µg/l) \_\_\_\_\_  
NA Highest concentration of Methane (mg/l) \_\_\_\_\_

### Surface Water

0 Number of surface water samples collected  
0 Number of surface water samples exceeding 915-1  
If surface water is impacted, other agency notification may be required.

## OTHER INVESTIGATION INFORMATION

Were impacts to adjacent property or offsite impacts identified?

Were background samples collected as part of this site investigation?

One tank battery background sample (TB-BG01@0.5') was collected from the soil used to construct the tank battery. Sixteen background samples were also collected as part of the Sarchet 41-23 & Sarchet UPRR 42-23 3X Wellheads cut and cap activities (Remediation No. 36822), located approximately 100 ft northeast and north, from similar depths (3' and 6' bgs), and NCRS soil type (Sand). Background soil samples were submitted for laboratory analysis of pH, electrical conductivity (EC), sodium adsorption ratio (SAR), boron, and Table 915-1 metals using ECMC-approved methods. Analytical results indicate that pH and arsenic are naturally high in the soil used to construct the tank battery and pH and arsenic are naturally high in the native soil. The background soil sample analytical results are summarized in Table 2. The background soil sample locations are illustrated on Figure 1.

Was investigation derived waste (IDW) generated as part of this investigation?

Volume of solid waste (cubic yards) \_\_\_\_\_ Volume of liquid waste (barrels) \_\_\_\_\_

Is further site investigation required?

Assessment activities are ongoing and details will be provided in a subsequent Form 27 supplemental report.

## REMEDIAL ACTION PLAN

Does this Supplemental Form 27A include changes to a previously approved Remedial Action Plan? No

### SOURCE REMOVAL SUMMARY

Describe how source is to be removed.

Impacted soil from the AST excavation will be removed and transported to a licensed disposal facility. Final disposal information will be provided upon completion of assessment activities. Disposal records are kept on file and available upon request. The excavation areas will be backfilled and contoured to match pre-existing conditions.

### REMEDICATION SUMMARY

Describe how remediation of existing impacts to soil and groundwater is to be accomplished (i.e. summarize remedial action plan). Provide a brief narrative description including: technical justification, schedule for implementation, estimated time to attain NFA status, plus plans and specifications for the selected remedial action technology.

Laboratory data indicate that pH results exceeding the ECMC Table 915-1 allowable level and background level is present in the AST01@0.5' location. Groundwater was encountered in the PWV excavation at approximately 5 ft bgs. Groundwater was not in contact with impacted soil. Analytical results indicate that groundwater concentrations were in compliance with ECMC Table 915-1 allowable organic constituents. No organic constituents were detected above the laboratory reporting limits. Background groundwater samples are needed to assess compliance of Total Dissolved Solids (TDS). Assessment activities are ongoing and details will be provided in a subsequent Form 27 supplemental report.

**Soil Remediation Summary**

In Situ

Ex Situ

- \_\_\_\_\_ Bioremediation ( or enhanced bioremediation )
- \_\_\_\_\_ Chemical oxidation
- \_\_\_\_\_ Air sparge / Soil vapor extraction
- \_\_\_\_\_ Natural Attenuation
- \_\_\_\_\_ Other \_\_\_\_\_

- \_\_\_\_\_ Excavate and offsite disposal
- \_\_\_\_\_ If Yes: Estimated Volume (Cubic Yards) \_\_\_\_\_
- \_\_\_\_\_ Name of Licensed Disposal Facility or ECMC Facility ID # \_\_\_\_\_
- \_\_\_\_\_ Excavate and onsite remediation
- \_\_\_\_\_ Land Treatment
- \_\_\_\_\_ Bioremediation (or enhanced bioremediation)
- \_\_\_\_\_ Chemical oxidation
- \_\_\_\_\_ Other \_\_\_\_\_

**Groundwater Remediation Summary**

- \_\_\_\_\_ Bioremediation ( or enhanced bioremediation )
- \_\_\_\_\_ Chemical oxidation
- \_\_\_\_\_ Air sparge / Soil vapor extraction
- \_\_\_\_\_ Natural Attenuation
- \_\_\_\_\_ Other \_\_\_\_\_

**GROUNDWATER MONITORING**

If groundwater has been impacted, describe proposed monitoring plan, including # of wells or sample points, monitoring schedule, analytical methods, points of compliance. Attach a groundwater monitoring location diagram.

# REMEDATION PROGRESS UPDATE

## PERIODIC REPORTING

### Approved Reporting Schedule:

Quarterly     Semi-Annually     Annually     Other

### Request Alternative Reporting Schedule:

Semi-Annually     Annually     Other

Rule 913.e:

After initial approval of a Form 27, the Operator will provide quarterly update reports in a Supplemental Form 27 to document progress of site investigation and remediation, unless an alternative reporting schedule has been requested by the Operator and approved by the Director. The Director may request a more frequent reporting schedule based on site-specific conditions.

**Report Type:**     Groundwater Monitoring     Land Treatment Progress Report     O&M Report  
 Other \_\_\_\_\_

## Adequacy of Operator's General Liability Insurance and Financial Assurance

Describe the adequacy of the Operator's general liability insurance and Financial Assurance to fully address the anticipated costs of Remediation, including the estimated remaining cost for this project (below).

If this information has been provided on a Form 27 within the last 12 months, provide the Document Number of that form.

KMOG has sufficient insurance and bonding to fully address the anticipated costs of Remediation, including the remaining estimated costs for this project. KMOG currently has over 40 million in bonds with the Energy and Carbon Management Commission. The cost for remediation is a preliminary estimate only, costs may change upwards or downward based on site-specific information. KMOG makes no representation or guarantees as to the accuracy of the preliminary estimate.

Operator anticipates the remaining cost for this project to be: \$ 13500 \_\_\_\_\_

## WASTE DISPOSAL INFORMATION

Was E&P waste generated as part of this remediation? \_\_\_\_\_

Describe beneficial use, if any, of E&P Waste derived from this remediation project:

Volume of E&P Waste (solid) in cubic yards \_\_\_\_\_

E&P waste (solid) description \_\_\_\_\_

ECMC Disposal Facility ID #, if applicable: \_\_\_\_\_

Non-ECMC Disposal Facility: \_\_\_\_\_

Volume of E&P Waste (liquid) in barrels \_\_\_\_\_

E&P waste (liquid) description \_\_\_\_\_

ECMC Disposal Facility ID #, if applicable: \_\_\_\_\_

Non-ECMC Disposal Facility: \_\_\_\_\_

# REMEDATION COMPLETION REPORT

## REMEDATION COMPLETION SUMMARY

Is this a Final Closure Request for this Remediation Project? No \_\_\_\_\_

If YES:

- Compliant with Rule 913.h.(1).
- Compliant with Rule 913.h.(2).
- Compliant with Rule 913.h.(3).

Do all soils meet Table 915-1 standards? \_\_\_\_\_

Does the previous reply indicate consideration of background concentrations? \_\_\_\_\_

Does Groundwater meet Table 915-1 standards? \_\_\_\_\_

Is additional groundwater monitoring to be conducted? \_\_\_\_\_

Operator shall comply with the ECMC 1000-Series Reclamation Requirements for all impacted and disturbed areas.

## RECLAMATION PLAN

### RECLAMATION PLANNING

Describe reclamation plan. Discuss existing and new grade recontouring; method and testing of compaction alleviation; and reseeding program, including location of new seed, seed mix and noxious weed prevention. Attach diagram or drawing.

The site will be reclaimed in accordance with ECMC 1000 Series Reclamation Rules.

Is the described reclamation complete? \_\_\_\_\_

Does the reclamation described herein constitute interim or final reclamation of the Oil and Gas Location?

Interim

Final

Did the Surface Owner provide the seed mix? \_\_\_\_\_

If YES, does the seed mix comply with local soil conservation district recommendations? \_\_\_\_\_

Did the local soil conservation district provide the seed mix? \_\_\_\_\_

### SITE RECLAMATION DATES

Proposed date of commencement of Reclamation. \_\_\_\_\_

Proposed date of completion of Reclamation. \_\_\_\_\_

## IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE

Per Rule 913.d.(2): Any change from the approved implementation schedule will be requested at least 14 days in advance, and the Operator may not make the change without the Director's approval.

### PRIOR DATES

Date of Surface Owner notification/consultation, if required. 01/16/2025

Actual Spill or Release date, or date of discovery. 01/16/2025

### SITE INVESTIGATION DATES

Date of Initial Actions described in Site Investigation Plan (start date). 12/06/2024

Proposed site investigation commencement. 12/06/2024

Proposed completion of site investigation. 07/18/2025

### REMEDIAL ACTION DATES

Proposed start date of Remediation. 12/06/2024

Proposed date of completion of Remediation. 07/18/2025

Per Rule 913.d.(2): Any change from the approved implementation schedule will be requested at least 14 days in advance, and the Operator may not make the change without the Director's approval.

Change from approved implementation schedule per Rule 913.d.(2).

Basis for change in implementation schedule:

\_\_\_\_\_

**OPERATOR COMMENT**

--

I hereby certify all statements made in this form are to the best of my knowledge true, correct, and complete.

Signed: Erik Mickelson

Title: Environmental Lead

Submit Date: 01/31/2025

Email: DJRemediation\_Forms@oxy.com

Based on the information provided herein, this Application for Site Investigation and Remediation Workplan complies with ECMC Rules and applicable orders and is hereby approved.

ECMC Approved: Taylor Robinson

Date: 05/28/2025

Remediation Project Number: 37719

**COA Type****Description**

0 COA	

**ATTACHMENT LIST**

Upon approval, the approved Form 27 and all listed attachments will be indexed to the Remediation Project file. Only the approved Form 27 will also be indexed to the related Facilities.

**Att Doc Num****Name**

404047203	FORM 27-SUPPLEMENTAL-SUBMITTED
404058594	SOIL SAMPLE LOCATION MAP
404062071	PHOTO DOCUMENTATION
404062073	ANALYTICAL RESULTS
404063033	ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Total Attach: 5 Files

**General Comments****User Group****Comment****Comment Date**

		Stamp Upon Approval
--	--	---------------------

Total: 0 comment(s)