

From: McFarland - DNR, Nick <nick.mcfarland@state.co.us>

Sent: Wednesday, February 12, 2025 12:23 PM

To: Sanchez, Raul <raul.sanchez@chevron.com>

Subject: [**EXTERNAL**] Re: Form 17 on Orphaned wells

Be aware this external email contains an attachment and/or link.

Ensure the email and contents are expected. If there are concerns, please submit suspicious messages to the Cyber Intelligence Center using the Report Phishing button.

Correct, for re-entries we aren't expecting a Form 17.

On Wed, Feb 12, 2025 at 11:25 AM Sanchez, Raul <raul.sanchez@chevron.com> wrote:

Hey Nick, I hope all is well. I understand you are probably busy. I just wanted to reach out and see if you have had a chance to read through this email?

Thanks,

Raul

From: Sanchez, Raul

Sent: Monday, February 10, 2025 12:16 PM

To: McFarland, Nick <nick.mcfarland@state.co.us>

Subject: Form 17 on Orphaned wells

Good afternoon Nick! Hey, I was looking back at some notes and in April of 2024 we had a conversation about re-entries on wells that were owned by another operator and now those operators are no longer in business for whatever reason. Our rig crew was trying to perform form 17's when they could but chevron could not upload form 17 data because those wells are operated under another operator. I noted that ECMC understands that most of the time when performing re-entry's landowners are not happy about disturbing land conditions and time is of the essence to get in and get out as quickly as possible. Therefore, ECMC does not expect of the operators to dig up the well and install a wellhead and then comeback 7 days later and perform a form 17, thus it is not a requirement. Web forms does not have the option to submit form 17 for another operator like some of the other forms and is another reason why a form 17 is required.

Does this logic still apply?

Thanks,

Raul