

State of Colorado
Energy & Carbon Management Commission

1120 Lincoln Street, Suite 801, Denver, Colorado 80203
Phone: (303) 894-2100 Fax: (303) 894-2109



Document Number:
404116054
Receive Date:
03/06/2025

Report taken by:
Candice (Nikki) Graber

Site Investigation and Remediation Workplan (Supplemental Form)

This form shall be submitted to the Director for approval prior to the initiation of site investigation and remediation activities. However, this shall not preclude the Operator from taking immediate action to protect public health or safety, the environment, wildlife, or livestock.

This Form 27 describes site conditions as currently understood by the Operator; approval of this Form 27 by ECMC is based on the site conditions accurately described herein; any changes in site conditions identified during or subsequent to the performance of the approved workplan may necessitate additional investigation or remediation which shall be described on a supplemental Form 27. This Form 27 is intended to provide basic information regarding the proposed site investigation and remediation actions, but the workplan may be more fully described in attached documentation.

Closure request is not available for an Initial Site Investigation and Remediation Workplan.

OPERATOR INFORMATION

Name of Operator: <u>CUB CREEK ENERGY LLC</u>	Operator No: <u>10542</u>	Phone Numbers
Address: <u>200 PLAZA DRIVE SUITE 100</u>		Phone: <u>(303) 8811530</u>
City: <u>HIGHLANDS RANCH</u> State: <u>CO</u> Zip: <u>80129</u>		Mobile: <u>(303) 8811530</u>
Contact Person: <u>Christian Combs</u>	Email: <u>christian.combs@1876resources.com</u>	

PROJECT, PURPOSE & SITE INFORMATION

PROJECT INFORMATION

Remediation Project #: 34478 Initial Form 27 Document #: 403711249

PURPOSE INFORMATION

- Rule 913.c.(1): Pit or Cuttings Trench closure.
- Rule 913.c.(2): Buried or partially buried vessel closure, which will be by removal.
- Rule 913.c.(3): Remediation of Spill and Releases pursuant to Rule 912.
- Rule 913.c.(4): Land treatment of Oily Waste pursuant to Rule 905.e.
- Rule 913.c.(5): Closure of Centralized E&P Waste Management Facilities pursuant to Rule 907.h.
- Rule 913.c.(6): Remediation of impacted Groundwater pursuant to Rule 915.e.(3).D, and the contaminant concentrations in Table 915-1.
- Rule 913.c.(7): Investigation and remediation of natural gas in soil or Groundwater.
- Rule 913.c.(8): When requested by the Director due to any potential risk to soil, Groundwater, or surface water.
- Rule 913.c.(9): Decommissioning of Oil and Gas Facilities.
- Rule 913.g: Changes of Operator.
- Rule 915.b: Request to leave elevated inorganics in situ.
- Other: _____

SITE INFORMATION

Yes Multiple Facilities

Facility Type: <u>TANK BATTERY</u>	Facility ID: <u>481785</u>	API #: _____	County Name: <u>WELD</u>
Facility Name: <u>Knight Pad Tank Battery</u>	Latitude: <u>40.197030</u>	Longitude: <u>-105.041390</u>	
	** correct Lat/Long if needed: Latitude: <u>40.197140</u>	Longitude: <u>-105.042170</u>	
QtrQtr: <u>SWNE</u> Sec: <u>30</u> Twp: <u>3N</u> Range: <u>68W</u> Meridian: <u>6</u> Sensitive Area? <u>Yes</u>			
Facility Type: <u>SPILL OR RELEASE</u>	Facility ID: <u>484607</u>	API #: _____	County Name: <u>WELD</u>
Facility Name: <u>Well #21</u>	Latitude: <u>40.197030</u>	Longitude: <u>-105.041390</u>	
	** correct Lat/Long if needed: Latitude: _____	Longitude: _____	
QtrQtr: <u>SWNE</u> Sec: <u>30</u> Twp: <u>3N</u> Range: <u>68W</u> Meridian: <u>6</u> Sensitive Area? <u>Yes</u>			

SITE CONDITIONS

General soil type - USCS Classifications SP

Most Sensitive Adjacent Land Use Occupied building

Is domestic water well within 1/4 mile? No

Is surface water within 1/4 mile? No

Is groundwater less than 20 feet below ground surface? Yes

Other Potential Receptors within 1/4 mile

Five water well permits were identified within a 1/2-mile radius of the release; however, three of these five well permits were listed as expired and the other two were listed as application denied. The closest constructed water well (Permit #12985) is a stock well located approximately 2,735 feet northeast of the release. The nearest surface water body and wetland were identified to be Union Reservoir located approximately 2,115 feet south of the release. High priority habitat identified by Colorado Parks and Wildlife is located approximately 1,700 feet south of the release. The nearest occupied building is located approximately 600 feet west of the release. Site Location map attached as Figure 1.

SITE INVESTIGATION PLAN

TYPE OF WASTE:

- | | | |
|--|--|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> E&P Waste | <input type="checkbox"/> Other E&P Waste | <input type="checkbox"/> Non-E&P Waste |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Produced Water | <input type="checkbox"/> Workover Fluids | _____ |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Oil | <input type="checkbox"/> Tank Bottoms | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Condensate | <input type="checkbox"/> Pigging Waste | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Drilling Fluids | <input type="checkbox"/> Rig Wash | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Drill Cuttings | <input type="checkbox"/> Spent Filters | |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Pit Bottoms | |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Other (as described by EPA) | _____ |

DESCRIPTION OF IMPACT

Impacted?	Impacted Media	Extent of Impact	How Determined
Yes	GROUNDWATER	Unknown	GW analytical result
Yes	SOILS	~240 sqft	Soil analytical results

INITIAL ACTION SUMMARY

Description of initial action or emergency response measures take to abate, investigate, and/or remediate impacts associated with E&P Waste.

@0850 (5/9/23) the lease operator on location noticed condensate daylighting at surface. Within 10 mins, impacted flowline identified & shut in. The spilled condensate was contained on location. On 5/10/23, ~1bbl of condensate was removed via a hydrovac. ~1 cubic yard of impacted soil was also excavated at that time and transported to Pawnee Waste, LLC, for offsite disposal.

PROPOSED SAMPLING PLAN

Proposed Soil Sampling

- Will soil samples be collected as part of this investigation? (Number, type (grab/composite), analyses, and locations of samples):

Operator will install two cross-gradient GW monitoring wells (MW-05 & MW-06) and one source area monitoring well (MW-07) to the existing monitoring well network (two upgradient [MW-01 & MW-04] and two downgradient [MW-02 & MW-03]) to monitor dissolved-phase contaminants and ensure GW impacts are fully delineated (Figure 2). The source area monitoring well will be installed following excavation backfill. Soil will be logged and screened with a PID during MW installation; if staining, odor, or elevated PIDs are encountered, a soil sample will be collected from unsaturated soils and analyzed for Table 915-1 (organics, soil suitability, & metals). A soil sample will not be collected from the source area monitoring well as the vadose zone in this area will be composed of clean backfill material. Additionally, operator will collect a background sample to evaluate elevated lead identified in soil sample E02@6.

Proposed Groundwater Sampling

- Will groundwater samples be collected as part of this investigation? (Number, analyses, and locations of samples):

Operator will install two cross-gradient GW monitoring wells (MW-05 & MW-06) and one source area monitoring well (MW-07) to the existing monitoring well network (two upgradient [MW-01 & MW-04] and two downgradient [MW-02 & MW-03]) to monitor dissolved-phase contaminants and ensure GW impacts are fully delineated (Figure 2). A top-of-casing survey will be completed, and cross-gradient GW monitoring wells will be installed perpendicular to GW flow. GW samples will be collected from all monitoring wells quarterly and analyzed for full ECMC Table 915-1 & dissolved barium until four consecutive quarters indicate compliance with ECMC Table 915-1 standards or site-specific clean up levels, following backfill/biostimulation.

Proposed Surface Water Sampling

- Will surface water samples be collected as part of this investigation? (Number, analyses, and locations of samples):

Surface water sample SW01 was collected from the surface pond located approximately 700 feet upgradient of the release to evaluate the dissolved and total barium. Laboratory analytical results indicated barium was elevated in the surface pond with dissolved barium at 35.6 ug/L and total barium at 32.1 ug/L. Following the collection of GW samples from MW-01, MW-02, MW-03, & MW-04, a second surface water sample (SW01) was collected from the surface pond and was submitted for laboratory analysis of TDS, chloride, & sulfate to investigate the elevated concentrations. TDS, chloride, & sulfate results for SW01 indicate compliance with ECMC Table 915-1 standards.

Additional Investigative Actions

- Additional alternative investigative actions described in attached Site Investigation Plan (summary):

SITE INVESTIGATION REPORT

SAMPLE SUMMARY

Soil

Number of soil samples collected 9
Number of soil samples exceeding 915-1 3
Was the areal and vertical extent of soil contamination delineated? Yes
Approximate areal extent (square feet) 240

NA / ND

-- Highest concentration of TPH (mg/kg) 9.56
-- Highest concentration of SAR 15.2
BTEX > 915-1 Yes
Vertical Extent > 915-1 (in feet) 10

Groundwater

Number of groundwater samples collected 5
Was extent of groundwater contaminated delineated? No
Depth to groundwater (below ground surface, in feet) 10
Number of groundwater monitoring wells installed 4
Number of groundwater samples exceeding 915-1 2

Highest concentration of Benzene (µg/l) _____
ND Highest concentration of Toluene (µg/l) _____
ND Highest concentration of Ethylbenzene (µg/l) _____
ND Highest concentration of Xylene (µg/l) _____
NA Highest concentration of Methane (mg/l) _____

Surface Water

2 Number of surface water samples collected
0 Number of surface water samples exceeding 915-1

If surface water is impacted, other agency notification may be required.

OTHER INVESTIGATION INFORMATION

Were impacts to adjacent property or offsite impacts identified?

Were background samples collected as part of this site investigation?

Nine background samples were collected from three soil sample locations (BG01, BG02, & BG03 [Figure 4]) at depths of 2 ft, 4 ft, and 6 ft-bgs and analyzed for soil suitability, arsenic, barium, & selenium. Site-specific clean up levels were established for boron, SAR, EC, pH, arsenic, barium, & selenium (Analytical Tables). pH (S01@10) and barium (SS03@6 and W02@6) exceed the site-specific clean up levels established using analytical results from background samples. pH was not identified as a contaminant of concern and there are no signs of a petroleum hydrocarbon release at SS01. Operator will collect a background sample to evaluate elevated lead identified in soil sample E02@6.

Monitoring wells MW-01 and MW-04 are located upgradient (Figure 2) of the release and will be used to establish site-specific clean up levels for TDS, chloride, and sulfate (Analytical Tables).

Was investigation derived waste (IDW) generated as part of this investigation?

Volume of solid waste (cubic yards) _____ Volume of liquid waste (barrels) _____

Is further site investigation required?

Operator will install two cross-gradient GW monitoring wells (MW-05 & MW-06) and one source area monitoring well (MW-07) to the existing monitoring well network (two upgradient [MW-01 & MW-04] and two downgradient [MW-02 & MW-03]) to monitor dissolved-phase contaminants and ensure GW impacts are fully delineated (Figure 2). The source area monitoring well will be installed following excavation backfill. Soil will be logged and screened with a PID during MW installation; if staining, odor, or elevated PIDs are encountered, a soil sample will be collected from unsaturated soils and analyzed for Table 915-1 (organics, soil suitability, & metals). A soil sample will not be collected from the source area monitoring well as the vadose zone in this area will be composed of clean backfill material. Additionally, operator will collect a background sample to evaluate elevated lead identified in soil sample E02@6. A top-of-casing survey will be completed, and cross-gradient GW monitoring wells will be installed perpendicular to GW flow. GW samples will be collected from all monitoring wells quarterly and analyzed for full ECMC Table 915-1 & dissolved barium until four consecutive quarters indicate compliance with ECMC Table 915-1 standards or site-specific clean up levels, following backfill/biostimulation.

REMEDIAL ACTION PLAN

Does this Supplemental Form 27A include changes to a previously approved Remedial Action Plan? Yes

SOURCE REMOVAL SUMMARY

Describe how source is to be removed.

Benzene & barium were identified as contaminants of concern in release characterization samples SS02@6 & SS03@6. Benzene was delineated in all directions as SS02@6 was the only sample that exceeded for benzene. pH was not identified as a contaminant of concern in the source area and there are no signs of a petroleum hydrocarbon release at S01 as PID readings indicated VOCs were 0.0 ppm from 6-10 feet bgs. The ECMC, 1876, and CDH agreed pH is not a contaminant of concern associated with the release and therefore will be addressed during facility decommissioning in a call at 10:00am on November 15, 2024.

Barium horizontally delineated north (N02@6), east (E01@6), & south (S01@10). Barium not horizontally delineated to below ECMC Table 915-1 Protective of Groundwater Soil Screening Level (PGSSL - 82 mg/kg) or site-specific clean up level (415 mg/kg); however, W02@6 (500 mg/kg) is below the ECMC Table 915-1 Residential Soil Screening Level (RSSL - 15,000 mg/kg) and barium is naturally elevated in the area (332 mg/kg); therefore, operator requests ECMC not consider barium a contaminant of concern associated with the release and approve temporarily leaving soil exceeding the ECMC Table 915-1 PGSSL in-situ until facility decommissioning.

The excavation extent is approximately 30 feet x 13 feet, to a depth of 8 feet bgs with sloping due to unstable soil/sidewalls. Unsafe to extend excavation west due to flowline integrity. Excavation unsafe to extend south or deeper due to separator integrity.

REMEDIATION SUMMARY

Describe how remediation of existing impacts to soil and groundwater is to be accomplished (i.e. summarize remedial action plan). Provide a brief narrative description including: technical justification, schedule for implementation, estimated time to attain NFA status, plus plans and specifications for the selected remedial action technology.

Upon ECMC-approval to apply a biostimulation additive (attached Knight-Pad_TPHenhanced) to the excavation during backfill activities to address benzene in soil (0.305 mg/kg) and GW (1,910 ug/L) and 1,2,4-trimethylbenzene (653 ug/L) and 1,3,5-trimethylbenzene (166 ug/L) in GW, dry biostimulation additive will be spread evenly across the excavation floor. A layer of highly porous material will be placed on top of the additives. The excavation will then be backfilled with clean fill, in 1-2 ft lifts, adding dry biostimulation additive at each layer. Barium exceedances to be addressed during facility decommissioning as these concentrations do not present a threat to the environment, groundwater, human health, or the environment.

Operator will install two cross-gradient GW monitoring wells (MW-05 & MW-06) and one source area monitoring well (MW-07) to the existing monitoring well network (two upgradient [MW-01 & MW-04] and two downgradient [MW-02 & MW-03]) to monitor dissolved-phase contaminants and ensure GW impacts are fully delineated (Figure 2). The source area monitoring well will be installed following excavation backfill. Soil will be logged and screened with a PID during MW installation; if staining, odor, or elevated PIDs are encountered, a soil sample will be collected from unsaturated soils and analyzed for Table 915-1 (organics, soil suitability, & metals). A soil sample will not be collected from the source area monitoring well as the vadose zone in this area will be composed of clean backfill material. Additionally, operator will collect a background sample to evaluate elevated lead identified in soil sample E02@6. A top-of-casing survey will be completed, and cross-gradient GW monitoring wells will be installed perpendicular to GW flow. GW samples will be collected from all monitoring wells quarterly and analyzed for full ECMC Table 915-1 & dissolved barium until four consecutive quarters indicate compliance with ECMC Table 915-1.

Soil Remediation Summary

In Situ

- Yes Bioremediation (or enhanced bioremediation)
- Chemical oxidation
- Air sparge / Soil vapor extraction
- Natural Attenuation
- Other _____

Ex Situ

- Yes Excavate and offsite disposal
- If Yes: Estimated Volume (Cubic Yards) 22
- Name of Licensed Disposal Facility or ECMC Facility ID # _____
- No Excavate and onsite remediation
- Land Treatment
- Bioremediation (or enhanced bioremediation)
- Chemical oxidation
- Other _____

Groundwater Remediation Summary

- Yes Bioremediation (or enhanced bioremediation)
- No Chemical oxidation
- No Air sparge / Soil vapor extraction
- Yes Natural Attenuation
- No Other _____

GROUNDWATER MONITORING

If groundwater has been impacted, describe proposed monitoring plan, including # of wells or sample points, monitoring schedule, analytical methods, points of compliance. Attach a groundwater monitoring location diagram.

Grab groundwater sample GW01 collected from excavation (Figure 2) and submitted for ECMC Table 915-1 (BTEX, Napth, TMBs, TDS, chloride, & sulfate). Analytical results for GW01 (Knight Pad Tank Battery) indicated benzene, 1,2,4-trimethylbenzene, 1,3,5-trimethylbenzene, and chloride exceeded ECMC Table 915-1 standards/site-specific clean up levels. GW samples were collected from existing GW monitoring wells to establish points-of-compliance. Operator will install two cross-gradient GW monitoring wells (MW-05 & MW-06) and one source area monitoring well (MW-07) to the existing monitoring well network (two upgradient [MW-01 & MW-04] and two downgradient [MW-02 & MW-03]) to monitor dissolved-phase contaminants and ensure GW impacts are fully delineated (Figure 2). The source area monitoring well will be installed following excavation backfill. Soil will be logged and screened with a PID during MW installation; if staining, odor, or elevated PIDs are encountered, a soil sample will be collected from unsaturated soils and analyzed for Table 915-1 (organics, soil suitability, & metals). A soil sample will not be collected from the source area monitoring well as the vadose zone in this area will be composed of clean backfill material. Additionally, operator will collect a background sample to evaluate elevated lead identified in soil sample E02@6. A top-of-casing survey will be completed, and cross-gradient GW monitoring wells will be installed perpendicular to GW flow. GW samples will be collected from all monitoring wells quarterly and analyzed for full ECMC Table 915-1 & dissolved barium until four consecutive quarters indicate compliance with ECMC Table 915-1 standards or site-specific clean up levels, following backfill/biostimulation.

REMEDIATION PROGRESS UPDATE

PERIODIC REPORTING

Approved Reporting Schedule:

Quarterly Semi-Annually Annually Other

Request Alternative Reporting Schedule:

Semi-Annually Annually Other

Rule 913.e:

After initial approval of a Form 27, the Operator will provide quarterly update reports in a Supplemental Form 27 to document progress of site investigation and remediation, unless an alternative reporting schedule has been requested by the Operator and approved by the Director. The Director may request a more frequent reporting schedule based on site-specific conditions.

Report Type: Groundwater Monitoring Land Treatment Progress Report O&M Report
 Other Remediation Plan

Adequacy of Operator's General Liability Insurance and Financial Assurance

Describe the adequacy of the Operator's general liability insurance and Financial Assurance to fully address the anticipated costs of Remediation, including the estimated remaining cost for this project (below).

If this information has been provided on a Form 27 within the last 12 months, provide the Document Number of that form.

Cub Creek (1876 Resources) has sufficient insurance and bonding to fully address the anticipated costs of remediation, including the remaining estimated costs for this project. Cub Creek (1876 Resources) has general liability insurance and financial assurance in-compliance with ECMC rules. The cost for remediation is a preliminary estimate only, costs may change upwards or downward based on site-specific information. Cub Creek (1876 Resources) makes no representation or guarantees as to the accuracy of the preliminary estimate.

Operator anticipates the remaining cost for this project to be: \$ 20000

WASTE DISPOSAL INFORMATION

Was E&P waste generated as part of this remediation? Yes

Describe beneficial use, if any, of E&P Waste derived from this remediation project:

NA

Volume of E&P Waste (solid) in cubic yards 22

E&P waste (solid) description Contaminated soil

ECMC Disposal Facility ID #, if applicable: _____

Non-ECMC Disposal Facility: Pawnee Waste, LLC

Volume of E&P Waste (liquid) in barrels 19

E&P waste (liquid) description Contaminated soil

ECMC Disposal Facility ID #, if applicable: _____

Non-ECMC Disposal Facility: Pawnee Waste, LLC

REMEDIATION COMPLETION REPORT

REMEDIATION COMPLETION SUMMARY

Is this a Final Closure Request for this Remediation Project? No _____

Compliant with Rule 913.h.(1).

Compliant with Rule 913.h.(2).

Compliant with Rule 913.h.(3).

Do all soils meet Table 915-1 standards? No _____

Does the previous reply indicate consideration of background concentrations? _____

Does Groundwater meet Table 915-1 standards? No _____

Is additional groundwater monitoring to be conducted? Yes _____

Operator shall comply with the ECMC 1000-Series Reclamation Requirements for all impacted and disturbed areas.

RECLAMATION PLAN

RECLAMATION PLANNING

Describe reclamation plan. Discuss existing and new grade recontouring; method and testing of compaction alleviation; and reseeding program, including location of new seed, seed mix and noxious weed prevention. Attach diagram or drawing.

Impacted soil to be excavated and treated with biostimulation additive. Excavation will be backfilled with treated soil and highly porous material and returned to current grade of production pad.

Is the described reclamation complete? No _____

Does the reclamation described herein constitute interim or final reclamation of the Oil and Gas Location?

Interim

Final

Did the Surface Owner provide the seed mix? No _____

If YES, does the seed mix comply with local soil conservation district recommendations? _____

Did the local soil conservation district provide the seed mix? No _____

SITE RECLAMATION DATES

Proposed date of commencement of Reclamation. 06/06/2025

Proposed date of completion of Reclamation. 06/27/2025

IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE

Per Rule 913.d.(2): Any change from the approved implementation schedule will be requested at least 14 days in advance, and the Operator may not make the change without the Director's approval.

PRIOR DATES

Date of Surface Owner notification/consultation, if required. 05/10/2023

Actual Spill or Release date, or date of discovery. 05/09/2023

SITE INVESTIGATION DATES

Date of Initial Actions described in Site Investigation Plan (start date). 05/09/2023

Proposed site investigation commencement. 04/03/2024

Proposed completion of site investigation. 06/30/2026

REMEDIAL ACTION DATES

Proposed start date of Remediation. 05/30/2025

Proposed date of completion of Remediation. 06/06/2025

Per Rule 913.d.(2): Any change from the approved implementation schedule will be requested at least 14 days in advance, and the Operator may not make the change without the Director's approval.

Change from approved implementation schedule per Rule 913.d.(2).

Basis for change in implementation schedule:

Site investigation completion date revised to allow for four quarters of groundwater monitoring following the installation of additional monitoring wells once backfill activities are complete. Remediation completion date revised to allow time for ECMC-approval of the biostimulation additive (attached Knight-Pad_TPHenhanced) to be applied during backfill activities.

OPERATOR COMMENT

Operator has removed contaminants of concern to the maximum extent practicable as further excavation south or deeper would undermine the integrity of active process equipment (separators). Further excavation west would undermine the integrity of the active flowline. The excavation extent is approximately 30 feet x 13 feet, to a depth of 8 feet bgs with sloping due to unstable soil/sidewalls.

Benzene & barium were identified as contaminants of concern in release characterization samples SS02@6 & SS03@6. pH was not identified as a contaminant of concern in the source area and there are no signs of a petroleum hydrocarbon release at S01 as PID readings indicated VOCs were 0.0 ppm from 6-10 feet bgs. The ECMC, 1876, and CDH agreed pH is not a contaminant of concern associated with the release and therefore will be addressed during facility decommissioning in a call at 10:00am on November 15, 2024.

Benzene delineated in all directions as SS02@6 (0.305 mg/kg) was the only sample that exceeded for benzene. Upon ECMC-approval to backfill the excavation, operator to apply a biostimulation additive (attached Knight-Pad_TPHenhanced) to the excavation during backfill activities to address benzene in soil (0.305 mg/kg) and GW (1,910 ug/L) and 1,2,4-trimethylbenzene (653 ug/L) and 1,3,5-trimethylbenzene (166 ug/L) in GW. Dry biostimulation additive will be spread evenly across the excavation floor. A layer of highly porous material will be placed on top of the additives. The excavation will then be backfilled with clean fill, in 1-2 ft lifts, adding dry biostimulation additive at each layer. Barium exceedances to be addressed during facility decommissioning as these concentrations do not present a threat to the environment, groundwater, human health, or the environment.

Barium horizontally delineated north (N02@6), east (E01@6), & south (S01@10). Barium not horizontally delineated to the west to below ECMC Table 915-1 Protective of Groundwater Soil Screening Level (PGSSL - 82 mg/kg) or site-specific clean up level (415 mg/kg); however, W02@6 (500 mg/kg) is below the ECMC Table 915-1 Residential Soil Screening Level (RSSL - 15,000 mg/kg) and barium is naturally elevated in background soil samples (332 mg/kg) and in the upgradient surface water pond (35.6 ug/L); therefore, operator requests ECMC not consider barium a contaminant of concern associated with the release and approve temporarily leaving soil exceeding the ECMC Table 915-1 PGSSL for barium in-situ until facility decommissioning as these concentrations do not present a threat to the environment, groundwater, human health, or the environment.

Operator will install two cross-gradient GW monitoring wells (MW-05 & MW-06) and one source area monitoring well (MW-07) to the existing monitoring well network (two upgradient [MW-01 & MW-04] and two downgradient [MW-02 & MW-03]) to monitor dissolved-phase contaminants and ensure GW impacts are fully delineated (Figure 2). The source area monitoring well will be installed following excavation backfill. Soil will be logged and screened with a PID during MW installation; if staining, odor, or elevated PIDs are encountered, a soil sample will be collected from unsaturated soils and analyzed for Table 915-1 (organics, soil suitability, & metals). A soil sample will not be collected from the source area monitoring well as the vadose zone in this area will be composed of clean backfill material. Additionally, operator will collect a background sample to evaluate elevated lead identified in soil sample E02@6. A top-of-casing survey will be completed, and cross-gradient GW monitoring wells will be installed perpendicular to GW flow. GW samples will be collected from all monitoring wells quarterly and analyzed for full ECMC Table 915-1 & dissolved barium until four consecutive quarters indicate compliance with ECMC Table 915-1 standards or site-specific clean up levels, following backfill/biostimulation.

I hereby certify all statements made in this form are to the best of my knowledge true, correct, and complete.

Signed: Michael A. Wicker, P.G.

Title: Senior Geologist

Submit Date: 03/06/2025

Email: mwickerc@cdhconsult.com

Based on the information provided herein, this Application for Site Investigation and Remediation Workplan complies with ECMC Rules and applicable orders and is hereby approved.

ECMC Approved: Candice (Nikki) Graber

Date: 03/17/2025

Remediation Project Number: 34478

COA Type

Description

	ECMC approves the proposed remedial strategy. This approval is contingent on the Operator's stated plan to backfill the excavation with clean fill material.
	To assess if the remedial approach has been successful, Operator shall sample all areas of previously impacted soil for Full Table 915-1 parameters prior to requesting closure.
	Operator states: "Benzene was delineated in all directions as SS02@6 [sic] was the only sample that exceeded for benzene." ECMC notes that soil sample SS03@6' contains a concentration of benzene exceeding Table 915-1. The organic impacts appear to have been delineated to the south by soil sample S01@10'.

	<p>Operator states: "Soil will be logged and screened with a PID during MW installation; if staining, odor, or elevated PIDs are encountered, a soil sample will be collected from unsaturated soils and analyzed for Table 915-1"</p> <p>Operator shall submit a minimum of one soil sample for laboratory analysis of complete Table 915- 1 Parameters from each soil boring advanced during monitoring well installation. The sample collected will be from the interval(s) displaying the highest degree of impacts or in the absence of apparent impacts from beneath the previous excavation extent, the interval in which organic compounds were previously detected, and/or the soil-groundwater interface.</p> <p>Operator shall provide boring logs in accordance with standard environmental practices. This includes at a minimum; lithology description, USCS classifications, PID readings, sample collection depths, depth to water, and well construction.</p>
	Operator shall characterize the total organic carbon and pH in groundwater to confirm the conditions are conducive to the effective implementation of the selected remedial strategy, in accordance with the assumptions noted by the biostimulant manufacturer in the attached proposal. These groundwater samples shall be collected from the current monitoring well network prior to the application of the biostimulant.
	Operator shall continue quarterly groundwater monitoring for the duration of the remediation project. All groundwater samples shall be analyzed for Table 915-1 Organic Compounds in Groundwater and Groundwater Inorganic Parameters. Additionally, Operator shall provide all analytical reports, groundwater analytical summary tables and a potentiometric map depicting groundwater flow direction and gradient on each subsequent Quarterly Monitoring Report.
	Operator will provide notice to DJ Basin Environmental Supervisor Nikki Graber and to the ECMC Area EPS via email at least 48 hours prior to backfill, soil boring, monitoring well installation, or any sampling events performed on location.
7 COAs	

ATTACHMENT LIST

Upon approval, the approved Form 27 and all listed attachments will be indexed to the Remediation Project file. Only the approved Form 27 will also be indexed to the related Facilities.

<u>Att Doc Num</u>	<u>Name</u>
404116054	FORM 27-SUPPLEMENTAL-SUBMITTED
404116460	SITE MAP
404116461	SOIL SAMPLE LOCATION MAP
404116465	SOIL SAMPLE LOCATION MAP
404116532	ANALYTICAL RESULTS
404117751	REMEDIAL ACTION PLAN
404117754	GROUND WATER SAMPLE LOCATION
404118157	ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Total Attach: 8 Files

General Comments

<u>User Group</u>	<u>Comment</u>	<u>Comment Date</u>
		Stamp Upon Approval

Total: 0 comment(s)