

State of Colorado
Energy & Carbon Management Commission

1120 Lincoln Street, Suite 801, Denver, Colorado 80203
Phone: (303) 894-2100 Fax: (303) 894-2109



Document Number:
403985841
Receive Date:
12/04/2024
Report taken by:
Laurel Anderson

Site Investigation and Remediation Workplan (Supplemental Form)

This form shall be submitted to the Director for approval prior to the initiation of site investigation and remediation activities. However, this shall not preclude the Operator from taking immediate action to protect public health or safety, the environment, wildlife, or livestock.

This Form 27 describes site conditions as currently understood by the Operator; approval of this Form 27 by ECMC is based on the site conditions accurately described herein; any changes in site conditions identified during or subsequent to the performance of the approved workplan may necessitate additional investigation or remediation which shall be described on a supplemental Form 27.

This Form 27 is intended to provide basic information regarding the proposed site investigation and remediation actions, but the workplan may be more fully described in attached documentation.

Closure request is not available for an Initial Site Investigation and Remediation Workplan.

OPERATOR INFORMATION

Name of Operator: <u>KERR MCGEE OIL & GAS ONSHORE LP</u>	Operator No: <u>47120</u>	Phone Numbers Phone: <u>(713) 350-4906</u> Mobile: <u>()</u>
Address: <u>P O BOX 173779</u>		
City: <u>DENVER</u>	State: <u>CO</u>	Zip: <u>80217-3779</u>
Contact Person: <u>Ariana Ochoa</u>	Email: <u>DJRemediation_Forms@oxy.com</u>	

PROJECT, PURPOSE & SITE INFORMATION

PROJECT INFORMATION

Remediation Project #: 35186 Initial Form 27 Document #: 403703935

PURPOSE INFORMATION

- Rule 913.c.(1): Pit or Cuttings Trench closure.
- Rule 913.c.(2): Buried or partially buried vessel closure, which will be by removal.
- Rule 913.c.(3): Remediation of Spill and Releases pursuant to Rule 912.
- Rule 913.c.(4): Land treatment of Oily Waste pursuant to Rule 905.e.
- Rule 913.c.(5): Closure of Centralized E&P Waste Management Facilities pursuant to Rule 907.h.
- Rule 913.c.(6): Remediation of impacted Groundwater pursuant to Rule 915.e.(3).D, and the contaminant concentrations in Table 915-1.
- Rule 913.c.(7): Investigation and remediation of natural gas in soil or Groundwater.
- Rule 913.c.(8): When requested by the Director due to any potential risk to soil, Groundwater, or surface water.
- Rule 913.c.(9): Decommissioning of Oil and Gas Facilities.
- Rule 913.g: Changes of Operator.
- Rule 915.b: Request to leave elevated inorganics in situ.
- Other: _____

SITE INFORMATION

Yes Multiple Facilities

Facility Type: LOCATION Facility ID: 318031 API #: _____ County Name: WELD

Facility Name: JIMMIE E. MILLER G U-62N65W Latitude: 40.090180 Longitude: -104.712510
31SWSW

** correct Lat/Long if needed: Latitude: 40.089872 Longitude: -104.712126

QtrQtr: SWSW Sec: 31 Twp: 2N Range: 65W Meridian: 6 Sensitive Area? Yes

Facility Type: TANK BATTERY Facility ID: 451111 API #: _____ County Name: WELD

Facility Name: Miller 11-31 Battery Latitude: 40.089813 Longitude: -104.712174

** correct Lat/Long if needed: Latitude: _____ Longitude: _____

QtrQtr: SWSW Sec: 31 Twp: 2N Range: 65W Meridian: 6 Sensitive Area? Yes

SITE CONDITIONS

General soil type - USCS Classifications SM

Most Sensitive Adjacent Land Use Crop land

Is domestic water well within 1/4 mile? No

Is surface water within 1/4 mile? No

Is groundwater less than 20 feet below ground surface? Yes

Other Potential Receptors within 1/4 mile

Domestic water well: none
Surface water: none
Wetlands: none
Springs: none
Livestock: none
Occupied building: approximately 610' W
High Priority Habitat: none

SITE INVESTIGATION PLAN

TYPE OF WASTE:

- | | | |
|--|--|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> E&P Waste | <input type="checkbox"/> Other E&P Waste | <input type="checkbox"/> Non-E&P Waste |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Produced Water | <input type="checkbox"/> Workover Fluids | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Oil | <input type="checkbox"/> Tank Bottoms | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Condensate | <input type="checkbox"/> Pigging Waste | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Drilling Fluids | <input type="checkbox"/> Rig Wash | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Drill Cuttings | <input type="checkbox"/> Spent Filters | |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Pit Bottoms | |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Other (as described by EPA) | |

DESCRIPTION OF IMPACT

Impacted?	Impacted Media	Extent of Impact	How Determined
No	GROUNDWATER	Groundwater not encountered	Groundwater samples/laboratory analytical results
No	SOILS	No soil impacts	Inspection/soil samples/laboratory analytical results

INITIAL ACTION SUMMARY

Description of initial action or emergency response measures take to abate, investigate, and/or remediate impacts associated with E&P Waste.

Decommissioning activities were completed at the Miller Jimmie E 1 production facility on September 9, 2024. Groundwater was not encountered during decommissioning activities. Visual inspection and field screening of soils at one separator, one meter house, one produced water vessel (PWV), one emission control device (ECD), and one aboveground storage tank (AST) were conducted following removal activities and soil samples (SEP-B01@4', SEP-B02@4', AST-B01@3", PW- B01@5', and PW-W01@4') were submitted for lab analysis to determine if a release occurred. Initial laboratory analytical results indicated that barium concentration in AST-B01@3" and the arsenic concentration in PW-B01@5' exceeded the applicable ECMC Table 915-1 standards and background limits. As such, verification soil samples (AST-B01-01@3", PW-B01-01@4') were collected and submitted for pH, arsenic and/or barium analysis only. Final laboratory analytical results indicated that constituent concentrations in the soil samples were in compliance with the ECMC Table 915-1 standards and/or within background limits. A topographic Site Location Map showing the geographic setting of the site location is provided as Figure 1. Soil sample location and field screening data is presented in Table 1. The soil sample and field screening locations are illustrated on Figure 2. The laboratory analytical reports are attached. The field notes and a photographic log are provided as Attachment A.

PROPOSED SAMPLING PLAN

Proposed Soil Sampling

Will soil samples be collected as part of this investigation? (Number, type (grab/composite), analyses, and locations of samples):

On September 9, 2024, soil samples were collected from the base (PW-B01@5') and sidewall (PW-W01@4') of the PWV excavation, the separator excavation (SEP-B01@4', SEP-B02@4'), and beneath the former AST (AST-B01@3"). The soil samples were submitted for laboratory analysis of the full ECMC Table 915-1 analytical suite using ECMC-approved methods. Initial laboratory analytical results indicated that barium concentration in AST-B01@3" and the arsenic concentration in PW-B01@5' exceeded the applicable ECMC Table 915-1 standards and background limits. As such, verification soil samples (AST-B01-01@3", PW-B01-01@4') were collected and submitted for pH, arsenic and/or barium analysis only. Final laboratory analytical results indicated that constituent concentrations in the soil samples were in compliance with the ECMC Table 915-1 standards and/or within background limits. Soil analytical results are presented in Tables 2 through 5.

Proposed Groundwater Sampling

Will groundwater samples be collected as part of this investigation? (Number, analyses, and locations of samples):

Groundwater was not encountered during decommissioning activities.

Proposed Surface Water Sampling

Will surface water samples be collected as part of this investigation? (Number, analyses, and locations of samples):

Additional Investigative Actions

Additional alternative investigative actions described in attached Site Investigation Plan (summary):

On September 9, 2024, visual inspections and field screening of soils was conducted at three sidewalls of the PWV excavation, one ECD, one former meter house, and beneath one former AST. Based on the inspection and screening results, hydrocarbon-impacted soils were not observed at the soil screening locations. As a result, no soil samples were submitted for laboratory analysis from these areas in accordance with the ECMC Operator Guidance for Oil & Gas Facility Closure document.

SITE INVESTIGATION REPORT

SAMPLE SUMMARY

Soil

Number of soil samples collected 7

Number of soil samples exceeding 915-1 7

Was the areal and vertical extent of soil contamination delineated? Yes

Approximate areal extent (square feet) 0

NA / ND

ND Highest concentration of TPH (mg/kg) _____

-- Highest concentration of SAR 0.229

BTEX > 915-1 No

Vertical Extent > 915-1 (in feet) 0

Groundwater

Number of groundwater samples collected 0

Was extent of groundwater contaminated delineated? No

Depth to groundwater (below ground surface, in feet) _____

Number of groundwater monitoring wells installed _____

Number of groundwater samples exceeding 915-1 _____

_____ Highest concentration of Benzene (µg/l) _____

_____ Highest concentration of Toluene (µg/l) _____

_____ Highest concentration of Ethylbenzene (µg/l) _____

_____ Highest concentration of Xylene (µg/l) _____

_____ Highest concentration of Methane (mg/l) _____

Surface Water

0 Number of surface water samples collected

0 Number of surface water samples exceeding 915-1

If surface water is impacted, other agency notification may be required.

OTHER INVESTIGATION INFORMATION

Were impacts to adjacent property or offsite impacts identified?

Were background samples collected as part of this site investigation?

Background soil samples PW-BG01 - PW-BG03, and AST-BG01 - AST-BG03 were collected from native non-impacted material (Vona loamy sand) nearby the tank battery at depths of 0.25' - 6' below ground surface (bgs). Additional background samples collected nearby the Miller 11-31 wellhead (located approximately 1400' NW) have been included (collected from similar depths (3' and 6'), soil type (Vona loamy sand), and from the same field). The background soil samples were submitted for laboratory analysis of the Soil Suitability for Reclamation Parameters using standard methods appropriate for detecting target analytes in Table 915-1. Analytical results for the background soil samples are presented in Tables 3 and 5. The background soil sample locations are illustrated on Figures 2, 3, and 4.

Was investigation derived waste (IDW) generated as part of this investigation?

Volume of solid waste (cubic yards) _____

Volume of liquid waste (barrels) _____

Is further site investigation required?

REMEDIAL ACTION PLAN

Does this Supplemental Form 27A include changes to a previously approved Remedial Action Plan? No

SOURCE REMOVAL SUMMARY

Describe how source is to be removed.

Initial laboratory analytical results indicated that barium concentration in AST-B01@3" and the arsenic concentration in PW-B01@5' exceeded the applicable ECMC Table 915-1 standards and background limits. As such, verification soil samples (AST-B01-01@3", PW-B01-01@4') were collected and submitted for pH, arsenic and/or barium analysis only. Final laboratory analytical results indicated that constituent concentrations in the soil samples were in compliance with the ECMC Table 915-1 standards and/or within background limits. The excavation areas will be backfilled and contoured to match preexisting site conditions.

REMEDATION SUMMARY

Describe how remediation of existing impacts to soil and groundwater is to be accomplished (i.e. summarize remedial action plan). Provide a brief narrative description including: technical justification, schedule for implementation, estimated time to attain NFA status, plus plans and specifications for the selected remedial action technology.

Initial laboratory analytical results indicated that barium concentration in AST-B01@3" and the arsenic concentration in PW-B01@5' exceeded the applicable ECMC Table 915-1 standards and background limits. As such, verification soil samples (AST-B01-01@3", PW-B01-01@4') were collected and submitted for pH, arsenic and/or barium analysis only. Final laboratory analytical results indicated that constituent concentrations in the soil samples were in compliance with the ECMC Table 915-1 standards and/or within background limits. Based on the analytical and soil screening data presented herein, assessment is complete at this site and no further activities are required. As such, Kerr-McGee is requesting a No Further Action (NFA) determination for this location.

Soil Remediation Summary

In Situ

Ex Situ

- _____ Bioremediation (or enhanced bioremediation)
- _____ Chemical oxidation
- _____ Air sparge / Soil vapor extraction
- _____ Natural Attenuation
- _____ Other _____

- _____ Excavate and offsite disposal
- _____ If Yes: Estimated Volume (Cubic Yards) _____
- _____ Name of Licensed Disposal Facility or ECMC Facility ID # _____
- _____ Excavate and onsite remediation
- _____ Land Treatment
- _____ Bioremediation (or enhanced bioremediation)
- _____ Chemical oxidation
- _____ Other _____

Groundwater Remediation Summary

- _____ Bioremediation (or enhanced bioremediation)
- _____ Chemical oxidation
- _____ Air sparge / Soil vapor extraction
- _____ Natural Attenuation
- _____ Other _____

GROUNDWATER MONITORING

If groundwater has been impacted, describe proposed monitoring plan, including # of wells or sample points, monitoring schedule, analytical methods, points of compliance. Attach a groundwater monitoring location diagram.

REMEDIATION PROGRESS UPDATE

PERIODIC REPORTING

Approved Reporting Schedule:

Quarterly Semi-Annually Annually Other

Request Alternative Reporting Schedule:

Semi-Annually Annually Other

Rule 913.e:

After initial approval of a Form 27, the Operator will provide quarterly update reports in a Supplemental Form 27 to document progress of site investigation and remediation, unless an alternative reporting schedule has been requested by the Operator and approved by the Director. The Director may request a more frequent reporting schedule based on site-specific conditions.

Report Type: Groundwater Monitoring Land Treatment Progress Report O&M Report
 Other NFA Request _____

Adequacy of Operator's General Liability Insurance and Financial Assurance

Describe the adequacy of the Operator's general liability insurance and Financial Assurance to fully address the anticipated costs of Remediation, including the estimated remaining cost for this project (below).

If this information has been provided on a Form 27 within the last 12 months, provide the Document Number of that form.

KMOG has sufficient insurance and bonding to fully address the anticipated costs of Remediation, including the remaining estimated costs for this project. KMOG currently has over 40 million in bonds with the Energy and Carbon Management Commission. The cost for remediation is a preliminary estimate only, costs may change upwards or downward based on site-specific information. KMOG makes no representation or guarantees as to the accuracy of the preliminary estimate.

Operator anticipates the remaining cost for this project to be: \$ 0 _____

WASTE DISPOSAL INFORMATION

Was E&P waste generated as part of this remediation? No

Describe beneficial use, if any, of E&P Waste derived from this remediation project:

Volume of E&P Waste (solid) in cubic yards _____

E&P waste (solid) description _____

ECMC Disposal Facility ID #, if applicable: _____

Non-ECMC Disposal Facility: _____

Volume of E&P Waste (liquid) in barrels _____

E&P waste (liquid) description _____

ECMC Disposal Facility ID #, if applicable: _____

Non-ECMC Disposal Facility: _____

REMEDIATION COMPLETION REPORT

REMEDIATION COMPLETION SUMMARY

Is this a Final Closure Request for this Remediation Project? Yes

If YES:

- Compliant with Rule 913.h.(1).
- Compliant with Rule 913.h.(2).
- Compliant with Rule 913.h.(3).

Do all soils meet Table 915-1 standards? Yes

Does the previous reply indicate consideration of background concentrations? Yes

Does Groundwater meet Table 915-1 standards? Yes

Is additional groundwater monitoring to be conducted? _____

Operator shall comply with the ECMC 1000-Series Reclamation Requirements for all impacted and disturbed areas.

RECLAMATION PLAN

RECLAMATION PLANNING

Describe reclamation plan. Discuss existing and new grade recontouring; method and testing of compaction alleviation; and reseeding program, including location of new seed, seed mix and noxious weed prevention. Attach diagram or drawing.

The site will be reclaimed in accordance with ECMC 1000 Series Reclamation Rules.

Is the described reclamation complete? No

Does the reclamation described herein constitute interim or final reclamation of the Oil and Gas Location?

Interim

Final

Did the Surface Owner provide the seed mix? Yes

If YES, does the seed mix comply with local soil conservation district recommendations? Yes

Did the local soil conservation district provide the seed mix? No

SITE RECLAMATION DATES

Proposed date of commencement of Reclamation. 11/29/2024

Proposed date of completion of Reclamation. 11/29/2025

IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE

Per Rule 913.d.(2): Any change from the approved implementation schedule will be requested at least 14 days in advance, and the Operator may not make the change without the Director's approval.

PRIOR DATES

Date of Surface Owner notification/consultation, if required. 01/25/2024

Actual Spill or Release date, or date of discovery. _____

SITE INVESTIGATION DATES

Date of Initial Actions described in Site Investigation Plan (start date). 09/09/2024

Proposed site investigation commencement. 09/09/2024

Proposed completion of site investigation. 09/12/2024

REMEDIAL ACTION DATES

Proposed start date of Remediation. _____

Proposed date of completion of Remediation. _____

Per Rule 913.d.(2): Any change from the approved implementation schedule will be requested at least 14 days in advance, and the Operator may not make the change without the Director's approval.

Change from approved implementation schedule per Rule 913.d.(2).

Basis for change in implementation schedule:

OPERATOR COMMENT

--

I hereby certify all statements made in this form are to the best of my knowledge true, correct, and complete.

Signed: Ariana Ochoa

Title: Sr. HSE Advisor

Submit Date: 12/04/2024

Email: DJRemediation_Forms@oxy.com

Based on the information provided herein, this Application for Site Investigation and Remediation Workplan complies with ECMC Rules and applicable orders and is hereby approved.

ECMC Approved: Laurel Anderson

Date: 02/06/2025

Remediation Project Number: 35186

COA Type**Description**

	<p>Based on the information presented, it appears that no further action is necessary at this time and the ECMC approves the closure request. However, if future conditions at the site indicate contaminant concentrations in soils exceeding ECMC standards or if groundwater is found to be impacted, then further investigation and/or remediation activities may be required.</p> <p>The surface area disturbed by the remediation activity shall be reclaimed in accordance with the 1000 Series Reclamation Rules. For locations with active ongoing oil and gas operations, comply with Rule 1003 interim reclamation requirements and for locations that will no longer have active oil and gas operations, comply with Rule 1004 Final Reclamation requirements.</p>
--	--

1 COA

ATTACHMENT LIST

Upon approval, the approved Form 27 and all listed attachments will be indexed to the Remediation Project file. Only the approved Form 27 will also be indexed to the related Facilities.

Att Doc Num**Name**

403985841	INVESTIGATION/REMEDATION WORKPLAN (SUPPLEMENTAL)
403986137	SITE MAP
403986138	SOIL SAMPLE LOCATION MAP
403986147	PHOTO DOCUMENTATION
403986148	ANALYTICAL RESULTS
403986149	ANALYTICAL RESULTS
404006634	SOIL SAMPLE LOCATION MAP
404006641	SOIL SAMPLE LOCATION MAP
404006649	ANALYTICAL RESULTS
404006763	ANALYTICAL RESULTS
404084514	FORM 27-SUPPLEMENTAL-SUBMITTED

Total Attach: 11 Files

General Comments**User Group****Comment****Comment Date**

		Stamp Upon Approval
--	--	---------------------

Total: 0 comment(s)