

State of Colorado  
Energy & Carbon Management Commission1120 Lincoln Street, Suite 801, Denver, Colorado 80203  
Phone: (303) 894-2100 Fax: (303) 894-2109

Document Number:

403930796

Receive Date:

10/18/2024

Report taken by:

Laurel Anderson

## Site Investigation and Remediation Workplan (Supplemental Form)

This form shall be submitted to the Director for approval prior to the initiation of site investigation and remediation activities. However, this shall not preclude the Operator from taking immediate action to protect public health or safety, the environment, wildlife, or livestock.

This Form 27 describes site conditions as currently understood by the Operator; approval of this Form 27 by ECOM is based on the site conditions accurately described herein; any changes in site conditions identified during or subsequent to the performance of the approved workplan may necessitate additional investigation or remediation which shall be described on a supplemental Form 27. This Form 27 is intended to provide basic information regarding the proposed site investigation and remediation actions, but the workplan may be more fully described in attached documentation.

Closure request is not available for an Initial Site Investigation and Remediation Workplan.

## OPERATOR INFORMATION

Name of Operator: NOBLE ENERGY INC	Operator No: 100322	Phone Numbers
Address: 1099 18TH STREET SUITE 1500		Phone: (970) 313-5582
City: DENVER	State: CO	Zip: 80202
Contact Person: Jason Davidson	Email: jason.davidson@chevron.com	Mobile: ( )

## PROJECT, PURPOSE &amp; SITE INFORMATION

## PROJECT INFORMATION

Remediation Project #: 34390 Initial Form 27 Document #: 403704040

## PURPOSE INFORMATION

- ☐ Rule 913.c.(1): Pit or Cuttings Trench closure.
- ☐ Rule 913.c.(2): Buried or partially buried vessel closure, which will be by removal.
- ☐ Rule 913.c.(3): Remediation of Spill and Releases pursuant to Rule 912.
- ☐ Rule 913.c.(4): Land treatment of Oily Waste pursuant to Rule 905.e.
- ☐ Rule 913.c.(5): Closure of Centralized E&P Waste Management Facilities pursuant to Rule 907.h.
- ☐ Rule 913.c.(6): Remediation of impacted Groundwater pursuant to Rule 915.e.(3).D, and the contaminant concentrations in Table 915-1.
- ☐ Rule 913.c.(7): Investigation and remediation of natural gas in soil or Groundwater.
- ☐ Rule 913.c.(8): When requested by the Director due to any potential risk to soil, Groundwater, or surface water.
- ☒ Rule 913.c.(9): Decommissioning of Oil and Gas Facilities.
- ☐ Rule 913.g: Changes of Operator.
- ☐ Rule 915.b: Request to leave elevated inorganics in situ.
- ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

## SITE INFORMATION

No Multiple Facilities

Facility Type: WELL	Facility ID: _____	API #: 123-24259	County Name: WELD
Facility Name: Stroh H12-16	Latitude: 40.233820	Longitude: -104.604270	
** correct Lat/Long if needed: Latitude: _____		Longitude: _____	
QtrQtr: SESE	Sec: 12	Twp: 3N	Range: 6W Meridian: 6 Sensitive Area? Yes

## SITE CONDITIONS

General soil type - USCS Classifications SP Most Sensitive Adjacent Land Use Grassland

Is domestic water well within 1/4 mile? Yes Is surface water within 1/4 mile? Yes

Is groundwater less than 20 feet below ground surface? No

**Other Potential Receptors within 1/4 mile**

Riverine 0.07mi W  
Residential 0.03mi W, 0.04mi SW, 0.13/0.21mi N, 0.15mi SE  
Farm Structure 0.07mi SW, 0.05mi W, 0.15/0.16/0.21mi N, 0.17mi SE, 0.2/0.21mi S

**SITE INVESTIGATION PLAN****TYPE OF WASTE:**

- ☒ **E&P Waste**      ☐ **Other E&P Waste**      ☐ **Non-E&P Waste**
- ☒ Produced Water      ☐ Workover Fluids
- ☒ Oil      ☐ Tank Bottoms
- ☒ Condensate      ☐ Pigging Waste
- ☐ Drilling Fluids      ☐ Rig Wash
- ☐ Drill Cuttings      ☐ Spent Filters
- ☐ Pit Bottoms
- ☐ Other (as described by EPA)

**DESCRIPTION OF IMPACT**

Impacted?	Impacted Media	Extent of Impact	How Determined
UNDETERMINED	GROUNDWATER	NA	Lab analysis and field screening if encountered
Yes	SOILS	Refer to Tables and Figures	Lab analysis and field screening

**INITIAL ACTION SUMMARY**

Description of initial action or emergency response measures take to abate, investigate, and/or remediate impacts associated with E&P Waste.

Pursuant to ECMC Rule 911 a decommissioning was conducted pertaining to the Stroh H12-16 wellhead cut and cap and flowline abandonment. Approximately 526' of flowline was abandoned in place due to private utilities and other site constraints. The ECMC will be updated on a supplemental form 27 if any portion of the flowline is able to be removed. The wellhead was cut and capped per ECMC rules. Additionally, soil samples were field screened at the N-E-S-W sides of the wellhead. The form 44 abandonment number will be provided on a supplemental form 27.

**PROPOSED SAMPLING PLAN****Proposed Soil Sampling**

- ☒ Will soil samples be collected as part of this investigation? ( Number, type (grab/composite), analyses, and locations of samples ):

A grab soil sample were collected at the base of the excavation or the area showing the highest degree of impact during field screening activities at the wellhead excavation. Additionally, soil samples were field screened at the N-E-S-W sides of the wellhead. Soil samples were analyzed by a certified laboratory for the full extent of Table 915-1. All samples collected were analyzed by a certified laboratory using approved ECMC laboratory analysis methods.

**Proposed Groundwater Sampling**

- ☒ Will groundwater samples be collected as part of this investigation? ( Number, analyses, and locations of samples ):

If groundwater is encountered during the site investigation a grab groundwater sample will be collected and analyzed for all organic compounds and inorganic parameters per ECMC Table 915-1.

**Proposed Surface Water Sampling**

- ☐ Will surface water samples be collected as part of this investigation? ( Number, analyses, and locations of samples ):

**Additional Investigative Actions**

- ☐ Additional alternative investigative actions described in attached Site Investigation Plan ( summary ):

Visual inspection of the wellhead and flowline areas occurred during abandonment activities. Field personnel field screened all disturbed areas using visual and olfactory senses to determine if laboratory confirmation sampling was required. A detailed summary of decommissioning activities, including field notes, site photos, figures, and laboratory analytical results, is attached to this Form 27.

# SITE INVESTIGATION REPORT

## SAMPLE SUMMARY

### Soil

Number of soil samples collected 7

Number of soil samples exceeding 915-1 7

Was the areal and vertical extent of soil contamination delineated? No

Approximate areal extent (square feet) 400

### NA / ND

ND Highest concentration of TPH (mg/kg)

-- Highest concentration of SAR 4.42

BTEX > 915-1 No

Vertical Extent > 915-1 (in feet) 6

### Groundwater

Number of groundwater samples collected 0

Was extent of groundwater contaminated delineated? Yes

Depth to groundwater (below ground surface, in feet)

Number of groundwater monitoring wells installed

Number of groundwater samples exceeding 915-1

Highest concentration of Benzene (µg/l)

Highest concentration of Toluene (µg/l)

Highest concentration of Ethylbenzene (µg/l)

Highest concentration of Xylene (µg/l)

Highest concentration of Methane (mg/l)

### Surface Water

0 Number of surface water samples collected

Number of surface water samples exceeding 915-1

If surface water is impacted, other agency notification may be required.

## OTHER INVESTIGATION INFORMATION

☐ Were impacts to adjacent property or offsite impacts identified?

☒ Were background samples collected as part of this site investigation?

Three background soil samples were collected at the nearby Stroh H-63N65W 12SESE Tank Battery location (remediation number 34398) and analyzed for metals in soil per ECMC Table 915-1, pH, SAR, EC, and boron. Background soil samples were collected from depths ranging between 0-0.5 to 4 feet below ground surface. The maximum background concentration for pH was observed to be 8.87. All pH concentrations observed during decommissioning were below background levels. As such, Noble requests that pH should not be considered a contaminant of concern.

☐ Was investigation derived waste (IDW) generated as part of this investigation?

Volume of solid waste (cubic yards)

Volume of liquid waste (barrels)

☒ Is further site investigation required?

A supplemental site investigation (SSI) will be completed to vertically and horizontally delineate the EC exceedances observed during decommissioning. A proposed SSI map is attached to this Form 27. During the SSI, soil samples will be collected and analyzed for full ECMC Table 915-1 constituents. Concurrently with the SSI, additional background samples will be collected to determine if arsenic is attributed to native soil conditions at the site. The SSI will be completed in accordance with the proposed implementation schedule, and the results of the SSI will be submitted on a subsequent Form 27.

The flowline is currently planned for future removal, at which time soil sampling and screening samples will be taken along the flowline at any points of material change and/or hammer unions, and directional changes. Pursuant to the flowline removal, all laboratory analytical samples will be analyzed for full ECMC Table 915-1 contaminants of concern. Additional SSI activities will be proposed (as applicable) on a future Form 27 if further investigation is required.

## REMEDIAL ACTION PLAN

Does this Supplemental Form 27A include changes to a previously approved Remedial Action Plan? No

## SOURCE REMOVAL SUMMARY

Describe how source is to be removed.

No impacted material caused by oil and gas operations was identified at this time.

## REMEDATION SUMMARY

Describe how remediation of existing impacts to soil and groundwater is to be accomplished (i.e. summarize remedial action plan). Provide a brief narrative description including: technical justification, schedule for implementation, estimated time to attain NFA status, plus plans and specifications for the selected remedial action technology.

A supplemental site investigation (SSI) will be completed to vertically and horizontally delineate the EC exceedances observed at WH01 @6' and FLR01 @4' during decommissioning, in accordance with the attached proposed site investigation map, and proposed sampling plan outlined in the Site Investigation Report section of this Form 27.

Soil Remediation Summary

☐ In Situ

☐ Ex Situ

\_\_\_\_\_ Bioremediation ( or enhanced bioremediation )

\_\_\_\_\_ Chemical oxidation

\_\_\_\_\_ Air sparge / Soil vapor extraction

\_\_\_\_\_ Natural Attenuation

\_\_\_\_\_ Other \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_ Excavate and offsite disposal

If Yes: Estimated Volume (Cubic Yards) \_\_\_\_\_

Name of Licensed Disposal Facility or ECMC Facility ID # \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_ Excavate and onsite remediation

\_\_\_\_\_ Land Treatment

\_\_\_\_\_ Bioremediation (or enhanced bioremediation)

\_\_\_\_\_ Chemical oxidation

\_\_\_\_\_ Other \_\_\_\_\_

Groundwater Remediation Summary

\_\_\_\_\_ Bioremediation ( or enhanced bioremediation )

\_\_\_\_\_ Chemical oxidation

\_\_\_\_\_ Air sparge / Soil vapor extraction

\_\_\_\_\_ Natural Attenuation

\_\_\_\_\_ Other \_\_\_\_\_

GROUNDWATER MONITORING

If groundwater has been impacted, describe proposed monitoring plan, including # of wells or sample points, monitoring schedule, analytical methods, points of compliance. Attach a groundwater monitoring location diagram.

## REMEDIATION PROGRESS UPDATE

### PERIODIC REPORTING

#### Approved Reporting Schedule:

☒ Quarterly☐ Semi-Annually☐ Annually☐ Other

#### ☐ Request Alternative Reporting Schedule:

☐ Semi-Annually☐ Annually☐ Other

Rule 913.e:

After initial approval of a Form 27, the Operator will provide quarterly update reports in a Supplemental Form 27 to document progress of site investigation and remediation, unless an alternative reporting schedule has been requested by the Operator and approved by the Director. The Director may request a more frequent reporting schedule based on site-specific conditions.

#### Report Type:

☐ Groundwater Monitoring☐ Land Treatment Progress Report☐ O&M Report☒ Other Decommissioning Sample Summary & Supplemental Site Investigation Proposal

### Adequacy of Operator's General Liability Insurance and Financial Assurance

Describe the adequacy of the Operator's general liability insurance and Financial Assurance to fully address the anticipated costs of Remediation, including the estimated remaining cost for this project (below).

If this information has been provided on a Form 27 within the last 12 months, provide the Document Number of that form.

Noble intends to directly address the costs of remediation at the locations as part of our asset retirement obligation process and operations. Noble has general liability insurance (policy MWZZ 316714) and financial assurance in compliance with ECMC rules. Records are available on the ECMC's website. The cost for remediation is an estimate only, costs may change upwards or downward based on site-specific information. Noble makes no representation or guarantees as to the accuracy of the estimate.

Operator anticipates the remaining cost for this project to be: \$ 50000

### WASTE DISPOSAL INFORMATION

Was E&P waste generated as part of this remediation? No

Describe beneficial use, if any, of E&P Waste derived from this remediation project:

Volume of E&P Waste (solid) in cubic yards

E&P waste (solid) description

ECMC Disposal Facility ID #, if applicable:

Non-ECMC Disposal Facility:

Volume of E&P Waste (liquid) in barrels

E&P waste (liquid) description

ECMC Disposal Facility ID #, if applicable:

Non-ECMC Disposal Facility:

## REMEDIATION COMPLETION REPORT

### REMEDIATION COMPLETION SUMMARY

Is this a Final Closure Request for this Remediation Project? No

If YES:

☐ Compliant with Rule 913.h.(1).

☐ Compliant with Rule 913.h.(2).

☐ Compliant with Rule 913.h.(3).

Do all soils meet Table 915-1 standards?

Does the previous reply indicate consideration of background concentrations?

Does Groundwater meet Table 915-1 standards? \_\_\_\_\_

Is additional groundwater monitoring to be conducted? \_\_\_\_\_

Operator shall comply with the ECMC 1000-Series Reclamation Requirements for all impacted and disturbed areas.

## RECLAMATION PLAN

### RECLAMATION PLANNING

Describe reclamation plan. Discuss existing and new grade recontouring; method and testing of compaction alleviation; and reseeding program, including location of new seed, seed mix and noxious weed prevention. Attach diagram or drawing.

Reclamation will be in accordance with ECMC 1000 Series Rules.

Is the described reclamation complete? Yes \_\_\_\_\_

Does the reclamation described herein constitute interim or final reclamation of the Oil and Gas Location?

☒ Interim

☐ Final

Did the Surface Owner provide the seed mix? \_\_\_\_\_

If YES, does the seed mix comply with local soil conservation district recommendations? \_\_\_\_\_

Did the local soil conservation district provide the seed mix? \_\_\_\_\_

### SITE RECLAMATION DATES

Proposed date of commencement of Reclamation. 07/25/2024

Proposed date of completion of Reclamation. 10/18/2026

## IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE

Per Rule 913.d.(2): Any change from the approved implementation schedule will be requested at least 14 days in advance, and the Operator may not make the change without the Director's approval.

### PRIOR DATES

Date of Surface Owner notification/consultation, if required. 02/29/2024

Actual Spill or Release date, or date of discovery. \_\_\_\_\_

### SITE INVESTIGATION DATES

Date of Initial Actions described in Site Investigation Plan (start date). 07/25/2024

Proposed site investigation commencement. 10/18/2024

Proposed completion of site investigation. 04/18/2025

### REMEDIAL ACTION DATES

Proposed start date of Remediation. 04/18/2025

Proposed date of completion of Remediation. 04/18/2026

Per Rule 913.d.(2): Any change from the approved implementation schedule will be requested at least 14 days in advance, and the Operator may not make the change without the Director's approval.

☒ Change from approved implementation schedule per Rule 913.d.(2).

Basis for change in implementation schedule:

The implementation schedule has been changed due to the decommissioning of the Stroh H12-16 wellhead and associated flowline and necessity for supplemental site investigation activities adjacent to the wellhead/flowline. The proposed site investigation will be completed following the approval of this form, landowner negotiations, and/or crew availability

## OPERATOR COMMENT

This Form 27 is being submitted to include the decommissioning results at the former Stroh H12-16 wellhead location. A proposal to delineate the EC exceedances identified during decommissioning (soil samples WH01@6', and FLR01@4') is presented in the Site Investigation Report section of this Form 27.

Three background soil samples were collected near the separator and analyzed for metals in soil per ECMC Table 915-1, pH, SAR, EC, and boron. Background soil samples were collected from depths ranging between 0-0.5 to 4 feet below ground surface. The maximum background concentration for pH was 8.87, and the maximum background concentration with a 1.25x multiplier applied for arsenic was calculated to be 1.65 mg/kg. All pH concentrations observed during decommissioning were below background levels. As such, Noble requests that pH should not be considered a contaminant of concern.

Concurrent with delineation activities, additional background samples will be collected to determine if arsenic observed at this location are indicative of native material conditions.

The flowline is currently planned for future removal, at which time soil sampling and screening samples will be taken along the flowline at any points of material change and hammer unions, and directional changes. Pursuant to the flowline removal, all laboratory analytical samples will be analyzed for full ECMC Table 915-1 contaminants of concern. Additional SSI activities will be proposed (as applicable) on a future Form 27 if further investigation is required.

Quarterly reporting will be conducted until closure criteria are achieved for the remediation project. The results of the supplemental site investigation will be submitted on a subsequent Form 27.

I hereby certify all statements made in this form are to the best of my knowledge true, correct, and complete.

Signed: Andy Sagen

Title: Environmental Consultant

Submit Date: 10/18/2024

Email: tas-chevron-1@tasman-geo.com

Based on the information provided herein, this Application for Site Investigation and Remediation Workplan complies with ECMC Rules and applicable orders and is hereby approved.

ECMC Approved:

Date:

Remediation Project Number: 34390

## COA Type

## Description

0 COA	

## ATTACHMENT LIST

Upon approval, the approved Form 27 and all listed attachments will be indexed to the Remediation Project file. Only the approved Form 27 will also be indexed to the related Facilities.

### Att Doc Num

### Name

403930796	FORM 27-SUPPLEMENTAL-SUBMITTED
403963150	OTHER
403963151	OTHER
403963152	ANALYTICAL RESULTS
403963157	ANALYTICAL RESULTS
403963162	SITE INVESTIGATION PLAN

Total Attach: 6 Files

## General Comments

### User Group

### Comment

### Comment Date

Environmental	ECMC has denied this Form 27 for data validation. Operator will provide laboratory analytical report(s) as a stand-alone attachment(s) on the replacement Supplemental Form 27. The Laboratory Report PDF(s) must be secured by the issuing laboratory; If there is a difference between the creation date and secured date of the PDF, Operator shall provide an explanation in the case narrative of the associated report. ECMC will not review combined PDFs with lab reports.	02/03/2025
---------------	--	------------

Total: 1 comment(s)