

304.c.(1). Emergency Spill Response Program

Issue identified by staff:	Suggested correction:	Specific Rule (optional)	Referenced in guidance document?	SME reviewer	Applicant Response:	Staff second review: Was the issue addressed?	403431352	403431935	403432018	403432749
Not required		304.c.(1)		DT			x	x	x	x

304.c.(2). Noise Mitigation Plan

Issue identified by staff:	Suggested correction:	Specific Rule (optional)	Referenced in guidance document?	SME reviewer	Applicant Response:	Staff second review: Was the issue addressed?	403431352	403431935	403432018	403432749
COMPLETENESS REVIEW Approved LIAEs		304.c.(2)		DT			x	x	x	x

304.c.(4). Odor Mitigation Plan

Issue identified by staff:	Suggested correction:	Specific Rule (optional)	Referenced in guidance document?	SME reviewer	Applicant Response:	Staff second review: Was the issue addressed?	403431352	403431935	403432018	403432749
COMPLETENESS REVIEW Not required		304.c.(4)		DT			x	x	x	x

304.c.(5). Dust Mitigation Plan

Issue identified by staff: COMPLETENESS REVIEW	Suggested correction:	Specific Rule (optional) 304.c.(5)	Referenced in guidance document?	SME reviewer	Applicant Response:	Staff second review: Was the issue addressed?	403431352	403431935	403432018	403432749
BMPs are non-specific and do not describe in detail what will be done and/or when they will be done.	Update BMPs to be specific. What speed limit will there be? What conditions will trigger fresh water applications?			DT		Yes	x	x	x	x

304.c.(6). Transportation Plan

Issue identified by staff:	Suggested correction:	Specific Rule (optional)	Referenced in guidance document?	SME reviewer	Applicant Response:	Staff second review: Was the issue addressed?	403431352	403431935	403432018	403432749
COMPLETENESS REVIEW Not required		304.c.(6)		DT			x	x	x	x

304.c.(7). Operations Safety Management Program

Issue identified by staff:	Suggested correction:	Specific Rule (optional)	Referenced in guidance document?	SME reviewer	Applicant Response:	Staff second review: Was the issue addressed?	403431352	403431935	403432018	403432749
COMPLETENESS REVIEW No identified issues		304.c.(7)		DT			x	x	x	x

304.c.(8). Emergency Response Plan

Issue identified by staff:	Suggested correction:	Specific Rule (optional)	Referenced in guidance document?	SME reviewer	Applicant Response:	Staff second review: Was the issue addressed?	403431352	403431935	403432018	403432749
COMPLETENESS REVIEW No identified issues		304.c.(8)		DT			x	x	x	x

304.c.(9). Flood Shut-In Plan

Issue identified by staff:	Suggested correction:	Specific Rule (optional)	Referenced in guidance document?	SME reviewer	Applicant Response:	Staff second review: Was the issue addressed?	403431352	403431935	403432018	403432749
COMPLETENESS REVIEW Not required		304.c.(9)		DT			x	x	x	x

304.c.(10). Hydrogen Sulfide Drilling Operations Plan

Issue identified by staff:	Suggested correction:	Specific Rule (optional)	Referenced in guidance document?	SME reviewer	Applicant Response:	Staff second review: Was the issue addressed?	403431352	403431935	403432018	403432749
COMPLETENESS REVIEW Not required		304.c.(10)		DT			x	x	x	x

304.c.(11). Waste Management Plan

Issue identified by staff: COMPLETENESS REVIEW	Suggested correction:	Specific Rule (optional)	Referenced in guidance document?	SME reviewer	Applicant Response:	Staff second review: Was the issue addressed?	403431352	403431935	403432018	403432749
Waste Management Plan not attached to Form 2A.	Attach the Waste Management Plan for the Trust 21-23 location. Indicate and potentially adjust plan if this oil would be removed by pipeline, sent to injection well, or if it would have to be trucked away.	304.c.(11)		DT		Yes		x		
Summary states that it is possible oil could be produced.				DT		Yes	x	x	x	

304.c.(12). Gas Capture Plan

Issue identified by staff:	Suggested correction:	Specific Rule (optional)	Referenced in guidance document?	SME reviewer	Applicant Response:	Staff second review: Was the issue addressed?	403431352	403431935	403432018	403432749
COMPLETENESS REVIEW Not required		304.c.(12)		DT			x	x	x	x

304.c.(13). Fluid Leak Detection Plan

Issue identified by staff:	Suggested correction:	Specific Rule (optional)	Referenced in guidance document?	SME reviewer	Applicant Response:	Staff second review: Was the issue addressed?	403431352	403431935	403432018	403432749
COMPLETENESS REVIEW No identified issues		304.c.(13)		DT			x	x	x	x

304.c.(14), Topsoil Protection Plan

Issue identified by staff: COMPLETENESS REVIEW	Suggested correction:	Specific Rule (optional) 304.c.(14)	Referenced in guidance document?	SME reviewer	Applicant Response:	Staff second review: Was the issue addressed?	403431352	403431935	403432018	403432749
The plan states, "Test pits for topsoil determination will be hand dug to a depth of one-foot or less. Topsoil in this area is not expected to exceed six-inches," however the rule states "When separating soil horizons, the operator shall segregate horizons based upon noted changes in physical characteristics such as organic content, color, texture, density, or consistency."	Update plan to describe what will be done if topsoil depth is greater than the proposed "one foot or less". Update the plan to include what you will do to submit the test pit data once the test pits have been completed.	1002.b.(1).		DT		NA	x	x	x	x
No description of what will be done to submit the test pit data is included.	Update the proposed cubic yardage of topsoil that will be stored for the oil and gas location in order to allow for at least 6 inches of topsoil to be available for use in site reclamation. For the 3.4 acres of pad disturbance, a minimum of 2743 CY of topsoil would be required.			DT		NA	x	x	x	x
For initial disturbance of 3.4 acres and a 6 inches of topsoil (the minimum for final reclamation), the topsoil stockpile needs to be at least 2743 CY. The BMP reports this stockpile will be 1713 CY which is not sufficient.	Update the proposed cubic yardage of topsoil that will be stored for the oil and gas location in order to allow for at least 6 inches of topsoil to be available for use in site reclamation. For the 1.8 acres of pad disturbance, a minimum of 1452 CY of topsoil would be required.			DT		NA	x			
For initial disturbance of 1.8 acres and 6 inches of topsoil (the required minimum for final reclamation) the topsoil stockpile would be at least 1452 CY. The BMP reports this stockpile will be 766 CY which is not sufficient.	Update the proposed cubic yardage of topsoil that will be stored for the oil and gas location in order to allow for at least 6 inches of topsoil to be available for use in site reclamation. For the 3.5 acres of pad disturbance, a minimum of 2823 CY of topsoil would be required.			DT		NA		x		
For initial disturbance of 3.5 acres and a 6 inches of topsoil (the minimum for final reclamation), the topsoil stockpile needs to be at least 2823 CY. The BMPs reports this stockpile is not sufficient.	Add this information, and reflect this on interim reclamation plan.			DT		NA			x	x
Plans don't mention the volume of soil stockpiles	Add a map showing where the pit are located, or at least provide lat/long coordinates of the pit locations.			DT	Volume of the soil stockpiles has been added to the Topsoil Plan.	Yes	x		x	x
There is no map showing location of pits.				DT	Maps have been added to the Topsoil Plan showing the location of the pits. Hadachek Trust 21-11: The soil pits are slightly outside of the disturbed area, but the soil types are identical to the soils on the pad.	Yes	x		x	x

304.c.(15). Stormwater Management Plan

Issue identified by staff:	Suggested correction:	Specific Rule (optional)	Referenced in guidance document?	SME reviewer	Applicant Response:	Staff second review: Was the issue addressed?	403431352	403431935	403432018	403432749
COMPLETENESS REVIEW Interim Reclamation and Hydrology Drawings are included as part of the Stormwater Plans. This is redundant with Hydrology Map and the Interim Reclamation plan.	Remove the pages with the Interim Reclamation Map and Hydrology Drawing, and add text referencing the drawings attachment separately if necessary.	304.c.(15)		DT		Yes	x	x	x	x

304.c.(16). Interim Reclamation Plan

Issue identified by staff: COMPLETENESS REVIEW	Suggested correction:	Specific Rule (optional) 304.c.(16)	Referenced in guidance document?	SME reviewer	Applicant Response:	Staff second review: Was the issue addressed?	403431352	403431935	403432018	403432749
Plan states that interim reclamation will occur within 6 months of well completions or plugging, but 3 of the 4 locations are in crop land, and so interim reclamation will need to occur within 3 months.	Modify plan to say interim reclamation will occur within 3 months.	1003.b		DT		Yes	x	x	x	
Stormwater Drawings are redundant.	Remove the pages with the Stormwater Drawings, and add text referencing the drawings attachment separately if necessary.			DT		Yes	x	x	x	x
No Interim Reclamation Drawing attached to plan.	Add the drawing here.			DT		Yes	x			

304.c.(17). Wildlife Plan

Issue identified by staff:	Suggested correction:	Specific Rule (optional)	Referenced in guidance document?	SME reviewer	Applicant Response:	Staff second review: Was the issue addressed?	403431352	403431935	403432018	403432749
COMPLETENESS REVIEW No identified issues.		304.c.(17)		DT			x	x	x	x

304.c.(18). Water Plan

Issue identified by staff: COMPLETENESS REVIEW	Suggested correction:	Specific Rule (optional) 304.c.(18)	Referenced in guidance document?	SME reviewer	Applicant Response:	Staff second review: Was the issue addressed?	403431352	403431935	403432018	403432749
Plan says water used for re-entry despite this being a new location.	Correct so plan says water used to drill and not for re-entry.			DT		Yes		x	x	

304.c.(19). Cumulative Impacts Plan

Issue identified by staff: COMPLETENESS REVIEW	Suggested correction:	Specific Rule (optional) 304.c.(19)	Referenced in guidance document?	SME reviewer	Applicant Response:	Staff second review: Was the issue addressed?	403431352	403431935	403432018	403432749
The use of a gas pipeline is stated, but plan doesn't say when the wells will be connected to the pipeline (e.g., by the start of production).	Describe when the there will be a connection to the pipeline, and if can't commit to pipeline by the time of production what will be done in the meantime.			DT		Yes	x	x	x	x
The Table that shows the number of Truck Trips lists 299 Truck Trips under the "Monthly Truck Trips" column. This does not seem to accurately reflect either "Monthly Truck Trips" or "Total Truck Trips". Is this the Total Truck Trips from construction through the beginning of production? Water plan states 2,000 bbl of water needed per well pad, and this CI plan doesn't necessarily make clear that the 2,000 bbls of water needed is per well pad.	Update to clarify what this number means. Clarify the water use per well pad and/or total. Include any information about the timelines of drilling and operating the wells if possible.			DT		Yes	x	x	x	x
Not clear on the planned time for different operation stages.				DT		Yes	x	x	x	x

304.c.(20). Community Outreach Plan

Issue identified by staff:	Suggested correction:	Specific Rule (optional)	Referenced in guidance document?	SME reviewer	Applicant Response:	Staff second review: Was the issue addressed?	403431352	403431935	403432018	403432749
COMPLETENESS REVIEW Not required		304.c.(20)		DT			x	x	x	x

304.c.(21), Geologic Hazard Plan

Issue identified by staff:	Suggested correction:	Specific Rule (optional)	Referenced in guidance document?	SME reviewer	Applicant Response:	Staff second review: Was the issue addressed?	403431352	403431935	403432018	403432749
COMPLETENESS REVIEW Not required		304.c.(21)		DT		x	x	x	x	

ACCESS ROAD MAP

Issue identified by staff:	Suggested correction:	Specific Rule (optional)	Referenced in guidance document?	SME reviewer	Applicant Response:	Staff second review: Was the issue addressed?	403431352	403431935	403432018	403432749
COMPLETENESS REVIEW No identified issues		304.b.(7).F		DT			x	x	x	x

ALA DATASHEET

Issue identified by staff: COMPLETENESS REVIEW	Suggested correction:	Specific Rule (optional) 304.b.(2)	Referenced in guidance document?	SME reviewer	Applicant Response:	Staff second review: Was the issue addressed?	403431352	403431935	403432018	403432749
CPW's ALA waiver not attached.	Attach CPW waiver to 2A.			DT		Yes	x			

ALA NARRATIVE SUMMARY

Issue identified by staff:	Suggested correction:	Specific Rule (optional)	Referenced in guidance document?	SME reviewer	Applicant Response:	Staff second review: Was the issue addressed?			
COMPLETENESS REVIEW CPW's ALA waiver not attached.	Attach CPW waiver to 2A.	304.b.(2)		DT		Yes	403431352	403431935	403432018 403432749

CONSULTATION SUMMARY

Issue identified by staff: COMPLETENESS REVIEW	Suggested correction:	Specific Rule (optional)	Referenced in guidance document?	SME reviewer	Applicant Response:	Staff second review: Was the issue addressed?	403431352	403431935	403432018	403432749
CPW Consultation Summary missing.	Add CPW Consult.			DT		Yes	x			

CPW CONSULTATION

Issue identified by staff:	Suggested correction:	Specific Rule (optional)	Referenced in guidance document?	SME reviewer	Applicant Response:	Staff second review: Was the issue addressed?	403431352	403431935	403432018	403432749
COMPLETENESS REVIEW First line says that the Howard-Hadacheck Helium location "include three (4) helium locations." CPW consultation not included for this marked location.	Correct to say four locations. Add CPW consultation.			DT DT		Yes Yes	x x	x x	x x	

CULTURAL FEATURES MAP

Issue identified by staff:	Suggested correction:	Specific Rule (optional)	Referenced in guidance document?	SME reviewer	Applicant Response:	Staff second review: Was the issue addressed?	403431352	403431935	403432018	403432749
COMPLETENESS REVIEW Although the above ground utility is visible on the map (as described), it doesn't have a distance.	Add the distance (and direction)	304.b.(3)		DT		Yes				x

DIRECTIONAL WELL PLAT

Issue identified by staff:	Suggested correction:	Specific Rule (optional)	Referenced in guidance document?	SME reviewer	Applicant Response:	Staff second review: Was the issue addressed?	403431352	403431935	403432018	403432749
COMPLETENESS REVIEW Not required		304.b.(7).H		DT			x	x	x	x

**DISPROPORTIONATELY IMPACTED
COMMUNITY MAP**

Issue identified by staff:	Suggested correction:	Specific Rule (optional)	Referenced in guidance document?	SME reviewer	Applicant Response:	Staff second review: Was the issue addressed?	403431352	403431935	403432018	403432749
COMPLETENESS REVIEW Not required		304.b.(7).J		DT		x	x	x	x	

GEOLOGIC HAZARD MAP

Issue identified by staff: COMPLETENESS REVIEW	Suggested correction:	Specific Rule (optional)	Referenced in guidance document?	SME reviewer	Applicant Response:	Staff second review: Was the issue addressed?	403431352	403431935	403432018	403432749
Per our guidance the Professional Geologist Certification states that the signee meets the definition of Professional Geologist as "required by C.R.S. § 23-41-208(b).", however it was recently brought to our attention that our guidance cites the incorrect statute.	Update to state "required by C.R.S. § 23-41-208(b)." to "required by C.R.S. § 23-41-208.(1).(b)."	304.b.(7).l		DT		Yes	x	x	x	x

GIS data

Issue identified by staff:	Suggested correction:	Specific Rule (optional)	Referenced in guidance document?	SME reviewer	Applicant Response:	Staff second review: Was the issue addressed?	403431352	403431935	403432018	403432749
COMPLETENESS REVIEW No identified issues		304.b.(8)		DT			x	x	x	x

HYDROLOGY MAP

Issue identified by staff:	Suggested correction:	Specific Rule (optional)	Referenced in guidance document?	SME reviewer	Applicant Response:	Staff second review: Was the issue addressed?				
COMPLETENESS REVIEW Depth to GW as listed on the 2As are based on water wells that aren't necessarily the closest wells, or that are even listed on this Hydrology map.	Pick closest (or closer) water wells if necessary, or explain on the spreadsheet why the current wells were the appropriate choice.	304.b.(7).E		DT	No, not rule break	x	x	x	x	403431352 403431935 403432018 403432749

INFORMED CONSENT LETTER

Issue identified by staff:	Suggested correction:	Specific Rule (optional)	Referenced in guidance document?	SME reviewer	Applicant Response:	Staff second review: Was the issue addressed?	403431352	403431935	403432018	403432749
COMPLETENESS REVIEW Not required		604.b.(1)		DT			x	x	x	x

**LESSER IMPACT AREA EXEMPTION
REQUEST**

Issue identified by staff:	Suggested correction:	Specific Rule (optional)	Referenced in guidance document?	SME reviewer	Applicant Response:	Staff second review: Was the issue addressed?	403431352	403431935	403432018	403432749
COMPLETENESS REVIEW Approved 10/24/24		304.d		DT						

LOCAL/FED FINAL PERMIT DECISION

Issue identified by staff:	Suggested correction:	Specific Rule (optional)	Referenced in guidance document?	SME reviewer	Applicant Response:	Staff second review: Was the issue addressed?	403431352	403431935	403432018	403432749
COMPLETENESS REVIEW Not required		303.a.(6).B		DT		x	x	x	x	

LOCATION DRAWING

Issue identified by staff:	Suggested correction:	Specific Rule (optional)	Referenced in guidance document?	SME reviewer	Applicant Response:	Staff second review: Was the issue addressed?	403431352	403431935	403432018	403432749
COMPLETENESS REVIEW No identified issues		304.b.(7).A		DT			x	x	x	x

LOCATION PICTURES

Issue identified by staff: COMPLETENESS REVIEW	Suggested correction:	Specific Rule (optional)	Referenced in guidance document?	SME reviewer	Applicant Response:	Staff second review: Was the issue addressed?	403431352	403431935	403432018	403432749
Location photos not attached at this location.	Add location photos.	304.b.(4)		DT		Yes	x			

NRCS MAP UNIT DESC

Issue identified by staff:	Suggested correction:	Specific Rule (optional)	Referenced in guidance document?	SME reviewer	Applicant Response:	Staff second review: Was the issue addressed?	403431352	403431935	403432018	403432749
COMPLETENESS REVIEW No identified issues		304.b.(10)		DT			x	x	x	x

OTHER

Issue identified by staff: COMPLETENESS REVIEW	Suggested correction:	Specific Rule (optional)	Referenced in guidance document?	SME reviewer	Applicant Response:	Staff second review: Was the issue addressed?	403431352	403431935	403432018	403432749
Not required				DT			x	x	x	x

**PRELIMINARY PROCESS FLOW
DIAGRAMS**

Issue identified by staff: COMPLETENESS REVIEW	Suggested correction:	Specific Rule (optional)	Referenced in guidance document?	SME reviewer	Applicant Response:	Staff second review: Was the issue addressed?	403431352	403431935	403432018	403432749
The symbols 'PR', 'FR', and 'LA' are not in the legend.	Add the symbols for 'PR', 'FR', and 'LA' to the legend.	304.b.(7).D		DT		Yes	x			
Not sure what is being shown on the second page.	Explain and add detail to second page.			DT		Yes	x	x		

REFERENCE AREA MAP

Issue identified by staff:	Suggested correction:	Specific Rule (optional)	Referenced in guidance document?	SME reviewer	Applicant Response:	Staff second review: Was the issue addressed?	403431352	403431935	403432018	403432749
COMPLETENESS REVIEW Reference Area Map not submitted and not required at these locations. No identified issues		304.b.(9).B.i		DT DT		x	x	x	x	

REFERENCE AREA PICTURES

Issue identified by staff:	Suggested correction:	Specific Rule (optional)	Referenced in guidance document?	SME reviewer	Applicant Response:	Staff second review: Was the issue addressed?	403431352	403431935	403432018	403432749
COMPLETENESS REVIEW Reference Area Pictures not submitted and not required.	None	304.b.(9).B.ii		DT			x	x	x	
Aerial image not taken such that it clearly depicts vegetation cover and diversity.	Include a new aerial image that is taken during the growing season and at a low enough height that it does clearly depict vegetation cover and diversity, or commit to a COA that will state updated Reference Area Pictures will be taken during the 2024 growing season and submitted by Form 4 Sundry.	304.b.(9).B.ii		DT		Yes				x

RELATED LOCATION AND FLOWLINE MAP

Issue identified by staff: COMPLETENESS REVIEW	Suggested correction:	Specific Rule (optional) 304.b.(7).G	Referenced in guidance document?	SME reviewer	Applicant Response:	Staff second review: Was the issue addressed?	403431352	403431935	403432018	403432749
RELATED LOCATION AND FLOWLINE MAP must have the 2000' buffer around all areas affected by the OGD, which includes the mineral development area	Include the mineral development areas of the OGD and the buffer should be extended from them.			SS		Yes	x	x	x	x

SURFACE AGRMT/SURETY

Issue identified by staff:	Suggested correction:	Specific Rule (optional)	Referenced in guidance document?	SME reviewer	Applicant Response:	Staff second review: Was the issue addressed?	403431352	403431935	403432018	403432749
COMPLETENESS REVIEW No identified issues		304.b.(12).B		DT			x	x	x	x

WAIVERS

Issue identified by staff:	Suggested correction:	Specific Rule (optional)	Referenced in guidance document?	SME reviewer	Applicant Response:	Staff second review: Was the issue addressed?	403431352	403431935	403432018	403432749
COMPLETENESS REVIEW CPW's ALA waiver not attached.	Attach CPW waiver to 2A.	604.a.(4)		DT		Yes	x			

WILDLIFE HABITAT DRAWING

Issue identified by staff: COMPLETENESS REVIEW	Suggested correction:	Specific Rule (optional)	Referenced in guidance document?	SME reviewer	Applicant Response:	Staff second review: Was the issue addressed?	403431352	403431935	403432018	403432749
Wildlife Habitat Drawing includes a lot of pages not needed.	Remove unnecessary non-wildlife drawing pages.	304.b.(7).C		DT		Yes	x			

Form 2A

COMPLETENESS REVIEW (Form 2A topic)	(topic/subtopic)	SME reviewer	Applicant Response:	Staff second review: Was the issue addressed?	403431352	403431935	403432018	403432749
Issue identified by staff: The Water Resources tab of this locations' 2A says it is not sensitive due to adequate distances from SW and depth to GW, but the Sensitive Area for water resources dropdown shows 'Yes'. Also the Submit tab lists why it was marked as sensitive area for water resources.	Suggested correction: Make consistent.	DT		Yes	x		x	
The Production location states there will be a gathering system, but provides no information on the 2A.	Add the information.	DT		Yes		x		
					x	x	x	x
The box: "This Location or its associated new access road, utility, or Pipeline corridor meets Rule 309.e.(2).A, B, or C." is not checked.	Check this box.	DT	Boxes Checked.	Yes	x			x
The box: "This Location includes a Rule 309.e.(2).E variance request" is not checked.	Check this box.	DT	Boxes Checked.	Yes		x	x	

Form 2B

COMPLETENESS REVIEW (Form 2B topic) (topic/subtopic)

	SME reviewer	Applicant Response:	Staff second review: Was the issue addressed?	403431352	403431935	403432018	403432749
Issue identified by staff: No identified issues	Suggested correction: DT			x	x	x	x

Form 2C

COMPLETENESS REVIEW (Form 2C topic) (topic/subtopic)

		SME reviewer	Applicant Response:	Staff second review: Was the issue addressed?	403431352	403431935	403432018	403432749
Issue identified by staff: The OIL AND GAS DEVELOPMENT PLAN MAP is missing the mineral development areas of the OGDG.	Suggested correction: Include the mineral development areas of the OGDG.	SS		Yes	x	x	x	x

Hearing Application

COMPLETENESS REVIEW		Docket# 240100019	
Attorney Name: KELSEY WASYLENKY; JAMIE JOST	Attorney Email Address: KWASYLENKY@JOSTENERGYLAW.CO M; JJOST@JOSTENERGYLAW.COM		
Permitter Name: Ginger Malasauskas	Permitter Email: ginger.malasauskas@state.co.us		
Engineer Name: Diana Burn	Engineer Email: diana.Burn@state.co.us		
Hearing Officer Name: Jon Peskin	Hearing Officer Email: jon.peskin@state.co.us		
Issue identified by staff:	Suggested correction:	Explanation:	SME reviewer
<u>LAS Review Notes</u>			
None.	None.	There were no LAS Review issues identified in the hearing application at this time.	
<u>Permitting Review Notes</u>			
Concern: Applications lands are also near Arapahoe field		TOPIC Field	
<u>Geologic Testimony</u>			
Concern: There is no isopach for the Morrow V-3 Sandstone, which is spaced by the unit.		TOPIC Exhibit, Isopach Map	
<u>Engineering Testimony</u>			
Engineering			
Developing Existing Drilling and Spacing Unit (no modification): This Oil & Gas Development Plan (OGDP) Hearing Application seeks to develop the proposed well(s) in accordance with the provisions of the established Order 477-3; the applicant is not seeking modifications of the existing order, therefore no further Engineering Review is required at this time for the proposed development.			

403431352 403431935 403432018 403432749

Applicant Response:

corrected 6/20