

State of Colorado
Energy & Carbon Management Commission1120 Lincoln Street, Suite 801, Denver, Colorado 80203
Phone: (303) 894-2100 Fax: (303) 894-2109

Document Number:

403776458

Receive Date:

08/25/2024

Report taken by:

Steven Arauza

Site Investigation and Remediation Workplan (Supplemental Form)

This form shall be submitted to the Director for approval prior to the initiation of site investigation and remediation activities. However, this shall not preclude the Operator from taking immediate action to protect public health or safety, the environment, wildlife, or livestock.

This Form 27 describes site conditions as currently understood by the Operator; approval of this Form 27 by ECOM is based on the site conditions accurately described herein; any changes in site conditions identified during or subsequent to the performance of the approved workplan may necessitate additional investigation or remediation which shall be described on a supplemental Form 27. This Form 27 is intended to provide basic information regarding the proposed site investigation and remediation actions, but the workplan may be more fully described in attached documentation.

Closure request is not available for an Initial Site Investigation and Remediation Workplan.

OPERATOR INFORMATION

Name of Operator: CAERUS PICEANCE LLC	Operator No: 10456	Phone Numbers
Address: 1001 17TH STREET #1600		Phone: (970) 778-2314
City: DENVER	State: CO	Zip: 80202
Contact Person: Jake Janicek	Email: jjanicek@caerusoilandgas.com	Mobile: (970) 778-2314

PROJECT, PURPOSE & SITE INFORMATION

PROJECT INFORMATION

Remediation Project #: 28648 Initial Form 27 Document #: 403342279

PURPOSE INFORMATION

- ☐ Rule 913.c.(1): Pit or Cuttings Trench closure.
- ☐ Rule 913.c.(2): Buried or partially buried vessel closure, which will be by removal.
- ☐ Rule 913.c.(3): Remediation of Spill and Releases pursuant to Rule 912.
- ☐ Rule 913.c.(4): Land treatment of Oily Waste pursuant to Rule 905.e.
- ☐ Rule 913.c.(5): Closure of Centralized E&P Waste Management Facilities pursuant to Rule 907.h.
- ☐ Rule 913.c.(6): Remediation of impacted Groundwater pursuant to Rule 915.e.(3).D, and the contaminant concentrations in Table 915-1.
- ☐ Rule 913.c.(7): Investigation and remediation of natural gas in soil or Groundwater.
- ☐ Rule 913.c.(8): When requested by the Director due to any potential risk to soil, Groundwater, or surface water.
- ☒ Rule 913.c.(9): Decommissioning of Oil and Gas Facilities.
- ☐ Rule 913.g: Changes of Operator.
- ☐ Rule 915.b: Request to leave elevated inorganics in situ.
- ☐ Other: _____

SITE INFORMATION

No Multiple Facilities

Facility Type: WELL	Facility ID: _____	API #: 045-13007	County Name: GARFIELD
Facility Name: N. PARACHUTE CP14A-09 G09 59	Latitude: 39.632252	Longitude: -108.173752	
** correct Lat/Long if needed: Latitude: _____		Longitude: _____	
QtrQtr: SWNE	Sec: 9	Twp: 5S	Range: 96W
Meridian: 6	Sensitive Area? Yes		

SITE CONDITIONS

General soil type - USCS Classifications CL

Most Sensitive Adjacent Land Use Rangeland

Is domestic water well within 1/4 mile? No

Is surface water within 1/4 mile? Yes

Is groundwater less than 20 feet below ground surface? No

Other Potential Receptors within 1/4 mile

The West Fork of Parachute Creek is located approximately 0.12 miles north of the Location.

SITE INVESTIGATION PLAN

TYPE OF WASTE:

- ☒ E&P Waste ☐ Other E&P Waste ☐ Non-E&P Waste
- ☒ Produced Water ☐ Workover Fluids
- ☐ Oil ☐ Tank Bottoms
- ☐ Condensate ☐ Pigging Waste
- ☐ Drilling Fluids ☐ Rig Wash
- ☐ Drill Cuttings ☐ Spent Filters
- ☐ Pit Bottoms
- ☐ Other (as described by EPA)

DESCRIPTION OF IMPACT

Impacted?	Impacted Media	Extent of Impact	How Determined
Yes	SOILS	No impacts identified	Soil sampling and laboratory analysis

INITIAL ACTION SUMMARY

Description of initial action or emergency response measures take to abate, investigate, and/or remediate impacts associated with E&P Waste.

Please see documents associated with ECMC Remediation 28648 for activities completed prior to the second quarter of 2024.

On April 24, 2024, a post-P&A investigation was completed. An excavation was open which encompassed three wellheads that were cut and capped at the Location. The N. PARACHUTE CP14A-09 G09 59 was the middle wellhead within the excavation. Three soil samples were collected: one from the north sidewall at six feet bgs, one from south sidewall at six feet bgs, and one from the base of the excavation adjacent to the capped wellhead at nine feet bgs. Additionally, one composite soil sample was collected from the associated stockpile. All samples were submitted for laboratory analysis of arsenic and hexavalent chromium. Analytical results of the excavation samples indicate exceedances of arsenic ranging from 2.42 to 3.69 milligrams per kilogram (mg/kg), and hexavalent chromium in the base and north sidewall samples with concentrations of 1.58 and 1.19 mg/kg, respectively.

On July 31, 2024, additional delineation soil samples were collected from the wellhead excavation. Prior to sampling, an excavation had been expanded approximately 20 feet by 5 feet to a depth of 18 feet adjacent to the capped well. The 14A-09 well is the middle wellhead within the excavation. Two samples were collected to delineate hexavalent chromium impacts: one sample was collected near the wellhead at 18 feet below ground surface (bgs) to achieve vertical delineation and one sample was collected north of the former excavation extent at 15 feet bgs to achieve northern horizontal delineation. See the attached Report of Work Completed (ROWC) for additional details. Analytical results of delineation soil samples exceed Table 915-1 RSSLs for arsenic at concentrations of 5.96 and 13.2 mg/kg and hexavalent chromium concentrations of 0.600 and 0.632 mg/kg. See the attached Report of Work Completed (ROWC) for additional details.

PROPOSED SAMPLING PLAN

Proposed Soil Sampling

☐ Will soil samples be collected as part of this investigation? (Number, type (grab/composite), analyses, and locations of samples):

Proposed Groundwater Sampling

☐ Will groundwater samples be collected as part of this investigation? (Number, analyses, and locations of samples):

Proposed Surface Water Sampling

☐ Will surface water samples be collected as part of this investigation? (Number, analyses, and locations of samples):

Additional Investigative Actions

☐ Additional alternative investigative actions described in attached Site Investigation Plan (summary):

SITE INVESTIGATION REPORT

SAMPLE SUMMARY

Soil

Number of soil samples collected 5
Number of soil samples exceeding 915-1 5
Was the areal and vertical extent of soil contamination delineated? No
Approximate areal extent (square feet) 100

NA / ND

-- Highest concentration of TPH (mg/kg) 81.6
-- Highest concentration of SAR 0.283
BTEX > 915-1 No
Vertical Extent > 915-1 (in feet) 18

Groundwater

Number of groundwater samples collected 0
Was extent of groundwater contaminated delineated? Yes
Depth to groundwater (below ground surface, in feet)
Number of groundwater monitoring wells installed
Number of groundwater samples exceeding 915-1

Highest concentration of Benzene (µg/l)
Highest concentration of Toluene (µg/l)
Highest concentration of Ethylbenzene (µg/l)
Highest concentration of Xylene (µg/l)
Highest concentration of Methane (mg/l)

Surface Water

0 Number of surface water samples collected
Number of surface water samples exceeding 915-1
If surface water is impacted, other agency notification may be required.

OTHER INVESTIGATION INFORMATION

☐ Were impacts to adjacent property or offsite impacts identified?

☒ Were background samples collected as part of this site investigation?

On April 15, 2024, four soil samples were collected from native, non-impacted areas near the Location and submitted for laboratory analysis of pH, arsenic, and hexavalent chromium. Analytical results indicate pH values ranging from 6.74 to 7.68, arsenic concentrations ranging from 7.0 to 17.4 mg/kg, and hexavalent chromium below the laboratory reporting detection limit (RDL) of 1.0 mg/kg in all four samples. See the attached ROWC for details.

☐ Was investigation derived waste (IDW) generated as part of this investigation?

Volume of solid waste (cubic yards) Volume of liquid waste (barrels)

☐ Is further site investigation required?

REMEDIAL ACTION PLAN

Does this Supplemental Form 27A include changes to a previously approved Remedial Action Plan? No

SOURCE REMOVAL SUMMARY

Describe how source is to be removed.

Impacts are considered historical and therefore no source can be identified.

REMEDIATION SUMMARY

Describe how remediation of existing impacts to soil and groundwater is to be accomplished (i.e. summarize remedial action plan). Provide a brief narrative description including: technical justification, schedule for implementation, estimated time to attain NFA status, plus plans and specifications for the selected remedial action technology.

Although arsenic levels exceeding Table 915-1 RSSLs are present in the investigation area, concentrations are below established background levels. Caerus requests use of Table 915-1 Footnote 1 to establish an alternative allowable limit of 17.4 mg/kg for arsenic.

Hexavalent chromium concentrations exceeding the Table 915-1 RSSL, with values ranging 1.19 to 1.94 mg/kg, were detected adjacent to the capped wellhead at the base of the original excavation and at the north sidewall during the post-P&A investigation. However, post-excavation confirmation samples indicate these exceedances were removed. Although hexavalent chromium was detected in recent samples, concentrations are between the laboratory MDL of 0.255 mg/kg and the RDL of 1.0 mg/kg. Based on laboratory equipment capabilities, these values are considered estimates. Consequently, Caerus requests consideration of Table 915-1 Footnote 9 to substitute the RDL of 1.0 mg/kg as an alternative screening level for hexavalent chromium.

Soil Remediation Summary

☐ **In Situ**

_____ Bioremediation (or enhanced bioremediation)

_____ Chemical oxidation

_____ Air sparge / Soil vapor extraction

_____ Natural Attenuation

_____ Other _____

☐ **Ex Situ**

_____ Excavate and offsite disposal

_____ If Yes: Estimated Volume (Cubic Yards) _____

_____ Name of Licensed Disposal Facility or ECMC Facility ID # _____

_____ Excavate and onsite remediation

_____ Land Treatment

_____ Bioremediation (or enhanced bioremediation)

_____ Chemical oxidation

_____ Other _____

Groundwater Remediation Summary

_____ Bioremediation (or enhanced bioremediation)

_____ Chemical oxidation

_____ Air sparge / Soil vapor extraction

_____ Natural Attenuation

_____ Other _____

GROUNDWATER MONITORING

If groundwater has been impacted, describe proposed monitoring plan, including # of wells or sample points, monitoring schedule, analytical methods, points of compliance. Attach a groundwater monitoring location diagram.

REMEDIATION PROGRESS UPDATE

PERIODIC REPORTING

Approved Reporting Schedule:

☒ Quarterly☐ Semi-Annually☐ Annually☐ Other

☐ Request Alternative Reporting Schedule:

☐ Semi-Annually☐ Annually☐ Other

Rule 913.e:

After initial approval of a Form 27, the Operator will provide quarterly update reports in a Supplemental Form 27 to document progress of site investigation and remediation, unless an alternative reporting schedule has been requested by the Operator and approved by the Director. The Director may request a more frequent reporting schedule based on site-specific conditions.

Report Type:

☐ Groundwater Monitoring☐ Land Treatment Progress Report☐ O&M Report☒ Other Q2 and Q3 2024 Status Update and Closure Request

Adequacy of Operator's General Liability Insurance and Financial Assurance

Describe the adequacy of the Operator's general liability insurance and Financial Assurance to fully address the anticipated costs of Remediation, including the estimated remaining cost for this project (below).

If this information has been provided on a Form 27 within the last 12 months, provide the Document Number of that form.

Per Rule 705.b, and in line with guidance laid out in the SBAP, Caerus has general liability insurance in the amount of \$1M, and Caerus has umbrella insurance, which sits over the general liability insurance in the amount of \$75M. The umbrella and general liability insurance covers property damage, bodily injury to third parties, and sudden or accidental pollution under a combined \$76M.

Operator anticipates the remaining cost for this project to be: \$ 0

WASTE DISPOSAL INFORMATION

Was E&P waste generated as part of this remediation? No

Describe beneficial use, if any, of E&P Waste derived from this remediation project:

Volume of E&P Waste (solid) in cubic yards

E&P waste (solid) description

ECMC Disposal Facility ID #, if applicable:

Non-ECMC Disposal Facility:

Volume of E&P Waste (liquid) in barrels

E&P waste (liquid) description

ECMC Disposal Facility ID #, if applicable:

Non-ECMC Disposal Facility:

REMEDIATION COMPLETION REPORT

REMEDIATION COMPLETION SUMMARY

Is this a Final Closure Request for this Remediation Project? Yes

If YES:

☒ Compliant with Rule 913.h.(1).☐ Compliant with Rule 913.h.(2).☐ Compliant with Rule 913.h.(3).

Do all soils meet Table 915-1 standards? Yes

Does the previous reply indicate consideration of background concentrations? Yes

Does Groundwater meet Table 915-1 standards? Yes

Is additional groundwater monitoring to be conducted? _____

Operator shall comply with the ECMC 1000-Series Reclamation Requirements for all impacted and disturbed areas.

RECLAMATION PLAN

RECLAMATION PLANNING

Describe reclamation plan. Discuss existing and new grade recontouring; method and testing of compaction alleviation; and reseeding program, including location of new seed, seed mix and noxious weed prevention. Attach diagram or drawing.

All disturbance areas will be returned to grade with suitable material in preparation for final reclamation activities pursuant to the ECMC 1000 Series Rules.

Is the described reclamation complete? No

Does the reclamation described herein constitute interim or final reclamation of the Oil and Gas Location?

☐ Interim

☐ Final

Did the Surface Owner provide the seed mix? _____

If YES, does the seed mix comply with local soil conservation district recommendations? _____

Did the local soil conservation district provide the seed mix? _____

SITE RECLAMATION DATES

Proposed date of commencement of Reclamation. _____

Proposed date of completion of Reclamation. _____

IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE

Per Rule 913.d.(2): Any change from the approved implementation schedule will be requested at least 14 days in advance, and the Operator may not make the change without the Director's approval.

PRIOR DATES

Date of Surface Owner notification/consultation, if required. _____

Actual Spill or Release date, or date of discovery. _____

SITE INVESTIGATION DATES

Date of Initial Actions described in Site Investigation Plan (start date). 07/20/2023

Proposed site investigation commencement. 07/20/2023

Proposed completion of site investigation. 07/31/2024

REMEDIAL ACTION DATES

Proposed start date of Remediation. 07/31/2024

Proposed date of completion of Remediation. 07/31/2024

Per Rule 913.d.(2): Any change from the approved implementation schedule will be requested at least 14 days in advance, and the Operator may not make the change without the Director's approval.

☐ Change from approved implementation schedule per Rule 913.d.(2).

Basis for change in implementation schedule:

OPERATOR COMMENT

The Location sits approximately 120 feet higher in elevation than West Fork Parachute Creek, located 0.12 miles north of the Location. Based on the elevation difference, depth to water at the Location is estimated to be greater than 100 feet. Caerus request to compare analytical results for this remediation project to Table 915-1 RSSLs as no reasonable pathway to groundwater appears to exist.

Assuming the proposed screening levels and aforementioned requests are approved, all constituents of concern are within Table 915-1 RSSLs or requested alternative allowable limits.

For this reason, Caerus requests closure of Remediation Project 28648 with a no further action (NFA) determination.

Based on analytical results of the stockpile composite indicating compliance with all Table 915-1 RSSLs, Caerus proposes to use the material as backfill for the P&A excavation that encompasses three cut and capped wellheads.

I hereby certify all statements made in this form are to the best of my knowledge true, correct, and complete.

Signed: Jake Janicek

Title: EHS Specialist

Submit Date: 08/25/2024

Email: jjanicek@caerusoilandgas.com

Based on the information provided herein, this Application for Site Investigation and Remediation Workplan complies with ECMC Rules and applicable orders and is hereby approved.

ECMC Approved: Steven Arauza

Date: 09/03/2024

Remediation Project Number: 28648

COA Type

Description

	Based on review of information presented it appears that no further action is necessary at this time, and ECMC approves the closure request. However, should future conditions at the site indicate contaminant concentrations in soils exceeding ECMC standards or if surface and/or ground water is found to be impacted, then further investigation and/or remediation activities will be required at the site. In addition, the non-working surface area disturbed by the remediation activity shall be reclaimed in accordance with the 1000 Series Reclamation Rules including the establishment of vegetative cover on non-cropland and successful growth on cropland. Landowner must approve reclamation of cropland.
1 COA	

ATTACHMENT LIST

Upon approval, the approved Form 27 and all listed attachments will be indexed to the Remediation Project file. Only the approved Form 27 will also be indexed to the related Facilities.

Att Doc Num

Name

403776458	FORM 27-SUPPLEMENTAL-SUBMITTED
403897668	SITE INVESTIGATION REPORT

Total Attach: 2 Files

General Comments

User Group

Comment

Comment Date

		Stamp Upon Approval
--	--	---------------------

Total: 0 comment(s)