

304.c.(1). Emergency Spill Response Program

Issue identified by staff:	Suggested correction:	Specific Rule (optional)	Referenced in guidance document?	SME reviewer	Applicant Response:	Staff second review: Was the issue addressed?	403608286	403613807	403614852	403616814	403620596
COMPLETENESS REVIEW Plan not required		304.c.(1)		DT			x	x	x	x	x

304.c.(2). Noise Mitigation Plan

Issue identified by staff:	Suggested correction:	Specific Rule (optional)	Referenced in guidance document?	SME reviewer	Applicant Response:	Staff second review: Was the issue addressed?	403608286	403613807	403614852	403616814	403620596
COMPLETENESS REVIEW Plan not required LIAE		304.c.(2)		DT			x	x	x	x	x

304.c.(3). Light Mitigation Plan

Issue identified by staff: COMPLETENESS REVIEW	Suggested correction:	Specific Rule (optional) 304.c.(3)	Referenced in guidance document?	SME reviewer	Applicant Response:	Staff second review: Was the issue addressed?	403608286	403613807	403614852	403616814	403620596
Plan not required LIAE				DT			x	x	x	x	x

304.c.(4). Odor Mitigation Plan

Issue identified by staff:	Suggested correction:	Specific Rule (optional)	Referenced in guidance document?	SME reviewer	Applicant Response:	Staff second review: Was the issue addressed?	403608286	403613807	403614852	403616814	403620596
COMPLETENESS REVIEW Plan not required		304.c.(4)		DT			x	x	x	x	x

304.c.(5). Dust Mitigation Plan

Issue identified by staff: COMPLETENESS REVIEW	Suggested correction:	Specific Rule (optional) 304.c.(5)	Referenced in guidance document?	SME reviewer Applicant Response:	Staff second review: Was the issue addressed?	403608286	403613807	403614852	403616814	403620596
I find the Monthly Total Truck Trips (bottom row of table in section 5) to be a little confusing, since not every phase will happen every month of the life of the well.		Consider removing the monthly total, this is optional however.		DT		x	x	x	x	x

304.c.(6). Transportation Plan

Issue identified by staff: COMPLETENESS REVIEW	Suggested correction:	Specific Rule (optional) 304.c.(6)	Referenced in guidance document?	SME reviewer	Applicant Response:	Staff second review: Was the issue addressed?	403608286	403613807	403614852	403616814	403620596
Access Road Map in included as a part of the Transportation Plan. This makes the Access Road Map attachments redundant.	The Access Road Map should not be included as part of the Transportation Plan. Remove the pages with the Access Road Maps, and add text referencing the Access Road	attachment separately if necessary.		DT		Yes	x	x	x	x	x

304.c.(7). Operations Safety Management Program

Issue identified by staff:	Suggested correction:	Specific Rule (optional)	Referenced in guidance document?	SME reviewer	Applicant Response:	Staff second review: Was the issue addressed?	403608286	403613807	403614852	403616814	403620596
COMPLETENESS REVIEW No identified issues		304.c.(7)		DT			x	x	x	x	x

304.c.(8). Emergency Response Plan

Issue identified by staff: COMPLETENESS REVIEW	Suggested correction:	Specific Rule (optional) 304.c.(8)	Referenced in guidance document?	SME reviewer	Applicant Response:	Staff second review: Was the issue addressed?	403608286	403613807	403614852	403616814	403620596
Construction Layout Drawings, Access Road Map, and Cultural Features Map are included as part of the Light Mitigation Plans. This makes the Location Drawing attachments redundant.	Remove the pages with the Construction Layout Drawings, Access Road Map, and Cultural Features Map, and add text referencing these attachments separately if necessary.			DT		Yes	x	x	x	x	x

304.c.(9), Flood Shut-in Plan

Issue identified by staff:  
**COMPLETENESS REVIEW**  
Plan not required

Suggested  
correction:

Specific Rule  
(optional)  
304.c.(9)

Referenced  
in guidance  
document?

SME  
reviewer

Applicant Response:

Staff second review: Was the  
issue addressed?

403608286

403613807

403614852

403616814

403620596

DT

x

x

x

x

x

304.c.(10). Hydrogen Sulfide Drilling Operations Plan

Issue identified by staff: <b>COMPLETENESS REVIEW</b>	Suggested correction:	Specific Rule (optional) 304.c.(10)	Referenced in guidance document?	SME reviewer	Applicant Response:	Staff second review: Was the issue addressed?	403608286	403613807	403614852	403616814	403620596
Plan not required				DT			x	x	x	x	x

304.c.(11). Waste Management Plan

Issue identified by staff: COMPLETENESS REVIEW	Suggested correction:	Specific Rule (optional) 304.c.(11)	Referenced in guidance document?	SME reviewer	Applicant Response:	Staff second review: Was the issue addressed?	403608286	403613807	403614852	403616814	403620596
Construction Layout Drawings show the open top cuttings tank and a cuttings trench, which the Waste Management Plan does not seem to mention.	Clarify if there will or will not be a cuttings trench. If there will be, give additional detail.			DT	Yes	x	x		x	x	
Construction Layout Drawings show the open top cuttings tank and a cuttings trench, which the Waste Management Plan does not seem to mention except for in the table of Waste Characterization.	Clarify if there will or will not be a cuttings trench. If there will be, give additional detail.			DT	Yes			x			
The plan does not include a description of the physical or chemical hazards each waste stream way pose (beyond a description of waste classification), and whether or not field testing or environment lab analyses are needed to further assess these hazards. Scrap metal (potentially contaminated) not addressed as a part of the plugging and abandonment section.	Add descriptions of potential hazards. Add if further analysis is needed to address these hazards.		<a href="#">Yes, WMP Requirement List: 2. a. v.</a>	DT	No, but not a rule bust	x	x	x	x	x	
	Address scrap metal waste.			DT	Yes	x	x	x	x	x	

304.c.(12). Gas Capture Plan

Issue identified by staff:	Suggested correction:	Specific Rule (optional)	Referenced in guidance document?	SME reviewer	Applicant Response:	Staff second review: Was the issue addressed?	403608286	403613807	403614852	403616814	403620596
<b>COMPLETENESS REVIEW</b> No identified issues		304.c.(12)		DT			x	x	x	x	x

304.c.(13). Fluid Leak Detection Plan

Issue identified by staff:	Suggested correction:	Specific Rule (optional)	Referenced in guidance document?	SME reviewer	Applicant Response:	Staff second review: Was the issue addressed?	403608286	403613807	403614852	403616814	403620596
<b>COMPLETENESS REVIEW</b> No identified issues.		304.c.(13)		DT			x	x	x	x	x

304.c.(14). Topsoil Protection Plan

Issue identified by staff: COMPLETENESS REVIEW	Suggested correction:	Specific Rule (optional) 304.c.(14)	Referenced in guidance document?	SME reviewer	Applicant Response:	Staff second review: Was the issue addressed?	403608286	403613807	403614852	403616814	403620596
The plan states, "Test pits for topsoil determination will be hand dug to a depth of one-foot or less. Topsoil in this area is not expected to exceed six-inches.", however the rule states "When separating soil horizons, the operator shall segregate horizons based upon noted changes in physical characteristics such as organic content, color, texture, density, or consistency."	Update plan to describe what will be done if topsoil depth is greater than the proposed "one foot or less".	1002.b.(1).		DT	Yes	x	x	x	x	x	
It's not clear how much cut and fill will be needed for these access roads.	Add information relating to the access road, including estimates of the amount soil that will be cleared and where the soil will be stored. Mention how the access road will be different if the well is tested and the access road is to be developed.			DT	Yes	x	x	x	x	x	
Stormwater Site-Specific Diagrams are already found in Stormwater Management Plan.	Remove Stormwater Management Plan Diagrams, and reference them there if necessary.			DT	Yes	x	x	x	x	x	

304.c.(15). Stormwater Management Plan

Issue identified by staff:	Suggested correction:	Specific Rule (optional)	Referenced in guidance document?	SME reviewer	Applicant Response:	Staff second review: Was the issue addressed?	403608286	403613807	403614852	403616814	403620596
<b>COMPLETENESS REVIEW</b> No identified issues		304.c.(15)		DT			x	x	x	x	x

304.c.(16). Interim Reclamation Plan

Issue identified by staff: COMPLETENESS REVIEW	Suggested correction:	Specific Rule (optional) 304.c.(16)	Referenced in guidance document?	SME reviewer	Applicant Response:	Staff second review: Was the issue addressed?	403608286	403613807	403614852	403616814	403620596
Stormwater Site-Specific Diagrams are already found in Stormwater Management Plan.	Remove Stormwater Management Plan Diagrams, and reference them there if necessary.			DT		Yes	x	x	x	x	x

304.c.(17). Wildlife Plan

Issue identified by staff: <b>COMPLETENESS REVIEW</b>	Suggested correction:	Specific Rule (optional) 304.c.(17)	Referenced in guidance document?	SME reviewer	Applicant Response:	Staff second review: Was the issue addressed?	403608286	403613807	403614852	403616814	403620596
Operator states nets and screens will be installed over any pits, but does not mention the screens needed for the planned open-top cuttings tank.	Add the BMP for the screen over the open-top cuttings tank.	608.a.(13)		DT		Yes	x	x	x	x	x

304.c.(18). Water Plan

Issue identified by staff:	Suggested correction:	Specific Rule (optional)	Referenced in guidance document?	SME reviewer	Applicant Response:	Staff second review: Was the issue addressed?	403608286	403613807	403614852	403616814	403620596
COMPLETENESS REVIEW No identified issues		304.c.(18)		DT			x	x	x	x	x

304.c.(19). Cumulative Impacts Plan

Issue identified by staff: COMPLETENESS REVIEW	Suggested correction:	Specific Rule (optional) 304.c.(19)	Referenced in guidance document?	SME reviewer	Applicant Response:	Staff second review: Was the issue addressed?	403608286	403613807	403614852	403616814	403620596
The 2A states in the Operator Comments box that no natural gas will be encountered, but the CI Plan says that these wells will produce natural gas containing helium and possibly oil.	Explain and make consistent.	DT				Yes	x	x	x	x	x

304.c.(20). Community Outreach Plan

Issue identified by staff:	Suggested correction:	Specific Rule (optional)	Referenced in guidance document?	SME reviewer	Applicant Response:	Staff second review: Was the issue addressed?	403608286	403613807	403614852	403616814	403620596
COMPLETENESS REVIEW Plan not required		304.c.(20)		DT			x	x	x	x	x

304.c.(21). Geologic Hazard Plan

Issue identified by staff:	Suggested correction:	Specific Rule (optional)	Referenced in guidance document?	SME reviewer	Applicant Response:	Staff second review: Was the issue addressed?	403608286	403613807	403614852	403616814	403620596
<b>COMPLETENESS REVIEW</b> Plan not required		304.c.(21)		DT			x	x	x	x	x

**ACCESS ROAD MAP**

Issue identified by staff:	Suggested correction:	Specific Rule (optional)	Referenced in guidance document?	SME reviewer	Applicant Response:	Staff second review: Was the issue addressed?	403608286	403613807	403614852	403616814	403620596
<b>COMPLETENESS REVIEW</b> No identified issues		304.b.(7).F		DT			x	x	x	x	x

ALA DATASHEET

Issue identified by staff: COMPLETENESS REVIEW	Suggested correction:	Specific Rule (optional) 304.b.(2)	Referenced in guidance document?	SME reviewer	Applicant Response:	Staff second review: Was the issue addressed?	403608286	403613807	403614852	403616814	403620596
Attachment not required				DT			x	x	x	x	x

**ALA NARRATIVE SUMMARY**

Issue identified by staff:	Suggested correction:	Specific Rule (optional)	Referenced in guidance document?	SME reviewer	Applicant Response:	Staff second review: Was the issue addressed?	403608286	403613807	403614852	403616814	403620596
COMPLETENESS REVIEW Attachment not required		304.b.(2)		DT			x	x	x	x	x

**CONSULTATION SUMMARY**

Issue identified by staff: <b>COMPLETENESS REVIEW</b>	Suggested correction:	Specific Rule (optional)	Referenced in guidance document?	SME reviewer	Applicant Response:	Staff second review: Was the issue addressed?	403608286	403613807	403614852	403616814	403620596
Attachment not required				DT			x	x	x	x	x

**CPW CONSULTATION**

Issue identified by staff: <b>COMPLETENESS REVIEW</b>	Suggested correction:	Specific Rule (optional)	Referenced in guidance document?	SME reviewer	Applicant Response:	Staff second review: Was the issue addressed?	403608286	403613807	403614852	403616814	403620596
Attachment not required				DT			x	x	x	x	x

**CULTURAL FEATURES MAP**

Issue identified by staff:	Suggested correction:	Specific Rule (optional)	Referenced in guidance document?	SME reviewer	Applicant Response:	Staff second review: Was the issue addressed?	403608286	403613807	403614852	403616814	403620596
COMPLETENESS REVIEW No identified issues		304.b.(3)		DT			x	x	x	x	x

**DIRECTIONAL WELL PLAT**

Issue identified by staff:	Suggested correction:	Specific Rule (optional)	Referenced in guidance document?	SME reviewer	Applicant Response:	Staff second review: Was the issue addressed?	403608286	403613807	403614852	403616814	403620596
COMPLETENESS REVIEW Attachment not required		304.b.(7).H		DT			x	x	x	x	x

**DISPROPORTIONATELY IMPACTED  
COMMUNITY MAP**

Issue identified by staff: <b>COMPLETENESS REVIEW</b>	Suggested correction:	Specific Rule (optional)	Referenced in guidance document?	SME reviewer	Applicant Response:	Staff second review: Was the issue addressed?	403608286	403613807	403614852	403616814	403620596
No identified issues		304.b.(7).J		DT			x	x	x	x	x

**GEOLOGIC HAZARD MAP**

Issue identified by staff:	Suggested correction:	Specific Rule (optional)	Referenced in guidance document?	SME reviewer	Applicant Response:	Staff second review: Was the issue addressed?	403608286	403613807	403614852	403616814	403620596
<p><b>COMPLETENESS REVIEW</b></p> <p>Per our guidance the Professional Geologist Certification states that the signee meets the definition of Professional Geologist as "required by C.R.S. § 23-41-208(b).", however it was recently brought to our attention that our guidance cites the incorrect statute.</p>	<p>Update to state "required by C.R.S. § 23-41-208(b)." to "required by C.R.S. § 23-41-208.(1)(b)."</p>	<p>304.b.(7).1</p>		<p>DT</p>		<p>Yes</p>	<p>x</p>	<p>x</p>	<p>x</p>	<p>x</p>	<p>x</p>

GIS data

Issue identified by staff:	Suggested correction:	Specific Rule (optional)	Referenced in guidance document?	SME reviewer	Applicant Response:	Staff second review: Was the issue addressed?	403608286	403613807	403614852	403616814	403620596
COMPLETENESS REVIEW No issues identified		304.b.(8)		DT			x	x	x	x	x

**HYDROLOGY MAP**

Issue identified by staff:	Suggested correction:	Specific Rule (optional)	Referenced in guidance document?	SME reviewer	Applicant Response:	Staff second review: Was the issue addressed?	403608286	403613807	403614852	403616814	403620596
COMPLETENESS REVIEW No identified issues		304.b.(7).E		DT			x	x	x	x	x

**INFORMED CONSENT LETTER**

Issue identified by staff:	Suggested correction:	Specific Rule (optional)	Referenced in guidance document?	SME reviewer	Applicant Response:	Staff second review: Was the issue addressed?	403608286	403613807	403614852	403616814	403620596
COMPLETENESS REVIEW Attachment not required		604.b.(1)		DT			x	x	x	x	x

LAYOUT DRAWING

Issue identified by staff: COMPLETENESS REVIEW	Suggested correction:	Specific Rule (optional)	Referenced in guidance document?	SME reviewer	Applicant Response:	Staff second review: Was the issue addressed?	403608286	403613807	403614852	403616814	403620596
Waste Management Plans do not mention the cuttings trenches.	Remove the cuttings trenches from the Layout Drawings if they will not be on location.	304.b.(7).B		DT		Yes	x	x	x	x	x

**LESSER IMPACT AREA EXEMPTION REQUEST**

Issue identified by staff: COMPLETENESS REVIEW	Suggested correction:	Specific Rule (optional) 304.d	Referenced in guidance document?	SME reviewer	Applicant Response:	Staff second review: Was the issue addressed?	403608286	403613807	403614852	403616814	403620596
Operator requested a Rule 304.d Lesser Impact Area exemption from the Rule 304.c.(2) Noise Mitigation Plan. The request is based on the potentially impacted resources of concern are not present or impacts will be so minimal as to pose no concern. Based on the distances to the nearest RBUs and no mapped HPH within one mile, staff recommends approval of the request.				JN			x	x	x	x	x
Operator requested a Rule 304.d Lesser Impact Area exemption from the Rule 304.c.(3) Light Mitigation Plan. The request is based on the potentially impacted resources of concern are not present or impacts will be so minimal as to pose no concern. Based on the distances to the nearest RBUs and no mapped HPH within one mile, staff recommends approval of the request.				JN			x	x	x	x	x

**LOCAL/FED FINAL PERMIT DECISION**

Issue identified by staff: <b>COMPLETENESS REVIEW</b>	Suggested correction:	Specific Rule (optional) 303.a.(6).B	Referenced in guidance document?	SME reviewer	Applicant Response:	Staff second review: Was the issue addressed?	403608286	403613807	403614852	403616814	403620596
Attachment not required				DT			x	x	x	x	x

**LOCATION DRAWING**

Issue identified by staff:	Suggested correction:	Specific Rule (optional)	Referenced in guidance document?	SME reviewer	Applicant Response:	Staff second review: Was the issue addressed?	403608286	403613807	403614852	403616814	403620596
<b>COMPLETENESS REVIEW</b> No identified issues		304.b.(7).A		DT			x	x	x	x	x

**LOCATION PICTURES**

Issue identified by staff:	Suggested correction:	Specific Rule (optional)	Referenced in guidance document?	SME reviewer	Applicant Response:	Staff second review: Was the issue addressed?	403608286	403613807	403614852	403616814	403620596
COMPLETENESS REVIEW No identified issues		304.b.(4)		DT			x	x	x	x	x

NRCS MAP UNIT DESC

Issue identified by staff:	Suggested correction:	Specific Rule (optional)	Referenced in guidance document?	SME reviewer	Applicant Response:	Staff second review: Was the issue addressed?	403608286	403613807	403614852	403616814	403620596
COMPLETENESS REVIEW No identified issues		304.b.(10)		DT			x	x	x	x	x

OTHER

Issue identified by staff:	Suggested correction:	Specific Rule (optional)	Referenced in guidance document?	SME reviewer	Applicant Response:	Staff second review: Was the issue addressed?	403608286	403613807	403614852	403616814	403620596
COMPLETENESS REVIEW Attachment not required				DT			x	x	x	x	x

**PRELIMINARY PROCESS FLOW  
DIAGRAMS**

Issue identified by staff: COMPLETENESS REVIEW	Suggested correction:	Specific Rule (optional)	Referenced in guidance document?	SME reviewer	Applicant Response:	Staff second review: Was the issue addressed?	403608286	403613807	403614852	403616814	403620596
The Preliminary Process Flow Diagrams report a 4"+ buried polyethylene flowline, while the 2A lists a 2" and/or 3" Schedule 40 steel flowlines from wellhead to production equipment.	Clarify (flowline vs gathering line for example) or make consistent.	304.b.(7).D		DT		Yes	x	x	x	x	x

REFERENCE AREA MAP

Issue identified by staff:	Suggested correction:	Specific Rule (optional)	Referenced in guidance document?	SME reviewer	Applicant Response:	Staff second review: Was the issue addressed?	403608286	403613807	403614852	403616814	403620596
COMPLETENESS REVIEW No identified issues		304.b.(9).B.i		DT			x	x	x	x	x

REFERENCE AREA PICTURES

Issue identified by staff:	Suggested correction:	Specific Rule (optional)	Referenced in guidance document?	SME reviewer	Applicant Response:	Staff second review: Was the issue addressed?	403608286	403613807	403614852	403616814	403620596
COMPLETENESS REVIEW No identified issues		304.b.(9).B.ii		DT			x	x	x	x	x

RELATED LOCATION AND FLOWLINE MAP

Issue identified by staff: <b>COMPLETENESS REVIEW</b>	Suggested correction:	Specific Rule (optional)	Referenced in guidance document?	SME reviewer	Applicant Response:	Staff second review: Was the issue addressed?	403608286	403613807	403614852	403616814	403620596
No identified issues		304.b.(7).G		DT			x	x	x	x	x

**SURFACE AGRMT/SURETY**

Issue identified by staff:	Suggested correction:	Specific Rule (optional)	Referenced in guidance document?	SME reviewer	Applicant Response:	Staff second review: Was the issue addressed?	403608286	403613807	403614852	403616814	403620596
<b>COMPLETENESS REVIEW</b> No identified issues		304.b.(12).B		DT			x	x	x	x	x

**WAIVERS**

Issue identified by staff: <b>COMPLETENESS REVIEW</b>	Suggested correction:	Specific Rule (optional) 604.a.(4)	Referenced in guidance document?	SME reviewer	Applicant Response:	Staff second review: Was the issue addressed?	403608286	403613807	403614852	403616814	403620596
Attachment not required				DT			x	x	x	x	x

WILDLIFE HABITAT DRAWING

Issue identified by staff:	Suggested correction:	Specific Rule (optional)	Referenced in guidance document?	SME reviewer	Applicant Response:	Staff second review: Was the issue addressed?	403608286	403613807	403614852	403616814	403620596
COMPLETENESS REVIEW No identified issues		304.b.(7).C		DT			x	x	x	x	x

COMPLETENESS REVIEW (Form 2A topic) (topic/subtopic)		SME reviewer	Applicant Response:	Staff second review: Was the issue addressed?	403608286	403613807	403614852	403616814	403620596
<b>Issue identified by staff:</b>	<b>Suggested correction:</b>								
The Jackson 31 SENW 3054 location has location marked as a sensitive area for water resources/	Explain why this location was marked as being within a sensitive area for water resources in the Operator Comments, or change the answer to not being within a sensitive area.	DT		Yes					x
The 2A states in the Operator Comments box that no natural gas will be encountered, but the CI Plan says that these wells will produce natural gas containing helium and possibly oil.	Explain and make consistent.	DT		Yes	x	x	x	x	x
The Preliminary Process Flow Diagrams report a 4"+ buried polyethylene flowline, while the 2A lists a 2" and/or 3" Schedule 40 steel flowlines from wellhead to production equipment.	Clarify (flowline vs gathering line for example) or make consistent.	DT		Yes	x	x	x	x	x

**COMPLETENESS REVIEW (Form 2B topic) (topic/subtopic)**

		<b>SME reviewer</b>	<b>Applicant Response:</b>	<b>Staff second review: Was the issue addressed?</b>	403608286	403613807	403614852	403616814	403620596
<b>Issue identified by staff:</b> Form 2B states that there is one RBU between 2001' - 5280' for the Jackson 02 L4 3154 location, while the 2A and the Cultural Features Map says there are none at less than one mile. The Estimated depth to groundwater in listed as 27' in the Form 2B, while listed as 37' in the Form 2A.	<b>Suggested correction:</b>  Make consistent  Make consistent	DT  DT	Yes  Yes	x  x					

Form 2C

**COMPLETENESS REVIEW (Form 2C topic) (topic/subtopic)**

		SME reviewer	Applicant Response:	Staff second review: Was the issue addressed?	403608286		403613807	403614852	403616814	403620596
<b>Issue identified by staff:</b>	<b>Suggested correction:</b>									
No identified issues		DT			x	x	x	x	x	x

Hearing Application

COMPLETENESS REVIEW		Docket# 240400088
<b>Attorney Name:</b> JOSEPH C. PIERZCHALA; ANTHONY M. ROEBER  <b>Permitter Name:</b> Ginger Malasauskas  <b>Engineer Name:</b> Diana Burn  <b>Hearing Officer Name:</b> Stephen Smeltz	<b>Attorney Email Address:</b> JPIERZCHALA@WSMTLAW.COM; AROEBER@WSMTLAW.COM <b>Permitter Email:</b> ginger.malasauskas@state.co.us <b>Engineer Email:</b> Diana.Burn@state.co.us <b>Hearing Officer Email:</b> stephen.smeltz@state.co.us	
Issue identified by staff:	Suggested correction:	Explanation: SME reviewer
<u>LAS Review Notes</u> OGD P Application - Paragraph 5: the other paragraphs indicate the number of wells is <b>five</b> , not three.	Recommended Revision: "...this Application for the development of <b>five</b> new vertical wells within the..."	
<u>Permitting Review Notes</u> None.	None.	TOPIC There were no Permitting Review issues identified in the hearing application at this time.
<u>Geologic Testimony</u> None.	None.	There were no Review issues identified in the Geologic Testimony at this time.
<u>Engineering Testimony</u> Rule 401: This Oil & Gas Development Plan (OGDP) Hearing Application seeks to develop the proposed wells in accordance		Engineering

403608286 403613807 403614852 403616814 403620596

Applicant Response:

corrected 6/20