



# MEETING MINUTES

## Carbon Storage Solutions – Front Range 2 Location

Date:	February 09, 2024
Time:	12:00 PM to 12:45
Meeting called to order by:	Ben Pittsley with Summit Energy Services

### **IN ATTENDANCE**

Ben Pittsley, Co-Founder & President, Summit Companies - [bp@s-companies.com](mailto:bp@s-companies.com) – (603) 219-5011  
Lacy Herbison, Permit Specialist, Summit Companies – [lherbsion@s-companies.com](mailto:lherbsion@s-companies.com) – (303) 968-6179  
Joe Richardson, VP Operations, Summit Companies – [jrichardson@s-companies.com](mailto:jrichardson@s-companies.com) – (303) 242-1844  
Dan Sanders, President, Carbon Storage Solutions – [dsanders@frontrangeenergy.com](mailto:dsanders@frontrangeenergy.com) – (970) 674-2910  
Brandon Marette, CPW NE Region Energy Liaison - [brandon.marette@state.co.us](mailto:brandon.marette@state.co.us) – (303) 291- 7327  
Jackson Davis, District Wildlife Manager (Greeley) - [Jackson.davis@state.co.us](mailto:Jackson.davis@state.co.us)  
Lexi Hamous – Miller, CPW Land Use Coordinator - [lexi.hamous-miller@state.co.us](mailto:lexi.hamous-miller@state.co.us) – (303) 916 - 2987

### **MEETING PURPOSE**

Discuss Carbon Storage Solution Front Range 2 Location with Colorado Parks & Wildlife (CPW)

### **MEETING MINUTES**

Ben Pittsley started the meeting by explaining the scope of the project to the group. Carbon Storage Solutions is proposing one stratigraphic well which will be used as monitoring well to support the Front Range 1 located to the south (EPA Class VI program). He explained that the monitor well would not produce any hydrocarbons and no production facility would be on location. The monitor well would be used for fluid sampling, pressure, and temperature. We then discussed the property lines, rail lines, and roads near location.

The development plan includes drilling a vertical well (cased) to a depth of ~9,200 feet. The construction plat was displayed and explained the total disturbance, access road, reclamation, and the proposed facility shack (building would be as big as a telephone booth and no engines/noise). The proposed project timelines were discussed. Ben explained that we chose this location because it was away from HPH and then went over the three (3) other alternative locations.

### **Questions:**

- Why can't the location be close to a business?  
Answer: CCS would prefer not to have this location close to a business, but we chose this location because it was less dense than other possible locations.
- What type of rig would be on location?  
Answer: A normal sized oil & gas drilling rig would be utilized
- What types of Impacts would this have on nearby homes (noise)?

Answer: Ben explained that there would only be 30 days for operation activities, then no noise would come from the proposed location. Maintenance would only be once a year at most with a workover rig. It was also explained that this area is within an industrial park which includes rail lines, Budweiser plant, Halliburton Sand yard, and Oil & Gas Locations.

- What is the big picture of the project (CO2)?

Answer: Ben explained about pumping CO2 into the atmosphere, the injection well, and monitor well. CPW was informed that CCS is waiting on the EPA for approval on the Class VI to inject, the monitor well is part of the approval process.

- Pumping liquid gas?

Answer: No, this is not planned for this project.

- Lifespan of well?

Answer: 25 – 30 years

- How likely would the EPA approve the injection well?

Answer: We don't think there would be an issue.

The meeting ended at 12:45 pm (meeting minutes taken by Lacy Herbison).