

State of Colorado
Energy & Carbon Management Commission

1120 Lincoln Street, Suite 801, Denver, Colorado 80203
Phone: (303) 894-2100 Fax: (303) 894-2109



Document Number:
403663872
Receive Date:
01/24/2024

Report taken by:
Kyle Waggoner

Site Investigation and Remediation Workplan (Supplemental Form)

This form shall be submitted to the Director for approval prior to the initiation of site investigation and remediation activities. However, this shall not preclude the Operator from taking immediate action to protect public health or safety, the environment, wildlife, or livestock.

This Form 27 describes site conditions as currently understood by the Operator; approval of this Form 27 by ECMC is based on the site conditions accurately described herein; any changes in site conditions identified during or subsequent to the performance of the approved workplan may necessitate additional investigation or remediation which shall be described on a supplemental Form 27.

This Form 27 is intended to provide basic information regarding the proposed site investigation and remediation actions, but the workplan may be more fully described in attached documentation.

Closure request is not available for an Initial Site Investigation and Remediation Workplan.

OPERATOR INFORMATION

Name of Operator: NOBLE ENERGY INC	Operator No: 100322	Phone Numbers Phone: (715) 562-0251 Mobile: ()
Address: 1099 18TH STREET SUITE 1500		
City: DENVER	State: CO	Zip: 80202
Contact Person: Dan Peterson	Email: rbueuf27@chevron.com	

PROJECT, PURPOSE & SITE INFORMATION

PROJECT INFORMATION

Remediation Project #: 20156 Initial Form 27 Document #: 402816518

PURPOSE INFORMATION

- Rule 913.c.(1): Pit or Cuttings Trench closure.
- Rule 913.c.(2): Buried or partially buried vessel closure, which will be by removal.
- Rule 913.c.(3): Remediation of Spill and Releases pursuant to Rule 912.
- Rule 913.c.(4): Land treatment of Oily Waste pursuant to Rule 905.e.
- Rule 913.c.(5): Closure of Centralized E&P Waste Management Facilities pursuant to Rule 907.h.
- Rule 913.c.(6): Remediation of impacted Groundwater pursuant to Rule 915.e.(3).D, and the contaminant concentrations in Table 915-1.
- Rule 913.c.(7): Investigation and remediation of natural gas in soil or Groundwater.
- Rule 913.c.(8): When requested by the Director due to any potential risk to soil, Groundwater, or surface water.
- Rule 913.c.(9): Decommissioning of Oil and Gas Facilities.
- Rule 913.g: Changes of Operator.
- Rule 915.b: Request to leave elevated inorganics in situ.
- Other: _____

SITE INFORMATION

Yes Multiple Facilities

Facility Type: TANK BATTERY	Facility ID: 329417	API #: _____	County Name: WELD
Facility Name: FRANK-64N64W 12NWNE	Latitude: 40.331823	Longitude: -104.496621	
** correct Lat/Long if needed: Latitude: 40.332363		Longitude: -104.489845	
QtrQtr: NWNE	Sec: 12	Twp: 4N	Range: 64W Meridian: 6 Sensitive Area? Yes
Facility Type: SPILL OR RELEASE	Facility ID: 481479	API #: _____	County Name: WELD
Facility Name: Frank 1, 12-02, 7-4	Latitude: 40.332204	Longitude: -104.489884	
** correct Lat/Long if needed: Latitude: _____		Longitude: _____	
QtrQtr: NENE	Sec: 12	Twp: 4N	Range: 64W Meridian: 6 Sensitive Area? Yes

SITE CONDITIONS

General soil type - USCS Classifications SW _____

Most Sensitive Adjacent Land Use crop _____

Is domestic water well within 1/4 mile? Yes _____

Is surface water within 1/4 mile? Yes _____

Is groundwater less than 20 feet below ground surface? No _____

Other Potential Receptors within 1/4 mile

HPH: none, surface ponds ~0.13 mi NE, Latham Ditch ~0.20 mi SW, no buildings

SITE INVESTIGATION PLAN

TYPE OF WASTE:

- | | | |
|--|--|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> E&P Waste | <input type="checkbox"/> Other E&P Waste | <input type="checkbox"/> Non-E&P Waste |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Produced Water | <input type="checkbox"/> Workover Fluids | _____ |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Oil | <input type="checkbox"/> Tank Bottoms | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Condensate | <input type="checkbox"/> Pigging Waste | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Drilling Fluids | <input type="checkbox"/> Rig Wash | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Drill Cuttings | <input type="checkbox"/> Spent Filters | |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Pit Bottoms | |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Other (as described by EPA) | _____ |

DESCRIPTION OF IMPACT

Impacted?	Impacted Media	Extent of Impact	How Determined
No	GROUNDWATER	NA	laboratory analysis
Yes	SOILS	20' X 20' X 10' BGS	laboratory analysis

INITIAL ACTION SUMMARY

Description of initial action or emergency response measures take to abate, investigate, and/or remediate impacts associated with E&P Waste.

A site investigation was conducted pursuant to COGCC Rule 911 at the FRANK T4N-R64W-S12 L02 Tank Battery location.

PROPOSED SAMPLING PLAN

Proposed Soil Sampling

Will soil samples be collected as part of this investigation? (Number, type (grab/composite), analyses, and locations of samples):

Ten (10) grab confirmation soil samples were collected from the produced water vessel excavation, beneath the ground oil tank, and at the separator. Soil samples were analyzed by a certified laboratory for TPH (total volatile [C6-C10] and extractable [C10-C36] hydrocarbons), organic compounds in soil per COGCC Table 915-1, and EC, SAR, pH, and boron. All samples collected were analyzed by a certified laboratory using approved COGCC laboratory analysis methods.

Proposed Groundwater Sampling

Will groundwater samples be collected as part of this investigation? (Number, analyses, and locations of samples):

If groundwater is encountered during the site investigation a grab groundwater will be collected and analyzed for all organic compounds per COGCC Table 915-1.

Proposed Surface Water Sampling

Will surface water samples be collected as part of this investigation? (Number, analyses, and locations of samples):

Additional Investigative Actions

Additional alternative investigative actions described in attached Site Investigation Plan (summary):

Site Assessment activities were conducted from 5/23/2022 to 11/13/2023 to delineate impacted media. Twenty-one soil borings were advanced in the area of impacts. BH01 was advanced at the same location as the waste characterization sample FS02@8' to vertically delineate impacts at that location. BH02 -BH21 were advanced surrounding BH01 to vertically and laterally delineate impacts identified at FS01@6'. Soil samples were collected and analyzed for TPH (total volatile [C6-C10] and extractable [C10-C36] hydrocarbons), organic compounds in soil, metals in soil per ECMC Table 915-1, EC, SAR, pH, and boron. Each of the 21 soil borings were converted into temporary groundwater monitoring wells and are sampled quarterly for BTEX, naphthalene, 1,2,4-trimethylbenzene, 1,3,5-trimethylbenzene, 1-methylnaphthalene, 2-methylnaphthalene, total dissolved solids (TDS), chloride and sulfate.

SITE INVESTIGATION REPORT

SAMPLE SUMMARY

Soil

NA / ND

Number of soil samples collected 74

-- Highest concentration of TPH (mg/kg) 1680

Number of soil samples exceeding 915-1 66

-- Highest concentration of SAR 10.8

Was the areal and vertical extent of soil contamination delineated? Yes

BTEX > 915-1 No

Approximate areal extent (square feet) 2067

Vertical Extent > 915-1 (in feet) 10

Groundwater

Number of groundwater samples collected 14

ND Highest concentration of Benzene (µg/l) _____

Was extent of groundwater contaminated delineated? Yes

ND Highest concentration of Toluene (µg/l) _____

Depth to groundwater (below ground surface, in feet) 6

ND Highest concentration of Ethylbenzene (µg/l) _____

Number of groundwater monitoring wells installed 21

ND Highest concentration of Xylene (µg/l) _____

Number of groundwater samples exceeding 915-1 1

NA Highest concentration of Methane (mg/l) _____

Surface Water

0 Number of surface water samples collected

 Number of surface water samples exceeding 915-1

If surface water is impacted, other agency notification may be required.

OTHER INVESTIGATION INFORMATION

Were impacts to adjacent property or offsite impacts identified?

Were background samples collected as part of this site investigation?

Twenty-five background samples from ten discrete location were collected and analyzed for pH, SAR, and ECMC Table 915-1 metals.

Was investigation derived waste (IDW) generated as part of this investigation?

Volume of solid waste (cubic yards) _____

Volume of liquid waste (barrels) _____

Is further site investigation required?

REMEDIAL ACTION PLAN

Does this Supplemental Form 27A include changes to a previously approved Remedial Action Plan? No

SOURCE REMOVAL SUMMARY

Describe how source is to be removed.

The source was delineated through the environmental site assessment completed on 11/13/2023. Based on the comparative analysis of metals in site assessment samples to background samples as described in the Comments section, metals should not be considered contaminants of concern at the site. Groundwater monitoring will be conducted for four additional quarters. If groundwater is compliant during the fourth quarter 2024 sampling event, and four consecutive quarters of compliant groundwater results are achieved, Noble will request a No Further Action (NFA) designation for the site.

REMEDICATION SUMMARY

Describe how remediation of existing impacts to soil and groundwater is to be accomplished (i.e. summarize remedial action plan). Provide a brief narrative description including: technical justification, schedule for implementation, estimated time to attain NFA status, plus plans and specifications for the selected remedial action technology.

NA

Soil Remediation Summary

In Situ

Ex Situ

_____ Bioremediation (or enhanced bioremediation)

_____ Excavate and offsite disposal

_____ Chemical oxidation

_____ If Yes: Estimated Volume (Cubic Yards) _____

_____ Air sparge / Soil vapor extraction

_____ Name of Licensed Disposal Facility or ECMC Facility ID # _____

____ Natural Attenuation
____ Other _____

____ Excavate and onsite remediation
____ Land Treatment
____ Bioremediation (or enhanced bioremediation)
____ Chemical oxidation
____ Other _____

Groundwater Remediation Summary

____ Bioremediation (or enhanced bioremediation)
____ Chemical oxidation
____ Air sparge / Soil vapor extraction
Yes _____ Natural Attenuation
____ Other _____

GROUNDWATER MONITORING

If groundwater has been impacted, describe proposed monitoring plan, including # of wells or sample points, monitoring schedule, analytical methods, points of compliance. Attach a groundwater monitoring location diagram.

Twenty-one temporary groundwater monitoring wells were installed to delineate dissolved phase impacts. These wells will be sampled on a quarterly basis until four consecutive quarters of compliant groundwater results has been achieved. The groundwater samples will be analyzed for BTEX, naphthalene, 1,2,4-trimethylbenzene, 1,3,5-trimethylbenzene, 1-methylnaphthalene, 2-methylnaphthalene, and groundwater inorganic parameters.

REMEDIATION PROGRESS UPDATE

PERIODIC REPORTING

Approved Reporting Schedule:

Quarterly Semi-Annually Annually Other

Request Alternative Reporting Schedule:

Semi-Annually Annually Other

Rule 913.e:

After initial approval of a Form 27, the Operator will provide quarterly update reports in a Supplemental Form 27 to document progress of site investigation and remediation, unless an alternative reporting schedule has been requested by the Operator and approved by the Director. The Director may request a more frequent reporting schedule based on site-specific conditions.

Report Type: Groundwater Monitoring Land Treatment Progress Report O&M Report
 Other Site Assessment Report

Adequacy of Operator's General Liability Insurance and Financial Assurance

Describe the adequacy of the Operator's general liability insurance and Financial Assurance to fully address the anticipated costs of Remediation, including the estimated remaining cost for this project (below).

If this information has been provided on a Form 27 within the last 12 months, provide the Document Number of that form.

Noble intends to directly address the costs of remediation at the locations as part of our asset retirement obligation process and operations. Noble has general liability insurance (policy MWZZ 316714) and financial assurance in compliance with COGCC rules. Records are available on the COGCC's website. The cost for remediation is an estimate only, costs may change upwards or downward based on site-specific information. Noble makes no representation or guarantees as to the accuracy of the estimate.

Operator anticipates the remaining cost for this project to be: \$ 50000

WASTE DISPOSAL INFORMATION

Was E&P waste generated as part of this remediation? No

Describe beneficial use, if any, of E&P Waste derived from this remediation project:

Volume of E&P Waste (solid) in cubic yards _____

E&P waste (solid) description _____

ECMC Disposal Facility ID #, if applicable: _____

Non-ECMC Disposal Facility: _____

Volume of E&P Waste (liquid) in barrels _____

E&P waste (liquid) description _____

ECMC Disposal Facility ID #, if applicable: _____

Non-ECMC Disposal Facility: _____

REMEDIATION COMPLETION REPORT

REMEDIATION COMPLETION SUMMARY

Is this a Final Closure Request for this Remediation Project? No

If YES:

Compliant with Rule 913.h.(1).

Compliant with Rule 913.h.(2).

Compliant with Rule 913.h.(3).

Do all soils meet Table 915-1 standards? _____

Does the previous reply indicate consideration of background concentrations? _____

Does Groundwater meet Table 915-1 standards? _____

Is additional groundwater monitoring to be conducted? _____

Operator shall comply with the ECMC 1000-Series Reclamation Requirements for all impacted and disturbed areas.

RECLAMATION PLAN

RECLAMATION PLANNING

Describe reclamation plan. Discuss existing and new grade recontouring; method and testing of compaction alleviation; and reseeding program, including location of new seed, seed mix and noxious weed prevention. Attach diagram or drawing.

Reclamation will be in accordance with COGCC 1000 Series Rules.

Is the described reclamation complete? No _____

Does the reclamation described herein constitute interim or final reclamation of the Oil and Gas Location?

Interim

Final

Did the Surface Owner provide the seed mix? _____

If YES, does the seed mix comply with local soil conservation district recommendations? _____

Did the local soil conservation district provide the seed mix? _____

SITE RECLAMATION DATES

Proposed date of commencement of Reclamation. _____

Proposed date of completion of Reclamation. _____

IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE

Per Rule 913.d.(2): Any change from the approved implementation schedule will be requested at least 14 days in advance, and the Operator may not make the change without the Director's approval.

PRIOR DATES

Date of Surface Owner notification/consultation, if required. 08/04/2021

Actual Spill or Release date, or date of discovery. 01/27/2022

SITE INVESTIGATION DATES

Date of Initial Actions described in Site Investigation Plan (start date). 01/26/2022

Proposed site investigation commencement. 09/30/2021

Proposed completion of site investigation. 12/31/2024

REMEDIAL ACTION DATES

Proposed start date of Remediation. 12/31/2024

Proposed date of completion of Remediation. _____

Per Rule 913.d.(2): Any change from the approved implementation schedule will be requested at least 14 days in advance, and the Operator may not make the change without the Director's approval.

Change from approved implementation schedule per Rule 913.d.(2).

Basis for change in implementation schedule:

Additional quarterly groundwater sampling must be completed until fourth quarter 2024 at the earliest.

OPERATOR COMMENT

A total of 25 background samples were collected from ten discrete locations by Tasman from depths ranging from 3 feet below ground surface (ft bgs) to 15 ft bgs. The average background concentrations of arsenic, barium, cadmium, lead and selenium with a 1.25 multiplier were calculated to be 3.56 mg/kg, 131.96 mg/kg, 0.286 mg/kg, 8.68 mg/kg, and 1.14 mg/kg, respectively. The average concentrations of arsenic, barium, cadmium, lead and selenium from the decommissioning and site assessment soil samples were calculated to be 2.31 mg/kg, 83.06 mg/kg, 0.268 mg/kg, 7.35 mg/kg, and 0.56 mg/kg, respectively.

Given the consistent lithology at the site that generally consists of fine grain deposits at shallower intervals (i.e., lean clay) and coarser grain deposits at deeper intervals (i.e., poorly graded sands), a comparison of average metals concentrations is appropriate. Since the average background concentrations for arsenic, barium, cadmium, lead and selenium were calculated to be greater than the average decommissioning and soil boring concentrations, metals should not be considered contaminants of concern.

On a subsequent Supplemental Form 27, Noble will provide justification for the elimination of pH and SAR as contaminants of concern.

I hereby certify all statements made in this form are to the best of my knowledge true, correct, and complete.

Signed: Jake Whritenour

Title: Environmental Consultant

Submit Date: 01/24/2024

Email: chevroneform@tasman-geo.com

Based on the information provided herein, this Application for Site Investigation and Remediation Workplan complies with ECMC Rules and applicable orders and is hereby approved.

ECMC Approved: Kyle Waggoner

Date: 04/18/2024

Remediation Project Number: 20156

COA Type**Description**

	ECMC does not approve background averaging. Due to varying lithology, averages should be calculated and compared based on similar depth and/or lithology (i.e. confirmation samples should be grouped and averaged based on depth and/or lithology and compared to BG levels calculated from averages from similar depth and/or lithology). Additionally, boring logs for background samples were not provided so lithologic comparisons are not possible.
1 COA	

ATTACHMENT LIST

Upon approval, the approved Form 27 and all listed attachments will be indexed to the Remediation Project file. Only the approved Form 27 will also be indexed to the related Facilities.

Att Doc Num**Name**

403663872	FORM 27-SUPPLEMENTAL-SUBMITTED
403664122	MONITORING REPORT
403664124	MONITORING REPORT
403664128	MONITORING REPORT
403664129	MONITORING REPORT
403664131	MONITORING REPORT
403664133	SITE INVESTIGATION REPORT

Total Attach: 7 Files

General Comments**User Group****Comment****Comment Date**

Environmental	4Q23 monitoring data was not attached to this submittal.	04/12/2024
---------------	--	------------

Total: 1 comment(s)