

**From:** Marette - DNR, Brandon <[brandon.marette@state.co.us](mailto:brandon.marette@state.co.us)>  
**Sent:** Friday, October 20, 2023 11:48 AM  
**To:** Riemer, Joseph <[Joseph\\_Riemer@oxy.com](mailto:Joseph_Riemer@oxy.com)>  
**Cc:** Scranton, Lynna <[Lynna\\_Scranton@oxy.com](mailto:Lynna_Scranton@oxy.com)>; Lexi Hamous-Miller - DNR <[lexi.hamous-miller@state.co.us](mailto:lexi.hamous-miller@state.co.us)>  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] Re: Re: Mule Deer Migration Corridor Timing Clarification

**WARNING - This message is from an EXTERNAL SENDER - be CAUTIOUS, particularly with links and attachments.**

---

Yes, those satisfy the recommended BMPs.

Regards,

**Brandon B. Marette, CWB®**  
**Northeast Region Energy Liaison**



Direct [\(720\) 880-0819](tel:(720)880-0819)  
[6060 Broadway, Denver, CO 80216](https://www.state.co.us/6060-Broadway-Denver-CO-80216)  
[brandon.marette@state.co.us](mailto:brandon.marette@state.co.us)  
[CPW's Energy Webpage](#)  
[CPW's Wildlife Movements Webpage](#)



**THINK SAFETY FIRST!**



On Fri, Oct 20, 2023 at 10:44 AM Riemer, Joseph <[Joseph\\_Riemer@oxy.com](mailto:Joseph_Riemer@oxy.com)> wrote:

Hey Brandon,

Here is the attached planned wildlife mitigation plan that was approved as part of the OGDG (Attachment 403175963(1)). In the attached wildlife mitigation plan, KMOG commits to both 1202.a.(6) (seed mix) and 1202.a.(7) (fencing). In the attached transportation plan that was approved by the ECMC (Attachment 403015900), KMOG commits to using our Water Demand System and pipelines to transport oil & gas off location. Do these satisfy your requested BMPs?

Thank you for your quick review on this.

Thank you,

**Joseph Riemer, MS, MBA, RSO**

ENVIRONMENTAL ADVISOR, HSE ROCKIES

Office: 720.929.6090 | Denver, Co

Zero In™ at [oxy.com](https://oxy.com)

[LinkedIn](#) | [Twitter](#) | [YouTube](#) | [Instagram](#) | [Facebook](#)

**From:** Marette - DNR, Brandon <[brandon.marette@state.co.us](mailto:brandon.marette@state.co.us)>

**Sent:** Friday, October 20, 2023 10:14 AM

**To:** Riemer, Joseph <[Joseph\\_Riemer@oxy.com](mailto:Joseph_Riemer@oxy.com)>

**Cc:** Scranton, Lynna <[Lynna\\_Scranton@oxy.com](mailto:Lynna_Scranton@oxy.com)>; Lexi Hamous-Miller - DNR <[lexi.hamous-miller@state.co.us](mailto:lexi.hamous-miller@state.co.us)>

**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] Re: Mule Deer Migration Corridor Timing Clarification

**WARNING - This message is from an EXTERNAL SENDER - be CAUTIOUS,  
particularly with links and attachments.**

---

Good morning Joseph,

Yes, for consistency's sake, I support Oxy providing a Sundry to ECMC to remove the timing stipulation for the mule deer migration corridor, esp. since Oxy is already paying CPW direct mitigation fees (and indirect is not needed since this location is within the red density layer).

Without having your plan up, could Oxy have the following BMPs? (You may have them already; but this is also for future Mule Deer migration corridor BMPs).

- Minimize the length of fencing, and use wildlife-friendly fencing as much as possible.
- Traffic reduction measures (e.g., tying into a pipeline).
- Using the mule deer seed mix (with landowner approval) for revegetation activities.

Regards,

**Brandon B. Marette, CWB®**

**Northeast Region Energy Liaison**



Direct [\(720\) 880-0819](tel:7208800819)

[6060 Broadway, Denver, CO 80216](https://www.state.co.us/6060Broadway)

[brandon.marette@state.co.us](mailto:brandon.marette@state.co.us)

[CPW's Energy Webpage](#)

[CPW's Wildlife Movements Webpage](#)



**THINK SAFETY FIRST!**



On Thu, Oct 19, 2023 at 3:19 PM Riemer, Joseph <[Joseph\\_Riemer@oxy.com](mailto:Joseph_Riemer@oxy.com)> wrote:

Hey Brandon,

There was recently a change in the application of mule deer migration corridor HPH I was hoping you could clarify. Prior to the Camenisch 10-33HZ OGDG submittal last year, during consultation with Colorado Parks & Wildlife we were instructed to apply a timing limitation of 12/1 – 4/30 to protect the

mule deer migration corridor. This was captured in wildlife mitigation plan that was approved by both CPW & the ECMC. Please see attached. This year, as part of our Rademacher 14-30HZ submittal, we noticed a discrepancy between the timing limitation recommended by CPW and the ECMC website drop down option. We reached out to ECMC and CPW staff for clarification. At that time we were informed that mule deer migration corridor HPH should be treated as a density limitation, not a timing limitation. Given this change, would CPW support a request to the ECMC to remove the mule deer migration corridor timing limitation from the approved Camenisch 10-33HZ location?

If I could hear back by 10/31 that would be very appreciated.

Thank you,

**Joseph Riemer, MS, MBA, RSO**

ENVIRONMENTAL ADVISOR, HSE ROCKIES

Office: 720.929.6090 | Denver, Co

Zero In™ at [oxy.com](https://oxy.com)

[LinkedIn](#) | [Twitter](#) | [YouTube](#) | [Instagram](#) | [Facebook](#)