

State of Colorado
Oil and Gas Conservation Commission

1120 Lincoln Street, Suite 801, Denver, Colorado 80203
Phone: (303) 894-2100 Fax: (303) 894-2109



Document Number:
403357135
Receive Date:
05/04/2023
Report taken by:
Laurel Anderson

Site Investigation and Remediation Workplan (Supplemental Form)

This form shall be submitted to the Director for approval prior to the initiation of site investigation and remediation activities. However, this shall not preclude the Operator from taking immediate action to protect public health or safety, the environment, wildlife, or livestock.

This Form 27 describes site conditions as currently understood by the Operator; approval of this Form 27 by COGCC is based on the site conditions accurately described herein; any changes in site conditions identified during or subsequent to the performance of the approved workplan may necessitate additional investigation or remediation which shall be described on a supplemental Form 27.

This Form 27 is intended to provide basic information regarding the proposed site investigation and remediation actions, but the workplan may be more fully described in attached documentation.

Closure request is not available for an Initial Site Investigation and Remediation Workplan.

OPERATOR INFORMATION

Name of Operator: KERR MCGEE OIL & GAS ONSHORE LP	Operator No: 47120	Phone Numbers
Address: P O BOX 173779		Phone: (970) 336-3500
City: DENVER	State: CO	Zip: 80217-3779
Contact Person: Gregory Hamilton	Email: gregory_hamilton@oxy.com	Mobile: (970) 515-1698

PROJECT, PURPOSE & SITE INFORMATION

PROJECT INFORMATION

Remediation Project #: 25908 Initial Form 27 Document #: 403222007

PURPOSE INFORMATION

- Rule 913.c.(1): Pit or Cuttings Trench closure.
- Rule 913.c.(2): Buried or partially buried vessel closure, which will be by removal.
- Rule 913.c.(3): Remediation of Spill and Releases pursuant to Rule 912.
- Rule 913.c.(4): Land treatment of Oily Waste pursuant to Rule 905.e.
- Rule 913.c.(5): Closure of Centralized E&P Waste Management Facilities pursuant to Rule 907.h.
- Rule 913.c.(6): Remediation of impacted Groundwater pursuant to Rule 915.e.(3).D, and the contaminant concentrations in Table 915-1.
- Rule 913.c.(7): Investigation and remediation of natural gas in soil or Groundwater.
- Rule 913.c.(8): When requested by the Director due to any potential risk to soil, Groundwater, or surface water.
- Rule 913.c.(9): Decommissioning of Oil and Gas Facilities.
- Rule 913.g: Changes of Operator.
- Rule 915.b: Request to leave elevated inorganics in situ.
- Other: _____

SITE INFORMATION

No Multiple Facilities

Facility Type: WELL	Facility ID: _____	API #: 123-16432	County Name: WELD
Facility Name: SAKATA RED W 6-1A	Latitude: 40.172720	Longitude: -104.812040	
** correct Lat/Long if needed: Latitude: _____		Longitude: _____	
QtrQtr: NENE	Sec: 6	Twp: 2N	Range: 66W Meridian: 6 Sensitive Area? Yes

SITE CONDITIONS

General soil type - USCS Classifications SC Most Sensitive Adjacent Land Use crop land
Is domestic water well within 1/4 mile? Yes Is surface water within 1/4 mile? Yes
Is groundwater less than 20 feet below ground surface? Yes

--

DENIED

SITE INVESTIGATION PLAN

TYPE OF WASTE:

- | | | |
|--|--|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> E&P Waste | <input type="checkbox"/> Other E&P Waste | <input type="checkbox"/> Non-E&P Waste |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Produced Water | <input type="checkbox"/> Workover Fluids | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Oil | <input type="checkbox"/> Tank Bottoms | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Condensate | <input type="checkbox"/> Pigging Waste | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Drilling Fluids | <input type="checkbox"/> Rig Wash | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Drill Cuttings | <input type="checkbox"/> Spent Filters | |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Pit Bottoms | |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Other (as described by EPA) | |

DESCRIPTION OF IMPACT

Impacted?	Impacted Media	Extent of Impact	How Determined
Yes	SOILS	14' (N-S) x 18' (E-W) x 8' bgs	inspection/soil samples/laboratory analytical results

INITIAL ACTION SUMMARY

Description of initial action or emergency response measures take to abate, investigate, and/or remediate impacts associated with E&P Waste.

Wellhead cut and cap operations were completed at the Sakata Red W 6-1A wellhead on January 4, 2023. Groundwater was not encountered in the wellhead cut and cap excavation areas. Visual inspection and field screening of soils around the wellhead and associated flowline was conducted following wellhead cut and cap operations, and a soil sample (WH-B01@6') was submitted for laboratory analysis to determine if a release occurred. Laboratory analytical results indicated that pH was elevated in soil at the former wellhead location (WH-B01@6') when compared to Table 915-1, but within background limits. The removal of the associated flowline was completed on January 4-13, 2023. Soil samples were collected from the locations where the flowline risers were disconnected at the wellhead (FL-B01@5'), and separator (FL-B02@6'), and at directional changes of the flowline (FL-B07@5' and FL-B15@5') and submitted for laboratory analysis to determine if a release occurred. Laboratory analytical results indicated that naphthalene, arsenic, and barium impacts were present at the former flowline directional change location (FL-B07@5'). As such, a Form 19-Initial/Supplemental Spill/Release Report (COGCC Document No. 403290283) was submitted on January 13, 2023, and the COGCC issued Spill/Release Point ID 483785. A topographic Site Location Map showing the geographic setting of the site location is provided as Figure 1. Soil sample location and field screening data are presented in Table 1. The wellhead soil sample and field screening locations are illustrated on Figure 2. The flowline soil sample and field screening locations are illustrated on Figure 3.

PROPOSED SAMPLING PLAN

Proposed Soil Sampling

Will soil samples be collected as part of this investigation? (Number, type (grab/composite), analyses, and locations of samples):

On February 3, 2023, excavation activities were conducted to address remaining soil impacts at the former flowline directional change location (FL-B07@5'), and 5 confirmation soil samples were collected from the base and sidewalls of the final excavation extent, at depths of approximately 8' and 5' bgs, respectively. The confirmation soil samples were submitted for laboratory analysis of naphthalene, pH, arsenic, and barium using COGCC-approved methods. Analytical results indicated that constituent concentrations in the soil samples collected from the final excavation extent were in compliance with the applicable COGCC Table 915-1 standards/within the range of site-specific background levels. Soil analytical results are summarized in Tables 2-5. The laboratory analytical reports are provided as Attachment A.

Proposed Groundwater Sampling

Will groundwater samples be collected as part of this investigation? (Number, analyses, and locations of samples):

Groundwater was not encountered during wellhead cut and cap activities.

Proposed Surface Water Sampling

Will surface water samples be collected as part of this investigation? (Number, analyses, and locations of samples):

Additional Investigative Actions

Additional alternative investigative actions described in attached Site Investigation Plan (summary):

On January 4 and January 11-13, 2023, visual inspection and field screening of soils was conducted at 4 sidewall locations in the cut and cap excavation, 4 locations at the ground surface adjacent to the excavation, and 11 pothole locations during flowline removal. Based on the inspection and screening results, hydrocarbon impacted soil was not observed at the soil screening locations, and no soil samples were submitted for laboratory analysis from these areas in accordance with COGCC Operator Guidance. On January 5, 2023, a soil gas survey was conducted at 5 soil vapor points (SVP01 - SVP05) installed adjacent to the former wellhead location following cut and cap operations. GEM 5000 field readings were non-detect for methane at all 5 soil vapor points. The SVP locations are illustrated on Figure 2 and screening results are presented in Table 6. The laboratory analytical reports are provided as Attachment A. The field notes and a photographic log are provided as Attachment B.

SITE INVESTIGATION REPORT

SAMPLE SUMMARY

Soil

Number of soil samples collected 14

Number of soil samples exceeding 915-1 3

Was the areal and vertical extent of soil contamination delineated? Yes

Approximate areal extent (square feet) 252

NA / ND

ND Highest concentration of TPH (mg/kg) _____

-- Highest concentration of SAR 2.44

BTEX > 915-1 No

Vertical Extent > 915-1 (in feet) 8

Groundwater

Number of groundwater samples collected 0

Was extent of groundwater contaminated delineated? No

Depth to groundwater (below ground surface, in feet) _____

Number of groundwater monitoring wells installed _____

Number of groundwater samples exceeding 915-1 _____

_____ Highest concentration of Benzene (µg/l) _____

_____ Highest concentration of Toluene (µg/l) _____

_____ Highest concentration of Ethylbenzene (µg/l) _____

_____ Highest concentration of Xylene (µg/l) _____

_____ Highest concentration of Methane (mg/l) _____

Surface Water

0 Number of surface water samples collected

_____ Number of surface water samples exceeding 915-1

If surface water is impacted, other agency notification may be required.

OTHER INVESTIGATION INFORMATION

Were impacts to adjacent property or offsite impacts identified?

Were background samples collected as part of this site investigation?

Background soil samples WH-BG01@3', WH-BG01@6', WH-BG02@3', and WH-BG02@6' were collected from native material adjacent to the wellhead cut and cap excavation. An additional background soil sample was applied from the the UPRC FED 31-7K wellhead location (WH-BG01@6'), which contains the same native sandy clay soil type and collected from the same depth of 6' bgs as two of the Sakata Red W 6-1A background samples. The background soil samples were submitted for laboratory analysis of the Soil Suitability for Reclamation Parameters and Table 915-1 Metals in Soils using standard methods appropriate for detecting the target analytes in Table 915-1. Analytical results for the background soil samples are presented in Table 3 and 5. Background sample locations are presented in Figures 3 and 4.

Was investigation derived waste (IDW) generated as part of this investigation?

Volume of solid waste (cubic yards) _____

Volume of liquid waste (barrels) _____

Is further site investigation required?

REMEDIAL ACTION PLAN

Does this Supplemental Form 27A include changes to a previously approved Remedial Action Plan? No

SOURCE REMOVAL SUMMARY

Describe how source is to be removed.

Between January 12 and February 4, 2023, approximately 80 cubic yards of impacted material were excavated and transported to the Buffalo Ridge Landfill located in Keenesburg, Colorado for disposal. The excavation area will be backfilled and contoured to match pre-existing conditions.

REMEDIATION SUMMARY

Describe how remediation of existing impacts to soil and groundwater is to be accomplished (i.e. summarize remedial action plan). Provide a brief narrative description including: technical justification, schedule for implementation, estimated time to attain NFA status, plus plans and specifications for the selected remedial action technology.

Laboratory data indicate that impacted soils in the excavation areas have been remediated to be in compliance with the COGCC Table 915-1 standards and/or within the range of site-specific background concentrations. Groundwater was not encountered in the cut and cap excavation. Based on the analytical and soil screening data presented herein, assessment is complete at this site and no further activities are required. As such, Kerr-McGee is requesting a No Further Action (NFA) determination for this location.

Soil Remediation Summary

In Situ

Ex Situ

_____ Bioremediation (or enhanced bioremediation)

Yes _____ Excavate and offsite disposal

_____ Chemical oxidation

If Yes: Estimated Volume (Cubic Yards) _____ 80

_____ Air sparge / Soil vapor extraction

Name of Licensed Disposal Facility or COGCC Facility ID # _____

_____ Natural Attenuation

No _____ Excavate and onsite remediation

_____ Other _____

_____ Land Treatment

_____ Bioremediation (or enhanced bioremediation)

_____ Chemical oxidation

_____ Other _____

Groundwater Remediation Summary

_____ Bioremediation (or enhanced bioremediation)

_____ Chemical oxidation

_____ Air sparge / Soil vapor extraction

_____ Natural Attenuation

_____ Other _____

GROUNDWATER MONITORING

If groundwater has been impacted, describe proposed monitoring plan, including # of wells or sample points, monitoring schedule, analytical methods, points of compliance. Attach a groundwater monitoring location diagram.

REMEDIATION PROGRESS UPDATE

PERIODIC REPORTING

Approved Reporting Schedule:

Quarterly Semi-Annually Annually Other Final Report

Request Alternative Reporting Schedule:

Semi-Annually Annually Other

Rule 913.e:

After initial approval of a Form 27, the Operator will provide quarterly update reports in a Supplemental Form 27 to document progress of site investigation and remediation, unless an alternative reporting schedule has been requested by the Operator and approved by the Director. The Director may request a more frequent reporting schedule based on site-specific conditions.

Report Type: Groundwater Monitoring Land Treatment Progress Report O&M Report
 Other NFA Request

Adequacy of Operator's General Liability Insurance and Financial Assurance

Describe the adequacy of the Operator's general liability insurance and Financial Assurance to fully address the anticipated costs of Remediation, including the estimated remaining cost for this project (below).
If this information has been provided on a Form 27 within the last 12 months, provide the Document Number of that form.

KMOG has sufficient insurance and bonding to fully address the anticipated costs of Remediation, including the remaining estimated costs for this project. KMOG currently has over 40 million in bonds with the Colorado Oil and Gas Conservation Commission. The cost for remediation is a preliminary estimate only, costs may change upwards or downwards based on site-specific information. KMOG makes no representation or guarantees as to the accuracy of the preliminary estimate.

Operator anticipates the remaining cost for this project to be: \$ 0

WASTE DISPOSAL INFORMATION

Was E&P waste generated as part of this remediation? Yes

Describe beneficial use, if any, of E&P Waste derived from this remediation project:

NA

Volume of E&P Waste (solid) in cubic yards 80

E&P waste (solid) description impacted soil

COGCC Disposal Facility ID #, if applicable:

Non-COGCC Disposal Facility: Buffalo Ridge Landfill in Keenesburg, Colorado

Volume of E&P Waste (liquid) in barrels 0

E&P waste (liquid) description

COGCC Disposal Facility ID #, if applicable:

Non-COGCC Disposal Facility:

REMEDIATION COMPLETION REPORT

REMEDIATION COMPLETION SUMMARY

Is this a Final Closure Request for this Remediation Project? No

If YES:

- Compliant with Rule 913.h.(1).
 Compliant with Rule 913.h.(2).
 Compliant with Rule 913.h.(3).

Do all soils meet Table 915-1 standards? Yes

Does the previous reply indicate consideration of background concentrations? Yes

Does Groundwater meet Table 915-1 standards? Yes

Is additional groundwater monitoring to be conducted? _____

Operator shall comply with the COGCC 1000-Series Reclamation Requirements for all impacted and disturbed areas.

RECLAMATION PLAN

RECLAMATION PLANNING

Describe reclamation plan. Discuss existing and new grade recontouring; method and testing of compaction alleviation; and reseeding program, including location of new seed, seed mix and noxious weed prevention. Attach diagram or drawing.

The site will be reclaimed in accordance with COGCC 1000 Series Reclamation Rules. Timeliness of reclamation initiation and completion will be subject to NFA, surface owner discretion and land use, and suitable ground conditions which allow for execution of surface reclamation activities so as to not cause unwarranted damages.

Is the described reclamation complete? No

Does the reclamation described herein constitute interim or final reclamation of the Oil and Gas Location?

Interim

Final

Did the Surface Owner provide the seed mix? Yes

If YES, does the seed mix comply with local soil conservation district recommendations? Yes

Did the local soil conservation district provide the seed mix? No

SITE RECLAMATION DATES

Proposed date of commencement of Reclamation. 05/01/2024

Proposed date of completion of Reclamation. 05/01/2024

IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE

Per Rule 913.d.(2): Any change from the approved implementation schedule will be requested at least 14 days in advance, and the Operator may not make the change without the Director's approval.

PRIOR DATES

Date of Surface Owner notification/consultation, if required. 11/08/2022

Actual Spill or Release date, or date of discovery. 01/13/2023

SITE INVESTIGATION DATES

Date of Initial Actions described in Site Investigation Plan (start date). 01/04/2023

Proposed site investigation commencement. 01/04/2023

Proposed completion of site investigation. 02/03/2023

REMEDIAL ACTION DATES

Proposed start date of Remediation. 01/04/2023

Proposed date of completion of Remediation. 02/03/2023

Per Rule 913.d.(2): Any change from the approved implementation schedule will be requested at least 14 days in advance, and the Operator may not make the change without the Director's approval.

Change from approved implementation schedule per Rule 913.d.(2).

Basis for change in implementation schedule:

OPERATOR COMMENT

Based on the analytical and soil screening data provided herein, assessment is complete and Kerr-McGee is requesting an NFA determination for this location.

I hereby certify all statements made in this form are to the best of my knowledge true, correct, and complete.

Signed: Gregory Hamilton

Title: Sr. Env. Consultant

Submit Date: 05/04/2023

Email: gregory_hamilton@oxy.com

Based on the information provided herein, this Application for Site Investigation and Remediation Workplan complies with COGCC Rules and applicable orders and is hereby approved.

COGCC Approved: _____

Date: _____

Remediation Project Number: 25908

COA Type**Description**

	ECMC removed Operators request for closure and denied this Form 27. Background samples were taken over 3800' away and within a different agricultural system. Operator shall collect site specific background samples and resubmit.
1 COA	

Attachment Check List

Upon approval, the approved Form 27 and all listed attachments will be indexed to the Remediation Project file. Only the approved Form 27 will also be indexed to the related Facilities.

Att Doc Num**Name**

403357135	FORM 27 DENIED
403357208	OTHER
403357210	SITE MAP
403357213	SOIL SAMPLE LOCATION MAP
403380081	ANALYTICAL RESULTS
403380082	ANALYTICAL RESULTS
403380083	SOIL SAMPLE LOCATION MAP
403394600	SOIL SAMPLE LOCATION MAP
403464157	FORM 27-SUPPLEMENTAL-SUBMITTED
403464158	DENIED FORM 27 DENIED

Total Attach: 10 Files

General Comments**User Group****Comment****Comment Date**

		Stamp Upon Approval
--	--	---------------------

Total: 0 comment(s)