

State of Colorado Oil and Gas Conservation Commission

1120 Lincoln Street, Suite 801, Denver, Colorado 80203
Phone: (303) 894-2100 Fax: (303) 894-2109



Document Number:

403090737

Receive Date:

06/28/2022

Report taken by:

Laurel Anderson

Site Investigation and Remediation Workplan (Supplemental Form)

This form shall be submitted to the Director for approval prior to the initiation of site investigation and remediation activities. However, this shall not preclude the Operator from taking immediate action to protect public health or safety, the environment, wildlife, or livestock.

This Form 27 describes site conditions as currently understood by the Operator; approval of this Form 27 by COGCC is based on the site conditions accurately described herein; any changes in site conditions identified during or subsequent to the performance of the approved workplan may necessitate additional investigation or remediation which shall be described on a supplemental Form 27. This Form 27 is intended to provide basic information regarding the proposed site investigation and remediation actions, but the workplan may be more fully described in attached documentation.

Closure request is not available for an Initial Site Investigation and Remediation Workplan.

OPERATOR INFORMATION

Name of Operator: <u>KERR MCGEE OIL & GAS ONSHORE LP</u>	Operator No: <u>47120</u>	Phone Numbers Phone: <u>(970) 336-3500</u> Mobile: <u>(970) 515-1698</u>
Address: <u>P O BOX 173779</u>		
City: <u>DENVER</u>	State: <u>CO</u> Zip: <u>80217-3779</u>	
Contact Person: <u>Gregory Hamilton</u>	Email: <u>Gregory_Hamilton@oxy.com</u>	

PROJECT, PURPOSE & SITE INFORMATION

PROJECT INFORMATION

Remediation Project #: 22080 Initial Form 27 Document #: 402962342

PURPOSE INFORMATION

- ☐ Rule 913.c.(1): Pit or Cuttings Trench closure.
- ☒ Rule 913.c.(2): Buried or partially buried vessel closure, which will be by removal.
- ☒ Rule 913.c.(3): Remediation of Spill and Releases pursuant to Rule 912.
- ☐ Rule 913.c.(4): Land treatment of Oily Waste pursuant to Rule 905.e.
- ☐ Rule 913.c.(5): Closure of Centralized E&P Waste Management Facilities pursuant to Rule 907.h.
- ☐ Rule 913.c.(6): Remediation of impacted Groundwater pursuant to Rule 915.e.(3).D, and the contaminant concentrations in Table 915-1.
- ☐ Rule 913.c.(7): Investigation and remediation of natural gas in soil or Groundwater.
- ☐ Rule 913.c.(8): When requested by the Director due to any potential risk to soil, Groundwater, or surface water.
- ☒ Rule 913.c.(9): Decommissioning of Oil and Gas Facilities.
- ☐ Rule 913.g: Changes of Operator.
- ☐ Rule 915.b: Request to leave elevated inorganics in situ.
- ☐ Other: _____

SITE INFORMATION

Yes Multiple Facilities

Facility Type: <u>TANK BATTERY</u>	Facility ID: <u>327776</u>	API #: _____	County Name: <u>WELD</u>
Facility Name: <u>HSR-SHAPIRO-63N66W 1NESW</u>		Latitude: <u>40.252250</u>	Longitude: <u>-104.728300</u>
		** correct Lat/Long if needed: Latitude: <u>40.251219</u>	Longitude: <u>-104.728724</u>
QtrQtr: <u>NESW</u>	Sec: <u>1</u>	Twp: <u>3N</u>	Range: <u>66W</u> Meridian: <u>6</u> Sensitive Area? <u>Yes</u>
Facility Type: <u>SPILL OR RELEASE</u>	Facility ID: <u>481894</u>	API #: _____	County Name: <u>WELD</u>
Facility Name: <u>Shapiro/Brown/Easley/Jackson TB</u>		Latitude: <u>40.251182</u>	Longitude: <u>-104.728681</u>
		** correct Lat/Long if needed: Latitude: _____	Longitude: _____
QtrQtr: <u>NESW</u>	Sec: <u>1</u>	Twp: <u>3N</u>	Range: <u>66W</u> Meridian: <u>6</u> Sensitive Area? <u>Yes</u>

SITE CONDITIONS

General soil type - USCS Classifications SC

Most Sensitive Adjacent Land Use Non-crop land

Is domestic water well within 1/4 mile? No

Is surface water within 1/4 mile? No

Is groundwater less than 20 feet below ground surface? Yes

Other Potential Receptors within 1/4 mile

None

SITE INVESTIGATION PLAN

TYPE OF WASTE:

- ☒ E&P Waste ☐ Other E&P Waste ☐ Non-E&P Waste
- ☒ Produced Water ☐ Workover Fluids
- ☒ Oil ☐ Tank Bottoms
- ☒ Condensate ☐ Pigging Waste
- ☐ Drilling Fluids ☐ Rig Wash
- ☐ Drill Cuttings ☐ Spent Filters
- ☐ Pit Bottoms
- ☐ Other (as described by EPA)

DESCRIPTION OF IMPACT

Impacted?	Impacted Media	Extent of Impact	How Determined
Yes	SOILS	15' (N-S) x 26' (E-W) x 12' bgs	Inspection/soil samples/laboratory analytical results

INITIAL ACTION SUMMARY

Description of initial action or emergency response measures take to abate, investigate, and/or remediate impacts associated with E&P Waste.

Tank battery decommissioning activities were completed at the Shapiro/Brown 11&14-1/Easley 15-1/Jackson production facility location on March 29 through April 1, 2022. Visual inspection and field screening of soils at the former production facility infrastructure locations was conducted following tank battery decommissioning activities, and 16 soil samples were collected from the former separator, above-ground storage tank (AST), and produced water vessel (PWV) locations, at depths ranging from approximately 3 inches to 12 feet below ground surface (bgs). The soil samples were submitted for laboratory analysis of BTEX, naphthalene, TPH-GRO (C6-C10), DRO (C10-C28), and ORO (C28-C40). Additionally, samples PW-N02@3' and PW-N04@3' (PWV sidewall root zone) were submitted for laboratory analysis of the Soil Suitability for Reclamation parameters (pH, EC, SAR, boron). Based on field observations and preliminary analytical results, soil samples AST-B04@3', PW-E01@10', and PW-W02@7' were selected for waste characterization and submitted for laboratory analysis of the full COGCC Table 915-1 analytical suite using COGCC-approved methods. Laboratory analytical results indicated that soil impacts were present at the former AST and PWV locations due to TMB, PAH, and/or arsenic (As) and selenium (Se) concentrations above the COGCC standard. As such, a Form 19-Initial/Supplemental Spill/Release Report (COGCC Document No. 402999964) was submitted on March 31, 2022, and the COGCC issued Spill/Release Point ID 481894. Analytical results indicated that constituent concentrations in the remaining soil samples collected during facility decommissioning activities were in compliance with COGCC Table 915-1 standards. A topographic map showing the geographic setting of the site is provided as Figure 1. Soil sample location and field screening data are presented in Table 1. The soil sample and field screening locations are illustrated on Figure 2.

PROPOSED SAMPLING PLAN

Proposed Soil Sampling

☒ Will soil samples be collected as part of this investigation? (Number, type (grab/composite), analyses, and locations of samples):

On May 17 and June 8, 2022, excavation activities were conducted to address remaining soil impacts below the former AST and PWV locations. A total of 15 soil samples were collected from the base and sidewalls of the final AST and PWV excavation extents, at depths ranging from approximately 2 feet to 12 feet bgs. Based on the analytical results for waste characterization soil sample AST-B01@3', confirmation soil samples from the AST excavation area were submitted for laboratory analysis of BTEX, TMB, TPH, PAHs, As, and Se. Based on the results for waste characterization soil samples PW-E01@10' and PW-W02@7', confirmation soil samples from the PWV excavation areas were submitted for laboratory analysis of BTEX, TMB, TPH, and PAHs. Analytical results indicate that constituent concentrations in the 15 confirmation soil samples collected from the final AST and PWV excavation extents were in compliance with COGCC Table 915-1 standards. Soil analytical data is presented in Tables 2 through 5.

Proposed Groundwater Sampling

☐ Will groundwater samples be collected as part of this investigation? (Number, analyses, and locations of samples):

Groundwater was not encountered during facility decommissioning or subsequent excavation activities.

Proposed Surface Water Sampling

☐ Will surface water samples be collected as part of this investigation? (Number, analyses, and locations of samples):

Additional Investigative Actions

☐ Additional alternative investigative actions described in attached Site Investigation Plan (summary):

On March 29, 2022, visual inspection and field screening of soils was conducted at two locations below the former AST, one location at the meter house, one location at the former emissions control device (ECD), and two pothole locations during dump line removal activities. Based on the inspection and screening results, hydrocarbon-impacted soil was not observed at the soil screening locations. As a result, no soil samples were submitted for laboratory analysis from these areas in accordance with the COGCC Operator Guidance for Oil & Gas Facility Closure document. Soil sample location and field screening data are presented in Table 1. Soil analytical results are presented in Tables 2 through 5. The soil sample and field screening locations are illustrated on Figure 2. The laboratory analytical reports are provided as Attachment A. The field notes and a photographic log are provided as Attachment B.

SITE INVESTIGATION REPORT

SAMPLE SUMMARY

Soil

Number of soil samples collected 24

Number of soil samples exceeding 915-1 3

Was the areal and vertical extent of soil contamination delineated? Yes

Approximate areal extent (square feet) 325

NA / ND

-- Highest concentration of TPH (mg/kg) 77.87
2

-- Highest concentration of SAR 0.788

BTEX > 915-1 No

Vertical Extent > 915-1 (in feet) 12

Groundwater

Number of groundwater samples collected 0

Was extent of groundwater contaminated delineated? Yes

Depth to groundwater (below ground surface, in feet)

Number of groundwater monitoring wells installed

Number of groundwater samples exceeding 915-1

Highest concentration of Benzene (µg/l)

Highest concentration of Toluene (µg/l)

Highest concentration of Ethylbenzene (µg/l)

Highest concentration of Xylene (µg/l)

Highest concentration of Methane (mg/l)

Surface Water

0 Number of surface water samples collected

Number of surface water samples exceeding 915-1

If surface water is impacted, other agency notification may be required.

OTHER INVESTIGATION INFORMATION

☐ Were impacts to adjacent property or offsite impacts identified?

☒ Were background samples collected as part of this site investigation?

Background soil samples BG01@3" - BG04@3", BG01@3' - BG04@3', and PW-BG01@7' - PW-BG04@7' were collected from native material adjacent to the former production facility. The background soil samples were submitted for laboratory analysis of total metals and the Soil Suitability for Reclamation Parameters using standard methods appropriate for detecting the target analytes in Table 915-1. Analytical results for the background soil samples are presented in Tables 4 and 5.

☐ Was investigation derived waste (IDW) generated as part of this investigation?

Volume of solid waste (cubic yards)

Volume of liquid waste (barrels)

☐ Is further site investigation required?

REMEDIAL ACTION PLAN

Does this Supplemental Form 27A include changes to a previously approved Remedial Action Plan? No

SOURCE REMOVAL SUMMARY

Describe how source is to be removed.

Between March 29 and June 8, 2022, approximately 100 cubic yards of impacted material were excavated and transported to the Buffalo Ridge Landfill in Keenesburg, Colorado for disposal. The excavation areas were subsequently backfilled and contoured to match pre-existing site conditions.

REMEDIATION SUMMARY

Describe how remediation of existing impacts to soil and groundwater is to be accomplished (i.e. summarize remedial action plan). Provide a brief narrative description including: technical justification, schedule for implementation, estimated time to attain NFA status, plus plans and specifications for the selected remedial action technology.

Laboratory data indicate that impacted soils in the AST and PWV excavation areas have been remediated to be in compliance with the COGCCC Table 915-1 standards. Laboratory analytical results indicate that constituent concentrations in the remaining confirmation soil samples collected from the former production facility infrastructure locations were in compliance with COGCC Table 915-1 standards. Hydrocarbon-impacted soil was not observed during field inspection and soil screening activities at the former AST, ECD, meter house, or dump line locations. Groundwater was not encountered during facility decommissioning or subsequent excavation activities. Based on the analytical and soil screening data presented herein, assessment is complete at this site and no further activities are required. As such, Kerr-McGee is requesting a No Further Action (NFA) determination for this location.

Soil Remediation Summary

☐ In Situ

☒ Ex Situ

_____ Bioremediation (or enhanced bioremediation)

Yes _____ Excavate and offsite disposal

_____ Chemical oxidation

If Yes: Estimated Volume (Cubic Yards) _____ 100

_____ Air sparge / Soil vapor extraction

Name of Licensed Disposal Facility or COGCC Facility ID # _____

_____ Natural Attenuation

No _____ Excavate and onsite remediation

_____ Other _____

_____ Land Treatment

_____ Bioremediation (or enhanced bioremediation)

_____ Chemical oxidation

_____ Other _____

Groundwater Remediation Summary

_____ Bioremediation (or enhanced bioremediation)

_____ Chemical oxidation

_____ Air sparge / Soil vapor extraction

_____ Natural Attenuation

_____ Other _____

GROUNDWATER MONITORING

If groundwater has been impacted, describe proposed monitoring plan, including # of wells or sample points, monitoring schedule, analytical methods, points of compliance. Attach a groundwater monitoring location diagram.

REMEDIATION PROGRESS UPDATE

PERIODIC REPORTING

Approved Reporting Schedule:

☐ Quarterly☐ Semi-Annually☐ Annually☒ Other

☐ Request Alternative Reporting Schedule:

☐ Semi-Annually☐ Annually☐ Other

Rule 913.e:

After initial approval of a Form 27, the Operator will provide quarterly update reports in a Supplemental Form 27 to document progress of site investigation and remediation, unless an alternative reporting schedule has been requested by the Operator and approved by the Director. The Director may request a more frequent reporting schedule based on site-specific conditions.

Report Type:

☐ Groundwater Monitoring☐ Land Treatment Progress Report☐ O&M Report☒ Other NFA Request

Adequacy of Operator's General Liability Insurance and Financial Assurance

Describe the adequacy of the Operator's general liability insurance and Financial Assurance to fully address the anticipated costs of Remediation, including the estimated remaining cost for this project (below).

If this information has been provided on a Form 27 within the last 12 months, provide the Document Number of that form.

KMOG has sufficient insurance and bonding to fully address the anticipated costs of Remediation, including the remaining estimated costs for this project. KMOG currently has over 40 million in bonds with the Colorado Oil and Gas Conservation Commission.

Operator anticipates the remaining cost for this project to be: \$ 0

WASTE DISPOSAL INFORMATION

Was E&P waste generated as part of this remediation? Yes

Describe beneficial use, if any, of E&P Waste derived from this remediation project:

NA

Volume of E&P Waste (solid) in cubic yards 100

E&P waste (solid) description Impacted soil

COGCC Disposal Facility ID #, if applicable:

Non-COGCC Disposal Facility: Buffalo Ridge Landfill - Keenesburg, Colorado

Volume of E&P Waste (liquid) in barrels 0

E&P waste (liquid) description

COGCC Disposal Facility ID #, if applicable:

Non-COGCC Disposal Facility:

REMEDIATION COMPLETION REPORT

REMEDIATION COMPLETION SUMMARY

Is this a Final Closure Request for this Remediation Project? Yes

If YES:

☒ Compliant with Rule 913.h.(1).

☐ Compliant with Rule 913.h.(2).

☐ Compliant with Rule 913.h.(3).

Do all soils meet Table 915-1 standards? Yes

Does the previous reply indicate consideration of background concentrations? Yes

Does Groundwater meet Table 915-1 standards? Yes

Is additional groundwater monitoring to be conducted? _____

Operator shall comply with the COGCC 1000-Series Reclamation Requirements for all impacted and disturbed areas.

RECLAMATION PLAN

RECLAMATION PLANNING

Describe reclamation plan. Discuss existing and new grade recontouring; method and testing of compaction alleviation; and reseeding program, including location of new seed, seed mix and noxious weed prevention. Attach diagram or drawing.

The site will be reclaimed in accordance with COGCC 1000 Series Reclamation Rules.

Is the described reclamation complete? _____

Does the reclamation described herein constitute interim or final reclamation of the Oil and Gas Location?

☐ Interim

☐ Final

Did the Surface Owner provide the seed mix? _____

If YES, does the seed mix comply with local soil conservation district recommendations? _____

Did the local soil conservation district provide the seed mix? _____

SITE RECLAMATION DATES

Proposed date of commencement of Reclamation. _____

Proposed date of completion of Reclamation. _____

IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE

Per Rule 913.d.(2): Any change from the approved implementation schedule will be requested at least 14 days in advance, and the Operator may not make the change without the Director's approval.

PRIOR DATES

Date of Surface Owner notification/consultation, if required. 03/30/2022

Actual Spill or Release date, or date of discovery. 03/30/2022

SITE INVESTIGATION DATES

Date of Initial Actions described in Site Investigation Plan (start date). 03/29/2022

Proposed site investigation commencement. 03/29/2022

Proposed completion of site investigation. 06/08/2022

REMEDIAL ACTION DATES

Proposed start date of Remediation. 03/29/2022

Proposed date of completion of Remediation. 06/08/2022

Per Rule 913.d.(2): Any change from the approved implementation schedule will be requested at least 14 days in advance, and the Operator may not make the change without the Director's approval.

☐ Change from approved implementation schedule per Rule 913.d.(2).

Basis for change in implementation schedule:

OPERATOR COMMENT

Based on the analytical and field screening data provided herein, Kerr-McGee is requesting an NFA determination for this location.

I hereby certify all statements made in this form are to the best of my knowledge true, correct, and complete.

Signed: Gregory Hamilton

Title: Environmental Consultant

Submit Date: 06/28/2022

Email: Gregory_Hamilton@oxy.com

Based on the information provided herein, this Application for Site Investigation and Remediation Workplan complies with COGCC Rules and applicable orders and is hereby approved.

COGCC Approved: Alexander Ahmadian

Date: 11/21/2022

Remediation Project Number: 22080

COA Type**Description**

	The surface area disturbed by the remediation activity shall be reclaimed in accordance with the 1000 Series Reclamation Rules. For locations with active ongoing oil and gas operations, comply with Rule 1003 interim reclamation requirements and for locations that will no longer have active oil and gas operations, comply with Rule 1004 Final Reclamation requirements.
	Based on the information presented, it appears that no further remedial action is necessary at this time and the COGCC approves the closure request. However, should future conditions at the site indicate contaminant concentrations in soils exceeding COGCC standards or if groundwater is found to be impacted, then further investigation and/or remediation activities may be required.
2 COAs	

Attachment Check List

Upon approval, the approved Form 27 and all listed attachments will be indexed to the Remediation Project file. Only the approved Form 27 will also be indexed to the related Facilities.

Att Doc Num**Name**

403090737	FORM 27-SUPPLEMENTAL-SUBMITTED
403090879	PHOTO DOCUMENTATION
403090880	SITE MAP
403090881	SOIL SAMPLE LOCATION MAP
403090883	ANALYTICAL RESULTS
403090885	ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Total Attach: 6 Files

General Comments**User Group****Comment****Comment Date**

		Stamp Upon Approval
--	--	---------------------

Total: 0 comment(s)