

State of Colorado
Oil and Gas Conservation Commission

1120 Lincoln Street, Suite 801, Denver, Colorado 80203
Phone: (303) 894-2100 Fax: (303) 894-2109



Document Number:

403065885

Receive Date:

Report taken by:

Site Investigation and Remediation Workplan (Supplemental Form)

This form shall be submitted to the Director for approval prior to the initiation of site investigation and remediation activities. However, this shall not preclude the Operator from taking immediate action to protect public health or safety, the environment, wildlife, or livestock.

This Form 27 describes site conditions as currently understood by the Operator; approval of this Form 27 by COGCC is based on the site conditions accurately described herein; any changes in site conditions identified during or subsequent to the performance of the approved workplan may necessitate additional investigation or remediation which shall be described on a supplemental Form 27. This Form 27 is intended to provide basic information regarding the proposed site investigation and remediation actions, but the workplan may be more fully described in attached documentation.

Closure request is not available for an Initial Site Investigation and Remediation Workplan.

OPERATOR INFORMATION

Name of Operator: KERR MCGEE OIL & GAS ONSHORE LP	Operator No: 47120	Phone Numbers Phone: (970) 336-3500 Mobile: (970) 515-1698
Address: P O BOX 173779		
City: DENVER	State: CO Zip: 80217-3779	
Contact Person: Gregory Hamilton	Email: Gregory_Hamilton@oxy.com	

PROJECT, PURPOSE & SITE INFORMATION

PROJECT INFORMATION

Remediation Project #: 21490 Initial Form 27 Document #: 402919371

PURPOSE INFORMATION

- Rule 913.c.(1): Pit or Cuttings Trench closure.
- Rule 913.c.(2): Buried or partially buried vessel closure, which will be by removal.
- Rule 913.c.(3): Remediation of Spill and Releases pursuant to Rule 912.
- Rule 913.c.(4): Land treatment of Oily Waste pursuant to Rule 905.e.
- Rule 913.c.(5): Closure of Centralized E&P Waste Management Facilities pursuant to Rule 907.h.
- Rule 913.c.(6): Remediation of impacted Groundwater pursuant to Rule 915.e.(3).D, and the contaminant concentrations in Table 915-1.
- Rule 913.c.(7): Investigation and remediation of natural gas in soil or Groundwater.
- Rule 913.c.(8): When requested by the Director due to any potential risk to soil, Groundwater, or surface water.
- Rule 913.c.(9): Decommissioning of Oil and Gas Facilities.
- Rule 913.g: Changes of Operator.
- Rule 915.b: Request to leave elevated inorganics in situ.
- Other: _____

SITE INFORMATION

Yes Multiple Facilities

Facility Type: WELL	Facility ID: _____	API #: 123-16411	County Name: WELD
Facility Name: BERRY 8-6L	Latitude: 40.243120	Longitude: -104.917600	
** correct Lat/Long if needed: Latitude: _____		Longitude: _____	
QtrQtr: SENW	Sec: 8	Twp: 3N	Range: 67W Meridian: 6 Sensitive Area? Yes

Facility Type: LOCATION	Facility ID: 328772	API #: _____	County Name: WELD
Facility Name: BERRY-63N67W 8SEW	Latitude: 40.243120	Longitude: -104.917600	
** correct Lat/Long if needed: Latitude: 40.243485		Longitude: -104.922997	
QtrQtr: SENW	Sec: 8	Twp: 3N	Range: 67W Meridian: 6 Sensitive Area? Yes

SITE CONDITIONS

General soil type - USCS Classifications SC

Most Sensitive Adjacent Land Use Crop land

Is domestic water well within 1/4 mile? Yes

Is surface water within 1/4 mile? Yes

Is groundwater less than 20 feet below ground surface? Yes

Other Potential Receptors within 1/4 mile

The nearest domestic water well is located approximately 1,050 feet north of the wellhead.
Surface water is located approximately 930 feet north of the wellhead.
A wetland is located approximately 930 feet northeast of the wellhead.

SITE INVESTIGATION PLAN

TYPE OF WASTE:

- | | | |
|--|--|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> E&P Waste | <input type="checkbox"/> Other E&P Waste | <input type="checkbox"/> Non-E&P Waste |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Produced Water | <input type="checkbox"/> Workover Fluids | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Oil | <input type="checkbox"/> Tank Bottoms | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Condensate | <input type="checkbox"/> Pigging Waste | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Drilling Fluids | <input type="checkbox"/> Rig Wash | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Drill Cuttings | <input type="checkbox"/> Spent Filters | |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Pit Bottoms | |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Other (as described by EPA) | |

DESCRIPTION OF IMPACT

Impacted?	Impacted Media	Extent of Impact	How Determined
No	SOILS	No hydrocarbon impacts encountered	Inspection/soil samples/laboratory analytical results

INITIAL ACTION SUMMARY

Description of initial action or emergency response measures take to abate, investigate, and/or remediate impacts associated with E&P Waste.

Wellhead cut and cap operations were completed at the Berry 8-6L wellhead on March 1, 2022. Groundwater was not encountered in the wellhead cut and cap excavation area. Visual inspection and field screening of soils around the well and associated pumping equipment was conducted following wellhead cut and cap operations, and a soil sample (WH-B01@6') was submitted for laboratory analysis to determine if a release occurred. Laboratory analytical results indicated that pH was elevated in soil at the former wellhead location. The flowline associated with this wellhead was partially removed on March 15, 2022. The remaining flowline was abandoned in place and its status will be changed to out-of-service in accordance with Rule 1101.a. (3).A,B,&C. Soil samples were collected from the locations where the flowline risers were disconnected at the wellhead (FL-B01@4') and separator (FL-B04@3'), and where the flowline changed direction (FL-B03@4') and submitted for laboratory analysis to determine if a release occurred. A topographic Site Location Map showing the geographic setting of the site location is provided as Figure 1. Soil sample location and field screening data are presented in Table 1. Soil analytical results are summarized in Tables 2 and 3. The wellhead soil sample and field screening locations are illustrated on Figure 2. The flowline soil sample and field screening locations are illustrated on Figure 3.

PROPOSED SAMPLING PLAN

Proposed Soil Sampling

Will soil samples be collected as part of this investigation? (Number, type (grab/composite), analyses, and locations of samples):

On March 1 and 15, 2022, soil samples were collected from the base of the cut and cap excavation area (WH-B01@6') and from 3 locations along the former flowline (FL-B01@4', FL-B03@4', and FL-B04@3'). The soil samples were submitted for laboratory analysis of BTEX, naphthalene, and TPH-GRO (C6-C10), DRO (C10-C28), and ORO (C28-C40) using standard methods. Additionally, sample WH-B01@6' was submitted for laboratory analysis of pH, EC, SAR, and boron using COGCC-approved methods. Analytical results indicated that constituent concentrations in the confirmation soil samples were in compliance with COGCC Table 915-1 standards, with exception to the pH value in WH-B01@6'. However, the pH result was within the acceptable range of soil variability, and it alone does not indicate that a hydrocarbon or produced water release occurred at the former wellhead location. Due to the depth of the elevated pH result, it was determined to be acceptable to leave in place.

Proposed Groundwater Sampling

Will groundwater samples be collected as part of this investigation? (Number, analyses, and locations of samples):

Groundwater was not encountered during wellhead cut and cap or partial flowline removal operations.

Proposed Surface Water Sampling

Will surface water samples be collected as part of this investigation? (Number, analyses, and locations of samples):

Additional Investigative Actions

Additional alternative investigative actions described in attached Site Investigation Plan (summary):

On March 1 and 15, 2022, visual inspection and field screening of soils was conducted at 4 sidewall locations in the cut and cap excavation, 4 locations at the ground surface adjacent to the excavation, and 1 pothole location during flowline removal. Based on the inspection and screening results, hydrocarbon-impacted soil was not observed at the soil screening locations, and no soil samples were submitted for laboratory analysis from these areas in accordance with COGCC Operator Guidance. On March 4, 2022, a soil gas survey was conducted at 5 soil vapor points (SVP01 - SVP05) installed adjacent to the former wellhead location following cut and cap operations. GEM 5000 field readings were non-detect for methane at all 5 soil vapor points. The SVP locations are illustrated on Figure 2 and SVP screening results are summarized in Table 4. The laboratory analytical reports are provided as Attachment A. The field notes and a photographic log are provided as Attachment B.

SITE INVESTIGATION REPORT

SAMPLE SUMMARY

Soil

Number of soil samples collected 4
Number of soil samples exceeding 915-1 1
Was the areal and vertical extent of soil contamination delineated? Yes
Approximate areal extent (square feet) 0

NA / ND

ND Highest concentration of TPH (mg/kg) _____
-- Highest concentration of SAR 1.61
BTEX > 915-1 No
Vertical Extent > 915-1 (in feet) 0

Groundwater

Number of groundwater samples collected 0
Was extent of groundwater contaminated delineated? Yes
Depth to groundwater (below ground surface, in feet) _____
Number of groundwater monitoring wells installed _____
Number of groundwater samples exceeding 915-1 _____

Highest concentration of Benzene (µg/l) _____
Highest concentration of Toluene (µg/l) _____
Highest concentration of Ethylbenzene (µg/l) _____
Highest concentration of Xylene (µg/l) _____
Highest concentration of Methane (mg/l) _____

Surface Water

0 Number of surface water samples collected
____ Number of surface water samples exceeding 915-1
If surface water is impacted, other agency notification may be required.

OTHER INVESTIGATION INFORMATION

Were impacts to adjacent property or offsite impacts identified?

Were background samples collected as part of this site investigation?

Background soil samples WH-BG01@3' - WH-BG03@3' and WH-BG01@6' - WH-BG03@6' were collected from native material adjacent to the wellhead cut and cap excavation. The background soil samples were submitted for laboratory analysis of the Soil Suitability for Reclamation Parameters using standard methods appropriate for detecting the target analytes in Table 915-1. Analytical results for the background soil samples are presented in Table 3.

Was investigation derived waste (IDW) generated as part of this investigation?

Volume of solid waste (cubic yards) _____ Volume of liquid waste (barrels) _____

Is further site investigation required?

REMEDIAL ACTION PLAN

Does this Supplemental Form 27A include changes to a previously approved Remedial Action Plan? No

SOURCE REMOVAL SUMMARY

Describe how source is to be removed.

Laboratory results indicate that constituent concentrations in the soil samples collected from the base of the wellhead cut and cap excavation area (WH-B01@6'), and during flowline removal (FL-B01@4', FL-B03@4', and FL-B04@3'), were in compliance with COGCC Table 915-1 standards, with exception to the pH value for WH-B01@6'. However, the pH result was within the acceptable range of soil variability, and it alone does not indicate that a hydrocarbon or produced water release occurred at the former wellhead location. Due to the depth of the elevated pH result, it was determined to be acceptable to leave in place. As a result, no soils were removed during wellhead cut and cap operations. The excavation area was backfilled and contoured to match preexisting site conditions.

REMEDIATION SUMMARY

Describe how remediation of existing impacts to soil and groundwater is to be accomplished (i.e. summarize remedial action plan). Provide a brief narrative description including: technical justification, schedule for implementation, estimated time to attain NFA status, plus plans and specifications for the selected remedial action technology.

Laboratory results indicate that constituent concentrations in the soil samples collected from the base of the wellhead cut and cap excavation area (WH-B01@6'), and during flowline removal (FL-B01@4', FL-B03@4', and FL-B04@3'), were in compliance with COGCC Table 915-1 standards, with exception to the pH value for WH-B01@6'. However, the pH result was within the acceptable range of soil variability, and it alone does not indicate that a hydrocarbon or produced water release occurred at the former wellhead location. Due to the depth of the elevated pH result, it was determined to be acceptable to leave in place. Groundwater was not encountered in the cut and cap excavation area or flowline removal potholes. Based on the analytical and soil screening data presented herein, assessment is complete at this site and no further activities are required. As such, Kerr-McGee is requesting a No Further Action (NFA) determination for this location.

Soil Remediation Summary

In Situ

Ex Situ

- _____ Bioremediation (or enhanced bioremediation)
- _____ Chemical oxidation
- _____ Air sparge / Soil vapor extraction
- _____ Natural Attenuation
- _____ Other _____

- _____ Excavate and offsite disposal
- _____ If Yes: Estimated Volume (Cubic Yards) _____
- _____ Name of Licensed Disposal Facility or COGCC Facility ID # _____
- _____ Excavate and onsite remediation
- _____ Land Treatment
- _____ Bioremediation (or enhanced bioremediation)
- _____ Chemical oxidation
- _____ Other _____

Groundwater Remediation Summary

- _____ Bioremediation (or enhanced bioremediation)
- _____ Chemical oxidation
- _____ Air sparge / Soil vapor extraction
- _____ Natural Attenuation
- _____ Other _____

GROUNDWATER MONITORING

If groundwater has been impacted, describe proposed monitoring plan, including # of wells or sample points, monitoring schedule, analytical methods, points of compliance. Attach a groundwater monitoring location diagram.

RECLAMATION PLAN

RECLAMATION PLANNING

Describe reclamation plan. Discuss existing and new grade recontouring; method and testing of compaction alleviation; and reseeding program, including location of new seed, seed mix and noxious weed prevention. Attach diagram or drawing.

The site will be reclaimed in accordance with COGCC 1000 Series Reclamation Rules.

Is the described reclamation complete? _____

Does the reclamation described herein constitute interim or final reclamation of the Oil and Gas Location?

Interim Final

Did the Surface Owner provide the seed mix? _____

If YES, does the seed mix comply with local soil conservation district recommendations? _____

Did the local soil conservation district provide the seed mix? _____

SITE RECLAMATION DATES

Proposed date of commencement of Reclamation. _____

Proposed date of completion of Reclamation. _____

IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE

Per Rule 913.d.(2): Any change from the approved implementation schedule will be requested at least 14 days in advance, and the Operator may not make the change without the Director's approval.

PRIOR DATES

Date of Surface Owner notification/consultation, if required. 12/01/2021

Actual Spill or Release date, or date of discovery. _____

SITE INVESTIGATION DATES

Date of Initial Actions described in Site Investigation Plan (start date). 03/01/2022

Proposed site investigation commencement. 03/01/2022

Proposed completion of site investigation. 03/15/2022

REMEDIAL ACTION DATES

Proposed start date of Remediation. _____

Proposed date of completion of Remediation. _____

Per Rule 913.d.(2): Any change from the approved implementation schedule will be requested at least 14 days in advance, and the Operator may not make the change without the Director's approval.

Change from approved implementation schedule per Rule 913.d.(2).

Basis for change in implementation schedule:

OPERATOR COMMENT

Laboratory results indicate that constituent concentrations in sample WH-B01@6' were in compliance with COGCC Table 915-1 standards, with exception to the pH value. However, the pH result was within the acceptable range of soil variability, and it alone does not indicate that a hydrocarbon or produced water release occurred at the former wellhead location. Due to the depth of the elevated pH result, it was determined to be acceptable to leave in place. As a result, Kerr-McGee is requesting an NFA determination for this location, based on the analytical and soil screening data provided herein.

I hereby certify all statements made in this form are to the best of my knowledge true, correct, and complete.

Signed: Gregory Hamilton _____

Title: Environmental Consultant _____

Submit Date: _____

Email: Gregory_Hamilton@oxy.com _____

Based on the information provided herein, this Application for Site Investigation and Remediation Workplan complies with COGCC Rules and applicable orders and is hereby approved.

COGCC Approved: _____

Date: _____

Remediation Project Number: 21490 _____

COA Type**Description**

COA Type	Description

Attachment Check List

Upon approval, the approved Form 27 and all listed attachments will be indexed to the Remediation Project file. Only the approved Form 27 will also be indexed to the related Facilities.

Att Doc Num**Name**

403065932	PHOTO DOCUMENTATION
403065933	SITE MAP
403065937	SOIL SAMPLE LOCATION MAP
403065940	SOIL SAMPLE LOCATION MAP
403065942	ANALYTICAL RESULTS
403065943	OTHER
403065947	ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Total Attach: 7 Files

General Comments**User Group****Comment****Comment Date**

User Group	Comment	Comment Date
		Stamp Upon Approval

Total: 0 comment(s)