

State of Colorado  
Oil and Gas Conservation Commission

1120 Lincoln Street, Suite 801, Denver, Colorado 80203  
Phone: (303) 894-2100 Fax: (303) 894-2109



Document Number:

402787650

Date Received:

08/23/2021

FIR RESOLUTION FORM

Overall Status: FRQ

CA Summary:

1 of 1 CAs from the FIR responded to on this Form

0 CA Completed  
1 Factual Review Request

OPERATOR INFORMATION

OGCC Operator Number: 10705

Name of Operator: EVERGREEN NATURAL RESOURCES LLC

Address: 1875 LAWRENCE ST STE 1150

City: DENVER State: CO Zip: 80202

Contact Name and Telephone:

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Phone: ( ) \_\_\_\_\_ Fax: ( ) \_\_\_\_\_

Email: \_\_\_\_\_

Additional Operator Contact:

Contact Name

Phone

Email

Stacey Wiseman

719-845-4619

Stacey.Wiseman@enrllc.com

COGCC INSPECTION SUMMARY:

FIR Document Number: 690201972

Inspection Date: 08/16/2021

FIR Submit Date: 08/18/2021

FIR Status: \_\_\_\_\_

Inspected Operator Information:

Company Name: EVERGREEN NATURAL RESOURCES LLC

Company Number: 10705

Address: 1875 LAWRENCE ST STE 1150

City: DENVER State: CO Zip: 80202

LOCATION - Location ID: 308156

Location Name: COACH-634S65W Number: 34NENW County: LAS ANIMAS

Qtrqr: NENW Sec: 34 Twp: 34S Range: 65W Meridian: 6

Latitude: 37.046490 Longitude: -104.662550

FACILITY - API Number: 05-071- -00 Facility ID: 263051

Facility Name: COACH Number: 21-34

Qtrqr: NENW Sec: 34 Twp: 34S Range: 65W Meridian: 6

Latitude: 37.046490 Longitude: -104.662550

CORRECTIVE ACTIONS:

1 CA# 154956

Corrective Action: Install or repair required BMPs per Rule 1002.f.(2)

Date: 09/30/2021

Response: FACTUAL REVIEW REQUEST

Basis for Review: Action requested was already completed prior to the inspection

Operator Comment: With regard to the crossing, as evidenced in the pictures provided by COGCC in Field Inspection, Document Number 690201972, the crossing was repaired to ensure wildlife protection, minimal impact to the environment and public safety. ENR reinstalled the crossing using approved BMPs and installation methods with minimal disturbance by using material which would cause less impact to the environment should failure occur again, although this is not expected to happen. Additionally, as installed, the time and expense to the operator to

restore the crossing in the event of another failure would be minimal, again ensuring public safety by being able to access well locations and not having to dispose of the material from the failed crossing with a significant reduction in potential disruption to wildlife habitat. Historically, it has been found that "engineered" crossings have a higher failure rate during significant storm events, leaving large amounts of debris in the stream and blocking access to wells. In the absence of a COGCC rule or guidance mandating a "stamped engineer design plan", ENR feels that the crossing, as installed today, is adequate and meets all requirements as set forth by COGCC Rules and Regulations. This request would cause the operator additional and unnecessary time and expense, as the work has already been completed, is working successfully, and has been approved by the landowner.

BMP maintenance identified for culverts outside of the crossing will be completed working with landowner within hunting restriction requirements.

COGCC Decision: \_\_\_\_\_

COGCC  
Representative:

--

#### OPERATOR COMMENT AND SUBMITTAL

Comment: With regard to the crossing, as evidenced in the pictures provided by COGCC in Field Inspection, Document Number 690201972, the crossing was repaired to ensure wildlife protection, minimal impact to the environment and public safety. ENR reinstalled the crossing using approved BMPs and installation methods with minimal disturbance by using material which would cause less impact to the environment should failure occur again, although this is not expected to happen. Additionally, as installed, the time and expense to the operator to restore the crossing in the event of another failure would be minimal, again ensuring public safety by being able to access well locations and not having to dispose of the material from the failed crossing with a significant reduction in potential disruption to wildlife habitat. Historically, it has been found that "engineered" crossings have a higher failure rate during significant storm events, leaving large amounts of debris in the stream and blocking access to wells. In the absence of a COGCC rule or guidance mandating a "stamped engineer design plan", ENR feels that the crossing, as installed today, is adequate and meets all requirements as set forth by COGCC Rules and Regulations. This request would cause the operator additional and unnecessary time and expense, as the work has already been completed, is working successfully, and has been approved by the landowner.

BMP maintenance identified for culverts outside of the crossing will be completed working with landowner within hunting restriction requirements.

I hereby certify that the statements made in this form are, to the best of my knowledge, true, correct, and complete.

Print Name: Stacey Wiseman

Signed: \_\_\_\_\_

Title: Environmental Technician

Date: 8/23/2021 8:44:53 AM

#### **ATTACHMENT LIST**

View Attachments in Imaged Documents on COGCC website (<http://ogccweblink.state.co.us/>) - Search by Document Number.

<u>Document Number</u>	<u>Description</u>
------------------------	--------------------

--	--

Total Attach: 0 Files