

State of Colorado
Oil and Gas Conservation Commission

1120 Lincoln Street, Suite 801, Denver, Colorado 80203
Phone: (303) 894-2100 Fax: (303) 894-2109



Document Number:
402776612
Receive Date:
08/11/2021

Report taken by:
PETER GINTAUTAS

Site Investigation and Remediation Workplan (Supplemental Form)

This form shall be submitted to the Director for approval prior to the initiation of site investigation and remediation activities. However, this shall not preclude the Operator from taking immediate action to protect public health or safety, the environment, wildlife, or livestock.

This Form 27 describes site conditions as currently understood by the Operator; approval of this Form 27 by COGCC is based on the site conditions accurately described herein; any changes in site conditions identified during or subsequent to the performance of the approved workplan may necessitate additional investigation or remediation which shall be described on a supplemental Form 27. This Form 27 is intended to provide basic information regarding the proposed site investigation and remediation actions, but the workplan may be more fully described in attached documentation.

Closure request is not available for an Initial Site Investigation and Remediation Workplan.

OPERATOR INFORMATION

Name of Operator: <u>KERR MCGEE OIL & GAS ONSHORE LP</u>	Operator No: <u>47120</u>	Phone Numbers
Address: <u>P O BOX 173779</u>		Phone: <u>(970) 336-3500</u>
City: <u>DENVER</u> State: <u>CO</u> Zip: <u>80217-3779</u>		Mobile: <u>(970) 515-1698</u>
Contact Person: <u>Gregory Hamilton</u>	Email: <u>Gregory_Hamilton@oxy.com</u>	

PROJECT, PURPOSE & SITE INFORMATION

PROJECT INFORMATION

Remediation Project #: 17990 Initial Form 27 Document #: 402684983

PURPOSE INFORMATION

- Rule 913.c.(1): Pit or Cuttings Trench closure.
- Rule 913.c.(2): Buried or partially buried vessel closure, which will be by removal.
- Rule 913.c.(3): Remediation of Spill and Releases pursuant to Rule 912.
- Rule 913.c.(4): Land treatment of Oily Waste pursuant to Rule 905.e.
- Rule 913.c.(5): Closure of Centralized E&P Waste Management Facilities pursuant to Rule 907.h.
- Rule 913.c.(6): Remediation of impacted Groundwater pursuant to Rule 915.e.(3).D, and the contaminant concentrations in Table 915-1.
- Rule 913.c.(7): Investigation and remediation of natural gas in soil or Groundwater.
- Rule 913.c.(8): When requested by the Director due to any potential risk to soil, Groundwater, or surface water.
- Rule 913.c.(9): Decommissioning of Oil and Gas Facilities.
- Rule 913.g: Changes of Operator.
- Rule 915.b: Request to leave elevated inorganics in situ.
- Other: _____

SITE INFORMATION

Yes Multiple Facilities

Facility Type: <u>LOCATION</u>	Facility ID: <u>317786</u>	API #: _____	County Name: <u>WELD</u>
Facility Name: <u>JAMES S BARCLAY-63N66W 2SWSW</u>	Latitude: <u>40.249480</u>	Longitude: <u>-104.750300</u>	
	** correct Lat/Long if needed: Latitude: <u>40.247021</u>	Longitude: <u>-104.750517</u>	
QtrQtr: <u>SWSW</u> Sec: <u>2</u> Twp: <u>3N</u> Range: <u>66W</u> Meridian: <u>6</u> Sensitive Area? <u>Yes</u>			
Facility Type: <u>SPILL OR RELEASE</u>	Facility ID: <u>479987</u>	API #: _____	County Name: <u>WELD</u>
Facility Name: <u>Barclay James S 1 O SA PWV Release</u>	Latitude: <u>40.247021</u>	Longitude: <u>-104.750521</u>	
	** correct Lat/Long if needed: Latitude: _____	Longitude: _____	
QtrQtr: <u>SWSW</u> Sec: <u>2</u> Twp: <u>3N</u> Range: <u>66W</u> Meridian: <u>6</u> Sensitive Area? <u>Yes</u>			

SITE CONDITIONS

General soil type - USCS Classifications SW _____

Most Sensitive Adjacent Land Use Non-crop land _____

Is domestic water well within 1/4 mile? Yes _____

Is surface water within 1/4 mile? No _____

Is groundwater less than 20 feet below ground surface? Yes _____

Other Potential Receptors within 1/4 mile

The nearest domestic water well is located approximately 845 feet southwest of the facility.
Multiple buildings and livestock holding pens are located within 1/4 mile of the facility.

SITE INVESTIGATION PLAN

TYPE OF WASTE:

- | | | |
|--|--|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> E&P Waste | <input type="checkbox"/> Other E&P Waste | <input type="checkbox"/> Non-E&P Waste |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Produced Water | <input type="checkbox"/> Workover Fluids | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Oil | <input type="checkbox"/> Tank Bottoms | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Condensate | <input type="checkbox"/> Pigging Waste | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Drilling Fluids | <input type="checkbox"/> Rig Wash | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Drill Cuttings | <input type="checkbox"/> Spent Filters | |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Pit Bottoms | |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Other (as described by EPA) | |

DESCRIPTION OF IMPACT

Impacted?	Impacted Media	Extent of Impact	How Determined
No	SOILS	No impacts encountered	Inspection/soil samples/laboratory analytical results

INITIAL ACTION SUMMARY

Description of initial action or emergency response measures take to abate, investigate, and/or remediate impacts associated with E&P Waste.

Tank battery decommissioning activities were completed at the Barclay James S 1 O SA production facility location on May 14, 2021. Groundwater was not encountered during facility decommissioning activities. Visual inspection and field screening of soils at the former production facility infrastructure locations was conducted following tank battery decommissioning activities. Based on the inspection and field screening results, potential soil impacts were observed below the partially buried produced water vessel (PWV) at sample location PW-B01@5'. As such, a Form 19-Initial/Supplemental Spill/Release Report (COGCC Document No. 402689863) was submitted on May 17, 2021, and the COGCC issued Spill/Release Point ID 479987. Based on the analytical results presented herein, impacted soil was not present below the former PWV and it was determined that no release occurred at this location. A topographic Site Location Map showing the geographic setting of the site location is provided as Figure 1. Soil sample location and field screening data is presented in Table 1. The soil sample and field screening locations are illustrated on Figure 2.

PROPOSED SAMPLING PLAN

Proposed Soil Sampling

Will soil samples be collected as part of this investigation? (Number, type (grab/composite), analyses, and locations of samples):

On May 14, 2021, five (5) confirmation soil samples were collected from the former separator, above-ground storage tank (AST), and PWV locations, at depths ranging from approximately 6 inches to 5 feet below ground surface (bgs). The soil samples were submitted for laboratory analysis of BTEX, naphthalene, and TPH-GRO (C6-C10) by USEPA Method 8260D, TPH-DRO & ORO (C10-C28 & C28-C40) by USEPA Method 8015D. Additionally, based on inspection and field screening results, sample PW-B01@5' was submitted for the full COGCC Table 915-1 analytical suite using standard methods appropriate for detecting the target analytes. Analytical results indicated that constituent concentrations in the 5 confirmation soil samples were in compliance with COGCC Table 915 Protection of Groundwater soil standards, with exception to the arsenic and barium concentrations in sample PW-B01@5'. However, these results were below the site-specific local background concentrations for arsenic and barium x 1.25.

Proposed Groundwater Sampling

Will groundwater samples be collected as part of this investigation? (Number, analyses, and locations of samples):

Groundwater was not encountered during facility decommissioning activities.

Proposed Surface Water Sampling

Will surface water samples be collected as part of this investigation? (Number, analyses, and locations of samples):

Additional Investigative Actions

Additional alternative investigative actions described in attached Site Investigation Plan (summary):

On May 14, 2021, visual inspection and field screening of soils was conducted at three sidewall locations within the PWV removal excavation, one location at the former AST, one location adjacent to the meter house, and four pothole locations during dump line removal. Based on the inspection and screening results, hydrocarbon-impacted soil was not observed at the soil screening locations. As a result, no soil samples were submitted for laboratory analysis from these areas in accordance with the COGCC Operator Guidance for Oil & Gas Facility Closure document. Soil sample location and field screening data is presented in Table 1. Soil analytical results are presented in Tables 2 through 5. The soil sample and field screening locations are illustrated on Figure 2. The laboratory analytical report is provided as Attachment A. The field notes and a photographic log are provided as Attachment B.

SITE INVESTIGATION REPORT

SAMPLE SUMMARY

Soil

Number of soil samples collected 5
Number of soil samples exceeding 915-1 0
Was the areal and vertical extent of soil contamination delineated? Yes
Approximate areal extent (square feet) 0

NA / ND

-- Highest concentration of TPH (mg/kg) 59.39
-- Highest concentration of SAR 0.659
BTEX > 915-1 No
Vertical Extent > 915-1 (in feet) 0

Groundwater

Number of groundwater samples collected 0
Was extent of groundwater contaminated delineated? Yes
Depth to groundwater (below ground surface, in feet)
Number of groundwater monitoring wells installed
Number of groundwater samples exceeding 915-1

Highest concentration of Benzene (µg/l)
Highest concentration of Toluene (µg/l)
Highest concentration of Ethylbenzene (µg/l)
Highest concentration of Xylene (µg/l)
Highest concentration of Methane (mg/l)

Surface Water

0 Number of surface water samples collected
 Number of surface water samples exceeding 915-1
If surface water is impacted, other agency notification may be required.

OTHER INVESTIGATION INFORMATION

Were impacts to adjacent property or offsite impacts identified?

Were background samples collected as part of this site investigation?

On May 14, 2021, background soil sample TB-BG01@4' was collected from native material adjacent to the production facility location. The background soil sample was submitted for laboratory analysis of total metals and the Soil Suitability for Reclamation Parameters using standard methods appropriate for detecting the target analytes in Table 915-1. Analytical results for sample TB-BG01@4' are presented in Tables 4 and 5.

Was investigation derived waste (IDW) generated as part of this investigation?

Volume of solid waste (cubic yards) Volume of liquid waste (barrels)

Is further site investigation required?

REMEDIAL ACTION PLAN

Does this Supplemental Form 27A include changes to a previously approved Remedial Action Plan? No

SOURCE REMOVAL SUMMARY

Describe how source is to be removed.

Laboratory results indicate that constituent concentrations in the 5 confirmation soil samples collected from the former separator, AST, and PWV locations were in compliance with COGCC Table 915-1 Protection of Groundwater soil standards and/or within the site-specific background levels for total metals x 1.25. As a result, it was determined that no release occurred at this location, and no soils were removed during facility decommissioning activities. The excavation areas were backfilled and contoured to match pre-existing site conditions.

REMEDICATION SUMMARY

Describe how remediation of existing impacts to soil and groundwater is to be accomplished (i.e. summarize remedial action plan). Provide a brief narrative description including: technical justification, schedule for implementation, estimated time to attain NFA status, plus plans and specifications for the selected remedial action technology.

Laboratory results indicate that constituent concentrations in the 5 confirmation soil samples collected from the former separator, AST, and PWV locations were in compliance with COGCC Table 915-1 Protection of Groundwater soil standards and/or within the site-specific background levels for total metals x 1.25. As a result, potential soil impacts observed during field inspection and soil screening activities below the former PWV (PW-B01@5') were not indicative of a spill or release at this location. Hydrocarbon-impacted soil was not observed during field inspection and soil screening activities at the remaining former production facility infrastructure locations. Based on the analytical and soil screening data presented herein, assessment is complete at this site and no further activities are required. As such, Kerr-McGee is requesting a No Further Action (NFA) determination for this location.

Soil Remediation Summary

In Situ

_____ Bioremediation (or enhanced bioremediation)
_____ Chemical oxidation
_____ Air sparge / Soil vapor extraction
_____ Natural Attenuation
_____ Other _____

Ex Situ

_____ Excavate and offsite disposal
_____ If Yes: Estimated Volume (Cubic Yards) _____
_____ Name of Licensed Disposal Facility or COGCC Facility ID # _____
_____ Excavate and onsite remediation
_____ Land Treatment
_____ Bioremediation (or enhanced bioremediation)
_____ Chemical oxidation
_____ Other _____

Groundwater Remediation Summary

_____ Bioremediation (or enhanced bioremediation)
_____ Chemical oxidation
_____ Air sparge / Soil vapor extraction
_____ Natural Attenuation
_____ Other _____

GROUNDWATER MONITORING

If groundwater has been impacted, describe proposed monitoring plan, including # of wells or sample points, monitoring schedule, analytical methods, points of compliance. Attach a groundwater monitoring location diagram.

REMEDIATION PROGRESS UPDATE

PERIODIC REPORTING

Approved Reporting Schedule:

Quarterly Semi-Annually Annually Other

Request Alternative Reporting Schedule:

Semi-Annually Annually Other

Rule 913.e:

After initial approval of a Form 27, the Operator will provide quarterly update reports in a Supplemental Form 27 to document progress of site investigation and remediation, unless an alternative reporting schedule has been requested by the Operator and approved by the Director. The Director may request a more frequent reporting schedule based on site-specific conditions.

Report Type: Groundwater Monitoring Land Treatment Progress Report O&M Report
 Other NFA Request

WASTE DISPOSAL INFORMATION

Was E&P waste generated as part of this remediation? No

Describe beneficial use, if any, of E&P Waste derived from this remediation project:

Volume of E&P Waste (solid) in cubic yards _____

E&P waste (solid) description _____

COGCC Disposal Facility ID #, if applicable: _____

Non-COGCC Disposal Facility: _____

Volume of E&P Waste (liquid) in barrels _____

E&P waste (liquid) description _____

COGCC Disposal Facility ID #, if applicable: _____

Non-COGCC Disposal Facility: _____

REMEDIATION COMPLETION REPORT

REMEDIATION COMPLETION SUMMARY

Is this a Final Closure Request for this Remediation Project? Yes

If YES:

- Compliant with Rule 913.h.(1).
- Compliant with Rule 913.h.(2).
- Compliant with Rule 913.h.(3).

Do all soils meet Table 915-1 standards? Yes

Does the previous reply indicate consideration of background concentrations? Yes

Does Groundwater meet Table 915-1 standards? Yes

Is additional groundwater monitoring to be conducted? _____

Operator shall comply with the COGCC 1000-Series Reclamation Requirements for all impacted and disturbed areas.

RECLAMATION PLAN

RECLAMATION PLANNING

Describe reclamation plan. Discuss existing and new grade recontouring; method and testing of compaction alleviation; and reseeding program, including location of new seed, seed mix and noxious weed prevention. Attach diagram or drawing.

The site will be reclaimed in accordance with COGCC 1000 Series Reclamation Rules.

Is the described reclamation complete? _____

Does the reclamation described herein constitute interim or final reclamation of the Oil and Gas Location?

Interim Final

Did the Surface Owner provide the seed mix? _____

If YES, does the seed mix comply with local soil conservation district recommendations? _____

Did the local soil conservation district provide the seed mix? _____

SITE RECLAMATION DATES

Proposed date of commencement of Reclamation. _____

Proposed date of completion of Reclamation. _____

IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE

Per Rule 913.d.(2): Any change from the approved implementation schedule will be requested at least 14 days in advance, and the Operator may not make the change without the Director's approval.

PRIOR DATES

Date of Surface Owner notification/consultation, if required. 05/14/2021

Actual Spill or Release date, or date of discovery. _____

SITE INVESTIGATION DATES

Date of Initial Actions described in Site Investigation Plan (start date). 05/14/2021

Proposed site investigation commencement. 05/14/2021

Proposed completion of site investigation. 05/14/2021

REMEDIAL ACTION DATES

Proposed start date of Remediation. _____

Proposed date of completion of Remediation. _____

Per Rule 913.d.(2): Any change from the approved implementation schedule will be requested at least 14 days in advance, and the Operator may not make the change without the Director's approval.

Change from approved implementation schedule per Rule 913.d.(2).

Basis for change in implementation schedule:

OPERATOR COMMENT

Based on the analytical and soil screening data provided herein, Kerr-McGee is requesting an NFA determination for this location.

I hereby certify all statements made in this form are to the best of my knowledge true, correct, and complete.

Signed: Gregory Hamilton

Title: Environmental Consultant

Submit Date: 08/11/2021

Email: Gregory_Hamilton@oxy.com

Based on the information provided herein, this Application for Site Investigation and Remediation Workplan complies with COGCC Rules and applicable orders and is hereby approved.

COGCC Approved: PETER GINTAUTAS

Date: 08/12/2021

Remediation Project Number: 17990

Condition of Approval**COA Type****Description**

0 COA	
-------	--

Attachment Check List

Upon approval, the approved Form 27 and all listed attachments will be indexed to the Remediation Project file. Only the approved Form 27 will also be indexed to the related Facilities.

Att Doc Num**Name**

402776612	FORM 27-SUPPLEMENTAL-SUBMITTED
402776715	PHOTO DOCUMENTATION
402776719	SITE MAP
402776731	SOIL SAMPLE LOCATION MAP
402776732	ANALYTICAL RESULTS
402776733	OTHER
402776734	ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Total Attach: 7 Files

General Comments**User Group****Comment****Comment Date**

Environmental	added check box indicating that background concentrations for Arsenic taken into account in meeting Table 915-1 thresholds	08/12/2021
	Based on the information presented, it is concluded that no further action is necessary at this time and the COGCC approves the closure request based the remediation actions taken by the Operator and the analytical results presented. However, should future conditions at the site indicate contaminant concentrations in soils exceeding COGCC standards or if ground water is found to be impacted, then further investigation and/or further remediation activities may be required. In addition, the surface area disturbed by the remediation activity shall be reclaimed in accordance with the 1000 Series Reclamation Rules as required by rule 913.b.(6).	08/12/2021

Total: 2 comment(s)