

State of Colorado Oil and Gas Conservation Commission

1120 Lincoln Street, Suite 801, Denver, Colorado 80203
Phone: (303) 894-2100 Fax: (303) 894-2109



Document Number:

402331410

Receive Date:

03/04/2020

Report taken by:

KRIS NEIDEL

Site Investigation and Remediation Workplan (Supplemental Form)

This form shall be submitted to the Director for approval prior to the initiation of site investigation and remediation activities. However, this shall not preclude the Operator from taking immediate action to protect public health or safety, the environment, wildlife, or livestock.

This Form 27 describes site conditions as currently understood by the Operator; approval of this Form 27 by COGCC is based on the site conditions accurately described herein; any changes in site conditions identified during or subsequent to the performance of the approved workplan may necessitate additional investigation or remediation which shall be described on a supplemental Form 27. This Form 27 is intended to provide basic information regarding the proposed site investigation and remediation actions, but the workplan may be more fully described in attached documentation.

Refer to Rules 340, 905, 906, 907, 908, 909, and 910

OPERATOR INFORMATION

Name of Operator: <u>WEXPRO COMPANY</u>	Operator No: <u>95960</u>	Phone Numbers
Address: <u>P O BOX 45003</u>		Phone: <u>(307) 352-7561</u>
City: <u>SALT LAKE CITY</u>	State: <u>UT</u>	Zip: <u>84145-0601</u>
Contact Person: <u>April Stegall</u>	Email: <u>april.stegall@dominionenergy.com</u>	Mobile: <u>(307) 371-3610</u>

PROJECT, PURPOSE & SITE INFORMATION

PROJECT INFORMATION

Remediation Project #: 8693 Initial Form 27 Document #: 2142210

PURPOSE INFORMATION

- | | |
|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> 901.e. Sensitive Area Determination | <input type="checkbox"/> 909.c.(5), Rule 910.b.(4): Remediation of impacted ground water |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 909.c.(1), Rule 905: Pit or PW vessel closure | <input type="checkbox"/> Rule 909.e.(2)A.: Notice completion of remediation in accordance with Rule 909.b. |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 909.c.(2), Rule 906: Spill/Release Remediation | <input type="checkbox"/> Rule 909.e.(2)B.: Closure of remediation project |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 909.c.(3), Rule 907.e.: Land treatment of oily waste | <input type="checkbox"/> Rule 906.c.: Director request |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 909.c.(4), Rule 908.g.: Centralized E&P Waste Management Facility closure | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other <u>Submittal of delineation report, as per COA on last approved F27</u> |

SITE INFORMATION

N Multiple Facilities (in accordance with Rule 909.c.)

Facility Type: <u>PIT</u>	Facility ID: <u>100349</u>	API #: _____	County Name: <u>MOFFAT</u>
Facility Name: <u>B.W. MUSSER 18</u>	Latitude: <u>40.943853</u>	Longitude: <u>-108.289630</u>	
	** correct Lat/Long if needed: Latitude: <u>40.943870</u>	Longitude: <u>-108.289630</u>	
QtrQtr: <u>SENE</u>	Sec: <u>4</u>	Twp: <u>11N</u>	Range: <u>97W</u>
	Meridian: <u>6</u>	Sensitive Area? <u>No</u>	

SITE CONDITIONS

General soil type - USCS Classifications SC

Most Sensitive Adjacent Land Use Rangeland, Non-cropland, Oil and Gas

Is domestic water well within 1/4 mile? No

Is surface water within 1/4 mile? Yes

Is groundwater less than 20 feet below ground surface? No

Other Potential Receptors within 1/4 mile

5351' from nearest water well, 395' from nearest surface water.

SITE INVESTIGATION PLAN

TYPE OF WASTE:

- ☒ E&P Waste ☒ Other E&P Waste ☐ Non-E&P Waste
- ☒ Produced Water ☐ Workover Fluids
- ☐ Oil ☐ Tank Bottoms
- ☐ Condensate ☐ Pigging Waste
- ☐ Drilling Fluids ☐ Rig Wash
- ☐ Drill Cuttings ☐ Spent Filters
- ☒ Pit Bottoms
- ☐ Other (as described by EPA)

DESCRIPTION OF IMPACT

Impacted?	Impacted Media	Extent of Impact	How Determined
No	GROUNDWATER	None	Visual inspection
Yes	SOILS	See analysis	SOIL ANALYSIS
No	SURFACE WATER	NONE	VISUAL INSPECTION

INITIAL ACTION SUMMARY

Description of initial action or emergency response measures take to abate, investigate, and/or remediate impacts associated with E&P Waste.

Pit was previously backfilled. Historic Google Earth imagery indicates that pit was closed some time between 2011 and 2014.

PROPOSED SAMPLING PLAN

Proposed Soil Sampling

☒ Will soil samples be collected as part of this investigation? (Number, type (grab/composite), analyses, and locations of samples):

Subsurface investigation took place on October 17th and November 20th, 2019. A Geoprobe direct push rig was used to advance 2 inch PVC sample liners to collect continuous samples in 5 foot increments. A total of 5 samples were collected for analysis, based on field screening results. Background samples were not obtained, as it's been previously established that the area has naturally occurring high levels of arsenic. Please see attachments for analysis and previously sampled arsenic levels.

Proposed Groundwater Sampling

☐ Will groundwater samples be collected as part of this investigation? (Number, analyses, and locations of samples):

A visual inspection was performed looking for signs of stained soil and potential leeching of pit components that may have impacted surface or groundwater, none were found. Groundwater was not encountered during sampling.

Proposed Surface Water Sampling

☐ Will surface water samples be collected as part of this investigation? (Number, analyses, and locations of samples):

A visual inspection was performed looking for signs of stained soil and potential leeching of pit components that may have impacted surface or groundwater, none were found.

Additional Investigative Actions

☐ Additional alternative investigative actions described in attached Site Investigation Plan (summary):

N/A

SITE INVESTIGATION REPORT

SAMPLE SUMMARY

Soil

Number of soil samples collected 5

Number of soil samples exceeding 910-1 1

Was the areal and vertical extent of soil contamination delineated? No

Approximate areal extent (square feet) 831

NA / ND

-- Highest concentration of TPH (mg/kg) 6040

-- Highest concentration of SAR 5.79

BTEX > 910-1 Yes

Vertical Extent > 910-1 (in feet) 8

Groundwater

Number of groundwater samples collected 0

Was extent of groundwater contaminated delineated? No

Depth to groundwater (below ground surface, in feet)

Number of groundwater monitoring wells installed

Number of groundwater samples exceeding 910-1

 Highest concentration of Benzene (µg/l)

 Highest concentration of Toluene (µg/l)

 Highest concentration of Ethylbenzene (µg/l)

 Highest concentration of Xylene (µg/l)

 Highest concentration of Methane (mg/l)

Surface Water

0 Number of surface water samples collected

 Number of surface water samples exceeding 910-1

If surface water is impacted, other agency notification may be required.

OTHER INVESTIGATION INFORMATION

☐ Were impacts to adjacent property or offsite impacts identified?

☐ Were background samples collected as part of this site investigation?

☐ Was investigation derived waste (IDW) generated as part of this investigation?

Volume of solid waste (cubic yards)

Volume of liquid waste (barrels)

☒ Is further site investigation required?

Based on analytical results, horizontal impacted soil delineation is considered complete. Vertically impacted soil delineation is considered incomplete because soil impacts were encountered to the depth of refusal in the center borehole and bedrock was not recovered to confirm refusal occurred at bedrock surface.

REMEDIAL ACTION PLAN

Does this Supplemental Form 27A include changes to a previously approved Remedial Action Plan? No _____

SOURCE REMOVAL SUMMARY

Describe how source is to be removed.

Wexpro Company will determine remediation based on size and impact, after the plugging and abandonment of the associated well.

REMEDIATION SUMMARY

Describe how remediation of existing impacts to soil and groundwater is to be accomplished (i.e. summarize remedial action plan). Provide a brief narrative description including: technical justification, schedule for implementation, estimated time to attain NFA status, plus plans and specifications for the selected remedial action technology.

To be determined.

Soil Remediation Summary

☐ In Situ

_____ Bioremediation (or enhanced bioremediation)
_____ Chemical oxidation
_____ Air sparge / Soil vapor extraction
_____ Natural Attenuation
_____ Other _____

☐ Ex Situ

_____ Excavate and offsite disposal
_____ If Yes: Estimated Volume (Cubic Yards) _____
_____ Name of Licensed Disposal Facility or COGCC Facility ID # _____
_____ Excavate and onsite remediation
_____ Land Treatment
_____ Bioremediation (or enhanced bioremediation)
_____ Chemical oxidation
_____ Other _____

Groundwater Remediation Summary

☐ _____ Bioremediation (or enhanced bioremediation)
☐ _____ Chemical oxidation
☐ _____ Air sparge / Soil vapor extraction
☐ _____ Natural Attenuation
☐ _____ Other _____

GROUNDWATER MONITORING

If groundwater has been impacted, describe proposed monitoring plan, including # of wells or sample points, monitoring schedule, analytical methods, points of compliance. Attach a groundwater monitoring location diagram.

N/A, there is no indication that groundwater has been impacted. If groundwater is encountered during remediation, COGCC will be notified immediately.

REMEDIATION PROGRESS UPDATE

PERIODIC REPORTING

Frequency: ☐ Quarterly ☐ Semi-Annually ☐ Annually ☐ Other _____

Report Type: ☐ Groundwater Monitoring ☐ Land Treatment Progress Report ☐ O&M Report
☐ Other _____

WASTE DISPOSAL INFORMATION

Was E&P waste generated as part of this remediation? _____

Describe beneficial use, if any, of E&P Waste derived from this remediation project:

Volume of E&P Waste (solid) in cubic yards _____

E&P waste (solid) description _____

COGCC Disposal Facility ID #, if applicable: _____

Non-COGCC Disposal Facility: _____

Volume of E&P Waste (liquid) in barrels _____

E&P waste (liquid) description _____

COGCC Disposal Facility ID #, if applicable: _____

Non-COGCC Disposal Facility: _____

REMEDIATION COMPLETION REPORT

REMEDIATION COMPLETION SUMMARY

Is this a Final Closure Request for this Remediation Project? No _____

Do all soils meet Table 910-1 standards? No _____

Does the previous reply indicate consideration of background concentrations? _____

Are the only residual soil impacts pH, SAR, or EC at depths greater than 3 feet below ground surface? No _____

Does Groundwater meet Table 910-1 standards? Yes _____

Is additional groundwater monitoring to be conducted? No _____

RECLAMATION PLAN

RECLAMATION PLANNING

Describe reclamation plan. Discuss existing and new grade recontouring; method and testing of compaction alleviation; and reseeding program, including location of new seed, seed mix and noxious weed prevention. Attach diagram or drawing.

To be determined.

Is the described reclamation complete? No _____

Does the reclamation described herein constitute interim or final reclamation of the Oil and Gas Location?

☐ Interim? ☐ Final?

Did the Surface Owner approve the seed mix? _____

If NO, does the seed mix comply with local soil conservation district recommendations? _____

IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE

PRIOR DATES

Date of Surface Owner notification/consultation, if required. _____

Actual Spill or Release date, if known. _____

SITE INVESTIGATION DATES

Date of Initial Actions described in Site Investigation Plan (start date). _____

Date of commencement of Site Investigation. 08/10/2017 _____

Date of completion of Site Investigation. 08/10/2017 _____

REMEDIAL ACTION DATES

Date of commencement of Remediation. _____

Date of completion of Remediation. _____

SITE RECLAMATION DATES

Date of commencement of Reclamation. _____

Date of completion of Reclamation. _____

OPERATOR COMMENT

Wexpro Company does not consider the pit fully delineated, as soil impacts were found at the depth of refusal. Wexpro Company is currently investigating options to complete delineation. Delineation plans will be submitted in a Form 27 with request for approval of the project before any further delineation attempts are made.

I hereby certify all statements made in this form are to the best of my knowledge true, correct, and complete.

Signed: April Stegall

Title: Reclamation Agent

Submit Date: 03/04/2020

Email: april.stegall@dominionenergy.com

Based on the information provided herein, this Application for Site Investigation and Remediation Workplan complies with COGCC Rules and applicable orders and is hereby approved.

COGCC Approved: KRIS NEIDEL

Date: 05/28/2020

Remediation Project Number: 8693

COA Type**Description**

	Within 45 days of approval of this Supplemental 27, provide a Supplemental Form 27 that address contamination that was reported in this document (sample ID P4-B5 6-8FT).
	It is stated: " Based on analytical results, horizontal impacted soil delineation of Pit 4 is considered complete.." The COGCC does not concur with this statement. Sample ID P4-B5 6-8FT had 6040 TPH at 8 feet. Other sample locations: P4-B1-4FT, P4-B2-4FT,P4-B3-2FT,P4-B4-4FT were at depths more shallow than 8 feet.
	It is stated: "Field screening included photoionization detector (PID) and electrical conductivity (EC) measurements conducted at regular 2-foot intervals." Within 45-days of approval of this Supplemental 27, provide boring logs, and field notes document the PID and EC measurements.

Attachment Check List

Upon approval, the approved Form 27 and all listed attachments will be indexed to the Remediation Project file. Only the approved Form 27 will also be indexed to the related Facilities.

Att Doc Num**Name**

402331410	FORM 27-SUPPLEMENTAL-SUBMITTED
402331449	MAP
402331450	SITE INVESTIGATION REPORT
402331451	SOIL SAMPLE LOCATION MAP

Total Attach: 4 Files

General Comments**User Group****Comment****Comment Date**

Environmental	DRO and GRO are reported independently. COGCC table 910-1, TPH (volatile and extractable) allowable limit is 500mg/kg.	05/28/2020
---------------	--	------------

Total: 1 comment(s)