

State of Colorado Oil and Gas Conservation Commission

1120 Lincoln Street, Suite 801, Denver, Colorado 80203
Phone: (303) 894-2100 Fax: (303) 894-2109



Document Number:

402401154

Receive Date:

05/22/2020

Report taken by:

Kari Oakman

Site Investigation and Remediation Workplan (Supplemental Form)

This form shall be submitted to the Director for approval prior to the initiation of site investigation and remediation activities. However, this shall not preclude the Operator from taking immediate action to protect public health or safety, the environment, wildlife, or livestock.

This Form 27 describes site conditions as currently understood by the Operator; approval of this Form 27 by COGCC is based on the site conditions accurately described herein; any changes in site conditions identified during or subsequent to the performance of the approved workplan may necessitate additional investigation or remediation which shall be described on a supplemental Form 27. This Form 27 is intended to provide basic information regarding the proposed site investigation and remediation actions, but the workplan may be more fully described in attached documentation.

Refer to Rules 340, 905, 906, 907, 908, 909, and 910

OPERATOR INFORMATION

Name of Operator: <u>KERR MCGEE OIL & GAS ONSHORE LP</u>	Operator No: <u>47120</u>	Phone Numbers
Address: <u>P O BOX 173779</u>		Phone: <u>(970) 336-3500</u>
City: <u>DENVER</u>	State: <u>CO</u>	Zip: <u>80217-3779</u>
Contact Person: <u>Phil Hamlin</u>	Email: <u>Phil_Hamlin@oxy.com</u>	Mobile: <u>()</u>

PROJECT, PURPOSE & SITE INFORMATION

PROJECT INFORMATION

Remediation Project #: 5516 Initial Form 27 Document #: 2524011

PURPOSE INFORMATION

- | | |
|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> 901.e. Sensitive Area Determination | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 909.c.(5), Rule 910.b.(4): Remediation of impacted ground water |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 909.c.(1), Rule 905: Pit or PW vessel closure | <input type="checkbox"/> Rule 909.e.(2)A.: Notice completion of remediation in accordance with Rule 909.b. |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 909.c.(2), Rule 906: Spill/Release Remediation | <input type="checkbox"/> Rule 909.e.(2)B.: Closure of remediation project |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 909.c.(3), Rule 907.e.: Land treatment of oily waste | <input type="checkbox"/> Rule 906.c.: Director request |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 909.c.(4), Rule 908.g.: Centralized E&P Waste Management Facility closure | <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____ |

SITE INFORMATION

N Multiple Facilities (in accordance with Rule 909.c.)

Facility Type: <u>LOCATION</u>	Facility ID: <u>320316</u>	API #: _____	County Name: <u>ADAMS</u>
Facility Name: <u>WYMAN-61S67W 6NWSE</u>		Latitude: <u>39.990711</u>	Longitude: <u>-104.927856</u>
		** correct Lat/Long if needed: Latitude: <u>39.990966</u>	Longitude: <u>-104.927574</u>
QtrQtr: <u>NWSE</u>	Sec: <u>6</u>	Twp: <u>1S</u>	Range: <u>67W</u>
		Meridian: <u>6</u>	Sensitive Area? <u>Yes</u>

SITE CONDITIONS

General soil type - USCS Classifications CL Most Sensitive Adjacent Land Use Pasture

Is domestic water well within 1/4 mile? Yes Is surface water within 1/4 mile? Yes

Is groundwater less than 20 feet below ground surface? Yes

Other Potential Receptors within 1/4 mile

Water well approximately 500 feet (ft) south, surface water approximately 550 ft west, wetlands approximately 500 ft west, buildings approximately 300 ft south, and groundwater approximately 6 ft below ground surface (bgs).

SITE INVESTIGATION PLAN

TYPE OF WASTE:

- | | | |
|--|--|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> E&P Waste | <input type="checkbox"/> Other E&P Waste | <input type="checkbox"/> Non-E&P Waste |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Produced Water | <input type="checkbox"/> Workover Fluids | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Oil | <input type="checkbox"/> Tank Bottoms | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Condensate | <input type="checkbox"/> Pigging Waste | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Drilling Fluids | <input type="checkbox"/> Rig Wash | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Drill Cuttings | <input type="checkbox"/> Spent Filters | |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Pit Bottoms | |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Other (as described by EPA) | |

DESCRIPTION OF IMPACT

Impacted?	Impacted Media	Extent of Impact	How Determined
Yes	GROUNDWATER	See attached data	Groundwater Sampling/Lab Analysis
Yes	SOILS	35 ft N-S x 60 ft E-W x 8 ft bgs	Soil Sampling/Lab Analysis

INITIAL ACTION SUMMARY

Description of initial action or emergency response measures take to abate, investigate, and/or remediate impacts associated with E&P Waste.

In May 2010, field crews encountered historic petroleum hydrocarbon impacted soil while installing new dump lines at the Wyman 33-6 tank battery facility. The petroleum hydrocarbon impacted soil was excavated. Groundwater was encountered in the excavation at approximately 8 ft bgs.

PROPOSED SAMPLING PLAN

Proposed Soil Sampling

☒ Will soil samples be collected as part of this investigation? (Number, type (grab/composite), analyses, and locations of samples):

Between May 26 and June 4, 2010, nine soil samples were collected from the excavation base and sidewalls for laboratory analysis of total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPH), benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes (BTEX). Laboratory analytical results indicated that TPH and BTEX concentrations were in full compliance with Colorado Oil and Gas Conservation Commission (COGCC) Table 910-1 allowable levels at the extent of the excavation.

Proposed Groundwater Sampling

☒ Will groundwater samples be collected as part of this investigation? (Number, analyses, and locations of samples):

On May 26, 2010, groundwater sample GW01 was collected from the excavation and submitted for laboratory analysis of BTEX. Laboratory analytical results indicated sample GW01 exceeded the COGCC Table 910-1 allowable levels for benzene and toluene at concentrations of 840 micrograms per liter (µg/L) and 1,200 µg/L, respectively. The excavation groundwater sample location is depicted on Figure 1. The groundwater sample analytical results are summarized in Table 1.

Quarterly groundwater monitoring has been conducted at the site since August 2010.

Proposed Surface Water Sampling

☐ Will surface water samples be collected as part of this investigation? (Number, analyses, and locations of samples):

Additional Investigative Actions

☐ Additional alternative investigative actions described in attached Site Investigation Plan (summary):

SITE INVESTIGATION REPORT

SAMPLE SUMMARY

Soil

Number of soil samples collected 9
Number of soil samples exceeding 910-1 3
Was the areal and vertical extent of soil contamination delineated? Yes
Approximate areal extent (square feet) 2100

NA / ND

-- Highest concentration of TPH (mg/kg) 1670
NA Highest concentration of SAR
BTEX > 910-1 Yes
Vertical Extent > 910-1 (in feet) 6

Groundwater

Number of groundwater samples collected 188
Was extent of groundwater contaminated delineated? Yes
Depth to groundwater (below ground surface, in feet) 6'
Number of groundwater monitoring wells installed 23
Number of groundwater samples exceeding 910-1 32

-- Highest concentration of Benzene (µg/l) 840
-- Highest concentration of Toluene (µg/l) 1200
-- Highest concentration of Ethylbenzene (µg/l) 1200
-- Highest concentration of Xylene (µg/l) 14000
NA Highest concentration of Methane (mg/l)

Surface Water

0 Number of surface water samples collected
 Number of surface water samples exceeding 910-1
If surface water is impacted, other agency notification may be required.

OTHER INVESTIGATION INFORMATION

☐ Were impacts to adjacent property or offsite impacts identified?

☐ Were background samples collected as part of this site investigation?

☐ Was investigation derived waste (IDW) generated as part of this investigation?

Volume of solid waste (cubic yards) Volume of liquid waste (barrels)

☐ Is further site investigation required?

REMEDIAL ACTION PLAN

Does this Supplemental Form 27A include changes to a previously approved Remedial Action Plan? No _____

SOURCE REMOVAL SUMMARY

Describe how source is to be removed.

Approximately 530 cubic yards of petroleum hydrocarbon impacted soil were removed from the excavation and transported to Front Range Landfill in Erie, Colorado, for disposal. The impacted soil was excavated into the capillary and phreatic zones to address potential hydrocarbon impacts that may have been present below the groundwater table due to seasonal fluctuations. The general site layout and excavation footprint are depicted on the Site Map attached as Figure 1.

REMEDICATION SUMMARY

Describe how remediation of existing impacts to soil and groundwater is to be accomplished (i.e. summarize remedial action plan). Provide a brief narrative description including: technical justification, schedule for implementation, estimated time to attain NFA status, plus plans and specifications for the selected remedial action technology.

In June 2010, 10 gallons MicroBlaze®, a concentrated solution of facultative microbes, nutrients, and surfactants designed to bioremediate petroleum hydrocarbons, were applied to the groundwater immediately prior to backfilling the excavation.

As of the November 2016 quarterly monitoring event, monitoring wells MW01 and MW02 exceeded the COGCC Table 910-1 allowable level for benzene at 78.8 µg/L and 49.9 µg/L, respectively. MW01 also exceeded the allowable level for total xylenes at 1,550 µg/L. Following the November 2016 monitoring event, Kerr-McGee contracted LT Environmental, Inc. (LTE) to design and implement a carbon slurry injection program to remediate the residual dissolved-phase BTEX impacts to groundwater. Kerr-McGee submitted an Underground Injection Control (UIC) Permit Application to Region 8 of the United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) on January 20, 2017.

The carbon slurry injection program was implemented, as outlined in the UIC Permit Application, with the objective of reducing the residual dissolved-phase BTEX concentrations in the injection area surrounding MW01 and MW02 to less than the COGCC allowable levels. Between March 6 and March 8, 2017, LTE oversaw the injection of approximately 900 pounds (dry weight) of BOS 200® that was mixed with potable water and cultured facultative microbes to form an injectable carbon slurry. The BOS 200® product is designed to enhance petroleum hydrocarbon degradation by capturing the dissolved-phase petroleum hydrocarbons in a carbon matrix and promoting microbial metabolism of the hydrocarbons under both aerobic and anaerobic conditions. Post-injection groundwater monitoring will continue on a quarterly basis.

Soil Remediation Summary

☐ In Situ

_____ Bioremediation (or enhanced bioremediation)

_____ Chemical oxidation

_____ Air sparge / Soil vapor extraction

_____ Natural Attenuation

_____ Other _____

☒ Ex Situ

Yes Excavate and offsite disposal

If Yes: Estimated Volume (Cubic Yards) _____ 530

Name of Licensed Disposal Facility or COGCC Facility ID # _____

No Excavate and onsite remediation

_____ Land Treatment

_____ Bioremediation (or enhanced bioremediation)

_____ Chemical oxidation

_____ Other _____

Groundwater Remediation Summary

Yes Bioremediation (or enhanced bioremediation)

Yes Chemical oxidation

No Air sparge / Soil vapor extraction

Yes Natural Attenuation

Yes Other MicroBlaze® Application (2010)

GROUNDWATER MONITORING

If groundwater has been impacted, describe proposed monitoring plan, including # of wells or sample points, monitoring schedule, analytical methods, points of compliance. Attach a groundwater monitoring location diagram.

In November 2019, replacement groundwater monitoring wells MW01R through MW11R, and additional groundwater monitoring well MW12 were installed at the site to establish points of compliance. Boring logs with well completion diagrams are included as an attachment.

Groundwater monitoring wells MW01R through MW11R and MW12 are sampled on a quarterly basis and submitted for laboratory analysis of BTEX by USEPA Method 8260D. Groundwater monitoring will continue on a quarterly basis. The monitoring well locations are depicted on Figure 1. A Groundwater Elevation Contour Map generated using the May 2020 survey data is provided as Figure 2. The groundwater analytical results are summarized in Table 1, and the laboratory analytical reports for the May 2019, August 2019, November 2019, February 2020, and May 2020 groundwater monitoring events are attached.

Groundwater monitoring will continue on a quarterly basis until a No Further Action status request is warranted.

REMEDIATION PROGRESS UPDATE

PERIODIC REPORTING

Frequency: ☐ Quarterly ☐ Semi-Annually ☒ Annually ☐ Other _____

Report Type: ☒ Groundwater Monitoring ☐ Land Treatment Progress Report ☐ O&M Report
☐ Other _____

WASTE DISPOSAL INFORMATION

Was E&P waste generated as part of this remediation? Yes _____

Describe beneficial use, if any, of E&P Waste derived from this remediation project:

NA

Volume of E&P Waste (solid) in cubic yards _____ 530

E&P waste (solid) description _____ Petroleum hydrocarbon impacted soil

COGCC Disposal Facility ID #, if applicable: _____

Non-COGCC Disposal Facility: _____ Front Range Landfill in Erie, Colorado

Volume of E&P Waste (liquid) in barrels _____ 0

E&P waste (liquid) description _____

COGCC Disposal Facility ID #, if applicable: _____

Non-COGCC Disposal Facility: _____

REMEDIATION COMPLETION REPORT

REMEDIATION COMPLETION SUMMARY

Is this a Final Closure Request for this Remediation Project? No _____

Do all soils meet Table 910-1 standards? Yes _____

Does the previous reply indicate consideration of background concentrations? No _____

Are the only residual soil impacts pH, SAR, or EC at depths greater than 3 feet below ground surface? _____

Does Groundwater meet Table 910-1 standards? No _____

Is additional groundwater monitoring to be conducted? Yes _____

RECLAMATION PLAN

RECLAMATION PLANNING

Describe reclamation plan. Discuss existing and new grade recontouring; method and testing of compaction alleviation; and reseeding program, including location of new seed, seed mix and noxious weed prevention. Attach diagram or drawing.

The site was restored to its pre-release grade. The Kerr-McGee production facility remains at the site.

Is the described reclamation complete? No _____

Does the reclamation described herein constitute interim or final reclamation of the Oil and Gas Location?

☐ Interim? ☐ Final?

Did the Surface Owner approve the seed mix? _____

If NO, does the seed mix comply with local soil conservation district recommendations? _____

IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE

PRIOR DATES

Date of Surface Owner notification/consultation, if required. _____

Actual Spill or Release date, if known. 05/27/2010

SITE INVESTIGATION DATES

Date of Initial Actions described in Site Investigation Plan (start date). 05/26/2010

Date of commencement of Site Investigation. 05/27/2010

Date of completion of Site Investigation. 02/10/2020

REMEDIAL ACTION DATES

Date of commencement of Remediation. 05/27/2010

Date of completion of Remediation. _____

SITE RECLAMATION DATES

Date of commencement of Reclamation. _____

Date of completion of Reclamation. _____

OPERATOR COMMENT

I hereby certify all statements made in this form are to the best of my knowledge true, correct, and complete.

Signed: Phil Hamlin

Title: Senior Environmental Rep.

Submit Date: 05/22/2020

Email: Phil_Hamlin@oxy.com

Based on the information provided herein, this Application for Site Investigation and Remediation Workplan complies with COGCC Rules and applicable orders and is hereby approved.

COGCC Approved: Kari Oakman

Date: 05/26/2020

Remediation Project Number: 5516

COA Type

Description

--	--

Attachment Check List

Upon approval, the approved Form 27 and all listed attachments will be indexed to the Remediation Project file. Only the approved Form 27 will also be indexed to the related Facilities.

Att Doc Num

Name

402401154	FORM 27-SUPPLEMENTAL-SUBMITTED
402401169	LOGS
402401172	GROUND WATER ELEVATION MAP
402401173	SITE MAP
402401550	ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Total Attach: 5 Files

General Comments

User Group

Comment

Comment Date

		Stamp Upon Approval
--	--	---------------------

Total: 0 comment(s)