

## DATA QUALITY REVIEW SHEET

Facility ID: 755924  
 Station Name: Naugle 67992-F  
 Sample Date: 4/18/2019  
 Field Sample ID: Naugle Well

Project: TEP PA 22-25: 609 1st Sub  
 Lab Sample ID: 1904407-1  
 QA/QC Review Date: 6/5/2019  
 Reviewer: S. Goodwin

Field Sampling Data Review	Yes	No	N/A
1. Well properly purged?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. Flow rate reduced prior to sampling?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. Water quality parameters stable prior to sampling?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4. Field instruments calibrated properly?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5. Sampling methods performed according to SAP procedures?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6. Procedures consistent with obtaining a representative sample?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Lab Data Report Review			
7. Proper sample custody maintained until laboratory receipt?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
8. Receipt form is without discrepancies? <i>If no, list in comments.</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
9. All samples analyzed for the requested analyses?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
10. Proper laboratory methods used?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
11. All sample holding times met (other than lab pH)?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
12. Lab QA samples (e.g., matrix spikes and matrix spike duplicates) collected and analyzed according to lab method and results within method acceptance limits?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
13. Was the field investigation sample matrix used by the lab for matrix QC for all analyses?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
14. Laboratory qualifiers for data (other than non-detect)? <i>List in comments.</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
15. Additional qualifiers assigned (other than pH)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
16. Are corrective actions required? <i>If yes, list actions and dates to be completed by:</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<i>Corrective Action</i>	<i>Date to be completed</i>		
None			

Calculated Parameters	Calculated Value	Lab Value	Ratio/Percent Difference	Acceptable Limit	Meets QC Criteria?
Cation/Anion Balance, % (CAB)	3.789	N/A	N/A	±5%	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Total Dissolved Solids, mg/L (TDS)	5438	5200	1.05	0.8 – 1.2	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Specific Conductance, µS/cm (SpC)	7761	6410	1.21	0.8 – 1.2	<input type="checkbox"/>

**Comments:** The ALS lab courier did not use custody seals on the shipping containers. Laboratory pH was analyzed out of analysis holding time, WWL qualified with "H"; result considered estimated. "J" qualifier assigned to iron sample results and to boron, iron, manganese, selenium, sodium, nitrate/nitrite, and nitrate method blank results to indicate a result greater than the method detection limit but less than the reporting limit. MS recoveries were outside of control limits for bromide (low 3%). "N" qualifier assigned to bromide to indicate the spiked sample was not in recovery; the native sample concentration is less than four times the spike added concentration. The lab reported that the LCS indicated the procedure was in control and no further action was necessary. Specific Conductivity ratio slightly outside of QC criteria, but data not qualified.