

**State of Colorado**  
**Oil and Gas Conservation Commission**

1120 Lincoln Street, Suite 801, Denver, Colorado 80203  
 Phone: (303) 894-2100 Fax: (303) 894-2109



Document Number:

401866229

Receive Date:

01/09/2019

Report taken by:

CHRIS CANFIELD

## Site Investigation and Remediation Workplan (Supplemental Form)

This form shall be submitted to the Director for approval prior to the initiation of site investigation and remediation activities. However, this shall not preclude the Operator from taking immediate action to protect public health or safety, the environment, wildlife, or livestock.

This Form 27 describes site conditions as currently understood by the Operator; approval of this Form 27 by COGCC is based on the site conditions accurately described herein; any changes in site conditions identified during or subsequent to the performance of the approved workplan may necessitate additional investigation or remediation which shall be described on a supplemental Form 27. This Form 27 is intended to provide basic information regarding the proposed site investigation and remediation actions, but the workplan may be more fully described in attached documentation.

Refer to Rules 340, 905, 906, 907, 908, 909, and 910

### OPERATOR INFORMATION

Name of Operator: <u>KERR MCGEE OIL &amp; GAS ONSHORE LP</u>	Operator No: <u>47120</u>	<b>Phone Numbers</b> Phone: <u>(720) 929-4306</u> Mobile: <u>( )</u>
Address: <u>P O BOX 173779</u>		
City: <u>DENVER</u>	State: <u>CO</u> Zip: <u>80217-3779</u>	
Contact Person: <u>Erik Mickelson</u>	Email: <u>Erik.Mickelson@anadarko.com</u>	

### PROJECT, PURPOSE & SITE INFORMATION

#### PROJECT INFORMATION

Remediation Project #: 9606 Initial Form 27 Document #: 2526091

#### PURPOSE INFORMATION

- |  |  |
|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> 901.e. Sensitive Area Determination                                       | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 909.c.(5), Rule 910.b.(4): Remediation of impacted ground water        |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 909.c.(1), Rule 905: Pit or PW vessel closure                             | <input type="checkbox"/> Rule 909.e.(2)A.: Notice completion of remediation in accordance with Rule 909.b. |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 909.c.(2), Rule 906: Spill/Release Remediation                 | <input type="checkbox"/> Rule 909.e.(2)B.: Closure of remediation project                                  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 909.c.(3), Rule 907.e.: Land treatment of oily waste                      | <input type="checkbox"/> Rule 906.c.: Director request   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 909.c.(4), Rule 908.g.: Centralized E&P Waste Management Facility closure | <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____   |

#### SITE INFORMATION

N Multiple Facilities ( in accordance with Rule 909.c. )

Facility Type: <u>WELL</u>	Facility ID: _____	API #: <u>123-08601</u>	County Name: <u>WELD</u>
Facility Name: <u>JACK BERGER C 1</u>		Latitude: <u>40.083268</u>	Longitude: <u>-104.873162</u>
		** correct Lat/Long if needed: Latitude: <u>40.081505</u>	Longitude: <u>-104.873791</u>
QtrQtr: <u>SWNE</u>	Sec: <u>3</u>	Twp: <u>1N</u>	Range: <u>67W</u> Meridian: <u>6</u> Sensitive Area? <u>Yes</u>

#### SITE CONDITIONS

General soil type - USCS Classifications CL Most Sensitive Adjacent Land Use Agriculture

Is domestic water well within 1/4 mile? Yes Is surface water within 1/4 mile? Yes

Is groundwater less than 20 feet below ground surface? Yes

#### Other Potential Receptors within 1/4 mile

Water well approximately 270 feet (ft) northwest, surface water approximately 590 ft south, wetlands approximately 890 ft north, an occupied building approximately 810 ft southeast, and groundwater approximately 9 ft below ground surface (bgs).

## SITE INVESTIGATION PLAN

### TYPE OF WASTE:

☒ E&P Waste

☐ Other E&P Waste

☐ Non-E&P Waste

☒ Produced Water

☐ Workover Fluids

☒ Oil

☐ Tank Bottoms

☒ Condensate

☐ Pigging Waste

☐ Drilling Fluids

☐ Rig Wash

☐ Drill Cuttings

☐ Spent Filters

☐ Pit Bottoms

☐ Other (as described by EPA)

### DESCRIPTION OF IMPACT

Impacted?	Impacted Media	Extent of Impact	How Determined
Yes	GROUNDWATER	See attached data	Groundwater Samples/Lab Analysis
Yes	SOILS	Excavation activities are ongoing	Soil Samples/Lab Analysis

### INITIAL ACTION SUMMARY

Description of initial action or emergency response measures take to abate, investigate, and/or remediate impacts associated with E&P Waste.

In December 2015, a leak in the Jack Berger C #1 flow line was discovered. The volume of the release is unknown. In February 2016, additional impacted soil was encountered while deconstructing the tank battery. However, there was no indication of a secondary release associated with the tanks or dumlplines. The petroleum hydrocarbon impacted soil was excavated; however, removal of the impacted soil was complicated by the presence of multiple, unmarked third-party flowlines.

### PROPOSED SAMPLING PLAN

#### Proposed Soil Sampling

☒ Will soil samples be collected as part of this investigation? ( Number, type (grab/composite), analyses, and locations of samples ):

For 2015 and 2016 excavation and soil assessment activities, please refer to the Form 27 submitted to the Colorado Oil and Gas Conservation Commission (COGCC) on April 7, 2016.

In April 2018, four additional soil assessment borings (SB12 through SB15) were advanced at the site. Laboratory analytical results indicate that petroleum hydrocarbon impacted soil remains in place north and southwest of the tank battery excavation. Assessment activities are ongoing. The four assessment borings were completed as monitoring wells MW05 through MW08. A Site Assessment Map depicting the soil boring locations and impacted soil left in place is provided as Figure 1. The soil sample analytical results are summarized on Table 1, and the laboratory analytical results are attached. Soil boring logs are attached.

#### Proposed Groundwater Sampling

☒ Will groundwater samples be collected as part of this investigation? ( Number, analyses, and locations of samples ):

For 2015 and 2016 excavation and soil boring groundwater assessment activities, please refer to the Form 27 submitted to the COGCC on April 7, 2016.

Quarterly groundwater monitoring has been conducted at the site since May 2018.

#### Proposed Surface Water Sampling

☐ Will surface water samples be collected as part of this investigation? ( Number, analyses, and locations of samples ):

### Additional Investigative Actions

☐ Additional alternative investigative actions described in attached Site Investigation Plan ( summary ):

# SITE INVESTIGATION REPORT

## SAMPLE SUMMARY

### Soil

Number of soil samples collected 26

Number of soil samples exceeding 910-1 14

Was the areal and vertical extent of soil contamination delineated? No

Approximate areal extent (square feet) 840

### NA / ND

-- Highest concentration of TPH (mg/kg) 4000

NA Highest concentration of SAR

BTEX > 910-1 Yes

Vertical Extent > 910-1 (in feet) 11

### Groundwater

Number of groundwater samples collected 24

Was extent of groundwater contaminated delineated? No

Depth to groundwater (below ground surface, in feet) 9'

Number of groundwater monitoring wells installed 8

Number of groundwater samples exceeding 910-1 23

-- Highest concentration of Benzene (µg/l) 3850

-- Highest concentration of Toluene (µg/l) 55

-- Highest concentration of Ethylbenzene (µg/l) 462

-- Highest concentration of Xylene (µg/l) 1700

NA Highest concentration of Methane (mg/l)

### Surface Water

0 Number of surface water samples collected

Number of surface water samples exceeding 910-1

If surface water is impacted, other agency notification may be required.

## OTHER INVESTIGATION INFORMATION

☒ Were impacts to adjacent property or offsite impacts identified?

Petroleum hydrocarbon impacted soil was encountered in the agricultural field east of the former tank battery. Petroleum hydrocarbon impacted groundwater was encountered north and northwest of the former tank battery.

☐ Were background samples collected as part of this site investigation?

☐ Was investigation derived waste (IDW) generated as part of this investigation?

Volume of solid waste (cubic yards)

Volume of liquid waste (barrels)

☒ Is further site investigation required?

Assessment activities are ongoing for the extent of impacted soil remaining in place. Additional impacted soil may be excavated based on assessment borehole soil analytical results.

Based on the surveyed groundwater flow direction, additional groundwater monitoring wells will be installed to establish points of compliance.

# REMEDIAL ACTION PLAN

Does this Supplemental Form 27A include changes to a previously approved Remedial Action Plan? No \_\_\_\_\_

## SOURCE REMOVAL SUMMARY

Describe how source is to be removed.

Approximately 120 cubic yards of petroleum hydrocarbon impacted soil were removed from the flow line and tank battery excavations and transported to the Kerr-McGee Land Treatment Facility in Weld County, Colorado, for recycling. The impacted soil was excavated into the capillary and phreatic zones to address potential hydrocarbon impacts that may have been present below the groundwater table due to past seasonal fluctuations. Petroleum hydrocarbon impacted soil remains in place north and southwest of the former tank battery. Subsurface assessment activities are ongoing. The general site layout and excavation footprints are depicted on the Site Map provided as Figure 2.

## REMEDIATION SUMMARY

Describe how remediation of existing impacts to soil and groundwater is to be accomplished (i.e. summarize remedial action plan). Provide a brief narrative description including: technical justification, schedule for implementation, estimated time to attain NFA status, plus plans and specifications for the selected remedial action technology.

The petroleum hydrocarbon impacted soil was excavated and groundwater monitoring wells were installed and are sampled on a quarterly basis to assess monitored natural attenuation. Additional remedial options will be evaluated following completion of subsurface assessment activities.

## Soil Remediation Summary

☐ In Situ

\_\_\_\_\_ Bioremediation ( or enhanced bioremediation )  
\_\_\_\_\_ Chemical oxidation  
\_\_\_\_\_ Air sparge / Soil vapor extraction  
\_\_\_\_\_ Natural Attenuation  
\_\_\_\_\_ Other \_\_\_\_\_

☒ Ex Situ

Yes Excavate and offsite disposal  
\_\_\_\_\_ If Yes: Estimated Volume (Cubic Yards) 120  
Name of Licensed Disposal Facility or COGCC Facility ID # 149007  
No Excavate and onsite remediation  
\_\_\_\_\_ Land Treatment  
\_\_\_\_\_ Bioremediation (or enhanced bioremediation)  
\_\_\_\_\_ Chemical oxidation  
\_\_\_\_\_ Other \_\_\_\_\_

## Groundwater Remediation Summary

No \_\_\_\_\_ Bioremediation ( or enhanced bioremediation )  
No \_\_\_\_\_ Chemical oxidation  
No \_\_\_\_\_ Air sparge / Soil vapor extraction  
Yes \_\_\_\_\_ Natural Attenuation  
No \_\_\_\_\_ Other \_\_\_\_\_

## GROUNDWATER MONITORING

If groundwater has been impacted, describe proposed monitoring plan, including # of wells or sample points, monitoring schedule, analytical methods, points of compliance. Attach a groundwater monitoring location diagram.

Since the submittal of the eForm 27 Supplemental to the COGCC on April 25, 2018, eight groundwater monitoring wells (MW01 through MW08) were installed at the site. Soil boring logs with monitoring well completion diagrams are included as an attachment.

Groundwater monitoring wells MW01 through MW08 are sampled on a quarterly basis and submitted for laboratory analysis of benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes by United States Environmental Protection Agency Method 8260C. The monitoring well locations are depicted on Figure 2. The Groundwater Elevation Contour Map generated using the November 2018 survey data is provided as Figure 3. The groundwater analytical results are summarized in Table 2, and the laboratory analytical reports are attached.

Groundwater monitoring will continue on a quarterly basis until a No Further Action status request is warranted.

## REMEDATION PROGRESS UPDATE

### PERIODIC REPORTING

**Frequency:** ☐ Quarterly ☐ Semi-Annually ☒ Annually ☐ Other \_\_\_\_\_

**Report Type:** ☒ Groundwater Monitoring ☐ Land Treatment Progress Report ☐ O&M Report  
☐ Other \_\_\_\_\_

### WASTE DISPOSAL INFORMATION

Was E&P waste generated as part of this remediation? Yes \_\_\_\_\_

Describe beneficial use, if any, of E&P Waste derived from this remediation project:

The petroleum hydrocarbon impacted soil was transported to the Kerr-McGee Land Treatment Facility in Weld County, Colorado, for recycling.

Volume of E&P Waste (solid) in cubic yards \_\_\_\_\_ 120

E&P waste (solid) description \_\_\_\_\_ Petroleum hydrocarbon impacted soil

COGCC Disposal Facility ID #, if applicable: \_\_\_\_\_ 149007

Non-COGCC Disposal Facility: \_\_\_\_\_

Volume of E&P Waste (liquid) in barrels \_\_\_\_\_ 0

E&P waste (liquid) description \_\_\_\_\_

COGCC Disposal Facility ID #, if applicable: \_\_\_\_\_

Non-COGCC Disposal Facility: \_\_\_\_\_

## REMEDATION COMPLETION REPORT

### REMEDATION COMPLETION SUMMARY

Is this a Final Closure Request for this Remediation Project? No \_\_\_\_\_

Do all soils meet Table 910-1 standards? No \_\_\_\_\_

Does the previous reply indicate consideration of background concentrations? \_\_\_\_\_

Are the only residual soil impacts pH, SAR, or EC at depths greater than 3 feet below ground surface? No \_\_\_\_\_

Does Groundwater meet Table 910-1 standards? No \_\_\_\_\_

Is additional groundwater monitoring to be conducted? Yes \_\_\_\_\_

## RECLAMATION PLAN

### RECLAMATION PLANNING

Describe reclamation plan. Discuss existing and new grade recontouring; method and testing of compaction alleviation; and reseeding program, including location of new seed, seed mix and noxious weed prevention. Attach diagram or drawing.

The Kerr-McGee facility was decommissioned and the excavation was restored to pre-release grade.

Is the described reclamation complete? No \_\_\_\_\_

Does the reclamation described herein constitute interim or final reclamation of the Oil and Gas Location?

☐ Interim? ☐ Final?

Did the Surface Owner approve the seed mix? \_\_\_\_\_

If NO, does the seed mix comply with local soil conservation district recommendations? \_\_\_\_\_

## IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE

### PRIOR DATES

Date of Surface Owner notification/consultation, if required. 12/21/2015

Actual Spill or Release date, if known. 12/21/2015

### SITE INVESTIGATION DATES

Date of Initial Actions described in Site Investigation Plan (start date). 12/11/2015

Date of commencement of Site Investigation. 12/11/2015

Date of completion of Site Investigation.

### REMEDIAL ACTION DATES

Date of commencement of Remediation. 12/21/2015

Date of completion of Remediation.

### SITE RECLAMATION DATES

Date of commencement of Reclamation.

Date of completion of Reclamation.

### OPERATOR COMMENT

I hereby certify all statements made in this form are to the best of my knowledge true, correct, and complete.

Signed: Erik Mickelson

Title: Senior HSE Representative

Submit Date: 01/09/2019

Email: Erik.Mickelson@anadarko.com

Based on the information provided herein, this Application for Site Investigation and Remediation Workplan complies with COGCC Rules and applicable orders and is hereby approved.

COGCC Approved: CHRIS CANFIELD

Date: 01/14/2019

Remediation Project Number: 9606

### COA Type

### Description

--	--

## Attachment Check List

Upon approval, the approved Form 27 and all listed attachments will be indexed to the Remediation Project file. Only the approved Form 27 will also be indexed to the related Facilities.

### Att Doc Num

### Name

401866229	FORM 27-SUPPLEMENTAL-SUBMITTED
401889221	MAP
401889222	SITE MAP
401889224	LOGS
401889292	ANALYTICAL RESULTS
401896303	GROUND WATER ELEVATION MAP

Total Attach: 6 Files

## General Comments

### User Group

### Comment

### Comment Date

		Stamp Upon Approval
--	--	---------------------

Total: 0 comment(s)