

## Public Comments

The following comments were provided by members of the public and were considered during the technical review of this application.

<u>No.</u>	<u>Comment</u>	<u>Comment Date</u>
1	<p data-bbox="115 239 285 260">Dear COGCC.</p> <p data-bbox="115 300 1312 562">I am a resident on Weld County road 38 between roads 39 and 35 and have been informed of PDC's intent to develop up to 60 wells directly across from my house. This is a residential and agricultural area that has already been inundated with many oil and gas plants from AKA, Anadarko, DCP, and PDC. The truck traffic in this area is already at a maximum and the accumulation of pollution, odor, noise, lights, dust, etc... is astounding. I am doubting that the COGCC has recollection of what has already been approved in this area and I urge you to review the crowded infrastructure that has already been installed before granting PDC the right to drill and build more unsightly facilities in our beautiful area. This activity has already had a huge impact on our lives, and more activity and drilling will greatly affect us. There are already 5 gas and oil facilities within 1/4 mile of our house.</p> <p data-bbox="115 594 1214 621">I am requesting the following be mandated for the PDC Ridgeway, and River Falls well sights.</p> <ol data-bbox="115 653 1312 1451" style="list-style-type: none"> <li data-bbox="115 653 1214 709">1. Only electric drills, frac pumps, generators and compressors for the drill sites as well as the separator facilities to reduce noise and pollution from diesel.</li> <li data-bbox="115 741 1146 768">2. Walls and hay bales should be used to reduce noise and lighting at the well drill sites.</li> <li data-bbox="115 800 1312 856">3. Pipelines should be installed for crude and waste instead of tank batteries. Truck traffic is already a huge problem in this area because of noise and dust.</li> <li data-bbox="115 888 1312 974">4. Visual and noise barriers to be installed for neighbors closely impacted. This should include walls and trees on the permanent sites as well as trees installed on landowners properties directly adjacent to these sites. Noise is already a huge problem in this area.</li> <li data-bbox="115 1005 1240 1033">5. Monetary offerings for neighbors directly affected to compensate for reduced property values.</li> <li data-bbox="115 1064 1097 1092">6. Crews should be bused to the well sites to reduce road traffic, dust, and pollution.</li> <li data-bbox="115 1123 1279 1180">7. Dust mitigation should be in force at all times on county roads which should include a designated haul route and watering trucks.</li> <li data-bbox="115 1211 1224 1268">8. Permanent pollution monitoring should be installed at all sites to protect our health. Pollution violations are already a huge problem at this location from midstream activities.</li> <li data-bbox="115 1299 1312 1451">9. A noise limit of 50 Db should be mandated due to the close proximity of neighbors. The proposed locations are extremely close to homes and should be moved to an area with less impact. This separating facility needs to be relocated to reduce the impact on our health and wellness as well as the health of our livestock. Please do not approve this application. It would be more feasible to locate this separating facility 1-2 miles south which would have little impact on neighbors.</li> </ol> <p data-bbox="115 1482 232 1509">Sincerely,</p> <p data-bbox="115 1541 318 1568">Rochell Sherman</p>	04/16/2018
2	<p data-bbox="115 1644 285 1665">Dear COGCC.</p> <p data-bbox="115 1705 1312 1936">I am a resident on Weld County road 38 between roads 39 and 35 and have been informed of PDC's intent to develop up to 60 wells directly across from my house. This is a residential and agricultural area that has already been inundated with many oil and gas plants from AKA, Anadarko, DCP, and PDC. The truck traffic in this area is already at a maximum and the accumulation of pollution, odor, noise, lights, dust, etc... is astounding. I urge you to review the crowded infrastructure that has already been installed before granting PDC the right to drill and build more unsightly facilities in our area. This activity has already had a huge impact on our lives, and more activity and drilling will greatly affect us. There are already 5 gas and oil facilities within 1/4 mile of our house.</p> <p data-bbox="115 1967 1214 1995">I am requesting the following be mandated for the PDC Ridgeway, and River Falls well sights.</p>	04/16/2018

	<p>1. Only electric drills, frac pumps, generators and compressors for the drill sites as well as the separator facilities to reduce noise and pollution from diesel.</p> <p>2. Walls and hay bales should be used to reduce noise and lighting at the well drill sites.</p> <p>3. Pipelines should be installed for crude and waste instead of tank batteries. Truck traffic is already a huge problem in this area because of noise and dust.</p> <p>4. Visual and noise barriers to be installed for neighbors closely impacted. This should include walls and trees on the permanent sites as well as trees installed on landowners properties directly adjacent to these sites. Noise is already a huge problem in this area.</p> <p>5. Monetary offerings for neighbors directly affected to compensate for reduced property values.</p> <p>6. Crews should be bused to the well sites to reduce road traffic, dust, and pollution.</p> <p>7. Dust mitigation should be in force at all times on county roads which should include a designated haul route and watering trucks.</p> <p>8. Permanent pollution monitoring should be installed at all sites to protect our health. Pollution violations are already a huge problem at this location from midstream activities.</p> <p>9. A noise limit of 50 Db should be mandated due to the close proximity of neighbors. The proposed locations are extremely close to homes and should be moved to an area with less impact. This separating facility needs to be relocated to reduce the impact on our health and wellness as well as the health of our livestock. Please do not approve this application. It would be more feasible to locate this separating facility 1-2 miles south which would have little impact on neighbors.</p> <p>Sincerely,</p> <p>Scott Sherman</p>	
3	<p>Dear COGCC. I am a resident on Weld County road 38 between roads 39 and 35 and have been informed of PDC's intent to develop up to 60 wells directly across from my house. This is a residential and agricultural area that has already been inundated with many oil and gas plants from AKA, Anadarko, DCP, and PDC. The truck traffic in this area is already at a maximum and the accumulation of pollution, odor, noise, lights, dust, etc... is astounding. I urge you to review the crowded infrastructure that is already in place before granting PDC the right to drill and build more unsightly facilities in our beautiful area. This activity has already had a huge impact on our lives, and more activity and drilling will greatly affect us. I am requesting the following be mandated for the PDC Elkhead Vega, Ridgeway, and River Falls well sights. 1. Electric drills, frac pumps, generators and compressors for the drill sites as well as the separator facilities to reduce noise. 2. Walls and hay bales should be used to reduce noise and lighting at the well drill sites. 3. Pipelines should be installed for crude and waste instead of tank batteries. Truck traffic is already a huge problem in this area because of noise and dust. 4. Visual and noise barriers to be installed for neighbors closely impacted. This should include walls and trees on the permanent sites as well as trees installed on landowners properties directly adjacent to these sites. Noise is already a huge problem in this area. 5. Monetary offerings for neighbors directly affected to compensate for reduced property values. 6. Crews should be bused to the well sites to reduce road traffic. 7. Dust mitigation should be in force at all times on county roads which should include a designated haul route and watering trucks. 8. Permanent pollution monitoring should be installed at all sites to protect our health. 9. A noise limit of 50 Db should be mandated due to the close proximity of neighbors to the permanent separating facilities. The proposed locations are extremely close to homes and should be moved to an area with less impact.</p> <p>Sincerely,</p> <p>Doug Sherman</p>	04/16/2018

<p>4 Dear COGCC,I live near PDC's planned development in the La Salle/Platteville area. This area is being heavily developed by upstream and midstream operators such as Anadarko, DCP Midstream, and PDC.I would like to request the COGCC to review drilling and midstream plans by all operators in this area in order to help design the infrastructure in a way that reduces truck traffic, air pollution, open air flaring, odor, lights, noise, blight, site size, and other annoyances and burdens.The current plans appear to be more favorable to the extraction of the mineral and less favorable to the health, safety, and welfare of residents and the environment. If current law requires a balance of those conflicting interests, then this needs looked at as there is not currently a balance.The use of the following is requested to help restore the balance:Electric drills Electric compressors Electric frac pumpsNoise mitigation walls, hay bales, and semi trailers Pipelines for crude and waste transportationLandscaping &amp; screening for production sitesNotifications for upcoming site maintenance activitiesNuisance Easements for neighboring surface owners with housesWhy these things are important:1. Truck traffic is a very high priority that needs attention as recommended by the Oil &amp; Gas Task Force in 2014. i.e. Pipelines instead of tank batteries.2. Air Pollution is a very high priority due to current nonattainment for ozone air pollution caused by industry leaks, tank battery PRVs, exhaust, gas compressors, and inefficient smoking flares.3. Noise is a high priority due to current midstream gas compressors and processing facilities that have saturated the area with A and C scale noise and vibrations.4. Notifications to surrounding landowners of upcoming activities at sites are useful to be able to plan around activities such as swabbing, workovers, spill clean ups, refracs, flaring, product and waste air spills, visible exhaust, and other operations that are out of place for the area.5. Landscaping and screening are a high priority due to oil and gas equipment and visible pollution being non-agricultural and highly noticeable. Landowners should be compensated financially as well as have trees planted on their property to reduce blight. 6. Nuisance Easements are a high priority because they are helpful in reducing the surface owner perception of "takings".</p> <p>Sincerely,</p> <p>Paige Callahan</p>	<p>04/16/2018</p>
<p>5 I oppose any further oil devolopment in the general area without local landowner input. I have been notified by our neighbor of meeting for the community with PDC. It seems that we are outside their notification area, however we are within the area to be notified as far as the state is concerned. They have scheduled a meeting for May 3rd at 2pm. We all have jobs and cannot take time off to attend their meeting. While I suppose it does meet standards for public meetings I find it low handed that PDC will not change the time to accomadate the neighborhood. We had suggested moving the time to 430 pm, PDC said no they would not be willing to change the time. As far as the facilities are concerned, we feel that gas compressors are not acceptable and there shall be pipelines rather than trucks to move the products. Heavy truck traffic is ruining the rural area that we moved here for. These wells can be moved 2 miles south where there are no homes affected. Please deny these facilities.</p> <p>Fred Krumpeck</p>	<p>04/26/2018</p>
<p>6 April 27, 2018</p> <p>Colorado Oil and Gas</p> <p>Mr. Kim Ogle</p> <p>Mr. Troy Swain</p> <p>I have been a Weld County resident off and on since 1983. Currently I own two parcels of land and a home in Weld County. When I purchased the land and home it was with the intent to live here for a very long and peaceful time. Sadly the peaceful aspect of my plan has been negatively impacted by the oil and gas industry. I have attached a map to illustrate just how impacted I am. This map shows only existing oil and gas operations. I have attached a second map showing the planned oil and gas new processing facilities.</p> <p>To date several oil and gas operators either have submitted or plan to submit permit requests for more drilling and location permits and permits to construct all production facilities necessary to produce oil and gas from said drilling.</p> <p>PDC Energy has plans to construct a production facility within 895 feet of my home and another facility 1120 feet from my home. The second facility is directly across the road from another parcel of land I own and is planned to be within 300 feet of my land. This is land I had planned to build a small home on that will soon be a useless piece of property. To date, PDC has been exceptionally unpleasant to work with as this process moves forward. They use the guise of being a good neighbor, then schedule a 'neighbor meeting' at 2:00 pm on a work day. When we approached Danielle Barnes,</p>	<p>04/27/2018</p>

Surface Landman for this project, she was completely unwilling to change the meeting time even by 1 hour to accommodate working neighbors. I am very concerned about the tone this sets for moving forward. I urge you at the COGCC to decline any permits PDC may be seeking. The neighbors here can not continue to be run over by the oil and gas industry here in Weld County. We need your help to preserve any semblance of the life we moved here for.

Anadarko has plans to construct a new natural gas processing plant through Weld County's Use by Special Review (USR) permitting process. This plant is planned to be roughly at WCR 35 and WCR 38. This new Anadarko plant would be directly across the road from the expanding DCP Mewbourn 3 plant.

This would mean three new gas and oil processing facilities within 1 mile of my home. This will bring the number of oil and gas processing facilities to SIX (6) within 1 mile of my home.

These facilities skate through the permitting process with promises of bringing new jobs and economic wealth to Weld County and have little to no concern about what their operations are doing to the land, the community, the environment, the wildlife, etc. There seems to be little thought as to what these plants are doing to the residents and the health of the residents around these plants.

The Weld County Commissioners (referred to as "Commissioners") should:

1. Require operators to present comprehensive plans for the development of interconnected gathering, compression and processing facilities;
2. Comprehensively examine prior permits and air emission levels before issuing additional permits;
3. Carefully review whether proposed changes in equipment and function would alter a facilities classification;
4. Review whether levels of projected and reported emissions from facilities in an area are increasing, which can signify growing risks to local air quality and health;
5. Consider existing sources of air pollution when deciding whether to allow additional facilities or expansions of facilities – rather than only looking at projected emissions for a single facility in isolation;
6. Examine whether the growing number and capacity of an operator's facilities in an area warrants a review of previous non-aggregation decisions. Any future pipeline or operational interconnections among facilities should trigger such a review, particularly when an operator proposes a facility or expansion as part of a production, gathering, compression, or processing 'complex';
7. Periodically measure actual pollution levels produced by facilities, including by using third-party verification and without advance notice to the operator.<sup>1</sup>

The Commissioners clearly have the authority to view permit requests more comprehensively.

If the permits are approved, which would be a very bad idea, they need to be the correct permits substantiated with fact and real numbers not estimates and "we will do our best". Each facility may or may not be classified as a major polluter individually but all facilities should be grouped together, (aggregation) as major polluters as defined by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). Operators are required to address aggregation when applying for permits for multiple facilities in an area where there are other emission sources already, particularly if the same operator owns them. If emissions sources are aggregated, they are subject to regulations designed to limit pollution to the greatest degree possible.

I understand the oil and gas industry has the ability to bring a degree of economic wealth to our county, but I think it is important to look long term and consider the damage being done to our land. At some point the oil and gas industry will take all they can from our resources and move on. We will be left with abandoned wells, decaying facilities, destroyed land, and a myriad of hazardous chemicals left to leach into our groundwater.

Please consider the long term residents who want to continue to make Weld County their home. As Commissioners you have the power to say "Enough is enough". I am counting on you to make the right decision for all of your constituents, not just the ones with deep pockets.

Sincerely,

	<p>Ms. Rockelle Rissler</p> <p>17313 CR 38</p> <p>Platteville, CO 80651</p> <p>Cc: David Dorian Environmental Health Scientist – U.S. Environmental Protection Agency</p> <p>Chris Maniglier-Poulet Environmental Health Scientist – U.S. Environmental Protection Agency</p> <p>Scott Sudweeks Toxicologist – U.S. Environmental Protection Agency</p> <p>Shirley McDaniel Administrative Support/SEEP – U.S. Environmental Protection Agency</p> <p>Tami McMullin Program Manager/Toxicologist – CO Department of Public Health and Environment</p> <p>Allie Bamber Health Professional/Toxicologist - CO Department of Public Health and Environment</p> <p>James Flores Environmental Health and Safety Advisor - CO Department of Public Health and Environment</p> <p>Daniel Vigil Consulting Physician - CO Department of Public Health and Environment</p> <p>Mike Van Dyke Branch Chief, Environmental Epidemiology, Occupational Health and Toxicology - CO Department of Public Health and Environment</p> <p>1Earthworks 2017. Permitted to Pollute: How oil and gas operators and regulators exploit clean air protections and put the public at risk.</p>	
7	<p>Dear COGCC,</p> <p>My family would like know the plans for traffic because our home is located only 50 feet from the road leading to PDC's well pads on CR 38. The following is information that indicates we need to see a better plan from PDC regarding the traffic.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. PDC is listed as the #3 oil producer in Weld County according to Troy Swain, Oil and Gas Liaison and Local Government Designee in his most recent Oil and Gas Update report. Source link: <a href="http://www.weldgov.com/UserFiles/Servers/Server_6/File/Departments/Planning%20&amp;%20Zoning/Oil%20and%20Gas/Updates/Oil%20%20Gas%20Update%20APR%202018.pdf">www.weldgov.com/UserFiles/Servers/Server_6/File/Departments/Planning%20&amp;%20Zoning/Oil%20and%20Gas/Updates/Oil%20%20Gas%20Update%20APR%202018.pdf</a></li> <li>2. BART BROOKMAN President &amp; CEO of PDC told investors on slide 8 in his investors report titled 2017 Analyst Day - 2020 Vision, April 20, 2017 that PDC was transporting oil via pipeline in the Wattenberg in an effort of "Continued advancements on environmental impact and awareness programs - Implemented company-wide LDAR (leak detection and repair) program - Increased oil transport via pipeline in Wattenberg, minimizing truck traffic". Source link: <a href="http://investor.pdce.com/static-files/01e6a90c-15a9-440b-8f70-2ed1f812a254">http://investor.pdce.com/static-files/01e6a90c-15a9-440b-8f70-2ed1f812a254</a></li> <li>3. Weld County's #1 oil producer, Anadarko states in a Greeley Tribune article published on March 29, 2018 titled Energy Pipeline: Where the jobs are — Trucking jobs continue to grow, but drivers still at a premium that they have the following measurable results "Since that time, the move has kept 1.5 million truck trips from driving 40 million miles, taking off the road an average of 2,000 truck trips a day." Source: <a href="http://www.greeleytribune.com/news/energy-pipeline/energy-pipeline-where-the-jobs-are-trucking-jobs-continue-to-grow-but-drivers-still-at-a-premium/">www.greeleytribune.com/news/energy-pipeline/energy-pipeline-where-the-jobs-are-trucking-jobs-continue-to-grow-but-drivers-still-at-a-premium/</a></li> <li>4. Extraction is Weld County's #4 oil producer and they put in a pipeline at Triple Creek in Greeley to reduce truck traffic for those homeowners as indicated in their press release from May 22, 2017. Source: <a href="http://extractionog.com/pdf/FINAL-triple-creek-announcement-5-22-17.pdf">http://extractionog.com/pdf/FINAL-triple-creek-announcement-5-22-17.pdf</a></li> <li>5. Reducing truck traffic was Recommendation #37 and one of only nine recommendations of the Colorado Oil and Gas Task Force that received a 2/3rds voting threshold set by Governor John Hickenlooper. Full text is in their Colorado Oil and Gas Task Force Final Report dated February 27, 2015, but parts of that recommendation was for the COGCC to explore a "...full range of incentives..." for "...alternatives, such as pipelines." Source link: <a href="https://cdnr.us/#/ogtaskforce">https://cdnr.us/#/ogtaskforce</a></li> <li>6. Comments from a recent COGCC complaint in document #688400164 indicates that oil and gas</li> </ol>	04/29/2018

truck traffic nuisance is happening to a Weld County landowner just south of here reads, "Semis all throughout the night!! No one can sleep. There are trucks running in and out all night long that are insanely loud and shake the house. It does not stop all night long! This has to stop! Another route to Marrs site needs to be figured out. We get all the brunt of the traffic to his site. This is not fair and we can not live like this!!"

We are not able to find any data that supports Mr. Brookman's claims to investors that they are minimizing truck traffic in the Wattenberg. It makes sense there should not be tank batteries on CR 38 and a haul road should be established, so that PDC operations in the field can support the CEO's claims.

We would appreciate a written response.

Sincerely,

Eric Ewing

Total: 7 comment(s)