

State of Colorado Oil and Gas Conservation Commission

1120 Lincoln Street, Suite 801, Denver, Colorado 80203
Phone: (303) 894-2100 Fax: (303) 894-2109



Document Number:

401726481

Receive Date:

08/07/2018

Report taken by:

Jim Hughes

Site Investigation and Remediation Workplan (Supplemental Form)

This form shall be submitted to the Director for approval prior to the initiation of site investigation and remediation activities. However, this shall not preclude the Operator from taking immediate action to protect public health or safety, the environment, wildlife, or livestock.

This Form 27 describes site conditions as currently understood by the Operator; approval of this Form 27 by COGCC is based on the site conditions accurately described herein; any changes in site conditions identified during or subsequent to the performance of the approved workplan may necessitate additional investigation or remediation which shall be described on a supplemental Form 27. This Form 27 is intended to provide basic information regarding the proposed site investigation and remediation actions, but the workplan may be more fully described in attached documentation.

Refer to Rules 340, 905, 906, 907, 908, 909, and 910

OPERATOR INFORMATION

Name of Operator: <u>BERRY PETROLEUM COMPANY LLC</u>	Operator No: <u>10091</u>	Phone Numbers
Address: <u>5201 TRUXTUN AVENUE #100</u>		Phone: <u>(970) 285-5207</u>
City: <u>BAKERSFIELD</u>	State: <u>CA</u>	Zip: <u>90339</u>
Contact Person: <u>Don Wilbourn</u>	Email: <u>dwilbourn@bry.com</u>	Mobile: <u>(970) 210-6693</u>

PROJECT, PURPOSE & SITE INFORMATION

PROJECT INFORMATION

Remediation Project #: 10241Initial Form 27 Document #: 401208858

PURPOSE INFORMATION

- | | |
|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> 901.e. Sensitive Area Determination | <input type="checkbox"/> 909.c.(5), Rule 910.b.(4): Remediation of impacted ground water |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 909.c.(1), Rule 905: Pit or PW vessel closure | <input type="checkbox"/> Rule 909.e.(2)A.: Notice completion of remediation in accordance with Rule 909.b. |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 909.c.(2), Rule 906: Spill/Release Remediation | <input type="checkbox"/> Rule 909.e.(2)B.: Closure of remediation project |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 909.c.(3), Rule 907.e.: Land treatment of oily waste | <input type="checkbox"/> Rule 906.c.: Director request |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 909.c.(4), Rule 908.g.: Centralized E&P Waste Management Facility closure | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other <u>Monthly update</u> |

SITE INFORMATION

N Multiple Facilities (in accordance with Rule 909.c.)

Facility Type: <u>LAND APPLICATION SITE</u>	Facility ID: <u>449191</u>	API #: _____	County Name: <u>GARFIELD</u>
Facility Name: <u>I31 596 449191</u>		Latitude: <u>39.568163</u>	Longitude: <u>-108.204791</u>
** correct Lat/Long if needed: Latitude: _____		Longitude: _____	
QtrQtr: <u>NESE</u>	Sec: <u>31</u>	Twp: <u>5S</u>	Range: <u>96W</u>
Meridian: <u>6</u>		Sensitive Area? <u>Yes</u>	

SITE CONDITIONS

General soil type - USCS Classifications MLMost Sensitive Adjacent Land Use GrazingIs domestic water well within 1/4 mile? NoIs surface water within 1/4 mile? YesIs groundwater less than 20 feet below ground surface? No

Other Potential Receptors within 1/4 mile

SITE INVESTIGATION PLAN

TYPE OF WASTE:

- ☒ **E&P Waste** ☒ **Other E&P Waste** ☐ **Non-E&P Waste**
- ☐ Produced Water ☐ Workover Fluids
- ☐ Oil ☐ Tank Bottoms
- ☐ Condensate ☐ Pigging Waste
- ☐ Drilling Fluids ☐ Rig Wash
- ☒ Drill Cuttings ☐ Spent Filters
- ☐ Pit Bottoms
- ☐ Other (as described by EPA)

DESCRIPTION OF IMPACT

Impacted?	Impacted Media	Extent of Impact	How Determined
No	SOILS	Confined to bermed treatment area	Visual inspection

INITIAL ACTION SUMMARY

Description of initial action or emergency response measures take to abate, investigate, and/or remediate impacts associated with E&P Waste.

Two wells were drilled and completed on this well pad in 2010. These wells were drilled with a diesel based drilling mud. Drill cuttings were blended with clean soil and stockpiled on location. Discrete samples of these spoils taken in 2015 reported that all COGCC Table 910-1 standards were met. This material was used to backfill the pit during interim reclamation activities in 2016.

PROPOSED SAMPLING PLAN

Proposed Soil Sampling

☒ Will soil samples be collected as part of this investigation? (Number, type (grab/composite), analyses, and locations of samples):

Samples will be taken semi-annually (twice a year) in the summer and fall.

- Phase I - Composite sample will be taken from 8 locations on the spoil pile in early summer and analyzed.
 - o If composite sample passes, discrete samples will be taken to confirm the composite samples.
 - o If discrete samples pass, spoil will be buried per COGCC rules and interim reclamation of the pad will take place.
 - o If discrete samples fail, landfarming will continue.
 - o If composite sample fails, landfarming will continue.
- Phase II - Composite sample will be taken from 8 locations on the spoil pile in late fall and analyzed.
 - o Procedure will be the same as Phase I

Proposed Groundwater Sampling

☐ Will groundwater samples be collected as part of this investigation? (Number, analyses, and locations of samples):

Proposed Surface Water Sampling

☐ Will surface water samples be collected as part of this investigation? (Number, analyses, and locations of samples):

Additional Investigative Actions

☐ Additional alternative investigative actions described in attached Site Investigation Plan (summary):

SITE INVESTIGATION REPORT

SAMPLE SUMMARY

Soil

Number of soil samples collected 0

Number of soil samples exceeding 910-1 0

Was the areal and vertical extent of soil contamination delineated? No

Approximate areal extent (square feet) 0

NA / ND

NA Highest concentration of TPH (mg/kg)

NA Highest concentration of SAR

BTEX > 910-1 No

Vertical Extent > 910-1 (in feet) 0

Groundwater

Number of groundwater samples collected 0

Was extent of groundwater contaminated delineated? No

Depth to groundwater (below ground surface, in feet)

Number of groundwater monitoring wells installed

Number of groundwater samples exceeding 910-1

Highest concentration of Benzene (µg/l)

Highest concentration of Toluene (µg/l)

Highest concentration of Ethylbenzene (µg/l)

Highest concentration of Xylene (µg/l)

Highest concentration of Methane (mg/l)

Surface Water

0 Number of surface water samples collected

Number of surface water samples exceeding 910-1

If surface water is impacted, other agency notification may be required.

OTHER INVESTIGATION INFORMATION

☐ Were impacts to adjacent property or offsite impacts identified?

☐ Were background samples collected as part of this site investigation?

☐ Was investigation derived waste (IDW) generated as part of this investigation?

Volume of solid waste (cubic yards)

Volume of liquid waste (barrels)

☐ Is further site investigation required?

REMEDIAL ACTION PLAN

Does this Supplemental Form 27A include changes to a previously approved Remedial Action Plan? No _____

SOURCE REMOVAL SUMMARY

Describe how source is to be removed.

Spoil material that is being treated on site by land farming is from the bottom of the pit that has been previously closed. The well pad has previously had a partial interim reclamation performed with an allowance for approximately 1,488 cubic yards of material that was removed from the bottom of the pit prior to closure. Discrete sampling taken in 2016 on this material fails COGCC Table 910-1 for benzo(a)pyrene at 0.046 and TPH at 1049. This material was spread out on the well pad and was treated three times in 2016 (July 23, August 31, Nov. 30).

REMEDATION SUMMARY

Describe how remediation of existing impacts to soil and groundwater is to be accomplished (i.e. summarize remedial action plan). Provide a brief narrative description including: technical justification, schedule for implementation, estimated time to attain NFA status, plus plans and specifications for the selected remedial action technology.

In 2017, the landfarm spoils were spread out even more to an approximate average depth of 12-18" and has been tilled one time. Based on the pre-treatment lab report, no amendments other than fulvic acid will be added during the tilling operations. A 910-1 composite sample was taken on May 6, 2017 reports an improvement in both Benzo(a)pyrene at 0.0379 mg/kg and TPH at 568.6mg/kg.

Soil Remediation Summary

☐ In Situ

_____ Bioremediation (or enhanced bioremediation)

_____ Chemical oxidation

_____ Air sparge / Soil vapor extraction

_____ Natural Attenuation

_____ Other _____

☒ Ex Situ

_____ Excavate and offsite disposal

If Yes: Estimated Volume (Cubic Yards) _____

Name of Licensed Disposal Facility or COGCC Facility ID # _____

Yes _____ Excavate and onsite remediation

Yes _____ Land Treatment

No _____ Bioremediation (or enhanced bioremediation)

No _____ Chemical oxidation

No _____ Other _____

Groundwater Remediation Summary

No _____ Bioremediation (or enhanced bioremediation)

No _____ Chemical oxidation

No _____ Air sparge / Soil vapor extraction

No _____ Natural Attenuation

No _____ Other _____

GROUNDWATER MONITORING

If groundwater has been impacted, describe proposed monitoring plan, including # of wells or sample points, monitoring schedule, analytical methods, points of compliance. Attach a groundwater monitoring location diagram.

REMEDATION PROGRESS UPDATE

PERIODIC REPORTING

Frequency: ☐ Quarterly ☒ Semi-Annually ☐ Annually ☐ Other _____

Report Type: ☐ Groundwater Monitoring ☒ Land Treatment Progress Report ☐ O&M Report

☐ Other _____

WASTE DISPOSAL INFORMATION

Was E&P waste generated as part of this remediation? Yes _____

Describe beneficial use, if any, of E&P Waste derived from this remediation project:

none

Volume of E&P Waste (solid) in cubic yards _____ 0

E&P waste (solid) description _____

COGCC Disposal Facility ID #, if applicable: _____

Non-COGCC Disposal Facility: _____

Volume of E&P Waste (liquid) in barrels _____ 491

E&P waste (liquid) description sludge _____

COGCC Disposal Facility ID #, if applicable: _____

Non-COGCC Disposal Facility: RN Industires - Wonsit _____

REMEDATION COMPLETION REPORT

REMEDATION COMPLETION SUMMARY

Is this a Final Closure Request for this Remediation Project? No _____

Do all soils meet Table 910-1 standards? _____

Does the previous reply indicate consideration of background concentrations? _____

Are the only residual soil impacts pH, SAR, or EC at depths greater than 3 feet below ground surface? _____

Does Groundwater meet Table 910-1 standards? _____

Is additional groundwater monitoring to be conducted? _____

RECLAMATION PLAN

RECLAMATION PLANNING

Describe reclamation plan. Discuss existing and new grade recontouring; method and testing of compaction alleviation; and reseeding program, including location of new seed, seed mix and noxious weed prevention. Attach diagram or drawing.

Pit has been backfilled with treated spoils, pit excess spoils, and pad excess spoils. Pad slopes have been reclaimed not to exceed 3:1 slope. Horizontal ripping, stair-stepping, grooving, tracking, or pocketing on slopes were utilized to reduce erosion. Surface roughening was utilized on all areas receiving revegetation. Topsoil was spread over all areas to be revegetated. These areas are identified on the attached drawing. Seed applied by drill was covered by weed-free straw, mulched and crimped. Seed applied by hydroseeding was tackified. A copy of the seed mix is attached. Monthly inspections for physical signs of compaction alleviation will be conducted by a qualified inspector while conducting stormwater inspections except when the location is in winter exclusion status. The location will be inspected during the growing season by a qualified contractor capable of identifying noxious weeds and selecting and applying the appropriate chemical to eradicate those noxious weeds.

Is the described reclamation complete? No _____

Does the reclamation described herein constitute interim or final reclamation of the Oil and Gas Location?

☐ Interim? ☐ Final?

Did the Surface Owner approve the seed mix? Yes _____

If NO, does the seed mix comply with local soil conservation district recommendations? _____

IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE

PRIOR DATES

Date of Surface Owner notification/consultation, if required. 06/18/2015

Actual Spill or Release date, if known. _____

SITE INVESTIGATION DATES

Date of Initial Actions described in Site Investigation Plan (start date). 08/25/2015

Date of commencement of Site Investigation. _____

Date of completion of Site Investigation. _____

REMEDIAL ACTION DATES

Date of commencement of Remediation. 09/23/2013

Date of completion of Remediation. _____

SITE RECLAMATION DATES

Date of commencement of Reclamation. _____

Date of completion of Reclamation. _____

OPERATOR COMMENT

This is the monthly progress report for the land farming on this pad. The land farm has been turned every 10 to 14 days. Soil has been turned 7 times to date.

I hereby certify all statements made in this form are to the best of my knowledge true, correct, and complete.

Signed: Don Wilbourn

Title: Const. Foreman

Submit Date: 08/07/2018

Email: dwilbourn@bry.com

Based on the information provided herein, this Application for Site Investigation and Remediation Workplan complies with COGCC Rules and applicable orders and is hereby approved.

COGCC Approved: Jim Hughes

Date: 08/14/2018

Remediation Project Number: 10241

COA Type

Description

--	--

Attachment Check List

Upon approval, the approved Form 27 and all listed attachments will be indexed to the Remediation Project file. Only the approved Form 27 will also be indexed to the related Facilities.

Att Doc Num

Name

401726481	FORM 27-SUPPLEMENTAL-SUBMITTED
-----------	--------------------------------

Total Attach: 1 Files

General Comments

User Group

Comment

Comment Date

		Stamp Upon Approval
--	--	---------------------

Total: 0 comment(s)