The Concerned and Affected Residents Surrounding

Ursa Operating Co. LLC Proposed Watson B - Disposal Well and Pad

February 10th, 2015

RE: Watson B-24AWI-17-07-95 Waste Disposal Site

Neighboring Affected Families

Ladies and Gentlemen,

Having recently been informed by URSA of the proposed use of an existing gas well to begin disposing of produced water, we, the undersigned, would like this letter to serve as a formal request to stop the proposed actions. We have been notified either directly through Ursa's obligation under COGCC Rule 250 i. and or j., or by our neighbors who have brought awareness of the proposed waste disposal site neighboring our homes.

We are accustomed to the oil and gas industry and have learned to exist with and benefit from it. We feel, however, that an actual disposal facility containing and injecting produced water is not something that should be built in any community of people's homes.

We formally request that the project be stopped. We initially would like to site these concerns.

WATER: We drink from and use water from water wells neighboring the proposed site. We are concerned with even the smallest chance of water contamination on the surface, near the surface, or anywhere along the annulus of the well, well casing(s), and cement jobs. Mechanical failure is a possibility and again is not something you put into a subdivision of homes. Faulting in these Isle formations is prevalent adding to the chance of the surface aquifer being contaminated. Future wells completed in the area can conceivably add to the chance of downhole fluids entering the surface aquifer. Monitoring the water is always wise; we feel, however, that any detection of produced water in our drinking water will be at a point too late to be acceptable

AIR: Produced water, especially known to those of us in the subdivision who have worked around it, is foul smelling at best. Hydrogen Sulfide Gas, a known contaminant of produced water, at low levels smells like rotten eggs, at higher levels is deadly. We do not want to add to the air pollution in our neighborhood even if it isn't considered toxic. We site Rangely, CO as an example. We already live with and accept the diesel and dust associated with the drilling and maintenance of producing wells, we do not want to see this magnified by adding this large disposal complex in the middle of our neighborhood or anyone else's. Although it may be shown "safe" when accident free, we certainly don't want accidental contaminant from the added H2S and waste water airborne particles like our Colorado neighbors have experienced. We also don't want this to make precedent for future injection wells this close to the community.

IMMEDIATE SAFETY: Our neighborhood is already busy with truck traffic, we do not want to add to that traffic by building an industrial facility in the middle of our neighborhood. Our children, friends, and neighbors, all enjoy the use of the county roads for walking, cycling, and getting home. Adding a new potential for environmental spills and involuntary blow off of particulates into the air nearby so many homes? We don't need more industry than necessary when it comes to accidents and safety.

PROPERTY VALUE: We are all concerned with the decrease in property value here where we have chosen to build or buy our homes. The site area itself is a far more massive scar than any drilling pad in the area. No person can tell us that batteries of storage tanks, the transport of produced, often toxic, waste water, and the added potential health threats will not reduce the value of our land. We can all agree this project reduces our land's value.

IN SUMMARY: We do not oppose injecting waste water 7000 feet into the ground 24 hours a day. We do feel that Ursa should have the decency, like their peers in the area have demonstrated, to locate a facility like this away from our land, water, children and schools. We would like this project relocated immediately. We hope the COGCC can help on this matter and urge everyone to come look at the project that is underway and visit facilities already in place where waste water is delivered stored and continually pumped into the ground below. Then decide, it is not something to put inside a community.

Names and Signatures Below Object to the Proposed Watson B Production Waste Facility:

Printed Name: BRIAN TRANI Signature/Date: 2-10-15

Printed Name: Daniel Gardner Signature/Date: 2-12-15

Printed Name: Mark Gardner Signature/Date: 2-12-15

Printed Name: William Dominguez Signature/Date: 2-12-15

Printed Name: Steve Leveller Signature/Date: 2-12-15

Printed Name: Vicki Lynch Signature/Date: 2-12-15

Printed Name: Barbara A. Allred Signature/Date: 2-12-15

Printed Name: Donald Allred Signature/Date: 2-12-15

Printed Name: David Reuter Signature/Date: 2-12-15

Printed Name: Alberta Payton Signature/Date: 2-12-15

Printed Name: Holly Gardner Signature/Date: 2/12/15

Printed Name: Kristin Campbell Signature/Date: 2/12/15

Printed Name: William Umberger Signature/Date: 2-12-15

Printed Name: Dennis D. Cook Signature/Date: 02/14/15

Printed Name: Lynn Shore Signature/Date: 02/12/2015

Jacqelyn Gardner 2-14-15

IMMEDIATE SAFETY: Our neighborhood is already busy with truck traffic, we do not want to add to that traffic by building an industrial facility in the middle of our neighborhood. Our children, friends, and neighbors, all enjoy the use of the county roads for walking, cycling, and getting home. Adding a new potential for environmental spills and involuntary blow off of particulates into the air nearby so many homes? We don't need more industry than necessary when it comes to accidents and safety.

PROPERTY VALUE: We are all concerned with the decrease in property value here where we have chosen to build or buy our homes. The site area itself is a far more massive scar than any drilling pad in the area. No person can tell us that batteries of storage tanks, the transport of produced, often toxic, waste water, and the added potential health threats will not reduce the value of our land. We can all agree this project reduces our land's value.

IN SUMMARY: We do not oppose injecting waste water 7000 feet into the ground 24 hours a day. We do feel that Ursa should have the decency, like their peers in the area have demonstrated, to locate a facility like this away from our land, water, children and schools. We would like this project relocated immediately. We hope the COGCC can help on this matter and urge everyone to come look at the project that is underway and visit facilities already in place where waste water is delivered stored and continually pumped into the ground below. Then decide, it is not something to put inside a community.

Names and Signatures Below Object to the Proposed Watson B Production Waste Facility:

Printed Name: BRIAN TRANI Signature/Date: [signature] / 2-10-15

Printed Name: Daniel Gardner Signature/Date: [signature] / 2-12-15

Printed Name: Mark Gardner Signature/Date: [signature] 2-12-15

Printed Name: William Dominguez Signature/Date: [signature] / 2-12-2015

Printed Name: Steve Linton Signature/Date: [signature] / 2-12-15

Printed Name: Vicki Ewalle Signature/Date: [signature] / 2-12-15

Printed Name: Donald Allred Signature/Date: [signature] / 2-12-15

Printed Name: Barbara L Allred Signature/Date: [signature] / 2-12-15

Printed Name: David Renteria Signature/Date: [signature] / 2-12-15

Printed Name: Alberta Peyton Signature/Date: [signature] / 2-12-15

Printed Name: Holly Gardner Signature/Date: [signature] / 2/13/15

Printed Name: Ann Williams Signature/Date: [signature] / 2/13/15

Printed Name: _____ Signature/Date: _____ / _____

Printed Name: _____ Signature/Date: _____ / _____

Printed Name: _____ Signature/Date: _____ / _____

Printed Name:_____ Signature/Date:_____ / _____

Printed Name:_____ Signature/Date:_____ / _____

134 CR 303
Parachute, CO
81635

7014 2120 0004 0731 9933

COGCC
796 Megan Ave, S
Rifle, CO
81650