

Public Comments

The following comments were provided by members of the public and were considered during the technical review of this application.

<u>No.</u>	<u>Comment</u>	<u>Comment Date</u>
1	<p>With all the issues that have been ongoing with the Wooley Becky Sousa, Waste Connection, and Pratt sites in close proximity to high density residential areas. Having a pad site that is slated for 40 wells (7 times the density of Pratt and 6 times the density of Waste Connection) should be no where near any other residential area. While I am sure that Extraction is attempting to be a good neighbor, this is not something that should be so close to such density of homes. Other considerations should be the proximity to the town's water supply in the water tanks right next to the pad site. One may be worried about the excessive vibrations may have on its structural integrity, or contamination by VOCs into the tanks themselves. Another one would be the landfill liner for the Front Range Landfill. Yet another issue could be if any of the laterals going into Coyote West makes contact with any of the vertical wells that are currently producing or previously plugged in and around Vista Ridge.</p> <p>There are too many unknowns, and we should not be used as guinea pigs as other operators have been using Erie and the surrounding areas. It's bad enough that there is a large HUB that will be going active soon for Crestone right there.</p> <p>This should not be approved. Every inch around our neighborhood is being encroached upon by industry. It is one thing to move into a neighborhood and know what there is, but it is different when industry is encroaching on residential areas. It does not matter if they are reaching their setback or not. The placement of this large pad is going to impact the health, safety and welfare of all residents in and around due to decreased air quality and the nuisance of over 18 to 24 months of continuous activity.</p> <p>This is also detrimental to the housing prices within the communities. Please stop and think about what the impact is on the surrounding communities. For the areas in which they want to reach, they should be able to locate further away from any community and decrease their impact.</p>	08/25/2017
2	<p>CPW has mapped potential burrowing owl nesting habitat, and a drainage located in and near this proposed development.</p> <p>Therefore, CPW recommends that the operator (or its biologist) conduct an initial site visit to determine if there are prairie dog burrows (for burrowing owls) in and near the site boundaries.</p> <p>If prairie dogs are present, then CPW recommends that the operator survey for burrowing owls if initial site disturbance will occur in prairie dog towns during the owls nesting season (March 15 through October 31). Burrowing owl survey protocol can be found here: https://cpw.state.co.us/Documents/WildlifeSpecies/LivingWithWildlife/RecommendedSurveyOwls.pdf</p> <p>Furthermore, CPW recommends the edge of disturbance is >100 meters from the closest edge of the drainage.</p> <p>CPW appreciates the collaboration, as we strive for responsible energy development while protecting sensitive habitats.</p> <p>Should the operator have any questions and/or request a site visit with CPW, please contact CPW's Northeast Region Energy Liaison (Brandon Marett) at (303) 291-7327.</p>	09/06/2017

Total: 2 comment(s)