

# State of Colorado Oil and Gas Conservation Commission

1120 Lincoln Street, Suite 801, Denver, Colorado 80203  
Phone: (303) 894-2100 Fax: (303) 894-2109



Document Number:

401414746

Receive Date:

Report taken by:

## Site Investigation and Remediation Workplan (Supplemental Form)

This form shall be submitted to the Director for approval prior to the initiation of site investigation and remediation activities. However, this shall not preclude the Operator from taking immediate action to protect public health or safety, the environment, wildlife, or livestock.

This Form 27 describes site conditions as currently understood by the Operator; approval of this Form 27 by COGCC is based on the site conditions accurately described herein; any changes in site conditions identified during or subsequent to the performance of the approved workplan may necessitate additional investigation or remediation which shall be described on a supplemental Form 27. This Form 27 is intended to provide basic information regarding the proposed site investigation and remediation actions, but the workplan may be more fully described in attached documentation.

Refer to Rules 340, 905, 906, 907, 908, 909, and 910

### OPERATOR INFORMATION

Name of Operator: KERR MCGEE OIL & GAS ONSHORE LP	Operator No: 47120	<b>Phone Numbers</b>
Address: P O BOX 173779		Phone: (970) 336-3500
City: DENVER	State: CO	Zip: 80217-3779
Contact Person: Phil Hamlin	Email: Phil.Hamlin@anadarko.com	Mobile: ( )

### PROJECT, PURPOSE & SITE INFORMATION

#### PROJECT INFORMATION

Remediation Project #: 3281

Initial Form 27 Document #: 1170171

#### PURPOSE INFORMATION

- |  |  |
|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> 901.e. Sensitive Area Determination                                       | <input type="checkbox"/> 909.c.(5), Rule 910.b.(4): Remediation of impacted ground water                   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 909.c.(1), Rule 905: Pit or PW vessel closure                             | <input type="checkbox"/> Rule 909.e.(2)A.: Notice completion of remediation in accordance with Rule 909.b. |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 909.c.(2), Rule 906: Spill/Release Remediation                            | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rule 909.e.(2)B.: Closure of remediation project                       |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 909.c.(3), Rule 907.e.: Land treatment of oily waste                      | <input type="checkbox"/> Rule 906.c.: Director request   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 909.c.(4), Rule 908.g.: Centralized E&P Waste Management Facility closure | <input type="checkbox"/> Other   |

#### SITE INFORMATION

N Multiple Facilities ( in accordance with Rule 909.c. )

Facility Type: LOCATION	Facility ID: 328055	API #:	County Name: WELD
Facility Name: HSR-VASSER-64N65W 30SENE		Latitude: 40.285222	Longitude: -104.699181
		** correct Lat/Long if needed: Latitude: 40.287283	Longitude: -104.701133
QtrQtr: SENE	Sec: 30	Twp: 4N	Range: 65W
		Meridian: 6	Sensitive Area? Yes

#### SITE CONDITIONS

General soil type - USCS Classifications CL

Most Sensitive Adjacent Land Use Agriculture and Irrigation Canal

Is domestic water well within 1/4 mile? Yes

Is surface water within 1/4 mile? Yes

Is groundwater less than 20 feet below ground surface? Yes

#### Other Potential Receptors within 1/4 mile

A water well is located approximately 1,200 feet (ft) west, surface water (Platte Valley Canal) is located approximately 80 ft east, wetlands are located approximately 160 ft south, occupied building is located approximately 690 ft east, and groundwater is present approximately 4 feet below ground surface (bgs).

# SITE INVESTIGATION PLAN

## TYPE OF WASTE:

- ☒ E&P Waste      ☐ Other E&P Waste      ☐ Non-E&P Waste
- ☒ Produced Water      ☐ Workover Fluids
- ☒ Oil      ☐ Tank Bottoms
- ☐ Condensate      ☐ Pigging Waste
- ☐ Drilling Fluids      ☐ Rig Wash
- ☐ Drill Cuttings      ☐ Spent Filters
- ☐ Pit Bottoms
- ☐ Other (as described by EPA)

## DESCRIPTION OF IMPACT

Impacted?	Impacted Media	Extent of Impact	How Determined
Yes	GROUNDWATER	See attached data	Groundwater Samples/Lab Results
Yes	SOILS	10'x15'x7' bgs & 10'x85'x5' bgs	Soil Samples/Lab Results

## INITIAL ACTION SUMMARY

Description of initial action or emergency response measures take to abate, investigate, and/or remediate impacts associated with E&P Waste.

In January 2004, a leaking produced water sump and dump line were encountered at the HSR-Vasser 8-30, HSR-Schreiber 1-30 facility. The petroleum hydrocarbon impacted soil was excavated and the impacted groundwater was removed with a hydro-vacuum truck.

## PROPOSED SAMPLING PLAN

### Proposed Soil Sampling

☒ Will soil samples be collected as part of this investigation? ( Number, type (grab/composite), analyses, and locations of samples ):

On January 12, 2004, two soil samples were collected from the dumpline excavation and four soil samples were collected from the produced water sump excavation sidewalls. Based on photoionization detector headspace readings, the two dumpline excavation samples and the western sidewall sample from the produced water sump excavation were submitted for total petroleum hydrocarbon (TPH) laboratory analysis by United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) Method 8015. The analytical results confirmed that TPH concentrations were compliant with the Colorado Oil and Gas Conservation Commission (COGCC) sensitive area allowable level of 1,000 milligrams per kilogram (mg/kg). Soil samples were not analyzed for benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes (BTEX) as the samples were collected prior to the April 1, 2009, COGCC rule changes. The excavation footprints and soil sample locations are depicted on Figure 1. The soil sample analytical results are summarized in Table 1.

### Proposed Groundwater Sampling

☒ Will groundwater samples be collected as part of this investigation? ( Number, analyses, and locations of samples ):

On January 13, 2004, groundwater samples GW-1 and GW-2 were collected from the dumpline and produced water sump excavations, respectively, and submitted for BTEX analysis by USEPA Method 8021B. Laboratory analytical results indicated that the benzene concentrations in samples GW-1 and GW-2 exceeded the COGCC Table 910-1 allowable level for benzene at concentrations of 2,500 micrograms per liter (µg/L) and 47 µg/L, respectively. The groundwater sample locations are depicted on the Excavation Site Map provided as Figure 1. The groundwater sample analytical results are summarized in Table 2.

### Proposed Surface Water Sampling

☐ Will surface water samples be collected as part of this investigation? ( Number, analyses, and locations of samples ):

## Additional Investigative Actions

☐ Additional alternative investigative actions described in attached Site Investigation Plan ( summary ):

# SITE INVESTIGATION REPORT

## SAMPLE SUMMARY

### Soil

Number of soil samples collected 3

Number of soil samples exceeding 910-1 0

Was the areal and vertical extent of soil contamination delineated? Yes

Approximate areal extent (square feet) 1040

### NA / ND

-- Highest concentration of TPH (mg/kg) 530

NA Highest concentration of SAR

BTEX > 910-1 No

Vertical Extent > 910-1 (in feet) 0

### Groundwater

Number of groundwater samples collected 532

Was extent of groundwater contaminated delineated? Yes

Depth to groundwater (below ground surface, in feet) 4'

Number of groundwater monitoring wells installed 18

Number of groundwater samples exceeding 910-1 112

-- Highest concentration of Benzene (µg/l) 6780

-- Highest concentration of Toluene (µg/l) 19300

-- Highest concentration of Ethylbenzene (µg/l) 960

-- Highest concentration of Xylene (µg/l) 14800

NA Highest concentration of Methane (mg/l)

### Surface Water

0 Number of surface water samples collected

Number of surface water samples exceeding 910-1

If surface water is impacted, other agency notification may be required.

## OTHER INVESTIGATION INFORMATION

☐ Were impacts to adjacent property or offsite impacts identified?

☐ Were background samples collected as part of this site investigation?

☐ Was investigation derived waste (IDW) generated as part of this investigation?

Volume of solid waste (cubic yards)

Volume of liquid waste (barrels)

☐ Is further site investigation required?

# REMEDIAL ACTION PLAN

Does this Supplemental Form 27A include changes to a previously approved Remedial Action Plan? No

## SOURCE REMOVAL SUMMARY

Describe how source is to be removed.

Approximately 300 cubic yards of impacted soil were excavated and transported to the Kerr-McGee Land Treatment Facility in Weld County, Colorado. The impacted soil was excavated into the capillary and phreatic zones to address potential hydrocarbon impacts that may have been present below the groundwater table due to past seasonal fluctuations. Based on excavation groundwater sample results exceeding COGCC Table 910-1 allowable levels, approximately 10 barrels of impacted groundwater were removed from the two excavations and transported to a licensed injection facility for disposal. The general site layout and excavation footprints are depicted on the Site Map provided as Figure 2.

## REMEDIATION SUMMARY

Describe how remediation of existing impacts to soil and groundwater is to be accomplished (i.e. summarize remedial action plan). Provide a brief narrative description including: technical justification, schedule for implementation, estimated time to attain NFA status, plus plans and specifications for the selected remedial action technology.

Remediation details are presented in the attached Remediation Summary.

## Soil Remediation Summary

☐ In Situ

Bioremediation ( or enhanced bioremediation )

Chemical oxidation

Air sparge / Soil vapor extraction

Natural Attenuation

Other

☒ Ex Situ

Yes Excavate and offsite disposal

If Yes: Estimated Volume (Cubic Yards) 300

Name of Licensed Disposal Facility or COGCC Facility ID # 149007

No Excavate and onsite remediation

Land Treatment

Bioremediation (or enhanced bioremediation)

Chemical oxidation

Other

## Groundwater Remediation Summary

Yes Bioremediation ( or enhanced bioremediation )

Yes Chemical oxidation

No Air sparge / Soil vapor extraction

Yes Natural Attenuation

Yes Other Groundwater Removal

## GROUNDWATER MONITORING

If groundwater has been impacted, describe proposed monitoring plan, including # of wells or sample points, monitoring schedule, analytical methods, points of compliance. Attach a groundwater monitoring location diagram.

Monitoring wells MW01 through MW16 were installed at the site between April 2004 and January 2009. A well reduction request was submitted for MW07, MW08, MW09, and MW16 in May 2011 and approved by the COGCC on June 10, 2011. Monitoring well MW12 was destroyed as of January 2012, and replaced with MW12R in December 2012. Monitoring well MW11 was abandoned as of November 2016, and replaced with MW11R in November 2016. Groundwater monitoring continued on a quarterly basis. Field boring logs for replacement wells MW11R and MW12R with well completion diagrams are attached. The monitoring well locations are depicted on Figure 2.

On October 31, 2013, monitoring wells MW01 through MW04, MW07, and MW10 through MW16 were surveyed to obtain the relative groundwater and top-of-casing well elevation data. The survey data indicated the groundwater flow direction at the site is to the west-northwest. On February 16, 2017, monitoring wells MW01 through MW04, MW10, MW11R, MW13, and MW14 were resurveyed. The survey data again indicated the groundwater flow direction at the site is to the west-northwest. Relative groundwater elevations are provided in Table 1. Groundwater Elevation Contour Maps for the first quarter 2017 through fourth quarter 2017 monitoring events are provided as Figures 3A through 3D, respectively.

As of the October 2017 quarterly monitoring event, BTEX concentrations in wells MW01 through MW04, MW10, MW11R, MW13, and MW14 have been compliant with COGCC allowable levels for four consecutive quarterly monitoring events. The groundwater analytical results are summarized in Table 2. The analytical reports for the four compliant groundwater monitoring events are attached.

## REMEDIATION PROGRESS UPDATE

### PERIODIC REPORTING

**Frequency:** ☐ Quarterly ☐ Semi-Annually ☐ Annually ☒ Other Final Report

**Report Type:** ☐ Groundwater Monitoring ☐ Land Treatment Progress Report ☐ O&M Report

☒ Other NFA Status Request

### WASTE DISPOSAL INFORMATION

Was E&P waste generated as part of this remediation? Yes

Describe beneficial use, if any, of E&P Waste derived from this remediation project:

The petroleum hydrocarbon impacted soil was transported to the Kerr-McGee Land Treatment Facility in Weld County, Colorado, for recycling.

Volume of E&P Waste (solid) in cubic yards 300

E&P waste (solid) description Petroleum hydrocarbon impacted soil

COGCC Disposal Facility ID #, if applicable: 149007

Non-COGCC Disposal Facility: \_\_\_\_\_

Volume of E&P Waste (liquid) in barrels 10

E&P waste (liquid) description Petroleum hydrocarbon impacted groundwater

COGCC Disposal Facility ID #, if applicable: 159443

Non-COGCC Disposal Facility: \_\_\_\_\_

## REMEDIATION COMPLETION REPORT

### REMEDIATION COMPLETION SUMMARY

Is this a Final Closure Request for this Remediation Project? Yes

Do all soils meet Table 910-1 standards? Yes

Does the previous reply indicate consideration of background concentrations? No

Are the only residual soil impacts pH, SAR, or EC at depths greater than 3 feet below ground surface? \_\_\_\_\_

Does Groundwater meet Table 910-1 standards? Yes

Is additional groundwater monitoring to be conducted? No

## RECLAMATION PLAN

### RECLAMATION PLANNING

Describe reclamation plan. Discuss existing and new grade recontouring; method and testing of compaction alleviation; and reseeding program, including location of new seed, seed mix and noxious weed prevention. Attach diagram or drawing.

The site was restored to its pre-release grade. The Kerr-McGee production facility was decommissioned.

Is the described reclamation complete? No

Does the reclamation described herein constitute interim or final reclamation of the Oil and Gas Location?

☐ Interim? ☐ Final?

Did the Surface Owner approve the seed mix? \_\_\_\_\_

If NO, does the seed mix comply with local soil conservation district recommendations? \_\_\_\_\_

## IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE

### PRIOR DATES

Date of Surface Owner notification/consultation, if required. \_\_\_\_\_

Actual Spill or Release date, if known. 01/08/2004

### SITE INVESTIGATION DATES

Date of Initial Actions described in Site Investigation Plan (start date). 01/08/2004

Date of commencement of Site Investigation. 01/08/2004

Date of completion of Site Investigation. 10/02/2017

### REMEDIAL ACTION DATES

Date of commencement of Remediation. 01/09/2004

Date of completion of Remediation. 11/17/2016

### SITE RECLAMATION DATES

Date of commencement of Reclamation. \_\_\_\_\_

Date of completion of Reclamation. \_\_\_\_\_

### OPERATOR COMMENT

I hereby certify all statements made in this form are to the best of my knowledge true, correct, and complete.

Signed: Phil Hamlin

Title: Senior HSE Representative

Submit Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Email: Phil.Hamlin@anadarko.com

Based on the information provided herein, this Application for Site Investigation and Remediation Workplan complies with COGCC Rules and applicable orders and is hereby approved.

COGCC Approved: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Remediation Project Number: 3281

### COA Type

### Description

--	--

### Attachment Check List

Upon approval, the approved Form 27 and all listed attachments will be indexed to the Remediation Project file. Only the approved Form 27 will also be indexed to the related Facilities.

#### Att Doc Num

#### Name

401415369	CORRESPONDENCE
401416177	LOGS
401419717	REMEDATION PROGRESS REPORT
401419724	ANALYTICAL RESULTS
401420878	SOIL SAMPLE LOCATION MAP
401420879	SITE MAP
401420880	GROUND WATER ELEVATION MAP

Total Attach: 7 Files

### General Comments

#### User Group

#### Comment

#### Comment Date

		Stamp Upon Approval
--	--	---------------------

Total: 0 comment(s)