

State of Colorado Oil and Gas Conservation Commission

1120 Lincoln Street, Suite 801, Denver, Colorado 80203
Phone: (303) 894-2100 Fax: (303) 894-2109



Document Number:

401412823

Receive Date:

Report taken by:

Site Investigation and Remediation Workplan (Supplemental Form)

This form shall be submitted to the Director for approval prior to the initiation of site investigation and remediation activities. However, this shall not preclude the Operator from taking immediate action to protect public health or safety, the environment, wildlife, or livestock.

This Form 27 describes site conditions as currently understood by the Operator; approval of this Form 27 by COGCC is based on the site conditions accurately described herein; any changes in site conditions identified during or subsequent to the performance of the approved workplan may necessitate additional investigation or remediation which shall be described on a supplemental Form 27. This Form 27 is intended to provide basic information regarding the proposed site investigation and remediation actions, but the workplan may be more fully described in attached documentation.

Refer to Rules 340, 905, 906, 907, 908, 909, and 910

OPERATOR INFORMATION

Name of Operator: BERRY PETROLEUM COMPANY LLC	Operator No: 10091	Phone Numbers Phone: (970) 2855207 Mobile: (970) 9482785
Address: 5201 TRUXTUN AVENUE #100		
City: BAKERSFIELD	State: CA Zip: 90339	
Contact Person: Tom Hogelin	Email: THogelin@bry.com	

PROJECT, PURPOSE & SITE INFORMATION

PROJECT INFORMATION

Remediation Project #: 10236

Initial Form 27 Document #: 401203804

PURPOSE INFORMATION

- | | |
|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> 901.e. Sensitive Area Determination | <input type="checkbox"/> 909.c.(5), Rule 910.b.(4): Remediation of impacted ground water |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 909.c.(1), Rule 905: Pit or PW vessel closure | <input type="checkbox"/> Rule 909.e.(2)A.: Notice completion of remediation in accordance with Rule 909.b. |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 909.c.(2), Rule 906: Spill/Release Remediation | <input type="checkbox"/> Rule 909.e.(2)B.: Closure of remediation project |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 909.c.(3), Rule 907.e.: Land treatment of oily waste | <input type="checkbox"/> Rule 906.c.: Director request |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 909.c.(4), Rule 908.g.: Centralized E&P Waste Management Facility closure | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other Submitting landfarm lab analysis progress report for O36B 596 pad |

SITE INFORMATION

N Multiple Facilities (in accordance with Rule 909.c.)

Facility Type: LAND APPLICATION SITE	Facility ID: 443325	API #: _____	County Name: GARFIELD
Facility Name: Chevron 036B 596	Latitude: 39.567113	Longitude: -108.114287	
** correct Lat/Long if needed: Latitude: _____		Longitude: _____	
QtrQtr: SWSE	Sec: 36	Twp: 5S	Range: 96W Meridian: 6 Sensitive Area? Yes

SITE CONDITIONS

General soil type - USCS Classifications ML Most Sensitive Adjacent Land Use Grazing

Is domestic water well within 1/4 mile? No Is surface water within 1/4 mile? Yes

Is groundwater less than 20 feet below ground surface? No

Other Potential Receptors within 1/4 mile

SITE INVESTIGATION PLAN

TYPE OF WASTE:

- ☒ E&P Waste ☒ Other E&P Waste ☐ Non-E&P Waste
- ☐ Produced Water ☐ Workover Fluids
- ☐ Oil ☐ Tank Bottoms
- ☐ Condensate ☐ Pigging Waste
- ☐ Drilling Fluids ☐ Rig Wash
- ☒ Drill Cuttings ☐ Spent Filters
- ☒ Pit Bottoms
- ☐ Other (as described by EPA)

DESCRIPTION OF IMPACT

Impacted?	Impacted Media	Extent of Impact	How Determined
No	SOILS	Confined to bermed treatment area	Visual inspection

INITIAL ACTION SUMMARY

Description of initial action or emergency response measures take to abate, investigate, and/or remediate impacts associated with E&P Waste.

o Approximately 9,394 cubic yards of spoil material that is being treated on site by land farming is from drilling and completion operations. This material fails COGCC Table 910-1 for TPH. The lowest level of TPH from soil samples taken annually since 2010 is 620; the highest is 3070; the latest sample taken on Aug. 7, 2016 was 882. This material was treated two times in 2016 (May 31, & Sept. 6). Benzo(a)pyrene was non detectable for all samples except 2016, reading was 0.004.

PROPOSED SAMPLING PLAN

Proposed Soil Sampling

☒ Will soil samples be collected as part of this investigation? (Number, type (grab/composite), analyses, and locations of samples):

Samples will be taken semi-annually (twice a year) in the summer and fall.

- Phase I - Composite sample will be taken from 8 locations on the spoil pile in early summer and analyzed.
- o If composite sample passes, discrete samples will be taken to confirm the composite samples.
- o If discrete samples pass, spoil will be buried per COGCC rules and interim reclamation of the pad will take place.
- o If discrete samples fail, landfarming will continue.
- o If composite sample fails, landfarming will continue.
- Phase II - Composite sample will be taken from 8 locations on the spoil pile in late fall and analyzed.
- o Procedure will be the same as Phase I

Proposed Groundwater Sampling

☐ Will groundwater samples be collected as part of this investigation? (Number, analyses, and locations of samples):

Proposed Surface Water Sampling

☐ Will surface water samples be collected as part of this investigation? (Number, analyses, and locations of samples):

Additional Investigative Actions

☐ Additional alternative investigative actions described in attached Site Investigation Plan (summary):

SITE INVESTIGATION REPORT

SAMPLE SUMMARY

Soil

Number of soil samples collected 1

Number of soil samples exceeding 910-1 1

Was the areal and vertical extent of soil contamination delineated? Yes

Approximate areal extent (square feet) 11250

NA / ND

NA Highest concentration of TPH (mg/kg)

NA Highest concentration of SAR

BTEX > 910-1 No

Vertical Extent > 910-1 (in feet) 2

Groundwater

Number of groundwater samples collected 0

Was extent of groundwater contaminated delineated? No

Depth to groundwater (below ground surface, in feet)

Number of groundwater monitoring wells installed

Number of groundwater samples exceeding 910-1

 Highest concentration of Benzene (µg/l)

 Highest concentration of Toluene (µg/l)

 Highest concentration of Ethylbenzene (µg/l)

 Highest concentration of Xylene (µg/l)

 Highest concentration of Methane (mg/l)

Surface Water

0 Number of surface water samples collected

 Number of surface water samples exceeding 910-1

If surface water is impacted, other agency notification may be required.

OTHER INVESTIGATION INFORMATION

☐ Were impacts to adjacent property or offsite impacts identified?

☐ Were background samples collected as part of this site investigation?

☐ Was investigation derived waste (IDW) generated as part of this investigation?

Volume of solid waste (cubic yards)

Volume of liquid waste (barrels)

☐ Is further site investigation required?

REMEDIAL ACTION PLAN

Does this Supplemental Form 27A include changes to a previously approved Remedial Action Plan? No _____

SOURCE REMOVAL SUMMARY

Describe how source is to be removed.

o As of this date, the material being landfarmed has been tilled three times with an excavator. The recommendation of the analysis of the pre-treatment sample lab results is to add 300 lbs. of phosphate per acre per treatment and fulvic acid. The liquid amendments are being added during the tilling operations. A copy of the pre-treatment lab report is attached to the Form 27.

REMEDIATION SUMMARY

Describe how remediation of existing impacts to soil and groundwater is to be accomplished (i.e. summarize remedial action plan). Provide a brief narrative description including: technical justification, schedule for implementation, estimated time to attain NFA status, plus plans and specifications for the selected remedial action technology.

o A 910-1 composite sample of the landfarmed spoils was taken on March 9, 2017. The lab results showed a favorable reduction of TPH from 882 mg/kg to 735 mg/kg. A copy of this lab report is attached to the Form 27.
o Spoil will be turned over by an excavator 8 to 10 times (depending on weather and snow conditions) in the warm months in 2017. The soil will be turned over with the frequency established in the plan as a minimum or with a higher frequency if possible. The soil has been spread out to increase exposure to the atmosphere and sunlight as much as possible on the production pad.
o Linn/Berry has also reached out to a firm that provides soil shredding to explore the possibility of that application for this location

Soil Remediation Summary

☐ In Situ

_____ Bioremediation (or enhanced bioremediation)
_____ Chemical oxidation
_____ Air sparge / Soil vapor extraction
_____ Natural Attenuation
_____ Other _____

☒ Ex Situ

_____ Excavate and offsite disposal
_____ If Yes: Estimated Volume (Cubic Yards) _____
_____ Name of Licensed Disposal Facility or COGCC Facility ID # _____
Yes _____ Excavate and onsite remediation
Yes _____ Land Treatment
No _____ Bioremediation (or enhanced bioremediation)
No _____ Chemical oxidation
No _____ Other _____

Groundwater Remediation Summary

No _____ Bioremediation (or enhanced bioremediation)
No _____ Chemical oxidation
No _____ Air sparge / Soil vapor extraction
No _____ Natural Attenuation
No _____ Other _____

GROUNDWATER MONITORING

If groundwater has been impacted, describe proposed monitoring plan, including # of wells or sample points, monitoring schedule, analytical methods, points of compliance. Attach a groundwater monitoring location diagram.

REMEDATION PROGRESS UPDATE

PERIODIC REPORTING

Frequency: ☐ Quarterly ☒ Semi-Annually ☐ Annually ☐ Other _____

Report Type: ☐ Groundwater Monitoring ☒ Land Treatment Progress Report ☐ O&M Report

☐ Other _____

WASTE DISPOSAL INFORMATION

Was E&P waste generated as part of this remediation? No

Describe beneficial use, if any, of E&P Waste derived from this remediation project:

Volume of E&P Waste (solid) in cubic yards _____

E&P waste (solid) description _____

COGCC Disposal Facility ID #, if applicable: _____

Non-COGCC Disposal Facility: _____

Volume of E&P Waste (liquid) in barrels _____

E&P waste (liquid) description _____

COGCC Disposal Facility ID #, if applicable: _____

Non-COGCC Disposal Facility: _____

REMEDATION COMPLETION REPORT

REMEDATION COMPLETION SUMMARY

Is this a Final Closure Request for this Remediation Project? No

Do all soils meet Table 910-1 standards? _____

Does the previous reply indicate consideration of background concentrations? _____

Are the only residual soil impacts pH, SAR, or EC at depths greater than 3 feet below ground surface? _____

Does Groundwater meet Table 910-1 standards? _____

Is additional groundwater monitoring to be conducted? _____

RECLAMATION PLAN

RECLAMATION PLANNING

Describe reclamation plan. Discuss existing and new grade recontouring; method and testing of compaction alleviation; and reseeding program, including location of new seed, seed mix and noxious weed prevention. Attach diagram or drawing.

Pit will be backfilled with treated spoils, pit excess spoils, and pad excess spoils. Pad slopes will be reclaimed not to exceed 3:1 slope. Horizontal ripping, stair-stepping, grooving, tracking, or pocketing on slopes will be utilized to reduce erosion. Surface roughening shall be utilized on all areas receiving revegetation. Topsoil will be spread over all areas to be revegetated. These areas are identified on the attached drawing. Seed applied by drill will be covered by weed-free straw, mulched and crimped. Seed applied by hydroseeding will be tackified. A copy of the seed mix is attached. Monthly inspections for physical signs of compaction alleviation will be conducted by a qualified inspector while conducting stormwater inspections except when the location is in winter exclusion status. The location will be inspected during the growing season by a qualified contractor capable of identifying noxious weeds and selecting and applying the appropriate chemical to eradicate those noxious weeds.

Is the described reclamation complete? No

Does the reclamation described herein constitute interim or final reclamation of the Oil and Gas Location?

☐ Interim? ☐ Final?

Did the Surface Owner approve the seed mix? Yes

If NO, does the seed mix comply with local soil conservation district recommendations? _____

IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE

PRIOR DATES

Date of Surface Owner notification/consultation, if required. 07/20/2010

Actual Spill or Release date, if known. _____

SITE INVESTIGATION DATES

Date of Initial Actions described in Site Investigation Plan (start date). 09/17/2010

Date of commencement of Site Investigation. _____

Date of completion of Site Investigation. _____

REMEDIAL ACTION DATES

Date of commencement of Remediation. 10/04/2010

Date of completion of Remediation. _____

SITE RECLAMATION DATES

Date of commencement of Reclamation. _____

Date of completion of Reclamation. _____

OPERATOR COMMENT

Submitting lab analysis for landfarming on O36B 596 pad. One composite sample consisting of 16 subsamples was analyzed. TPH was reported at 651.6mg/kg. All other results were below 910-1 standards. This material will continue to be landfarmed.

I hereby certify all statements made in this form are to the best of my knowledge true, correct, and complete.

Signed: Tom Hogelin

Title: Construction Foreman

Submit Date: _____

Email: THogelin@bry.com

Based on the information provided herein, this Application for Site Investigation and Remediation Workplan complies with COGCC Rules and applicable orders and is hereby approved.

COGCC Approved: _____

Date: _____

Remediation Project Number: 10236

COA Type

Description

--	--

Attachment Check List

Upon approval, the approved Form 27 and all listed attachments will be indexed to the Remediation Project file. Only the approved Form 27 will also be indexed to the related Facilities.

Att Doc Num

Name

401412829	REMEDIATION PROGRESS REPORT
-----------	-----------------------------

Total Attach: 1 Files

General Comments

User Group

Comment

Comment Date

		Stamp Upon Approval
--	--	---------------------

Total: 0 comment(s)