

## **Public Comments**

The following comments were provided by members of the public and were considered during the technical review of this application.

### **No. Comment**

### **Comment Date**

1	<p>The Forms 2 and 2A should be rejected because the Wagner 1N-W10HZ Access Road Map depicts access that is not feasible. It has been emphasized numerous times to the landmen that the proposed access would substantially damage the irrigation system for the entire farm using the north entrance and affect the gain on the feeder cattle penned adjacent to the south entrance.</p> <p>The access entrance to the Wagner Farm from WCR 21 is 20 feet wide and 5 feet high. Kerr-McGee needs an entrance of 60 feet wide and 24 feet high. The expanded access would require the location of a new pipeline in the access road, and there is no room to move the main pipeline past the entrance because of the location of the east-west pipelines. The pipelines in the</p> <p>access road water the entire farm.</p> <p>Furthermore, the farm irrigates from north to south which means the water will drain to the south proposed exit. This area is intended for irrigation drainage and is extremely wet and muddy and the land is totally useless for ingress or egress.</p> <p>Even if expansion of the access road was possible, it would mean that approximately 19.39 acres would be now access road for oil and gas operations as opposed to crop land and that equates to an additional loss of 5% more of the total crop lands.</p> <p>The proposed ingress and egress route travel the entire perimeter of the farm. This is unreasonable and unnecessary accommodation of the surface for the mineral lessee.</p> <p>JoAnn Wagner never approved the Access Road Map as it was not one of the attachments to the Surface Damage Agreement.</p>	05/27/2016
---	---	------------

Total: 1 comment(s)