

DATA QUALITY REVIEW SHEET

Facility ID: 752987
 Station Name: Palmer 276703
 Sample Date: 3/19/2016
 Field Sample ID: Palmer 276703

Project: BWQ: Nolte 14-44 Sub1
 Lab Work Order: 1603520
 QA/QC Review Date: 4/29/2016
 Reviewer: S. Goodwin

Field Sampling Data Review	Yes	No	N/A
1. Well properly purged?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. Flow rate reduced prior to sampling?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. Water quality parameters stable prior to sampling?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4. Field instruments calibrated properly?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5. Sampling methods performed according to SAP procedures?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6. Procedures consistent with obtaining a representative sample?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Lab Data Report Review			
7. Proper sample custody maintained until laboratory receipt?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
8. Receipt form is without discrepancies? <i>If no, list in comments.</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
9. All samples analyzed for the requested analyses?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
10. Proper laboratory methods used?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
11. All sample holding times met (other than lab pH)?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
12. Lab QA samples (e.g., matrix spikes and matrix spike duplicates) collected and analyzed according to lab method and results within method acceptance limits?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
13. Was the field investigation sample matrix used by the lab for matrix QC for all analyses?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
14. Laboratory qualifiers for data (other than non-detect)? <i>List in comments.</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
15. Additional qualifiers assigned (other than pH)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
16. Are corrective actions required? <i>If yes, list actions and dates to be completed by:</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<i>Corrective Action</i>	<i>Date to be completed</i>		
None			

Calculated Parameters	Calculated Value	Lab Value	Ratio/Percent Difference	Acceptable Limit	Meets QC Criteria?
Cation/Anion Balance, % (CAB)	1.255	N/A	N/A	±5%	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Total Dissolved Solids, mg/L (TDS)	4939	5100	0.97	0.8 – 1.2	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Specific Conductance, µS/cm (SpC)	7612	5320	1.43	0.8 – 1.2	<input type="checkbox"/>

Comments: Field temperature was not able to fully stabilize according to the COGCC Model SAP criteria. Laboratory pH was analyzed out of analysis holding time, WWL qualified with "H"; result considered estimated. MS recoveries were outside of control limits for fluoride and nitrite (low 45% and 16% respectively); MSD recoveries were outside of control limits for fluoride and nitrite (low 42% and 16%, respectively), lab reported that the procedure was in control, the native sample was less than four times the spike added concentration. "J" qualifier assigned to total phosphorus and iron sample results and to barium method blank to indicate a result greater than the method detection limit but less than the reporting limit. Specific Conductivity ratio slightly outside of QC criteria, but data not qualified.

