

DATA QUALITY REVIEW SHEET

Facility ID: 753285
 Station Name: Union 26068-F
 Sample Date: 10/28/2015
 Field Sample ID: Union No. 6-26068-F

Project: GM 323-28 BWQ: Sub1
 Lab Work Order: 1510477
 QA/QC Review Date: 1/4/2016
 Reviewer: S. Kipp

Field Sampling Data Review	Yes	No	N/A
1. Well properly purged?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. Flow rate reduced prior to sampling?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. Water quality parameters stable prior to sampling?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4. Field instruments calibrated properly?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5. Sampling methods performed according to SAP procedures?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6. Procedures consistent with obtaining a representative sample?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Lab Data Report Review			
7. Proper sample custody maintained until laboratory receipt?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
8. Receipt form is without discrepancies? <i>If no, list in comments.</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
9. All samples analyzed for the requested analyses?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
10. Proper laboratory methods used?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
11. All sample holding times met (other than lab pH)?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
12. Lab QA samples (e.g., matrix spikes and matrix spike duplicates) collected and analyzed according to lab method and results within method acceptance limits?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
13. Was the field investigation sample matrix used by the lab for matrix QC for all analyses?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
14. Laboratory qualifiers for data (other than non-detect)? <i>List in comments.</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
15. Additional qualifiers assigned (other than pH)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
16. Are corrective actions required? <i>If yes, list actions and dates to be completed by:</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<i>Corrective Action</i>	<i>Date to be completed</i>		
Lab to correct total dissolved solids misreported concentration.	1/4/2016		

Calculated Parameters	Calculated Value	Lab Value	Ratio/Percent Difference	Acceptable Limit	Meets QC Criteria?
Cation/Anion Balance, % (CAB)	2.263	N/A	N/A	±5%	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Total Dissolved Solids, mg/L (TDS)	1936	1700	1.14	0.8 – 1.2	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Specific Conductance, µS/cm (SpC)	2537	2360	1.08	0.8 – 1.2	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Comments: Three casing volumes were not purged prior to sample collection due to the presence of a cistern (unknown volume); parameters were stabilized according to WPX Sampling and Analysis Plan stabilization criteria with the exception of field ORP. Laboratory pH analyzed out of analysis holding time, WWL qualified with "H"; result considered estimated. "J" qualifier assigned to bromide, nitrate/nitrite, nitrate, and total phosphorus to indicate a result greater than the method detection limit but less than the reporting limit.