

## DATA QUALITY REVIEW SHEET

Facility ID: 703063  
 Station Name: Firth 112927  
 Sample Date: 2/3/2015  
 Field Sample ID: Firth 112927

Project: WPX BWQ: GV 86-2  
 Lab Work Order: 1502026  
 QA/QC Review Date: 3/16/2015  
 Reviewer: S. Kipp

Field Sampling Data Review	Yes	No	N/A
1. Well properly purged?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. Flow rate reduced prior to sampling?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. Water quality parameters stable prior to sampling?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4. Field instruments calibrated properly?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5. Sampling methods performed according to SAP procedures?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6. Procedures consistent with obtaining a representative sample?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Lab Data Report Review			
7. Proper sample custody maintained until laboratory receipt?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
8. Receipt form is without discrepancies? <i>If no, list in comments.</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
9. All samples analyzed for the requested analyses?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
10. Proper laboratory methods used?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
11. All sample holding times met (other than lab pH)?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
12. Lab QA samples (e.g., matrix spikes and matrix spike duplicates) collected and analyzed according to lab method and results within method acceptance limits?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
13. Was the field investigation sample matrix used by the lab for matrix QC for all analyses?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
14. Laboratory qualifiers for data (other than non-detect)? <i>List in comments.</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
15. Additional qualifiers assigned (other than pH)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
16. Are corrective actions required? <i>If yes, list actions and dates to be completed by:</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<i>Corrective Action</i>	<i>Date to be completed</i>		
None			

Calculated Parameters	Calculated Value	Lab Value	Ratio/Percent Difference	Acceptable Limit	Meets QC Criteria?
Cation/Anion Balance, % (CAB)	0.875	N/A	N/A	±5%	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Total Dissolved Solids, mg/L (TDS)	483	380	1.27	0.8 – 1.2	<input type="checkbox"/>
Specific Conductance, µS/cm (SpC)	5670	631	0.90	0.8 – 1.2	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

**Comments:** Three casing volumes were not purged prior to sample collection per landowner request; parameters were stabilized according to WPX Sampling and Analysis Plan stabilization criteria. Field sample had moderate effervescence and contained headspace > pea size. Laboratory pH analyzed out of analysis holding time, WWL qualified with "H"; result considered estimated. TDS ratio slightly outside of QC criteria, but data not qualified.