

STATE OF
COLORADO

Andrews - DNR, Doug <doug.andrews@state.co.us>

Fwd: Long 22-B Pad

7 messages

Treitz - DNR, Rebecca <rebecca.treitz@state.co.us>
To: Doug Andrews - DNR <doug.andrews@state.co.us>

Tue, Mar 3, 2015 at 2:46 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Jeffrey Annable** <jannable@petro-fs.com>

Date: Fri, Feb 13, 2015 at 8:21 AM

Subject: Long 22-B Pad

To: "Treitz - DNR, Rebecca" <rebecca.treitz@state.co.us>

Cc: "Noto - DNR, John" <john.noto@state.co.us>, Regulatory members <regulatory@petro-fs.com>, Kristi McRedmond <kmcredmond@petro-fs.com>

Hey Rebecca,

Just wanted to follow up with you on the Long 22-B Pad. I spoke with the client and they informed us that the Surface Owner is only willing to grant an SUA to Edge if they located the pad in the part of the parcel that it is currently placed. He believes that is the best use of his land. In the spirit of cooperation with the Surface Owner Edge agreed to this location. I have attached a map showing the parcel ownership, and as you can see Long owns a good portion of the property in the area. In order to put the facilities on Link Ranch, Edge would have to pipe across the BUO property approximately 2000' feet which would present an economic burden. This would also put it in the vicinity (not buffer zone) of two BUO. In the interest of being a "good neighbor" the operator spoke with the BUO about their concerns. The operator has addressed the BUO concerns which included to visual mitigate the facilities with landscape screening, and to make sure that the BUO's water well would not be contaminated. Edge has also agreed to the following additional Noise mitigation:

Noise Mitigation: Baseline noise monitoring and testing will be conducted prior to commencement of construction and dirt work. Sound walls and/or hay bales will be implemented on the east side of the site to shield the building unit within the buffer zone. Both drilling and completion operations will be conducted within these sound barriers.

Given that all of the BUO concerns were addressed, the optional locations are not technically or economically feasible, Edge Energy believes the current location is the best location.

Please let me know what you guys think.

Thanks,

Jeff Annable

Denver, CO 80221



Think green.

Rebecca Treitz
Environmental Protection Specialist
P 303.894.2100 x5173 | F 303.894.2109 |
1120 Lincoln Street, Suite 801, Denver, CO 80203
Rebecca.Treitz@state.co.us | www.colorado.gov/cogcc

Edge Energy-- Long 22-B Pad Discussion Map.pdf
105K

<https://mail.google.com/mail/u/0/?ui=2&ik=6cde1142d7&view=pt&search=inbox&th=14be19af2950bedf&siml=14be19af2950bedf&siml=14c7700971597fe5&sim...> 2/9

1) In the Facilities section and on the Facility Layout Drawing, the Operator has indicated there will be six flares at this proposed oil and gas location. This is very unusual and seems excessive to have so many flares. Typically a single flare is sufficient to handle the emissions from even the 12 Oil Tanks listed for this location. Please provide a justification/explanation as to why the Operator needs six flares.

3) A review of the water well permit for the nearest water well (Permit #245545) indicates it is a Domestic drinking water well that was screened from 895 to 980 feet below ground surface. A review of other nearby water wells indicates many were also similarly screened quite deeply with similarly deep static water levels listed. Based on that I don't think the estimated depth to groundwater of 440 feet is reflective of how shallow groundwater may be in the area of this proposed oil and gas location. Additionally there are several ponds nearby as shown on the Hydrology Map that also lead me to believe that groundwater is considerably shallower than 440 feet. Therefore, I would like to change the estimated depth to groundwater to 20 feet, and add a comment that explains why this depth was chosen. The Operator has already provided an adequate Berm Construction BMP and no pits are proposed for this location, so this change to the Water resources' section would not affect the construction and operation plans for this location that have already been provided. Please let me know if this is acceptable.

5) In your email above and also in the Operator Comments section of the Form 2A you have indicated how the Operator will address the concerns raised by the nearby Building Unit Owner. I would like to take these and copy them into the Operator BMP section of this Form 2A. Please let me know if that is acceptable.

Please respond to this correspondence by April 4, 2015. If you have any questions, please contact me.

Oil & Gas Location Assessment Specialist - Northeast Area



you need.

Thanks,

Jeff Annable

Regulatory Technician

From: Andrews - DNR, Doug [mailto:doug.andrews@state.co.us]

Sent: Wednesday, March 04, 2015 1:29 PM

To: Jeffrey Annable; Rebecca Treitz - DNR

Subject: Fwd: Long 22-B Pad

[Quoted text hidden]

Andrews - DNR, Doug <doug.andrews@state.co.us>

Fri, Mar 6, 2015 at 1:18 PM

To: Jeffrey Annable <jannable@petro-fs.com>

Cc: Rebecca Treitz - DNR <rebecca.treitz@state.co.us>, Regulatory members <regulatory@petro-fs.com>

Jeff,

Thanks for the prompt reply. Now that the Public Comment period has ended, please also send a letter certifying Edge Energy's compliance with COGCC Rule 306.e when you send the updated Facility Layout Drawing. Please indicate in the certification letter the meetings/consultations that were held with the Building Unit Owner and what the outcome was. I know you were mentioned in your email above to Rebecca, but we'd also like that same information included on the Rule 306.e Certification letter as well. Thanks.

Doug Andrews

Oil & Gas Location Assessment Specialist - Northeast Area



1120 Lincoln St., Suite 801

Denver, CO 80203

doug.andrews@state.co.us

303-894-2100 Ext. 5180

[Quoted text hidden]

Jeffrey Annable <jannable@petro-fs.com>

Tue, Mar 10, 2015 at 11:15 AM

To: "Andrews - DNR, Doug" <doug.andrews@state.co.us>

Cc: Rebecca Treitz - DNR <rebecca.treitz@state.co.us>, Regulatory members <regulatory@petro-fs.com>

Hey Doug,

Attached is the COGCC Rule 306.e certification as well as the updated facility layout. Please let me know if there is anything else that you need.

Thanks,

Jeff Annable

Regulatory Technician

From: Andrews - DNR, Doug [mailto:doug.andrews@state.co.us]
Sent: Friday, March 06, 2015 1:18 PM
To: Jeffrey Annable
Cc: Rebecca Treitz - DNR; Regulatory members
Subject: Re: Long 22-B Pad

[Quoted text hidden]

2 attachments



Long 22-B Pad COGCC Rule 306.e. Completeness Certification to Director 3.9.15.pdf
112K



Long 22-B Pad 1N65W22 Facility Layout Drawing.pdf
610K

Andrews - DNR, Doug <doug.andrews@state.co.us>
To: Jeffrey Annable <jannable@petro-fs.com>

Wed, Apr 1, 2015 at 4:01 PM

Jeff,

OGLA Supervisor John Noto did a review of this Form 2A and he had some additional information he'd like to have for this Form 2A before it is Final Approved.

1) You have indicated the drill cuttings will be disposed of via land spreading and that a COGCC land application site will be in place before use. The attached Waste Management Plan indicates the Dechant Farms Spread Field will be used. In the southeast part of Section 36, Township 3N, Rang 65W, I see three COGCC approved spread fields/beneficial reuse areas. Two are owned by Kerr-McGee and one (called Dechant-2) is owned by NGL Water Solution DJ. Are any of these three the spread fields Edge Energy will be using? If not, I would like to place a Condition of Approval on this Form 2A indicating this Waste Management Plan, along with our Land Application Plan Checklist, shall be submitted to the COGCC via a Form 4 Sundry for our review and approval prior to drilling operations.

2) You have indicated that the nearby Building Unit Owner's water well will be tested before and after drilling operations to assure no contamination occurred. We would like some additional specific information regarding this well and the testing protocol be added to the BMP. Specifically, what is the water well's DWR Permit #, a list of the analysis/analytes that the water sample will be tested for, how long after drilling operations will the water well be sampled, how many times will the water well be sampled, and concurrence that the analytical

4/21/2015

State.co.us Executive Branch Mail - Fwd: Long 22-B Pad

[Quoted text hidden]



Humphrey View_V2.pptx

5435K