

FORM
2A

Rev
08/13

State of Colorado
Oil and Gas Conservation Commission

1120 Lincoln Street, Suite 801, Denver, Colorado 80203
Phone: (303) 894-2100 Fax: (303) 894-2109



Document Number:

400532074

Date Received:

02/07/2014

Oil and Gas Location Assessment

☒ New Location ☐ Refile ☐ Amend Existing Location Location#: _____

Submit signed original form. This Oil and Gas Location Assessment is to be submitted to the COGCC for approval prior to any ground disturbance activity associated with oil and gas operations. Approval of this Oil and Gas Location Assessment will allow for the construction of the below specified Location; however, it does not supersede any land use rules applied by the local land use authority. Please see the COGCC website at <http://cogcc.state.co.us/> for all accompanying information pertinent this Oil and Gas Location Assessment.

Location ID:

437887

Expiration Date:

06/29/2017

☒ This location assessment is included as part of a permit application.

CONSULTATION

- ☐ This location is included in a Comprehensive Drilling Plan. CDP # _____
- ☐ This location is in a sensitive wildlife habitat area.
- ☐ This location is in a wildlife restricted surface occupancy area.
- ☐ This location includes a Rule 306.d.(1)A.ii. variance request.

Operator

Operator Number: 69175

Name: PDC ENERGY INC

Address: 1775 SHERMAN STREET - STE 3000

City: DENVER State: CO Zip: 80203

Contact Information

Name: Liz Lindow

Phone: (303) 831-3974

Fax: ()

email: liz.lindow@pdce.com

RECLAMATION FINANCIAL ASSURANCE

☒ Plugging and Abandonment Bond Surety ID: 20090078

☐ Gas Facility Surety ID: _____

☐ Waste Management Surety ID: _____

LOCATION IDENTIFICATION

Name: Churchill

Number: 28J-HZ Pad

County: WELD

QuarterQuarter: NENW Section: 28 Township: 5N Range: 64W Meridian: 6 Ground Elevation: 4632

Define a single point as a location reference for the facility location. When the location is to be used as a well site then the point shall be a well location.

Footage at surface: 250 feet FNL from North or South section line

1425 feet FWL from East or West section line

Latitude: 40.376910 Longitude: -104.559170

PDOP Reading: 2.1 Date of Measurement: 11/07/2013

Instrument Operator's Name: Brian Rottinghaus

RELATED REMOTE LOCATIONS

(Enter as many Related Locations as necessary. Enter the Form 2A document # only if there is no established COGCC Location ID#)

This proposed Oil and Gas Location is:

LOCATION ID #

FORM 2A DOC #

Well Site is served by Production Facilities

400629171

FACILITIES

Indicate the number of each type of oil and gas facility planned on location

Wells	8	Oil Tanks		Condensate Tanks		Water Tanks		Buried Produced Water Vaults	
Drilling Pits		Production Pits		Special Purpose Pits		Multi-Well Pits		Temporary Large Volume Above Ground Tanks	
Pump Jacks		Separators		Injection Pumps		Cavity Pumps			
Gas or Diesel Motors		Electric Motors		Electric Generators		Fuel Tanks		Gas Compressors	
Dehydrator Units		Vapor Recovery Unit		VOC Combustor		Flare		LACT Unit	
								Pigging Station	

OTHER FACILITIES

Other Facility Type

Number

--	--

Per Rule 303.b.(3)C, description of all oil, gas, and/or water pipelines:

Each well will have a flow line, oil production line, water production line and a backpressure line. Oil production line and flow lines are 3 inch steel SCH 80FB PE DRL. Water production line and low pressure gas vent lines are 2 inch SDR7 poly. Gas sales lines installed and maintained by Gas Purchaser, normally 6 inch steel .256 FBE

CONSTRUCTION

Date planned to commence construction: 09/01/2014

Size of disturbed area during construction in acres: 4.20

Estimated date that interim reclamation will begin: 03/01/2015

Size of location after interim reclamation in acres: 1.60

Estimated post-construction ground elevation: 4632

DRILLING PROGRAM

Will a closed loop system be used for drilling fluids: Yes

Is H₂S anticipated? No

Will salt sections be encountered during drilling: No

Will salt based mud (>15,000 ppm Cl) be used? No

Will oil based drilling fluids be used? No

DRILLING WASTE MANAGEMENT PROGRAM

Drilling Fluids Disposal: OFFSITE

Drilling Fluids Disposal Method: Land application

Cutting Disposal: OFFSITE

Cuttings Disposal Method: Beneficial reuse

Other Disposal Description:

See Operator Comments for details.

Beneficial reuse or land application plan submitted? Yes

Reuse Facility ID: or Document Number:

Centralized E&P Waste Management Facility ID, if applicable:

SURFACE & MINERALS & RIGHT TO CONSTRUCT

Name: Richard & Linda Churchill

Phone: _____

Address: 25890 WCR 53

Fax: _____

Address: _____

Email: _____

City: Kersey State: CO Zip: 80644

Surface Owner: ☒ Fee ☐ State ☐ Federal ☐ Indian

Check all that apply. The Surface Owner: ☒ is the mineral owner

☒ is committed to an oil and Gas Lease

☒ has signed the Oil and Gas Lease

☐ is the applicant

The Mineral Owner beneath this Oil and Gas Location is: ☒ Fee ☐ State ☐ Federal ☐ Indian

The Minerals beneath this Oil and Gas Location will be developed from or produced to this Oil and Gas Location: Yes

The right to construct this Oil and Gas Location is granted by: oil and gas lease

Surface damage assurance if no agreement is in place: _____ Surface Surety ID: _____

Date of Rule 306 surface owner consultation 10/23/2013

CURRENT AND FUTURE LAND USE

Current Land Use (Check all that apply):

Crop Land: ☐ Irrigated ☐ Dry land ☐ Improved Pasture ☐ Hay Meadow ☐ CRP

Non-Crop Land: ☒ Rangeland ☐ Timber ☐ Recreational ☐ Other (describe): _____

Subdivided: ☐ Industrial ☐ Commercial ☐ Residential

Future Land Use (Check all that apply):

Crop Land: ☐ Irrigated ☐ Dry land ☐ Improved Pasture ☐ Hay Meadow ☐ CRP

Non-Crop Land: ☒ Rangeland ☐ Timber ☐ Recreational ☐ Other (describe): _____

Subdivided: ☐ Industrial ☐ Commercial ☐ Residential

CULTURAL DISTANCE INFORMATION

Distance to nearest:

Building: 622 Feet
Building Unit: 720 Feet
High Occupancy Building Unit: 2180 Feet
Designated Outside Activity Area: 5280 Feet
Public Road: 863 Feet
Above Ground Utility: 287 Feet
Railroad: 2900 Feet
Property Line: 250 Feet

INSTRUCTIONS:

- All measurements shall be provided from center of nearest Well or edge of nearest Production Facility to nearest of each cultural feature as described in Rule 303.b.(3)A.
- Enter 5280 for distance greater than 1 mile.
- Building - nearest building of any type. If nearest Building is a Building Unit, enter same distance for both.
- Building Unit, High Occupancy Building Unit, and Designated Outside Activity Area - as defined in 100-Series Rules.

DESIGNATED SETBACK LOCATION INFORMATION

Check all that apply. This location is within a: ☒ Buffer Zone
☐ Exception Zone
☐ Urban Mitigation Area

- Buffer Zone - as described in Rule 604.a.(2), within 1,000' of a Building Unit.
- Exception Zone - as described in Rule 604.a.(1), within 500' of a Building Unit.
- Urban Mitigation Area - as defined in 100-Series Rules.

Pre-application Notifications (required if location is within 1,000 feet of a building unit):

Date of Rule 305.a.(1) Urban Mitigation Area Notification to Local Government: _____

Date of Rule 305.a.(2) Buffer Zone Notification to Building Unit Owners: 12/13/2014

SOIL

List all soil map units that occur within the proposed location. attach the National Resource Conservation Service (NRCS) report showing the "Map Unit Description" report listing the soil typical vertical profile. This data is to be used when segregating topsoil.

The required information can be obtained from the NRCS web site at <http://soildatamart.nrcs.usda.org/> or from the COGCC web site GIS Online map page found at <http://colorado.gov/cogcc>. Instructions are provided within the COGCC web site help section.

NRCS Map Unit Name: 69—Valent sand, 0 to 3 percent slopes

NRCS Map Unit Name: _____

NRCS Map Unit Name: _____

PLANT COMMUNITY:

Complete this section only if any portion of the disturbed area of the location's current land use is on non-crop land.

Are noxious weeds present: Yes ☐ No ☒

Plant species from: ☐ NRCS or, ☒ field observation Date of observation: 11/08/2013

List individual species: _____

Check all plant communities that exist in the disturbed area.

- ☒ Disturbed Grassland (Cactus, Yucca, Cheatgrass, Rye)
☐ Native Grassland (Bluestem, Grama, Wheatgrass, Buffalograss, Fescue, Oatgrass, Brome)
☐ Shrub Land (Mahogany, Oak, Sage, Serviceberry, Chokecherry)
☐ Plains Riparian (Cottonwood, Willow, Aspen, Maple, Poplar, Russian Olive, Tamarisk)
☐ Mountain Riparian (Cottonwood, Willow, Blue Spruce)
☐ Forest Land (Spruce, Fir, Ponderosa Pine, Lodgepole Pine, Juniper, Pinyon, Aspen)
☐ Wetlands Aquatic (Bullrush, Sedge, Cattail, Arrowhead)
☐ Alpine (above timberline)
☐ Other (describe): _____

WATER RESOURCES

Is this a sensitive area: ☐ No ☒ Yes

Distance to nearest

downgradient surface water feature: 732 Feet

water well: 1814 Feet

Estimated depth to ground water at Oil and Gas Location 5 Feet

Basis for depth to groundwater and sensitive area determination:

Distance to water well measured to permit # 55440- -. Sensitive water determination based on depth to ground water being <20'.
Depth to ground water based on surface owner's estimate.

Is the location in a riparian area: ☒ No ☐ Yes

Was an Army Corps of Engineers Section 404 permit filed ☒ No ☐ Yes If yes attach permit.

Is the location within a Rule 317B Surface Water Supply Area buffer No
zone:

If the location is within a Rule 317B Surface Water Supply Area buffer have all public water supply systems within 15 miles been notified: _____

GROUNDWATER BASELINE SAMPLING AND MONITORING AND WATER WELL SAMPLING

Water well sampling required per Rule 318A

DESIGNATED SETBACK LOCATION EXCEPTIONS

Check all that apply:

- ☐ Rule 604.a.(1)A. Exception Zone (within 500' of Building Unit)
- ☐ Rule 604.b.(1)A. Exception Location (existing or approved Oil & Gas Location now within a Designated Setback as a result of Rule 604.a.)
- ☐ Rule 604.b.(1)B. Exception Location (existing or approved Oil & Gas Location is within a Designated Setback due to Building Unit construction after Location approval)
- ☐ Rule 604.b.(2) Exception Location (SUA or site-specific development plan executed on or before August 1, 2013)
- ☐ Rule 604.b.(3) Exception Location (Building Units constructed after August 1, 2013 within setback per an SUA or site-specific development plan)

RULE 502.b VARIANCE REQUEST

☐ Rule 502.b. Variance Request from COGCC Rule or Spacing Order Number _____

ALL exceptions and variances require attached Request Letter(s). Refer to applicable rule for additional required attachments (e.g. waivers, certifications, SUAs).

OPERATOR COMMENTS AND SUBMITTAL

Comments

Drill cuttings will be land applied at PDC spread fields with COGCC Facility ID 425112, 429629, 430649, 431183, or 434889. The following wells will be drilled from this pad: Churchill 28E-203, 28E-423, 28J-203, 28J-343, 28J-423, 28J-443, 28M-343, 28M-443.

I hereby certify that the statements made in this form are, to the best of my knowledge, true, correct and complete.

Signed: _____ Date: 02/07/2014 Email: liz.lindow@pdce.com

Print Name: Liz Lindow Title: Regulatory Analyst

Based on the information provided herein, this Application for Permit-to-Drill complies with COGCC Rules and applicable orders and is hereby approved.

COGCC Approved:  Director of COGCC Date: 6/30/2014

Conditions Of Approval

All representations, stipulations and conditions of approval stated in this Form 2A for this location shall constitute representations, stipulations and conditions of approval for any and all subsequent operations on the location unless this Form 2A is modified by Sundry Notice, Form 4 or an Amended Form 2A.

COA Type

Description

--	--

Best Management Practices

No BMP/COA Type

Description

1	Planning	604c.(2).E. Multiwell Pads: This 2A application is for a 8-well pad. Entire area was reviewed at length for alternate locations. No other locations were suitable that would provide a greater distance between production facilities and building units. S2NW of Section 33 was reviewed and it was determined that 5 building units would be potentially within the 1000 ft. buffer area and extensive crop damage would occur. Section 33 has a large center pivot and approximately 40 acres would become unusable. No other suitable existing locations are in the area.
2	Planning	604c.(2).V. Development From Existing Well Pads: An existing pad was not available to utilize to develop these wells.
3	Planning	604.c.(2).W. Site Specific Measures: Vehicle tracking pads will be utilized at pad site to control mud and sediment from leaving pad construction site. 24 hour supervision will be provided for drilling and completion operations. Traffic will be directed as to minimize any congestion on county roads. Water will be used for dust abatement on dirt access road. Lighting will be provided during drilling and completion operations to ensure worker safety and compliance with all regulations. To the extent practicable, site lighting shall be directed downward and inward and shielded so as to avoid glare on public roads and building units within one thousand (1000) feet. Sound wall along north and west perimeter will also provide light mitigation.
4	Planning	604c.(2).I. BOPE Testing for Drilling Operations: PDC's contractors will supply a double ram-5000' PSI rated BOPE (Blinds and pipes) and always function test BOPE's prior to placement on the well head and inspect and replace all seals and ram block rubbers. After installation of the BOPE, PDCE conducts a pressure test on the BOPE at a low pressure of (200-400 psi) and a high pressure test to the maximum amount of the BOPE rating with a third party tester, all tests are digitally recorded.
5	Planning	604c.(2).J. BOPE for Well Servicing Operations: All valves will also be tested to maximum rating by a third party prior to being delivered to location. Whenever snubbing operations are being used the snubbing stack will be pressure tested at the same time the BOPE is being tested which consist of a single pipe ram and a annular bag.
6	Planning	604c.(2).L. Drill Stem Tests: PDC does not conduct drill stem tests, but will seek prior approval from the director if a drill stem test will be preformed.

7	Planning	604c.(2).U. Identification of Plugged and Abandoned Wells: Pursuant to rule 319.a. (5)., once the well has been plugged and abandoned, PDC will identify the location of the wellbore with a permanent monument that will detail the well name and date of plugging.
8	Traffic control	604c.(2).D. Traffic Plan: If required by the local government, a traffic plan will be coordinated with the local jurisdiction prior to commencement of operations.
9	General Housekeeping	604c.(2).N. Control of Fire Hazards: PDC will ensure that any material that might be deemed a fire hazard will be will remain no less than twenty-five (25) feet from the wellhead(s), tanks and separator(s). PDC installs automation equipment for tank level and pressure monitoring inside the bermed area that complies with API RP 500 classifications and with the current national electrical code as adopted by the State of Colorado.
10	General Housekeeping	604c.(2).P. Removal of Surface Trash: A commercial size trash bin for removing debris will be located on site. This bin will be for use by all parties affiliated with the operation.
11	General Housekeeping	604c.(2).T. Well Site Cleared: The wellsite will be cleared of all non-essential equipment within ninety (90) days after all wells associated with the pad have been plugged and abandoned.
12	Storm Water/Erosion Control	This Stormwater Management Plan contains required elements associated with PDC's construction activities, as defined in the CDPS General Permit for Stormwater Discharges Associated with Construction Activity, Authorization to Discharge Under the Colorado Discharge Permit System (Permit No. COR-030000, re-issued and effective July 1, 2007).BMPs for sediment and erosion control will be accomplished through a combination of construction techniques, vegetation and re-vegetation, administrative controls, and structural features.
13	Material Handling and Spill Prevention	604c.(2).F. Leak Detection Plan: See attached.
14	Material Handling and Spill Prevention	604c.(2).K. Pit Level Indicators: PDC uses an Electronic Drilling Recorder (EDR) with pit level monitor(s) and alarm(s) for production rigs. Basic level gages are used on steel pits utilized for the surface rig.
15	Construction	604c.(2).S. Access Roads: PDC will utilize the lease access road from WCR 53 for drilling operations and maintenance equipment. The road will be properly constructed and maintained to accommodate for local emergency vehicle access. PDC will be working with Weld County on traffic planning.
16	Construction	604c.(2).M. Fencing Requirements: The completed wellsites will be surrounded with a fence and gate. PDC personnel will monitor the wellsites regularly upon completion of the wells. Authorized representatives and/or PDC personnel shall be on-site during drilling and completion operations.
17	Construction	604c.(2).Q. Guy Line Anchors: Rig guy wires are anchored to the rig's base beam that the rig stands on, temporary and permanent anchors will not be set on this location.

18	Noise mitigation	604c.(2).A. Noise: WELL PAD: PDC has conducted baseline noise surveys for all drilling rigs that are being contracted and has also conducted a baseline noise survey for hydraulic fracture stimulation operations on a representative horizontal well. These baseline surveys are utilized for site specific noise modeling to determine if any mitigation measures are warranted. A review was conducted to identify potential receptors within 1000 feet of the proposed Churchill 28J-HZ pad site. There are 4 building units of concern are located north of the proposed pad at a distance of approximately 720, 725, 740 and 880 feet and 2 building units of concern located west of proposed pad at a distance of approximately 630 and 787 feet. As a result, noise modeling was conducted for the proposed pad located in the SENW Section 28 – T 5N – R 64W. Based on the results, projected noise levels would not exceed the Light Industrial Zone standard of 65 decibels (db) at the receptor locations during drilling operations. Results do indicate that noise levels would exceed 65 decibels 350 feet to the north-west during completion operations. Therefore, mitigation will be necessary for the Churchill 28J-HZ pad and will be installed prior to drilling and completion operations. Methods of noise mitigation shall include full blanket soundwalls on the north and west sides of the pad site at a height adequate to reduce noise.
19	Drilling/Completion Operations	604c.(2).O. Loadlines: All loadlines shall be bullplugged or capped.

Total: 19 comment(s)

Attachment Check List

<u>Att Doc Num</u>	<u>Name</u>
1668368	ACCESS ROAD MAP
1668369	HYDROLOGY MAP
1668370	LOCATION DRAWING
1668371	NOTIFICATION ZONE MAP
1668372	FACILITY LAYOUT DRAWING
1668385	RULE 306.E. CERTIFICATION
1668386	CORRESPONDENCE
400532074	FORM 2A SUBMITTED
400532093	LOCATION PICTURES
400532094	MULTI-WELL PLAN
400532096	NRCS MAP UNIT DESC
400534881	OTHER
400540626	REFERENCE AREA MAP
400540627	REFERENCE AREA PICTURES
400548756	OTHER
400552006	WASTE MANAGEMENT PLAN

Total Attach: 16 Files

General Comments

<u>User Group</u>	<u>Comment</u>	<u>Comment Date</u>
Permit	Final review complete.	6/30/2014 7:31:46 AM
OGLA	Operator provided Rule 306.e. Certification Letter that also details the changes to the location and its construction as a result of the meetings with nearby neighbors. OGLA review complete and task passed.	6/26/2014 9:04:01 AM
OGLA	IN PROCESS - Form was reviewed based on the updated information provided by the Operator and an OGLA site visit conducted on 3/6/14. The Public Comments expressing concern over having a large production facility in close proximity to several nearby Building Unit owners have been mitigated by the operator who has agreed to move the production facilities to a remote location to the south. OGLA review completed. Waiting on Rule 306.e Certification letter from operator.	6/20/2014 10:35:19 AM

OGLA	On June 18, 2014, operator sent an email indicating that "After many meetings with the building unit owners, land owners, the Weld County Commissioners, and the Town of Kersey, it has been decided to move all facilities off of this location. The new production facility for this location will be further south and will be permitted under document #400629171. This new location for the production facilities will be submitted to the COGCC soon." Operator also provided revised attachments reflecting the removal of all production facilities from this proposed location.	6/20/2014 9:12:00 AM
Permit	Opr reports that they continue to work with Weld County Commissioners, the town of Kersey, and the subdivision on an amicable solution.	4/14/2014 7:39:06 AM
OGLA	Site visit on 3/6/14. Met with surface owner Richard Churchill. Land Use is Rangeland, not Dry land.	3/11/2014 10:05:26 AM
OGLA	<p>The following comment was received by COGCC via e-mail 3/3/14, although the 20 day comment period for this application expired at the end of 3/2/14, PDC indicated to recipients of the OGLA Notice that the comment period went through 3/3/14, therefore, this comment is considered timely received.</p> <p>March 3, 2014</p> <p>To Whom It May Concern:</p> <p>Well, here is a posting that I have been dreading to write. I feel like this will be a waste of my valuable time as it will fall on deaf ears. Let me begin by saying that I am not anti-gas and oil. I would, however, prefer to keep more of it in the United States. Our costs here continue to increase, while we sell it somewhere else to make a profit. On a recent trip to Denver, I was disheartened to see all the "sites" of other oil facilities, storage tanks, etc. I still haven't seen one that is as big as the one going in south of Rangeview Drive in Kersey. What I really noticed was the lack of those things once we were out of Weld County. Why the heaviest concentration in Weld County? Now, my personal dilemma is about this enormous facility going in behind my house.</p> <p>I am so frustrated with this whole process. I don't even know why the homeowners in the buffer zone were notified that this facility is going to be built, other than by law it was required. Perhaps it was to notify us that in the event of a fire or other mishap, our homes will be destroyed or ruined. Maybe that certified letter was a forewarning that our home values have now plummeted and will be impossible to sell. After a discussion with some representatives from PDC, I think it was to tell us that we (the homeowners along Rangeview Drive) have no rights or value. The Greeley Tribune recently reported that PDC made 241 million last year. The Churchills, who have land and mineral rights, stand to make a mint. They can now afford to move without having to sell their home. All of the literal blood, sweat, and tears that have gone into my home in particular have been in vain. We tried to get PDC to address some of our concerns. They promised phone calls, a tour of a similar facility, blue prints, and more information on sound barriers. Some of the other questions I personally had in a meeting with them were vaguely answered, leaving me with unanswered questions. We asked if we could possibly move it south by even 1000 feet just to help us out a little. That has been shot down because it will be too costly for them and more of an inconvenience. Heaven forbid they don't make as many millions.</p>	3/4/2014 7:44:27 AM

	<p>Another concern I have, is the ability for the town of Kersey to grow in the future. It is my understanding that nothing can ever be built on top of this type facility in the fortunate event that the eyesore is ever torn down. PDC was not even going to give courtesy notification to the town of Kersey. I am sure PDC is dismayed to learn that we told their dirty little secret. The hands of the town are tied too, I have been informed. Our neighbors on Rangeview Drive that are not in the buffer zone, were given notification of this public comment period only because we asked for it. I read one of my neighbors' letters and I have to admit that it made me giggle in that sick, not so funny, way. PDC tried to explain that these other homeowners were being notified out of good neighborly gestures and not by law. I have yet to witness any good neighbor characteristic from PDC. We have been told in so many words, that the hammer has fallen and we can't get out of the way.</p> <p>Someone, please, hear our pleas and distress. At least try to help us and work to make this miserable situation more bearable.</p> <p>Sincerely,</p> <p>Tina Wert</p>	
OGLA	<p>The following comment was made on each of the related APDs for this proposed Oil and Gas Location and is included here because it does not raise concerns about "downhole" issues, but rather, surface issues:</p> <p>As a property owner within very close proximity to this proposed well site I have numerous concerns. Let me start out saying that I am not "anti-oil". I own several vehicles that would be considered gas guzzlers, so I know how important the oil and gas industry is. I don't even have a major concern with where these wells will be located. The exception I have is with the IMMENSE size of this operation so close to a subdivision and small town. It is absolutely absurd to have 32 tanks in someone's backyard! Building something this massive as close to a populated area as it will be is ridiculous. This size of facility should be out where there are no houses in close proximity. I have read in your GOALS and MISSION that "your authority does not extend to the protection of private property interests, including property value." Your mission is to protect the rights of the mineral owners, and screw any property owners. As far as I can tell, you only care about getting as much oil and gas from the ground as possible and whatever you have to destroy in the process, you just don't care. You say you won't damage anyone's livelihood (farmers and their irrigation circles), but yet you have no problem destroying property values, which in effect destroys those homeowners who are just barely getting by. When I talked with one of your reps at a recent meeting, I mentioned the fact that his company (PDC) would be making millions, the mineral rights owners (Churchill) will be making millions, and those of us near the huge well site would LOSE money because our property values would go down. He acted like he thought it was ridiculous that I had an issue with that. There are numerous studies from Colorado, as well as other states where drilling is exploding, that indicate that property values within 1 mile of a well site will drop anywhere from 7-22%. I can guarantee with a site this BIG, it will be closer to the 22%. I would love for someone at PDC to call and tell me that if their property value went down that much, FOR ANY REASON, that they would be happy about it. I implore you to PLEASE have some consideration for those of us who will be financially impacted in a negative way. Those same studies also indicate that mortgage companies are beginning to be very cautious about lending money to purchase a property within close proximity to a well site, even a small one, not to mention a HUGE one like this. So regardless of what my property might be worth before or after the drilling, if no one can get a loan to buy it, it might as well be worthless. Does PDC really just not care about this? How do you people sleep at night?? According to a recent story in USA Today, even the CEO of Exxon-Mobile doesn't want it in his backyard. He is suing a company to keep them from building a</p>	<p>3/3/2014 11:12:57 AM</p>

	<p>water tower in close proximity to his house to provide water for fracking. And he makes millions (billions?) from that fracking process!!!! And a water tower is nowhere near as dangerous or ugly as 32 tanks. I have a water tower very close to my property and I have no problem with it.</p> <p>I am convinced that none of these comments against this facility being built will even be considered. So, that being said, there are several options that I can see PDC can have if they want to be a "good neighbor" and in good spirit, help these homeowners out. Here are some things PDC can do to mitigate the extremely negative consequences to the homeowners:</p> <p>1.MOVE THIS HUGE FACILITY SOMEWHERE ELSE.</p> <p>2.Reduce the size of the facility – 32 tanks is just too much!!!</p> <p>3.Buy out the subdivision at current values and then sell the properties for the lower property values to people who don't mind living next to a massive oil and gas well site, if they can find any.</p> <p>4.Compensate us for the loss in our property values, perhaps giving each of the 13 homeowners approximately 20% of our current property value. IF we can then sell our property at a reduced rate, our loss would be somewhat minimized.</p> <p>5.Move the facility further south, away from this subdivision AND,</p> <p>6.At the absolute MINIMUM, PDC should plant a living fence of ADULT trees (no bare roots) in a line between the subdivision and the well site to minimize being able to see or hear the facility. We were told at the meeting that if they planted the trees they would not maintain (water) them. I see no reason why PDC could not maintain them until they were established enough that they would not die.</p> <p>Given that PDC netted \$241 million in 2013, in part because we are consumers of oil and gas, I see no reason why you can't do any or a combination of any of these options. Please give us some consideration of what these 13 homeowners will lose if this facility is built.</p>		
OGLA	<p>The following Public Comment was posted by Nicolett Darling to the related Form 2 (Doc #400532097) on 2/22/14. It is added to this Form 2A as it concerns the location of this proposed oil and gas well pad.</p> <p>I am writing to voice my opposition to the proposed Churchill 28J-HZ permit in its current form. I was pleased to read the permit is currently "on hold", and hope this means PDC intends to be more responsive to the concerns of the nearby residents who will be affected by the increased traffic, noise, lights, fumes, etc. I read in the Friday, Feb. 21, 2014 Greeley Tribune that PDC is claiming record profits. It should be possible for them to negotiate a surface use agreement with the landowner which would move the proposed drilling site further from people's homes, and alleviate PDC's exposure to possible injunctive relief. I am a homeowner in Rangeview Ranches, Kersey, Colorado, and once again, I appreciate the fact that the permit is currently "on hold".</p>	2/24/2014 7:47:55 AM	
OGLA	<p>Form 2A placed ON HOLD at the request of the operator while they work through the concerns with nearby Building Unit owners.</p>	2/21/2014 10:04:31 AM	

OGLA	<p>The following Public Comment was posted by Curtis Larsen to the related Form 2 (Doc #400532351) on 2/19/14. It is added to this Form 2A as it concerns the location of this proposed oil and gas well pad.</p> <p>As homeowners located within the 1000' buffer zone, we are very dismayed at the location choice. At least six homes will be directly facing this proposed huge facility, although not all are within the 1000' ft buffer zone. Several homeowners have horses and livestock sensitive to noise and disruptions. The noise, lights, truck traffic will have a very pronounced negative effect on our quality of life. Increased heavy truck traffic on road 53 will pose safety concerns when trucks slow to turn into the site from the two lane road. (The only road into Kersey from the south). Homeowners in the neighborhood are justifiably worried about their property values decreasing because of the proximity of the site. We question the reasoning behind locating such a large facility so close to a neighborhood! We also realize money is the driving force behind these decisions, but should money trump homeowners' rights to enjoyment of life? The site is slated to be a 24/7 operation. The workers there get to go home, away from the scene, but those of us in such close proximity have to live with it ALWAYS. Throughout the whole permitting process, we (landowners in the area) have felt steamrolled and helpless; any concerns have been brushed aside as unimportant or trivial. We realize oil and gas is vital to the economy, we are not against it, just the very poor choice of location. Is this facility really necessary at this location?</p>	2/21/2014 10:01:39 AM
Permit	Received notice of public comment objecting to locaiton of large facility. Comment not yet posted to APDs.	2/20/2014 11:06:21 AM
Permit	Preliminary review complete.	2/12/2014 1:02:14 PM
Permit	Passed completeness.	2/10/2014 3:21:51 PM
Permit	Returned to draft: Missing attached Facility Layout Drawing required by Rule 303.b.(3)J.i.	2/10/2014 2:16:46 PM

Total: 15 comment(s)