

STATE OF
COLORADO

Krabacher - DNR, Jay <jay.krabacher@state.co.us>

**RECEIVED**

JAN 06 2014

COGCC/Rifle Office

Government 29-3

2 messages

Mon, Jan 6, 2014 at 3:59 PM

Graeve, Mark <Mark.Graeve@wpenergy.com>

To: "Krabacher, Jay" <Jay.Krabacher@state.co.us>

Cc: "Bob.Koehler@state.co.us" <Bob.Koehler@state.co.us>, "Bittner, Tyler" <Tyler.Bittner@wpenergy.com>, "Davis, Gregory" <Gregory.Davis@wpenergy.com>

Jay,

We just spoke on the phone about the Government 29-3, API # 05-103-09252, and we will move forward with using a cement plug to isolate the production interval. The bottom of plug will be at least 100' from the top perforation. With your verbal approval given to me today, 1/6/2014, we will set this plug to satisfy 326.B.1.A, "Isolation of the wellbore with a bridge plug or similar approved isolating device set one hundred (100) feet or less above the highest perforations." After completing all work, we will schedule the Mechanical Integrity Test using the appropriate forms.

When you have a moment, please respond to this email so that we may keep a record of your verbal approval.

Thank you,

Mark Graeve

Operations Engineer II

WPX Energy Rocky Mountain, LLC

970.379.5857

Mark.Graeve@wpenergy.com

WPXENERGY.**Krabacher - DNR, Jay** <jay.krabacher@state.co.us>

Mon, Jan 6, 2014 at 4:30 PM

To: "Graeve, Mark" <Mark.Graeve@wpenergy.com>

Cc: "Bob.Koehler@state.co.us" <Bob.Koehler@state.co.us>, "Bittner, Tyler" <Tyler.Bittner@wpenergy.com>, "Davis, Gregory" <Gregory.Davis@wpenergy.com>

Mark:

Yes, when you proposed the alternative (cement plug, minimum 100' in length) instead of a bridge plug (or similar) I concurred that this was an adequate substitution.


API: 103-09252

Regards,

Jay Krabacher
[Quoted text hidden]

-

Jay Krabacher
N W Area Engineer
C O G C C



cosas son mas como son ahora que ellas siempre fueron