

FREMONT ENVIRONMENTAL INC.

October 15, 2013

Mr. Jacob Evans
Noble Energy
1625 Broadway, Suite 2000
Denver, CO 80202

Subject: **Excavation Report**
 Prebish #2
 SWNW Sec 20, T4N, R64W
 API # 05-123-12068
 Weld County, Colorado
 Fremont Project No. C013-029
 Facility ID# 322794

Dear Mr. Evans:

Enclosed please find a copy of the above referenced Excavation Report for the Prebish #2 site in Weld County, Colorado. The enclosed report describes excavation actions to remove impacted soil from the site. Please contact me at (303) 956-8714 if you require any additional information.

Fremont appreciates the opportunity to provide this service.

Sincerely,
FREMONT ENVIRONMENTAL INC.



Paul V. Henahan, P.E.
Senior Consultant

Enclosure

EXCAVATION REPORT
NOBLE ENERGY INC.
PREBISH #2
WELD COUNTY, COLORADO
FREMONT PROJECT NO. C013-029

Prepared by:

Fremont Environmental Inc.
12061 Pennsylvania Street, Suite B-101
Thornton, CO 80241
(303) 956-8714

October 15, 2013

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0 INTRODUCTION	1
2.0 BACKGROUND INFORMATION	1
2.1 Site Location	1
2.2 Site History	1
3.0 FIELD ACTIVITIES	2
4.0 DISCUSSION	5
5.0 REMARKS	6

Table

Table 1: Summary of Petroleum Constituents in Soil Chemistry Data

Figures

Figure 1: Site Location Map

Figure 2: Site Map

Figure 3: Excavation and Sample Locations for Laboratory Analyses

Appendices

Appendix A: Laboratory Documentation

EXCAVATION REPORT
NOBLE ENERGY INC.
PREBISH #2
WELD COUNTY, COLORADO
FREMONT PROJECT NO. C013-029

1.0 INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this document is to present information collected during the excavation of petroleum-impacted soil at Prebish #2 release location in Weld County, Colorado. This work was completed between September 20 and September 26, 2013.

2.0 BACKGROUND INFORMATION

2.1 Site Location

The Prebish #2 facility is located approximately six miles south of Kersey, Colorado in Weld County as shown on Figure 1. The site includes one storage tank as well as separation and metering equipment.

The facility is located in an agricultural area 0.4 miles south of County Road 44 and 0.1 miles southeast of County Road 51. The location is further described as the SW $\frac{1}{4}$ of the NW $\frac{1}{4}$ of section 20, township 4N, range 64W. A Site Map is included as Figure 2.

2.2 Site History

The site is a natural gas production and oil storage facility for the Prebish #2 natural gas well. This well was drilled in 1985 to a depth of approximately 7,100 feet. Soil impacts were recently identified at the facility during replacement of the produced water vault.

Limited excavation of impacted soil adjacent to the water vault was conducted during the initial pit removal. Ground water was present in the excavation at a depth of approximately five feet.

3.0 FIELD ACTIVITIES

Prior to the excavation of this site, 13 monitoring wells were installed to delineate the magnitude and extent of subsurface impacts. These monitoring wells indicated that the soil in this area was predominantly silt and clay, the depth to ground water was approximately five to six feet, and that the area of impacted soil was fairly localized near and to the southeast of the water vault. Since the soil was mostly silt and clay and the extent of impacted soil was limited, Noble determined that the most effective means of remediating this site would be through soil excavation.

Between September 20 and September 26, 2013, remediation efforts at the site consisted of the excavation of petroleum-impacted soil. Although ground water was present in the monitoring wells, the flow into the open excavation was very limited. As a result, the excavation was able to proceed to a maximum depth of eight feet where clean soil was encountered near the former water vault. The excavated area is shown on Figures 2 and 3.

Excavation was initiated near the former concrete water vault and proceeded to the north and south within the bermed area until clean side wall samples were observed on the second day of excavation. On subsequent days, soil removal continued to the east and southeast until clean sidewalls were observed in all directions.

A total of 1,780 cubic yards of petroleum impacted soil were removed by B&G Oilfield Services Inc. from the location over a four day period. The impacted soil was disposed

of at the Waste Management Inc. Buffalo Ridge landfill in Keenesburg, Colorado as non-hazardous waste.

A photoionization detector (PID) was used to field screen soil samples during the excavation. The instrument was calibrated with a 100 ppm isobutylene standard. Based on the field screening results, 15 soil samples were collected from the side walls and floor of the excavation to confirm that impacted soil had been removed. The laboratory analyses indicated that all of these samples had concentrations that were less than the Colorado Oil and Gas Conservation Commission (COGCC) limits. An additional soil sample, denoted ?-6', was collected from an area of heavily stained but weathered soil at a depth of six feet. Field personnel were curious about the magnitude of the petroleum impact in this material. The laboratory data indicated this sample exceeded the COGCC limits for both benzene (0.541 mg/kg) and total petroleum hydrocarbons (818 mg/kg).

Most of the soil samples had a two day turnaround time from the laboratory although as the project neared completion, a one day turnaround was requested. Therefore, if the analysis from a sample indicated that it did not pass the COGCC criteria, additional excavation could be undertaken the following day (or days) prior to the backfilling of an area. The locations of the soil samples are shown on Figure 3.

The side wall samples were collected as grab samples near the lower/middle portion of the excavation wall at depths ranging from five to eight feet depending on the floor depths. Only one floor sample was collected during the excavation. This floor sample was collected before it became clear that ground water was seeping into the excavation at a slow rate.

The soil samples were analyzed by eAnalytics Laboratory, Inc. of Loveland, Colorado for benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene and xylenes (BTEX), naphthalene, Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons – Gasoline Range Organics (TPH-GRO) by EPA method 8260C, and TPH – Diesel Range Organics (TPH-DRO) by EPA method 8015. The laboratory reports and chain-of-custody documentation are included in Appendix A.

A summary of the laboratory data is included in Table 1. This table shows the PID value and laboratory analyses for each soil sample. In addition, a column stating whether the laboratory analyses passed or failed the COGCC limits is provided. The laboratory analyses indicated that all of the 15 sidewall and floor samples collected achieved the COGCC Table 910-1 limits. Soil sample “?-6” was collected to determine the approximate magnitude of the heavily stained soil in the excavation.

A daily summary of the excavation work is provided below:

September 20, 2013 (Day 1) – Excavation of the site was initiated near the location of the former concrete water pit and remained within the bermed area as shown on Figure 2. Soil removal was conducted to the north and the south of the former pit. Four wall samples (1-7’, 3-7’, 4-5’, and 5-6’) and one floor sample (2-8’) were collected and submitted to the laboratory during the first day of excavation. Approximately 470 cubic yards of impacted soil were removed and transported to the landfill. Monitoring wells MW-1 and MW-2 were destroyed during the excavation work.

September 24, 2013 (Day 2) - The excavation proceeded east almost to the location of monitoring well MW-3, which is immediately west of the meter building. Excavation continued to the north until a clean wall was determined in that direction. Four wall samples (6-6’, 7-5’, 8-7’, and ?-6’) were collected and submitted to the laboratory. As noted above, the sample denoted ?-6’ was intended to provide some information on the magnitude of this heavily stained soil sample. Approximately 460 cubic yards of

impacted soil were removed and transported to the landfill. The location of the soil samples are illustrated on Figure 3. The PID values and laboratory analyses are provided on Table 1.

September 25, 2013 (Day 3) - Excavation continued to the east over the entire width of the first two day's excavation. Soil removal continued towards the separation equipment and meter building; however, the excavation never extended that far. Monitoring well MW-3 was destroyed during the excavation work.

Three wall samples (9-5', 10-8', and 11-6') were collected and submitted to the laboratory. Approximately 480 cubic yards of impacted soil were removed and transported to the landfill. The locations of the soil samples are illustrated on Figure 3. The PID values and laboratory analyses are provided on Table 1.

September 26, 2013 (Day 4) - The final day of excavation continued north from the Day 3 excavation area. A clean wall was encountered just north of the lease access road. Four wall samples (12-6', 13-5', 14-5' and 15-5') were collected and submitted to the laboratory. Approximately 370 cubic yards of impacted soil were removed from the excavation on August 23rd and transported to the landfill.

4.0 DISCUSSION

As demonstrated by the soil sampling, the impacted soil was removed from the site by excavation. This was confirmed by the analyses of the soil samples collected from the excavation sidewalls which were below the COGCC Table 910-1 concentrations. However, ground water was encountered during the excavation; therefore, monitoring wells will need to be installed and/or replaced at this site to continue to evaluate the ground water quality. Monitoring wells MW-1, MW-2, MW-3 were destroyed during

the excavation work. In addition, MW-4, MW-5 and MW-6, which were located just outside the tank's earthen berm, may have been damaged or destroyed during the facility rebuilding work. Installation and monitoring of the wells will be discussed in separate reporting.

5.0 REMARKS

The discussion and conclusions contained in this report represent our professional opinions. These opinions are based on currently available information and are arrived at in accordance with currently accepted hydrogeologic and engineering practices at this time and location. Other than this, no warranty is implied or intended.

This report was prepared by **FREMONT ENVIRONMENTAL INC.**

 For MWA

10/15/13

Date_____

Wayne Austin

Construction Consultant

Reviewed by:



10/15/13

Date_____

Paul V. Henahan, P.E.

Senior Consultant



TABLE

TABLE 1
SUMMARY OF SOIL CHEMISTRY DATA
NOBLE ENERGY INC.
PREBISH #2, WELD COUNTY, COLORADO
FREMONT PROJECT NO. C013-029

Sample	Depth (ft)	Date Sampled	Location	Pass or Fail	PID (ppm)	Benzene mg/kg	Toluene mg/kg	Ethyl-Benzene mg/kg	Xylenes mg/kg	Naphthalene mg/kg	TPH GRO mg/kg	TPH DRO mg/kg
1-7'	7	9/20/2013	Sidewall	Pass	0	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<50	<50
2-8'	8	9/20/2013	Floor	Pass	1	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<50	<50
3-7'	7	9/20/2013	Sidewall	Pass	1	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<50	<50
4-5'	5	9/20/2013	Sidewall	Pass	1	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<50	<50
5-6'	6	9/20/2013	Sidewall	Pass	1	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<50	<50
6-6'	6	9/24/2013	Sidewall	Pass	16	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<50	<50
7-5'	5	9/24/2013	Sidewall	Pass	8	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<50	<50
8-7'	7	9/24/2013	Sidewall	Pass	13	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<50	<50
?-6'	6	9/24/2013	Sidewall	Fail	1540	0.541	0.011	3.41	30.8	0.37	548	270
9-5'	5	9/25/2013	Sidewall	Pass	0	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<50	<50
10-8'	8	9/25/2013	Sidewall	Pass	9	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<50	<50
11-6'	6	9/25/2013	Sidewall	Pass	14	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<50	<50
12-6'	6	9/26/2013	Sidewall	Pass	10	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<50	<50
13-5'	5	9/26/2013	Sidewall	Pass	0	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<50	<50
14-5'	5	9/26/2013	Sidewall	Pass	1	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<50	<50
15-5'	5	9/26/2013	Sidewall	Pass	17	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<50	<50
COGCC Table 910 Limits						0.17	85	100	175	23	500	500

Bold faced values exceed the COGCC Table 910-1 concentrations

FIGURES



USGS 7.5 MINUTE SERIES (TOPOGRAPHIC)

Figure 1
SITE LOCATION MAP

Noble - Prebich #2
SW NW Section 20, T4N, R64W
Weld County, Colorado

Project No.
C013-029

Prepared by

Drawn by
JMA

Date

8/8/13

Reviewed by

Filename
13029T





LEGEND

	MONITORING WELL
	FENCE LINE
	BERM
	PIPELINE
	ABOVE GROUND STORAGE TANK
	SOIL SAMPLE LOCATION

Figure 2

SITE MAP

Noble - Prebish #2
SW NW Section 20, T4N, R64W
Weld County, Colorado

Project No.
C013-029

Prepared by

Drawn by
JMA

Date
10/16/13

Reviewed by

Filename
13029Q



APPENDIX A

LABORATORY DOCUMENTATION

Certificate of Analysis



September 24, 2013

Client: Fremont Environmental
PO Box 1289
Wellington CO 80549

Project: Prebish #2

Lab ID: 092004

Date Received: 09/20/13

Number of Samples Received: 5

Sample Condition: Samples arrived intact and in appropriate sample containers

Sample Temperature: Within acceptable range of 2-6° C, or as specified in EPA Method

Analysis	EPA Method	Lab ID on COC
BTEX / Nap	8260C	1 - 5
TPH - GRO/DRO	8260C/8015C	1 - 5

All quality control analyses associated with the requested analyses were satisfactorily passed before the samples were run. If you have any questions please give us a call, we are happy to help.

Thank you for allowing eAnalytics Laboratory to provide laboratory services for you, we truly appreciate your business.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Chris Dieken".

Christopher Dieken
Quality Assurance Manager

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Todd Rhea".

Todd Rhea
Laboratory Manager

eAnalytics Laboratory
(970) 667-6975
info@eAnalyticsLab.com



A2LA & Department of Defense (DoD) Certified

eANALYTICS
LABORATORY

September 24, 2013

[illegible]

eANALYTICS: Environmental testing made Easy

Page 4 of 7

eANALYTICS
LABORATORY

September 24, 2013

EPA Method: 8260C	BTEX / Nap
8260C/8015C	TPH - GRO/DRO

[illegible]

eANALYTICS
LABORATORY

September 24, 2013

Project: Prebish #2

Lab ID: 092004

EPA Method: 8260C

[illegible]

Certificate of Analysis

Quality Control
Analysis

eANALYTICS
LABORATORY

September 24, 2013

Client: Fremont Environmental
PO Box 1289
Wellington CO 80549

Project: Prebish #2

Lab ID: 092004

Matrix: SOIL
Batch ID: EA 09-20-13

EPA Method: 8260C
8260C/8015C BTEX / Nap
TPH - GRO/DRO

Sample Name	Benzene % Rec	Toluene % Rec	Ethyl- Benzene % Rec	Total Xylenes % Rec	Naph- thalene % Rec	GRO C ₆ -C ₁₀ % Rec	DRO C ₁₀ -C ₂₈ % Rec	Date Analyzed	Lab ID
LCS	90	99	90	98	96	93	91	09/20/13	L 09/20/13
(70-130%)									
CCV	92	98	95	95	97	92	95	09/20/13	C 09/20/13
(80-120%)									
Reagent Blank	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 50	< 50	09/20/13	RB 09/20/13
	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg		

Matrix: SOIL
Batch ID: EA 09-23-13

EPA Method: 8260C
8260C/8015C BTEX / Nap
TPH - GRO/DRO

Sample Name	Benzene % Rec	Toluene % Rec	Ethyl- Benzene % Rec	Total Xylenes % Rec	Naph- thalene % Rec	GRO C ₆ -C ₁₀ % Rec	DRO C ₁₀ -C ₂₈ % Rec	Date Analyzed	Lab ID
LCS	103	100	96	90	94	98	101		L 09/23/13
(70-130%)									
CCV	99	96	91	94	100	96	97		C 09/23/13
(80-120%)									
Reagent Blank	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 50	< 50		RB 09/23/13
	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg		

Certificate of Analysis



September 26, 2013

Client: Fremont Environmental
PO Box 1289
Wellington CO 80549

Project: Prebish #2

Lab ID: 092402

Date Received: 09/24/13

Number of Samples Received: 4

Sample Condition: Samples arrived intact and in appropriate sample containers

Sample Temperature: Within acceptable range of 2-6° C, or as specified in EPA Method

Analysis	EPA Method	Lab ID on COC
BTEX / Nap	8260C	1 - 4
TPH - GRO/DRO	8260C/8015C	1 - 4

All quality control analyses associated with the requested analyses were satisfactorily passed before the samples were run. If you have any questions please give us a call, we are happy to help.

Thank you for allowing eAnalytics Laboratory to provide laboratory services for you, we truly appreciate your business.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Chris Dieken".

Christopher Dieken
Quality Assurance Manager

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Todd Rhea".

Todd Rhea
Laboratory Manager

eAnalytics Laboratory
(970) 667-6975
info@eAnalyticsLab.com



A2LA & Department of Defense (DoD) Certified

eANALYTICS
LABORATORY

September 26, 2013

eANALYTICS LABORATORY														
1767 Rocky Mountain Avenue Loveland CO 80538				Phone: (970) 667-6975		Fax: (970) 669-0941		www.eAnalyticsLab.com						
CLIENT INFORMATION (*New Clients please fill out completely)					ANALYSIS INFORMATION (Select analysis by checking box on corresponding sample line)									
Company: Fremont Environmental					Number of Containers	Matrix: (S) Soil (W) Water (V) Vapor (O) Other	BTEN (EPA 8260)	BTEN Naphthalene (EPA 8260)	TPH - GRO/DRO (EPA 8260/8015)	SAR (US Dept of Ag Method 20B)	EC (US Dept of Ag Method 3)	pH (EPA 9045D)	Other Analysis	
Project: <i>PROBISH #2 C013-029</i>														
Project Manager: <i>PAUL HANEMAN</i>														
Sampler: <i>WAYNE ASSIN</i>														
Phone/Email:														
Address: P.O. Box 1289 Wellington CO 80549														
Lab ID	Sample Name	Sampling Date/Time												
01	6-6'	9-24	AM / PM	1	S			8	8					
02	7-5'	9-24	AM / PM	1	S			8	8					
			AM / PM											
03	8-7'	9-24	AM / PM	1	S			8	8					
04	9-6'	9-24	AM / PM	1	S			8	8					
			AM / PM											
			AM / PM											
			AM / PM											
			AM / PM											
			AM / PM											
			AM / PM											
			AM / PM											
			AM / PM											
Comments:														
Turnaround Time (Business Days) TAT begins when sample is received by eANALYTICS <input checked="" type="radio"/> Normal (5-10 Days) <input type="radio"/> 3 Day (1.25x) <input type="radio"/> 2 Day (1.5x) <input type="radio"/> 1 Day (2x) <input type="radio"/> Same Day (3x)					Record of Custody Relinquished by: <i>Wayne Assin</i> Company: <i>Fremont</i> Received by: Company: Relinquished by: Company: <i>[Signature]</i> Received by: Company: <i>eANALYTICS</i>					Date: Time: Date: Time: Date: Time: Date: <i>9-24-12</i> Time: <i>3:15</i>				
For eANALYTICS Use Samples Received Intact Received Within Temperature Range (2-6°C) Sample Preservative					Yes / No Yes / No Ice None					Yes / No Acid Other				

eANALYTICS: Environmental testing made Easy

Page 1 of 1

eANALYTICS
LABORATORY

September 26, 2013

EPA Method: 8260C	BTEX / Nap
8260C/8015C	TPH - GRO/DRO

[illegible]

eANALYTICS
LABORATORY

September 26, 2013

Project: Prebish #2

Lab ID: 092402

EPA Method: 8260C

[illegible]

Certificate of Analysis

Quality Control
Analysis

eANALYTICS
LABORATORY

September 26, 2013

Client: Fremont Environmental
PO Box 1289
Wellington CO 80549

Project: Prebish #2

Lab ID: 092402

Matrix: SOIL
Batch ID: EA 09-24-13

EPA Method: 8260C
8260C/8015C BTEX / Nap
TPH - GRO/DRO

Sample Name	Benzene % Rec	Toluene % Rec	Ethyl- Benzene % Rec	Total Xylenes % Rec	Naph- thalene % Rec	GRO C ₆ -C ₁₀ % Rec	DRO C ₁₀ -C ₂₈ % Rec	Date Analyzed	Lab ID
LCS	92	97	97	96	93	101	99	09/24/13	L 09/24/13
(70-130%)									
CCV	99	90	100	91	96	92	93	09/24/13	C 09/24/13
(80-120%)									
Reagent Blank	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 50	< 50	09/24/13	RB 09/24/13
	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg		

Matrix: SOIL
Batch ID: EA 09-25-13

EPA Method: 8260C
8260C/8015C BTEX / Nap
TPH - GRO/DRO

Sample Name	Benzene % Rec	Toluene % Rec	Ethyl- Benzene % Rec	Total Xylenes % Rec	Naph- thalene % Rec	GRO C ₆ -C ₁₀ % Rec	DRO C ₁₀ -C ₂₈ % Rec	Date Analyzed	Lab ID
LCS	102	97	95	103	98	90	97	09/25/13	L 09/25/13
(70-130%)									
CCV	103	99	95	103	99	104	99	09/25/13	C 09/25/13
(80-120%)									
Reagent Blank	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 50	< 50	09/25/13	RB 09/25/13
	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg		

Certificate of Analysis



September 27, 2013

Client: Fremont Environmental
PO Box 1289
Wellington CO 80549

Project: Prebish #2

Lab ID: 092506

Date Received: 09/25/13

Number of Samples Received: 3

Sample Condition: Samples arrived intact and in appropriate sample containers

Sample Temperature: Within acceptable range of 2-6° C, or as specified in EPA Method

Analysis	EPA Method	Lab ID on COC
BTEX / Nap	8260C	1 - 3
TPH - GRO/DRO	8260C/8015C	1 - 3

All quality control analyses associated with the requested analyses were satisfactorily passed before the samples were run. If you have any questions please give us a call, we are happy to help.

Thank you for allowing eAnalytics Laboratory to provide laboratory services for you, we truly appreciate your business.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Chris Dieken".

Christopher Dieken
Quality Assurance Manager

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Todd Rhea".

Todd Rhea
Laboratory Manager

eAnalytics Laboratory
(970) 667-6975
info@eAnalyticsLab.com



A2LA & Department of Defense (DoD) Certified

eANALYTICS
LABORATORY

September 27, 2013

[illegible]Page 1 of 1

eANALYTICS
LABORATORY

September 27, 2013

EPA Method: 8260C	BTEX / Nap
8260C/8015C	TPH - GRO/DRO

[illegible]

eANALYTICS
LABORATORY

September 27, 2013

Project: Prebish #2

Lab ID: 092506

EPA Method: 8260C

[illegible]

Certificate of Analysis



September 27, 2013

Client: Fremont Environmental
PO Box 1289
Wellington CO 80549

Project: Prebish #2

Lab ID: 092604

Date Received: 09/26/13

Number of Samples Received: 3

Sample Condition: Samples arrived intact and in appropriate sample containers

Sample Temperature: Within acceptable range of 2-6° C, or as specified in EPA Method

Analysis	EPA Method	Lab ID on COC
BTEX / Nap	8260C	1 - 3
TPH - GRO/DRO	8260C/8015C	1 - 3

All quality control analyses associated with the requested analyses were satisfactorily passed before the samples were run. If you have any questions please give us a call, we are happy to help.

Thank you for allowing eAnalytics Laboratory to provide laboratory services for you, we truly appreciate your business.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Chris Dieken".

Christopher Dieken
Quality Assurance Manager

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Todd Rhea".

Todd Rhea
Laboratory Manager

eAnalytics Laboratory
(970) 667-6975
info@eAnalyticsLab.com



A2LA & Department of Defense (DoD) Certified

eANALYTICS
LABORATORY

September 27, 2013

[illegible]Page 1 of 1

eANALYTICS
LABORATORY

September 27, 2013

EPA Method: 8260C	BTEX / Nap
8260C/8015C	TPH - GRO/DRO

[illegible]

eANALYTICS
LABORATORY

September 27, 2013

Project: Prebish #2

Lab ID: 092604

EPA Method: 8260C

[illegible]

