

**From:** [Campbell, Patricia](#)  
**To:** [Yokley, Bill](#)  
**Subject:** RE: Question on Parry GU E 2 doc. # 400192052  
**Date:** Tuesday, October 18, 2011 4:07:07 PM

---

Hi Bill,

Thank you for picking this up, you are right.

*Patti Campbell*  
*Regulatory Analyst*  
*(970) 335-3828 (Office)*  
*(970) 335-3738 (Fax)*  
*[patricia.campbell@bhp.com](mailto:patricia.campbell@bhp.com)*

---

**From:** Yokley, Bill [mailto:Bill.Yokley@state.co.us]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 18, 2011 10:22 AM  
**To:** Campbell, Patricia  
**Subject:** Question on Parry GU E 2 doc. # 400192052

Hey Patti,

Trying to work the Parry GU E 2.

Issue: the submitted plat shows the BHL at 1933' FNL the lease map shows the lease not to cover the NENE of the section

Therefore,  $1933 - 1324 = 608'$ . Not the 769' as shown on line #24. Same situation with Rule 112-190 as the Parry GU E 4 for footages from unit boundary and interior lease distances.

Also, the entire mineral lease description should include the SE/4 of section 27 so that the description matches the 240 acres in line # 25.

Please review and clarify.

Thanks,

Bill