

**PROPOSED WATER IMPOUNDMENT  
E&P WASTE MANAGEMENT FACILITY**

**FORM 2A APPLICATION**

**SUBMITTED TO:**

**COLORADO OIL AND GAS CONSERVATION COMMISSION**

---

**PREPARED FOR:**

**DELTA PETROLEUM CORPORATION**

---

**APRIL 2011**

**OA PROJECT No. 010-0722**





April 8, 2011

ATTN: Greg Deranleau & Dave Kubeczko  
Colorado Oil and Gas Conservation Commission  
1120 Lincoln Street, Suite 801  
Denver, CO 80203

RE: Form 2A & Supporting Documents for Delta Petroleum Corporation - North Vega Water Impoundment Facility in Mesa County, Colorado

Mr. Deranleau & Kubeczko,

Olsson Associates (Olsson) was contracted by Delta Petroleum Corporation (Delta) to provide Environmental Engineering and Consulting Services associated with permitting natural gas development operations in Mesa County, Colorado.

On behalf of Delta, I am providing you with the electronic files associated with Delta's Form 2A for the proposed Water Impoundment Facility.

If you have any questions or require additional information, please contact me at the number given below.

Thank you for your attention in this matter,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, reading 'Lorne C. Prescott', with a stylized flourish at the end.

Lorne C. Prescott  
Senior Project Scientist

Enclosures: as stated

## State of Colorado

Oil and Gas Conservation Commission

1120 Lincoln Street, Suite 801, Denver, Colorado 80203 Phone: (303)894-2100 Fax: (303)894-2109



For COGCC Use Only

## Oil and Gas Location Assessment

☒ New Location ☐ Amend Existing Location Location #: \_\_\_\_\_

Submit original plus one copy. This form is to be submitted to the COGCC prior to any ground disturbance activity associated with oil and gas development operations. This Assessment may be approved as a stand alone application or submitted as an informational report accompanying an Application for Permit-To-Drill, Form 2. Approval of this Assessment will allow for the construction of the below specified location; however, it does not supersede any land use rules applied by the local land use authority. This form may serve as notice to land owners and other interested parties, please see the COGCC web site at <http://colorado.gov/cogcc/> for all accompanying information pertinent to this Oil and Gas Location Assessment.

☒ This location assessment is included as part of a permit application.

## 1. Consultation

- ☐ This location is included in a Comprehensive Drilling Plan. CDP # \_\_\_\_\_
- ☐ This location is in a sensitive wildlife habitat area.
- ☐ This location is in a wildlife restricted surface occupancy area.
- ☐ This location includes a Rule 306.d.(1)A.ii. variance request.

## 2. Operator

Operator Number: 16800 Suffix: \_\_\_\_\_

Name: Delta Petroleum Corporation

Address: 370 17th St Suite 4300

City: Denver State: CO Zip: 80202

## 3. Contact Information

Name: Brian Macke

Phone: (303) 575-0386

Fax: (303) 575-0486

email: BMACKE@DELTAPETRO.COM

## Complete the Attachment Checklist

Attachment	Op	COGCC
Location Pictures	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Location Drawing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Hydrology Map	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Access Road Map	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Reference Area Map	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Reference Area Pictures	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
NRCS Map Unit Desc	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Const. Layout Drawings	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Multi-well Plan	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Proposed BMPs	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Sensitive Area Data	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Section 404 Permit	<input type="checkbox"/>	
CDP Conditions	<input type="checkbox"/>	
317B Notification	<input type="checkbox"/>	

## 4. Location Identification:

Name: North Vega Water Impoundment Number: \_\_\_\_\_

County: Mesa

Quarter/Quarter: E1/2NE1/4 Section: 22 Township: 9S Range: 93W Meridian: 6th PM Ground Elevation: 7445

Define a single point as a location reference for the facility location. This point should be used as the point of measurement in the drawings to be submitted with this application. When the location is to be used as a well site then the point shall be a well location.

Footage at surface: 475 feet, from North or South section line: N and 1,385 feet, from East or West section line: W

Latitude: 39.26814 Longitude: 107.75183 PDOP Reading: 3.7 Date of Measurement: 8/9/10

Instrument operator's name: \_\_\_\_\_

## 5. Facilities (Indicate the number of each type of oil and gas facility planned on location)

Wells	Drilling Pits	Special Purpose Pits	Production Pits	1	Multi-Well Pits	Oil Tanks
Condensate Tanks	4	Water Tanks	Separators	LACT Unit	Dehydrator Units	Gas Compressors
Pump Jacks		Cavity Pumps	Electric Motors	Gas or Diesel Motors	Electric Generators	Fuel Tanks
Pigging Station		Gas Pipeline	Oil Pipeline	Water Pipeline	Flare	VOC Combustor

Other: \_\_\_\_\_

## 6. Construction

Date planned to commence construction: 09/2011 Size of disturbed area during construction in acres: 3.84 Is H2S Anticipated: Yes ☐

Estimated date that interim reclamation will begin: 2036 Size of location after interim reclamation in acres: 3.84

Estimated post-construction ground elevation: 7419-7445 Will a closed loop system be used for drilling fluids: Yes ☐

Will salt sections be encountered during drilling: Yes ☐ No ☒ Will salt (>15,000 ppm TDS Cl) or oil based muds be used: Yes ☐ No ☒

Mud disposal: Offsite ☐ Onsite ☐ Method: Land Farming ☐ Land Spreading ☐ Disposal Facility ☐ Other ☐

## 7. Surface Owner

Name: Delta Petroleum Corporation Phone: (303) 575-0386

Address: 370 17th St Suite 4300 Fax: (303) 575-0486

Address: \_\_\_\_\_ email: BMACKE@DELTAPETRO.COM

City: Denver State: CO Zip: 80202 Date of Rule 306 surface owner consultation: \_\_\_\_\_

Surface Owner: ☒ Fee ☐ State ☐ Federal ☐ Indian

Mineral Owner: ☒ Fee ☐ State ☐ Federal ☐ Indian

The surface owner is: ☐ the mineral owner ☐ committed to an oil and gas lease ☐ is the executor of the oil and gas lease. ☒ the applicant

The right to construct the location is granted by: ☐ oil and gas lease ☐ Surface Use Agreement ☐ Right of Way ☒ applicant is owner

Surface damage assurance if no agreement is in place: ☐ \$2,000 ☐ \$5,000 ☐ Blanket Surety Id: \_\_\_\_\_

## 8. Reclamation Financial Assurance

☐ Well Surety Id: \_\_\_\_\_ ☐ Gas Facility Surety Id: \_\_\_\_\_ ☒ Waste Management Surety Id: TBD - Form 28

## 9. Cultural

Is the location in a high density area (Rule 603.b.): Yes ☐ No ☒

Distance, in feet, to nearest building: 85 , public road: 4800 , above ground utility: 110 , railroad: Miles , property line: 27

Continue on Page Two





## Oil and Gas Location Assessment Page 2

### 10. Current Land Use (Check all that apply)

Crop Land:	<input type="checkbox"/> Irrigated	<input type="checkbox"/> Dry land	<input type="checkbox"/> Improved Pasture	<input type="checkbox"/> Hay Meadow	<input type="checkbox"/> CRP
Non-Crop Land:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rangeland	<input type="checkbox"/> Timber	<input type="checkbox"/> Recreational	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other (describe):	Oil and Gas Operations
Subdivided:	<input type="checkbox"/> Industrial	<input type="checkbox"/> Commercial	<input type="checkbox"/> Residential		

### 11. Future Land Use (Check all that apply)

Crop Land:	<input type="checkbox"/> Irrigated	<input type="checkbox"/> Dry land	<input type="checkbox"/> Improved Pasture	<input type="checkbox"/> Hay Meadow	<input type="checkbox"/> CRP
Non-Crop Land:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rangeland	<input type="checkbox"/> Timber	<input type="checkbox"/> Recreational	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other (describe):	Oil and Gas Operations
Subdivided:	<input type="checkbox"/> Industrial	<input type="checkbox"/> Commercial	<input type="checkbox"/> Residential		

### 12. Soils

List all soil map units that occur within the proposed location. Attach the National Resource Conservation Service (NRCS) report showing the "Map Unit Description" report listing the soil typical vertical profile. This data is to be used when segregating topsoil.

The required information can be obtained from the NRCS web site at <http://soildatamart.nrcs.usda.gov/> or from the COGCC web site GIS Online map page found at <http://colorado.gov/cogcc>. Instructions are provided within the COGCC web site help section.

NRCS Map Unit Name: Hesperus-Empedrado, moist-Pagoda complex

NRCS Map Unit Name:

NRCS Map Unit Name:

### 13. Plant Community

Complete this section only if any portion of the disturbed area of the location's current land use is on non-crop land.

Are noxious weeds present: Yes ☐ No ☒

Plant species from: ☒ NRCS or, ☐ field observation Date of observation: \_\_\_\_\_

List individual species: GAMBEL OAK, BIG BLUEGRASS, ELK SEDGE, NODDING BROME, ARIZONA FESCUE, MOUNTAIN SNOBERRY,

NEEDLE & THREAD, SASKATOON SERVICEBERRY, MOUNTAIN BROME, SLENDER WHEATGRASS, LETTERMAN'S NEEDLEGRASS

Check all plant communities that exist in the disturbed area.

- ☐ Disturbed Grassland (Cactus, Yucca, Cheatgrass, Rye)  
☐ Native Grassland (Bluestem, Grama, Wheatgrass, Buffalograss, Fescue, Oatgrass, Brome)  
☒ Shrub Land (Mahogany, Oak, Sage, Serviceberry, Chokecherry)  
☐ Plains Riparian (Cottonwood, Willow, Aspen, Maple, Poplar, Russian Olive, Tamarisk)  
☐ Mountain Riparian (Cottonwood, Willow, Blue Spruce)  
☐ Forest Land (Spruce, Fir, Ponderosa Pine, Lodgepole Pine, Juniper, Pinyon, Aspen)  
☐ Wetlands Aquatic (Bullrush, Sedge, Cattail, Arrowhead)  
☐ Alpine (above timberline)  
☐ Other (describe): \_\_\_\_\_

### 14. Water Resources

Rule 901.e. may require a sensitive area determination be performed. If this determination is performed the data is to be submitted with the Form 2A.

Is this a sensitive area: ☐ No ☒ Yes Was a Rule 901.e. Sensitive Areas Determination performed: ☐ No ☒ Yes

Distance (in feet) to nearest surface water: ~ 1100', water well: ~ 900', depth to ground water: ~150'

Is the location in a riparian area: ☒ No ☐ Yes Was an Army Corps of Engineers Section 404 permit filed: ☒ No ☐ Yes If yes attach permit.

Is the location within a Rule 317B Surface Water Supply Area buffer zone: ☒ No ☐ 0-300 ft. zone ☐ 301-500 ft. zone ☐ 501-2640 ft. zone

If the location is within a Rule 317B Surface Water Supply Area buffer have all public water supply systems within 15 miles been notified: ☐ No ☐ Yes

### 15. Comments

I hereby certify that the statements made in this form are, to the best of my knowledge, true, correct and complete.

Signed: Brian Macke  
 Print Name: Brian Macke

Date: 3/22/11 Email: BMACKE@DELTAPETRO.COM  
 Title: Regulatory Compliance Manager

COGCC Approved: \_\_\_\_\_

Title: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL will be attached.

Location Number: \_\_\_\_\_



**COGCC FORM 2A  
SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION**

**NORTH VEGA WATER IMPOUNDMENT  
DELTA PETROLEUM CORPORATION (Operator Number 16800)**

This supplement to the COGCC Form 2A for Delta Petroleum Corporation's (Delta) proposed North Vega Water Impoundment provides additional information required by COGCC Rule 303. This information is identified by the applicable section of Rule 303.d.(3). Delta is also submitting a COGCC Form 28, Centralized E&P Waste Management Facility Permit, for this facility.

**Rule 303.d.(3) A.**

Photographs of the proposed location are provided in Figure 1.

**Rule 303.d.(3) B.**

All equipment to be used in conjunction with the operation of this facility is identified on the Location Drawing provided in Figure 2.

**Rule 303.d.(3) C.**

Figure 3 provides a scaled drawing of all visible improvements within four hundred (400) feet of the proposed facility. Visible improvements within this 400-foot radius include a private access road associated with North Vega Water Impoundment and the re-routing of the existing access road to the east of the proposed facility. No other visible improvements are present.

The current surface uses within the 400-foot radius of the facility are those associated with Delta's natural-gas production operations.

**Rule 303.d.(3) D.**

A topographic map showing all surface waters and riparian areas within one thousand (1,000) feet of the proposed facility is provided in Figure 4. As noted on this figure, the closest surface water is Harrison Creek located approximately 950 feet east of the facility. The proposed facility is located approximately 150 feet in elevation above the level of Harrison Creek.

**Rule 303.d.(3) E.**

The proposed access to the facility is indicated in Figure 5. This facility will utilize the existing access from Mesa County roads to the water impoundment. The only new access will be constructed to divert the existing road approximately 100 feet to the east. Access to the public road and the new access road to the facility have been approved as part of the Mesa County Conditional Use Permit (CUP) local land use permit.

**Rule 303.d.(3) F.**

The current land uses in the vicinity of this facility are natural-gas development and rangeland. A topographic map showing a reference area for the location is provided in Figure 6. Color photographs of the reference area are included in Figure 7.

**Rule 303.d.(3) G.**

A Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) soils map for this location is provided in Figure 8. Attachment A provides a NRCS soils report for the proposed facility.

**Rule 303.d.(3) H.**

A construction layout drawing and location cross-section plot has been included in Figure 9 and Figure 10, respectively.

**Rule 303.d.(3) I.**

No oil and gas wells are proposed for this location, so no additional information is required under this rule.

**Rule 303.d.(3) J.**

The primary impetus for Delta's plan to construct this facility is to mitigate the impact of its operations. This facility will dramatically decrease truck traffic and associated emissions that currently occur due to the need to truck this water to off-site disposal locations. Reduction of truck traffic will also mitigate impacts to wildlife and significantly reduce the impact from this traffic on local roads and communities. The facility will be located adjacent to the Delta Water Treatment Facility and the Mega Vega Compressor Station in order to cluster activity in a single area. The facility has been designed with fencing and netting to prevent impact to wildlife. Best Management Practices will be utilized according to the Delta's area-wide Stormwater Management Program.

**Rule 303.d.(3) K.**

This area is not covered by a Comprehensive Drilling Plan.

**Rule 303.d.(3) L.**

Delta is the surface owner at this location; therefore, no surface use agreement is required. Contact information for Delta is provided in Section 7 of the Form 2A.

**Rule 303.d.(3) M.**

The proposed location was compared to all sensitive wildlife habitat and restricted surface occupancy areas provided in the GIS files available on the COGCC's website. The proposed location is not within a sensitive wildlife habitat or a restricted surface occupancy area. Delta representatives met with the CDOW on May 21, 2010 to discuss the proposed project and to solicit input on suggested mitigation. See Attached B – Mesa County Conditional Use Permit comments from Department of Wildlife for further information.

**Rule 303.d.(3) N.**

The proposed location was compared to the COGCC GIS map of zones subject to Rule 317 B, and the proposed facility is not located within these zones.

**Rule 303.d.(3) O.**

Not applicable



## FIGURES

## Figure 1- North Vega Water Impoundment Location Pictures

All pictures taken on June 29<sup>th</sup>, 2010 and are overlooking the proposed location

Looking North



Looking east



## Figure 1- North Vega Water Impoundment Location Pictures


Looking South



Looking West







## Figure 2

drawn by: _____	BLM
checked by: _____	WEP
approved by: _____	WEP
QA/QC by: _____	LOP
project no.: _____	010-0722
drawing no.: <u>03_100722_SIT.dwg</u>	
date: <u>08.20.2010</u>	

SITE PLAN		REV.	DATE	REVISIONS DESCRIPTION
NORTH VEGA WATER IMPOUNDMENT DELTA PETROLEUM CORPORATION		1	12.07.2010	ADD LOADING AREA SOUTHEAST OF POND
		2	12.29.2010	ADD LOADING AREA NORTH OF POND
		3	01.25.2011	ADD BIRD NETTING PLANS
MESA COUNTY, COLORADO		2010		REVISIONS


drawn by: \_\_\_\_\_ BLI  
checked by: \_\_\_\_\_ WE  
approved by: \_\_\_\_\_ WE  
QA/QC by: \_\_\_\_\_ LC  
project no.: \_\_\_\_\_ 010-072  
drawing no.: \_\_\_\_\_ 03\_100722\_SIT.dwg  
date: \_\_\_\_\_ 08.20.201

OLSSON ASSOCIATES ASSUME NO RESPONSIBILITY FOR EXISTING UTILITY LOCATIONS (HORIZONTAL OR VERTICAL). THIS DRAWING HAS BEEN PLOTTED FROM THE BEST AVAILABLE INFORMATION. IT IS HOWEVER THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE CONTRACTOR TO FIELD VERIFY THE LOCATION OF ALL UTILITIES PRIOR TO THE COMMENCEMENT OF ANY CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES.



CALL 1-800-922-1987 SEVENTY TWO  
HOURS IN ADVANCE BEFORE YOU  
DIG, GRADE, OR EXCAVATE FOR THE  
MARKING OF UNDERGROUND  
MEMBER UTILITIES





### Legend

- Access\_Road
- Streams
- - - Proposed Road Reroute
- Pond

400 - foot radius indicated by dark red circle at closest point to area of disturbance

0 250 500 1,000 Feet



PROJECT NO:	010-0722
DRAWN BY:	JAS
DATE:	06/24/2010

VISBLE IMPROVEMENTS  
NORTH VEGA WATER IMPOUNDMENT  
DELTA PETROLEUM  
SECT. 22, T9S, R93W, 6TH P.M.  
MESA COUNTY, COLORADO


**OLSSON**  
ASSOCIATES

826 21-1/2 ROAD  
GRAND JUNCTION,  
CO 81505  
TEL 970.263.7800  
FAX 970.263.7456

FIGURE

3





## Legend

- Streams
- Proposed Road Reroute
- Pond

1,000 - foot radius indicated by dark red circle at closest point to area of disturbance

0 260 520 1,040 Feet



PROJECT NO: 010-0722  
 DRAWN BY: JAS  
 DATE: 06/24/2010

HYDROLOGY MAP  
 NORTH VEGA WATER IMPOUNDMENT  
 DELTA PETROLEUM  
 SECT. 22, T9S, R93W, 6TH P.M.  
 MESA COUNTY, COLORADO


**OLSSON**  
 ASSOCIATES

826 21-1/2 ROAD  
 GRAND JUNCTION,  
 CO 81505  
 TEL 970.263.7800  
 FAX 970.263.7456

FIGURE


4





**Legend**

- Access Roads
- HWY 330E
- Streams
- Proposed Road Reroute
- Pond



PROJECT NO:	010-0722
DRAWN BY:	JAS
DATE:	06/24/2010


PROPOSED ACCESS  
NORTH VEGA WATER IMPOUNDMENT  
DELTA PETROLEUM  
SECT. 22, T9S, R93W, 6TH P.M.  
MESA COUNTY, COLORADO



826 21-1/2 ROAD  
GRAND JUNCTION,  
CO 81505  
TEL 970.263.7800  
FAX 970.263.7456


FIGURE





**Legend**

- Roads
- Streams
- Proposed Road Reroute
- Pond



PROJECT NO:	010-0722
DRAWN BY:	JAS
DATE:	06/24/2010

REFERENCE AREA MAP  
 NORTH VEGA WATER IMPOUNDMENT  
 DELTA PETROLEUM  
 SECT. 22, T9S, R93W, 6TH P.M.  
 MESA COUNTY, COLORADO



826 21-1/2 ROAD  
 GRAND JUNCTION,  
 CO 81505  
 TEL 970.263.7800  
 FAX 970.263.7456

FIGURE

6



## Figure 7- North Vega Water Impoundment Reference Area Pictures

All pictures taken on June 29<sup>th</sup>, 2010 from Reference Point (39.268148, -107.75183)

Looking North



Looking east




Figure 7- North Vega Water Impoundment Reference Area Pictures


Looking South



Looking West







# Legend

- Roads
- Proposed Road Reroute
- Pond

Data obtained from USDA Natural Resources Conservation Services

## Soil Types

- 19 - Cerro silty clay loam, 6 to 12 % slopes
- 28 - Cumulic Haploborolls, 1 to 3 % slopes
- 47 - Hesperus - Empedrado, moist - Pagoda complex, 5 to 35 % slopes
- 53 - Pafoda - hesperus complex, 12 to 40 % slopes

0 150 300 600 Feet



PROJECT NO:	010-0722
DRAWN BY:	JAS
DATE:	06/24/2010

SOILS MAP  
NORTH VEGA WATER IMPOUNDMENT  
DELTA PETROLEUM  
SECT. 22, T9S, R93W, 6TH P.M.  
MESA COUNTY, COLORADO

**OLSSON**  
ASSOCIATES

826 21-1/2 ROAD  
GRAND JUNCTION,  
CO 81505  
TEL 970.263.7800  
FAX 970.263.7456

FIGURE

8



DWG: F:\Projects\010-0722\MUN\Find\_Plan\04-05\_100722\_DSIT.dwg  
DATE: Jan 25, 2011 11:14am  
USER: bmcasernith  
100722\_DeltaPetro\_BM  
100722\_PBASE  
100722\_TBLK

#### NOTES:

- SITE FEATURES AND TOPOGRAPHY WAS PROVIDED BY SCHMUESER GORDON MEYER, 118 WEST 6TH STREET, SUITE 200, GLENWOD SPRINGS, CO 81601 (970) 945-1004.
- BENCHMARK:  
DELTA CONTROL POINT #7  
NORTHING: 531730.793  
EASTING: 358637.208  
  
LATITUDE: 39d16'16.955330"  
LONGITUDE: -107d45'56.611990"  
(NAD1983)
- ALL CONTOUR INFORMATION USED FOR DESIGN WILL NEED TO BE FIELD VERIFIED.
- POND VOLUMES SHOWN ON PLANS ARE MEASURED FROM WATER SURFACE ELEVATION TO BOTTOM OF POND.
- EQUIPMENT LAYOUT WITHIN LOAD IN/OUT AREA SHALL BE DESIGNED BY OTHERS. EQUIPMENT SHOWN FOR ILLUSTRATIVE PURPOSES ONLY.




Figure 9

DETAILED SITE PLAN

NORTH VEGA WATER IMPOUNDMENT  
DELTA PETROLEUM CORPORATION

MESA COUNTY, COLORADO

2010

drawn by: BLM  
checked by: WEP  
approved by: WEP  
QA/QC by: LCP  
project no.: 010-0722  
drawing no.: 04-05\_100722\_DSIT.dwg  
date: 08.20.2010

SHEET  
04 of 14

REVISIONS DESCRIPTION

DATE

REV. NO.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

181

182

183

184

185

186

187

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

209

210

211

212

213

214

215

216

217

218

219

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

237

238

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

247

248

249

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

261

262

263

264

265

266

267

268

269

270

271

272

273

274

275

276

277

278

279

280

281

282

283

284

285

286

287

288

289

290

291

292

293

294

295

296

297

298

299

300

301

302

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

DWG: F:\Projects\010-0722\MUNI\Final\_Plans\04-05\_100722\_DSIT.dwg  
DATE: Jan 25, 2011 11:15am  
USER: bmcaseramth  
XREFS: 100722\_TBLK 100722\_PBASE 100722\_DeltaPetro\_BM





Figure 9 (Continued)

OLSSON  
ASSOCIATES

143 Union Boulevard, Suite 700  
Lakewood, CO 80228-1625  
TEL 720.962.6172  
FAX 720.962.6195  
www.olaconsulting.com

NOTE  
THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN  
RELEASED BY OLSSON  
ASSOCIATES ONLY FOR  
REVIEW BY REGULATORY  
AGENCIES AND OTHER  
PROFESSIONALS, AND IS  
SUBJECT TO CHANGE. THIS  
DOCUMENT IS NOT TO BE  
USED FOR CONSTRUCTION.

OLSSON ASSOCIATES ASSUMES  
NO RESPONSIBILITY FOR  
EXISTING UTILITY LOCATIONS  
(HORIZONTAL OR VERTICAL). THE  
EXISTING UTILITIES SHOWN ON  
THIS DRAWING HAVE BEEN  
PLOTTED FROM THE BEST  
AVAILABLE INFORMATION. IT IS  
HOWEVER THE RESPONSIBILITY  
OF THE CONTRACTOR TO FIELD  
VERIFY THE LOCATION OF ALL  
UTILITIES PRIOR TO THE  
COMMENCEMENT OF ANY  
CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES.



UNCC  
UTILITY NOTIFICATION  
CENTER OF COLORADO  
800.622.1987  
www.uncc.org

CALL 1-800-622-1987 SEVENTY TWO  
HOURS IN ADVANCE BEFORE YOU  
DIG, GRADE, OR EXCAVATE FOR THE  
MARKING OF UNDERGROUND  
MEMBER UTILITIES

REV	NO.	DATE	REVISIONS DESCRIPTION
	1	12.07.2010	ADD LOADING AREA SOUTHEAST OF POND
	2	12.29.2010	ADD LOADING AREA NORTH OF POND
	3	01.25.2011	ADD BIRD NETTING PLANS

REVISIONS
2010

DETAILED SITE PLAN

NORTH VEGA WATER IMPOUNDMENT  
DELTA PETROLEUM CORPORATION

MESA COUNTY, COLORADO

drawn by: \_\_\_\_\_ BLM  
checked by: \_\_\_\_\_ WEP  
approved by: \_\_\_\_\_ WEP  
QA/QC by: \_\_\_\_\_ LCP  
project no.: 010-0722  
drawing no.: 04-05\_100722\_DSIT.dwg  
date: 08.20.2010


SHEET  
05 of 14



DWG: F:\Projects\010-0722\MUNI\Final\_Plans\06\_100722\_P0SEC.dwg USER: bmsacerenth DATE: Jan 25, 2011 11:17am XREFS: 100722\_PBASE 100722\_TBLK 100722\_DeltaPetro\_BM 100722\_PPROF

NOTES:

- SITE FEATURES AND TOPOGRAPHY WAS PROVIDED BY SCHMUESER GORDON MEYER, 118 WEST 6TH STREET, SUITE 200, GLENWOD SPRINGS, CO 81601 (970) 945-1004.
- BENCHMARK:  
DELTA CONTROL POINT #7  
NORTHING: 531730.793  
EASTING: 358637.208  
  
LATITUDE: 39d16'16.955330"  
LONGITUDE: -107d45'56.611990"  
(NAD1983)
- ALL CONTOUR INFORMATION USED FOR DESIGN WILL NEED TO BE FIELD VERIFIED.
- POND VOLUMES SHOWN ON PLANS ARE MEASURED FROM WATER SURFACE ELEVATION TO BOTTOM OF POND.



ELEVATION	VOLUME (CF)	VOLUME (BBL)
7419	290	52
7420	9,254	1,648
7421	34,894	6,215
7422	72,123	12,847
7423	111,726	19,901
7424	153,758	27,387
7425	198,277	35,317
7426	245,338	43,699
7427	294,999	52,545
7428	347,315	61,863
7429	402,344	71,665
7430*	460,142	81,960
7431	520,765	92,758
7432	584,271	104,070

\* NOTE: MAXIMUM WATER SURFACE ELEVATION PROVIDING 2' OF FREEBOARD.





Figure 10

OLSSON

ASSOCIATES

NOTE

THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN RELEASED BY OLSSON ASSOCIATES ONLY FOR REVIEW BY REGULATORY AGENCIES AND OTHER PROFESSIONALS, AND IS SUBJECT TO CHANGE. THIS DOCUMENT IS NOT TO BE USED FOR CONSTRUCTION.

OLSSON ASSOCIATES ASSUMES NO RESPONSIBILITY FOR EXISTING UTILITY LOCATIONS (HORIZONTAL OR VERTICAL). THE EXISTING UTILITIES SHOWN ON THIS DRAWING HAVE BEEN PLOTTED FROM THE BEST AVAILABLE INFORMATION. IT IS HOWEVER THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE CONTRACTOR TO FIELD VERIFY THE LOCATION OF ALL UTILITIES PRIOR TO THE COMMENCEMENT OF ANY CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES.

UNCC

UNIVERSITY OF COLORADO CENTER FOR UNDERGROUND CONSTRUCTION

CALL 1-800-922-1987 SEVENTY TWO HOURS IN ADVANCE BEFORE YOU DIG, GRADE, OR EXCAVATE FOR THE MARKING OF UNDERGROUND MEMBER UTILITIES

REVISIONS

REV	NO.	DATE	DESCRIPTION
1	12.07.2010	ADD LOADING AREA SOUTHEAST OF POND	
2	12.29.2010	ADD LOADING AREA NORTH OF POND	
3	01.25.2011	ADD BIRD NETTING PLANS	

POND SECTIONS

NORTH VEGA WATER IMPOUNDMENT  
DELTA PETROLEUM CORPORATION

MESA COUNTY, COLORADO

2010

drawn by: BLM

checked by: WEP

approved by: WEP

QA/QC by: LCP

project no.: 010-0722

drawing no.: 06\_100722\_P0SEC.dwg

date: 08.20.2010

SHEET


06 of 14

143 Union Boulevard, Suite 700  
Lakewood, CO 80228-1625






TEL 720.962.6072  
FAX 720.962.6195  
www.olaconsulting.com



Construction site boundaries will include all ground surface disturbances and approximately 10 feet beyond perimeter erosion controls. It is subject to change at any time for pad expansion, maintenance and additions of BMP structures, or new access roads.



#### LEGEND

- |  |                 |   |                            |
|--|-----------------|---|----------------------------|
|  | Detention Pond  |  | Earthen berm               |
|  | Armored Rundown |  | Construction Site Boundary |
|  | Wattle          |   |                            |

As construction advances Map will be updated with the addition or removal of BMPs

PRE-CONSTRUCTION BMP SITE MAP  
VEGA WATER IMPOUNDMENT  
DELTA PETROLEUM CORPORATION  
MESA COUNTY, COLORADO  
QTRQTR, Section, Township, Range

BI-WEEKLY

**Figure 11**

NOT TO SCALE

To the best of my knowledge, this site was in compliance at the time and date of this inspection.  
SIGNATURE:

 OLSSON ASSOCIATES

## ATTACHMENT A



United States  
Department of  
Agriculture



NRCS

Natural  
Resources  
Conservation  
Service

A product of the National  
Cooperative Soil Survey,  
a joint effort of the United  
States Department of  
Agriculture and other  
Federal agencies, State  
agencies including the  
Agricultural Experiment  
Stations, and local  
participants

# Custom Soil Resource Report for Douglas-Plateau Area, Colorado, Parts of Garfield and Mesa Counties

Delta Petroleum Corporation,  
North Vega Water Impoundment



# Preface

---

Soil surveys contain information that affects land use planning in survey areas. They highlight soil limitations that affect various land uses and provide information about the properties of the soils in the survey areas. Soil surveys are designed for many different users, including farmers, ranchers, foresters, agronomists, urban planners, community officials, engineers, developers, builders, and home buyers. Also, conservationists, teachers, students, and specialists in recreation, waste disposal, and pollution control can use the surveys to help them understand, protect, or enhance the environment.

Various land use regulations of Federal, State, and local governments may impose special restrictions on land use or land treatment. Soil surveys identify soil properties that are used in making various land use or land treatment decisions. The information is intended to help the land users identify and reduce the effects of soil limitations on various land uses. The landowner or user is responsible for identifying and complying with existing laws and regulations.

Although soil survey information can be used for general farm, local, and wider area planning, onsite investigation is needed to supplement this information in some cases. Examples include soil quality assessments (<http://soils.usda.gov/sqi/>) and certain conservation and engineering applications. For more detailed information, contact your local USDA Service Center (<http://offices.sc.egov.usda.gov/locator/app?agency=nrcs>) or your NRCS State Soil Scientist ([http://soils.usda.gov/contact/state\\_offices/](http://soils.usda.gov/contact/state_offices/)).

Great differences in soil properties can occur within short distances. Some soils are seasonally wet or subject to flooding. Some are too unstable to be used as a foundation for buildings or roads. Clayey or wet soils are poorly suited to use as septic tank absorption fields. A high water table makes a soil poorly suited to basements or underground installations.

The National Cooperative Soil Survey is a joint effort of the United States Department of Agriculture and other Federal agencies, State agencies including the Agricultural Experiment Stations, and local agencies. The Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) has leadership for the Federal part of the National Cooperative Soil Survey.

Information about soils is updated periodically. Updated information is available through the NRCS Soil Data Mart Web site or the NRCS Web Soil Survey. The Soil Data Mart is the data storage site for the official soil survey information.

The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) prohibits discrimination in all its programs and activities on the basis of race, color, national origin, age, disability, and where applicable, sex, marital status, familial status, parental status, religion, sexual orientation, genetic information, political beliefs, reprisal, or because all or a part of an individual's income is derived from any public assistance program. (Not all prohibited bases apply to all programs.) Persons with disabilities who require alternative means



for communication of program information (Braille, large print, audiotape, etc.) should contact USDA's TARGET Center at (202) 720-2600 (voice and TDD). To file a complaint of discrimination, write to USDA, Director, Office of Civil Rights, 1400 Independence Avenue, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20250-9410 or call (800) 795-3272 (voice) or (202) 720-6382 (TDD). USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer.

# Contents

---

<b>Preface</b> .....	2
<b>How Soil Surveys Are Made</b> .....	6
<b>Soil Map</b> .....	8
Soil Map.....	9
Legend.....	10
Map Unit Legend.....	11
Map Unit Descriptions.....	11
Douglas-Plateau Area, Colorado, Parts of Garfield and Mesa Counties.....	13
19—Cerro silty clay loam, 6 to 12 percent slopes.....	13
28—Cumulic Haploborolls, 1 to 3 percent slopes.....	13
47—Hesperus-Empedrado, moist-Pagoda complex 5 to 35 percent slopes.....	14
53—Pagoda-Hesperus complex, 12 to 40 percent slopes.....	16
<b>Soil Information for All Uses</b> .....	19
Suitabilities and Limitations for Use.....	19
Building Site Development.....	19
Corrosion of Concrete.....	19
Corrosion of Steel.....	22
Land Classifications.....	25
Ecological Site ID: NRCS Rangeland Site.....	25
Land Management.....	28
Mechanical Site Preparation (Surface).....	28
Water Management.....	32
Excavated Ponds (Aquifer-Fed).....	32
Pond Reservoir Areas.....	36
Soil Properties and Qualities.....	41
Soil Qualities and Features.....	41
Depth to Any Soil Restrictive Layer.....	41
Drainage Class.....	44
Hydrologic Soil Group.....	47
Representative Slope.....	51
Unified Soil Classification (Surface).....	54
Water Features.....	57
Depth to Water Table.....	57
Flooding Frequency Class.....	61
Soil Reports.....	65
Building Site Development.....	65
Roads and Streets, Shallow Excavations, and Lawns and Landscaping...65	
Land Classifications.....	68
Taxonomic Classification of the Soils.....	68
Soil Chemical Properties.....	70
Chemical Soil Properties.....	70
Soil Physical Properties.....	74
Physical Soil Properties.....	74

## Custom Soil Resource Report

Soil Qualities and Features.....	79
Soil Features.....	79
Water Management.....	82
Ponds and Embankments.....	82
<b>References.....</b>	<b>85</b>



# How Soil Surveys Are Made

---

Soil surveys are made to provide information about the soils and miscellaneous areas in a specific area. They include a description of the soils and miscellaneous areas and their location on the landscape and tables that show soil properties and limitations affecting various uses. Soil scientists observed the steepness, length, and shape of the slopes; the general pattern of drainage; the kinds of crops and native plants; and the kinds of bedrock. They observed and described many soil profiles. A soil profile is the sequence of natural layers, or horizons, in a soil. The profile extends from the surface down into the unconsolidated material in which the soil formed or from the surface down to bedrock. The unconsolidated material is devoid of roots and other living organisms and has not been changed by other biological activity.

Currently, soils are mapped according to the boundaries of major land resource areas (MLRAs). MLRAs are geographically associated land resource units that share common characteristics related to physiography, geology, climate, water resources, soils, biological resources, and land uses (USDA, 2006). Soil survey areas typically consist of parts of one or more MLRA.

The soils and miscellaneous areas in a survey area occur in an orderly pattern that is related to the geology, landforms, relief, climate, and natural vegetation of the area. Each kind of soil and miscellaneous area is associated with a particular kind of landform or with a segment of the landform. By observing the soils and miscellaneous areas in the survey area and relating their position to specific segments of the landform, a soil scientist develops a concept, or model, of how they were formed. Thus, during mapping, this model enables the soil scientist to predict with a considerable degree of accuracy the kind of soil or miscellaneous area at a specific location on the landscape.

Commonly, individual soils on the landscape merge into one another as their characteristics gradually change. To construct an accurate soil map, however, soil scientists must determine the boundaries between the soils. They can observe only a limited number of soil profiles. Nevertheless, these observations, supplemented by an understanding of the soil-vegetation-landscape relationship, are sufficient to verify predictions of the kinds of soil in an area and to determine the boundaries.

Soil scientists recorded the characteristics of the soil profiles that they studied. They noted soil color, texture, size and shape of soil aggregates, kind and amount of rock fragments, distribution of plant roots, reaction, and other features that enable them to identify soils. After describing the soils in the survey area and determining their properties, the soil scientists assigned the soils to taxonomic classes (units). Taxonomic classes are concepts. Each taxonomic class has a set of soil characteristics with precisely defined limits. The classes are used as a basis for comparison to classify soils systematically. Soil taxonomy, the system of taxonomic classification used in the United States, is based mainly on the kind and character of soil properties and the arrangement of horizons within the profile. After the soil scientists classified and named the soils in the survey area, they compared the

individual soils with similar soils in the same taxonomic class in other areas so that they could confirm data and assemble additional data based on experience and research.

The objective of soil mapping is not to delineate pure map unit components; the objective is to separate the landscape into landforms or landform segments that have similar use and management requirements. Each map unit is defined by a unique combination of soil components and/or miscellaneous areas in predictable proportions. Some components may be highly contrasting to the other components of the map unit. The presence of minor components in a map unit in no way diminishes the usefulness or accuracy of the data. The delineation of such landforms and landform segments on the map provides sufficient information for the development of resource plans. If intensive use of small areas is planned, onsite investigation is needed to define and locate the soils and miscellaneous areas.

Soil scientists make many field observations in the process of producing a soil map. The frequency of observation is dependent upon several factors, including scale of mapping, intensity of mapping, design of map units, complexity of the landscape, and experience of the soil scientist. Observations are made to test and refine the soil-landscape model and predictions and to verify the classification of the soils at specific locations. Once the soil-landscape model is refined, a significantly smaller number of measurements of individual soil properties are made and recorded. These measurements may include field measurements, such as those for color, depth to bedrock, and texture, and laboratory measurements, such as those for content of sand, silt, clay, salt, and other components. Properties of each soil typically vary from one point to another across the landscape.

Observations for map unit components are aggregated to develop ranges of characteristics for the components. The aggregated values are presented. Direct measurements do not exist for every property presented for every map unit component. Values for some properties are estimated from combinations of other properties.

While a soil survey is in progress, samples of some of the soils in the area generally are collected for laboratory analyses and for engineering tests. Soil scientists interpret the data from these analyses and tests as well as the field-observed characteristics and the soil properties to determine the expected behavior of the soils under different uses. Interpretations for all of the soils are field tested through observation of the soils in different uses and under different levels of management. Some interpretations are modified to fit local conditions, and some new interpretations are developed to meet local needs. Data are assembled from other sources, such as research information, production records, and field experience of specialists. For example, data on crop yields under defined levels of management are assembled from farm records and from field or plot experiments on the same kinds of soil.

Predictions about soil behavior are based not only on soil properties but also on such variables as climate and biological activity. Soil conditions are predictable over long periods of time, but they are not predictable from year to year. For example, soil scientists can predict with a fairly high degree of accuracy that a given soil will have a high water table within certain depths in most years, but they cannot predict that a high water table will always be at a specific level in the soil on a specific date.

After soil scientists located and identified the significant natural bodies of soil in the survey area, they drew the boundaries of these bodies on aerial photographs and identified each as a specific map unit. Aerial photographs show trees, buildings, fields, roads, and rivers, all of which help in locating boundaries accurately.


# Soil Map

---

The soil map section includes the soil map for the defined area of interest, a list of soil map units on the map and extent of each map unit, and cartographic symbols displayed on the map. Also presented are various metadata about data used to produce the map, and a description of each soil map unit.




Custom Soil Resource Report  
Soil Map



# Custom Soil Resource Report

## MAP LEGEND






















### Area of Interest (AOI)




 Area of Interest (AOI)

### Soils




 Soil Map Units

### Special Point Features




-  Blowout
-  Borrow Pit
-  Clay Spot
-  Closed Depression
-  Gravel Pit
-  Gravelly Spot
-  Landfill
-  Lava Flow
-  Marsh or swamp
-  Mine or Quarry
-  Miscellaneous Water
-  Perennial Water
-  Rock Outcrop
-  Saline Spot
-  Sandy Spot
-  Severely Eroded Spot
-  Sinkhole
-  Slide or Slip
-  Sodic Spot
-  Spoil Area
-  Stony Spot

-  Very Stony Spot
-  Wet Spot
-  Other



### Special Line Features

-  Gully
-  Short Steep Slope
-  Other






### Political Features

-  Cities
-  PLSS Township and Range
-  PLSS Section

### Water Features

-  Oceans
-  Streams and Canals

### Transportation

-  Rails
-  Interstate Highways
-  US Routes
-  Major Roads
-  Local Roads

## MAP INFORMATION

Map Scale: 1:4,990 if printed on A size (8.5" × 11") sheet.

The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at 1:24,000.

Please rely on the bar scale on each map sheet for accurate map measurements.

Source of Map: Natural Resources Conservation Service  
Web Soil Survey URL: <http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov>  
Coordinate System: UTM Zone 13N NAD83

This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified data as of the version date(s) listed below.

Soil Survey Area: Douglas-Plateau Area, Colorado, Parts of Garfield and Mesa Counties  
Survey Area Data: Version 5, Feb 1, 2008

Date(s) aerial images were photographed: 8/29/2005

The orthophoto or other base map on which the soil lines were compiled and digitized probably differs from the background imagery displayed on these maps. As a result, some minor shifting of map unit boundaries may be evident.



## Map Unit Legend

Douglas-Plateau Area, Colorado, Parts of Garfield and Mesa Counties (CO682)			
Map Unit Symbol	Map Unit Name	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
19	Cerro silty clay loam, 6 to 12 percent slopes	9.9	11.9%
28	Cumulic Haploborolls, 1 to 3 percent slopes	10.9	13.1%
47	Hesperus-Empedrado, moist-Pagoda complex 5 to 35 percent slopes	37.5	45.2%
53	Pagoda-Hesperus complex, 12 to 40 percent slopes	24.6	29.7%
<b>Totals for Area of Interest</b>		<b>82.9</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

## Map Unit Descriptions

The map units delineated on the detailed soil maps in a soil survey represent the soils or miscellaneous areas in the survey area. The map unit descriptions, along with the maps, can be used to determine the composition and properties of a unit.

A map unit delineation on a soil map represents an area dominated by one or more major kinds of soil or miscellaneous areas. A map unit is identified and named according to the taxonomic classification of the dominant soils. Within a taxonomic class there are precisely defined limits for the properties of the soils. On the landscape, however, the soils are natural phenomena, and they have the characteristic variability of all natural phenomena. Thus, the range of some observed properties may extend beyond the limits defined for a taxonomic class. Areas of soils of a single taxonomic class rarely, if ever, can be mapped without including areas of other taxonomic classes. Consequently, every map unit is made up of the soils or miscellaneous areas for which it is named and some minor components that belong to taxonomic classes other than those of the major soils.

Most minor soils have properties similar to those of the dominant soil or soils in the map unit, and thus they do not affect use and management. These are called noncontrasting, or similar, components. They may or may not be mentioned in a particular map unit description. Other minor components, however, have properties and behavioral characteristics divergent enough to affect use or to require different management. These are called contrasting, or dissimilar, components. They generally are in small areas and could not be mapped separately because of the scale used. Some small areas of strongly contrasting soils or miscellaneous areas are identified by a special symbol on the maps. If included in the database for a given area, the contrasting minor components are identified in the map unit descriptions along with some characteristics of each. A few areas of minor components may not have been observed, and consequently they are not mentioned in the descriptions, especially where the pattern was so complex that it was impractical to make enough observations to identify all the soils and miscellaneous areas on the landscape.

The presence of minor components in a map unit in no way diminishes the usefulness or accuracy of the data. The objective of mapping is not to delineate pure taxonomic classes but rather to separate the landscape into landforms or landform segments that have similar use and management requirements. The delineation of such segments

on the map provides sufficient information for the development of resource plans. If intensive use of small areas is planned, however, onsite investigation is needed to define and locate the soils and miscellaneous areas.

An identifying symbol precedes the map unit name in the map unit descriptions. Each description includes general facts about the unit and gives important soil properties and qualities.

Soils that have profiles that are almost alike make up a *soil series*. Except for differences in texture of the surface layer, all the soils of a series have major horizons that are similar in composition, thickness, and arrangement.

Soils of one series can differ in texture of the surface layer, slope, stoniness, salinity, degree of erosion, and other characteristics that affect their use. On the basis of such differences, a soil series is divided into *soil phases*. Most of the areas shown on the detailed soil maps are phases of soil series. The name of a soil phase commonly indicates a feature that affects use or management. For example, Alpha silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is a phase of the Alpha series.

Some map units are made up of two or more major soils or miscellaneous areas. These map units are complexes, associations, or undifferentiated groups.

A *complex* consists of two or more soils or miscellaneous areas in such an intricate pattern or in such small areas that they cannot be shown separately on the maps. The pattern and proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas are somewhat similar in all areas. Alpha-Beta complex, 0 to 6 percent slopes, is an example.

An *association* is made up of two or more geographically associated soils or miscellaneous areas that are shown as one unit on the maps. Because of present or anticipated uses of the map units in the survey area, it was not considered practical or necessary to map the soils or miscellaneous areas separately. The pattern and relative proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas are somewhat similar. Alpha-Beta association, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is an example.

An *undifferentiated group* is made up of two or more soils or miscellaneous areas that could be mapped individually but are mapped as one unit because similar interpretations can be made for use and management. The pattern and proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas in a mapped area are not uniform. An area can be made up of only one of the major soils or miscellaneous areas, or it can be made up of all of them. Alpha and Beta soils, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is an example.

Some surveys include *miscellaneous areas*. Such areas have little or no soil material and support little or no vegetation. Rock outcrop is an example.

## Douglas-Plateau Area, Colorado, Parts of Garfield and Mesa Counties

### 19—Cerro silty clay loam, 6 to 12 percent slopes

#### Map Unit Setting

*Elevation:* 6,600 to 7,000 feet

*Frost-free period:* 80 to 90 days

#### Map Unit Composition

*Cerro and similar soils:* 70 percent

#### Description of Cerro

##### Setting

*Landform:* Hills

*Landform position (two-dimensional):* Toeslope, footslope

*Landform position (three-dimensional):* Side slope

*Down-slope shape:* Convex

*Across-slope shape:* Linear

*Parent material:* Marine shales of the wasatch formation colluvium and/or marine shales of the wasatch formation residuum

##### Properties and qualities

*Slope:* 6 to 12 percent

*Depth to restrictive feature:* More than 80 inches

*Drainage class:* Well drained

*Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat):* Moderately low to moderately high (0.06 to 0.20 in/hr)

*Depth to water table:* More than 80 inches

*Frequency of flooding:* None

*Frequency of ponding:* None

*Calcium carbonate, maximum content:* 15 percent

*Maximum salinity:* Nonsaline (0.0 to 2.0 mmhos/cm)

*Available water capacity:* High (about 10.7 inches)

##### Interpretive groups

*Land capability (nonirrigated):* 4e

*Ecological site:* Deep Clay Loam (R048AY247CO)

##### Typical profile

*0 to 7 inches:* Silty clay loam

*7 to 12 inches:* Silty clay loam

*12 to 35 inches:* Silty clay

*35 to 60 inches:* Silty clay loam

### 28—Cumulic Haploborolls, 1 to 3 percent slopes

#### Map Unit Setting

*Elevation:* 5,800 to 7,400 feet

*Mean annual precipitation:* 12 to 18 inches

*Mean annual air temperature:* 40 to 46 degrees F



*Frost-free period:* 80 to 110 days

**Map Unit Composition**

*Cumulic haploborolls and similar soils:* 90 percent

**Description of Cumulic Haploborolls**

**Setting**

*Landform:* Flood plains

*Down-slope shape:* Linear

*Across-slope shape:* Linear

*Parent material:* Wasatch shale formation alluvium and/or green river shale formation alluvium

**Properties and qualities**

*Slope:* 1 to 3 percent

*Depth to restrictive feature:* More than 80 inches

*Drainage class:* Well drained

*Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat):* Moderately high to high (0.20 to 1.98 in/hr)

*Depth to water table:* About 36 to 72 inches

*Frequency of flooding:* Occasional

*Frequency of ponding:* None

*Calcium carbonate, maximum content:* 10 percent

*Maximum salinity:* Nonsaline to very slightly saline (0.0 to 4.0 mmhos/cm)

*Available water capacity:* Low (about 4.6 inches)

**Interpretive groups**

*Land capability (nonirrigated):* 4e

*Ecological site:* Foothill Swale (R048AY285CO)

**Typical profile**

*0 to 8 inches:* Gravelly sandy clay loam

*8 to 20 inches:* Very channery sandy clay loam

*20 to 28 inches:* Clay loam

*28 to 60 inches:* Stratified very gravelly sand to extremely gravelly loamy sand

**47—Hesperus-Empedrado, moist-Pagoda complex 5 to 35 percent slopes**

**Map Unit Setting**

*Elevation:* 6,200 to 8,500 feet

*Mean annual precipitation:* 18 to 20 inches

*Mean annual air temperature:* 42 to 44 degrees F

*Frost-free period:* 85 to 100 days

**Map Unit Composition**

*Hesperus and similar soils:* 35 percent

*Empedrado, moist, and similar soils:* 30 percent

*Pagoda and similar soils:* 20 percent

## Description of Hesperus

### Setting

*Landform:* Mountainsides

*Landform position (three-dimensional):* Mountainflank

*Down-slope shape:* Concave

*Across-slope shape:* Linear

*Parent material:* Residuum weathered from sandstone and shale

### Properties and qualities

*Slope:* 5 to 35 percent

*Depth to restrictive feature:* More than 80 inches

*Drainage class:* Well drained

*Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat):* Moderately high (0.20 to 0.60 in/hr)

*Depth to water table:* More than 80 inches

*Frequency of flooding:* None

*Frequency of ponding:* None

*Available water capacity:* Very high (about 21.2 inches)

### Interpretive groups

*Land capability (nonirrigated):* 6e

*Ecological site:* Brushy Loam (R048AY238CO)

### Typical profile

*0 to 7 inches:* Loam

*7 to 60 inches:* Clay loam, loam

## Description of Empedrado, Moist

### Setting

*Landform:* Benches

*Down-slope shape:* Linear

*Across-slope shape:* Linear

*Parent material:* Colluvium derived from sandstone and shale and/or residuum weathered from sandstone and shale

### Properties and qualities

*Slope:* 5 to 35 percent

*Depth to restrictive feature:* More than 80 inches

*Drainage class:* Well drained

*Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat):* Moderately high to high (0.57 to 1.98 in/hr)

*Depth to water table:* More than 80 inches

*Frequency of flooding:* None

*Frequency of ponding:* None

*Calcium carbonate, maximum content:* 10 percent

*Maximum salinity:* Nonsaline (0.0 to 2.0 mmhos/cm)

*Available water capacity:* High (about 9.7 inches)

### Interpretive groups

*Land capability (nonirrigated):* 6e

*Ecological site:* Brushy Loam (R048AY238CO)

### Typical profile

*0 to 10 inches:* Loam

*10 to 21 inches:* Clay loam

## Custom Soil Resource Report

21 to 28 inches: Gravelly sandy clay loam  
28 to 60 inches: Loam

### Description of Pagoda

#### Setting

*Landform:* Benches, mountains  
*Landform position (three-dimensional):* Mountainflank  
*Down-slope shape:* Linear, concave  
*Across-slope shape:* Linear  
*Parent material:* Colluvium derived from shale

#### Properties and qualities

*Slope:* 5 to 35 percent  
*Depth to restrictive feature:* More than 80 inches  
*Drainage class:* Well drained  
*Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat):* Moderately low to moderately high (0.06 to 0.20 in/hr)  
*Depth to water table:* More than 80 inches  
*Frequency of flooding:* None  
*Frequency of ponding:* None  
*Calcium carbonate, maximum content:* 15 percent  
*Maximum salinity:* Nonsaline (0.0 to 2.0 mmhos/cm)  
*Available water capacity:* Very high (about 18.7 inches)

#### Interpretive groups

*Land capability (nonirrigated):* 6e  
*Ecological site:* Brushy Loam (R048AY238CO)

#### Typical profile

0 to 6 inches: Clay loam  
6 to 17 inches: Clay loam  
17 to 27 inches: Clay loam, clay  
27 to 60 inches: Clay loam, clay

## 53—Pagoda-Hesperus complex, 12 to 40 percent slopes

#### Map Unit Setting

*Elevation:* 7,400 to 8,000 feet  
*Mean annual precipitation:* 18 to 22 inches  
*Frost-free period:* 75 to 85 days

#### Map Unit Composition

*Pagoda and similar soils:* 50 percent  
*Hesperus and similar soils:* 20 percent

### Description of Pagoda

#### Setting

*Landform:* Mudflows



## Custom Soil Resource Report

*Down-slope shape:* Concave

*Across-slope shape:* Concave

*Parent material:* Alluvium derived from shale and/or colluvium derived from shale

### Properties and qualities

*Slope:* 12 to 40 percent

*Depth to restrictive feature:* More than 80 inches

*Drainage class:* Well drained

*Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat):* Moderately low to moderately high (0.06 to 0.20 in/hr)

*Depth to water table:* More than 80 inches

*Frequency of flooding:* None

*Frequency of ponding:* None

*Calcium carbonate, maximum content:* 15 percent

*Maximum salinity:* Nonsaline (0.0 to 2.0 mmhos/cm)

*Available water capacity:* Very high (about 18.7 inches)

### Interpretive groups

*Land capability (nonirrigated):* 7e

*Ecological site:* Brushy Loam (R048AY238CO)

### Typical profile

*0 to 6 inches:* Clay loam

*6 to 17 inches:* Clay loam

*17 to 27 inches:* Clay loam, clay

*27 to 60 inches:* Clay loam, clay

## Description of Hesperus

### Setting

*Landform:* Hills

*Landform position (two-dimensional):* Backslope

*Landform position (three-dimensional):* Side slope

*Down-slope shape:* Concave

*Across-slope shape:* Linear

### Properties and qualities

*Slope:* 12 to 40 percent

*Depth to restrictive feature:* More than 80 inches

*Drainage class:* Well drained

*Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat):* Moderately high (0.20 to 0.60 in/hr)

*Depth to water table:* More than 80 inches

*Frequency of flooding:* None

*Frequency of ponding:* None

*Available water capacity:* Very high (about 21.2 inches)

### Interpretive groups

*Land capability (nonirrigated):* 7e

*Ecological site:* Brushy Loam (R048AY238CO)

### Typical profile

*0 to 7 inches:* Loam

*7 to 60 inches:* Clay loam, loam



# **Soil Information for All Uses**

---

## **Suitabilities and Limitations for Use**

The Suitabilities and Limitations for Use section includes various soil interpretations displayed as thematic maps with a summary table for the soil map units in the selected area of interest. A single value or rating for each map unit is generated by aggregating the interpretive ratings of individual map unit components. This aggregation process is defined for each interpretation.

## **Building Site Development**

Building site development interpretations are designed to be used as tools for evaluating soil suitability and identifying soil limitations for various construction purposes. As part of the interpretation process, the rating applies to each soil in its described condition and does not consider present land use. Example interpretations can include corrosion of concrete and steel, shallow excavations, dwellings with and without basements, small commercial buildings, local roads and streets, and lawns and landscaping.

### **Corrosion of Concrete**

"Risk of corrosion" pertains to potential soil-induced electrochemical or chemical action that corrodes or weakens concrete. The rate of corrosion of concrete is based mainly on the sulfate and sodium content, texture, moisture content, and acidity of the soil. Special site examination and design may be needed if the combination of factors results in a severe hazard of corrosion. The concrete in installations that intersect soil boundaries or soil layers is more susceptible to corrosion than the concrete in installations that are entirely within one kind of soil or within one soil layer.

The risk of corrosion is expressed as "low," "moderate," or "high."

Map Scale: 1:4,990 if printed on A size (8.5" x 11") sheet.

0 50 100 200 300 Meters


0 250 500 1,000 1,500 Feet



## Custom Soil Resource Report

### MAP LEGEND

#### Area of Interest (AOI)

 Area of Interest (AOI)

#### Soils

 Soil Map Units

#### Soil Ratings

 High


 Moderate

 Low

 Not rated or not available


#### Political Features


 Cities

 PLSS Township and Range


 PLSS Section

#### Water Features

 Oceans


 Streams and Canals


#### Transportation

 Rails

 Interstate Highways

 US Routes

 Major Roads

 Local Roads

### MAP INFORMATION

Map Scale: 1:4,990 if printed on A size (8.5" × 11") sheet.

The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at 1:24,000.

Please rely on the bar scale on each map sheet for accurate map measurements.

Source of Map: Natural Resources Conservation Service

Web Soil Survey URL: <http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov>

Coordinate System: UTM Zone 13N NAD83

This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified data as of the version date(s) listed below.

Soil Survey Area: Douglas-Plateau Area, Colorado, Parts of Garfield and Mesa Counties

Survey Area Data: Version 5, Feb 1, 2008

Date(s) aerial images were photographed: 8/29/2005

The orthophoto or other base map on which the soil lines were compiled and digitized probably differs from the background imagery displayed on these maps. As a result, some minor shifting of map unit boundaries may be evident.

**Table—Corrosion of Concrete**

<b>Corrosion of Concrete— Summary by Map Unit — Douglas-Plateau Area, Colorado, Parts of Garfield and Mesa Counties</b>				
<b>Map unit symbol</b>	<b>Map unit name</b>	<b>Rating</b>	<b>Acres in AOI</b>	<b>Percent of AOI</b>
19	Cerro silty clay loam, 6 to 12 percent slopes	Low	9.9	11.9%
28	Cumulic Haploborolls, 1 to 3 percent slopes	Low	10.9	13.1%
47	Hesperus-Empedrado, moist-Pagoda complex 5 to 35 percent slopes	Low	37.5	45.2%
53	Pagoda-Hesperus complex, 12 to 40 percent slopes	Low	24.6	29.7%
<b>Totals for Area of Interest</b>			<b>82.9</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

**Rating Options—Corrosion of Concrete**

*Aggregation Method:* Dominant Condition

*Component Percent Cutoff:* None Specified


*Tie-break Rule:* Higher

**Corrosion of Steel**

"Risk of corrosion" pertains to potential soil-induced electrochemical or chemical action that corrodes or weakens uncoated steel. The rate of corrosion of uncoated steel is related to such factors as soil moisture, particle-size distribution, acidity, and electrical conductivity of the soil. Special site examination and design may be needed if the combination of factors results in a severe hazard of corrosion. The steel in installations that intersect soil boundaries or soil layers is more susceptible to corrosion than the steel in installations that are entirely within one kind of soil or within one soil layer.

The risk of corrosion is expressed as "low," "moderate," or "high."


Custom Soil Resource Report  
Map—Corrosion of Steel



## Custom Soil Resource Report

### MAP LEGEND

#### Area of Interest (AOI)

 Area of Interest (AOI)

#### Soils


 Soil Map Units

#### Soil Ratings

 High


 Moderate

 Low

 Not rated or not available

#### Political Features


 Cities

 PLSS Township and Range

 PLSS Section

#### Water Features

 Oceans

 Streams and Canals


#### Transportation

 Rails

 Interstate Highways

 US Routes

 Major Roads

 Local Roads

### MAP INFORMATION

Map Scale: 1:4,990 if printed on A size (8.5" × 11") sheet.

The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at 1:24,000.

Please rely on the bar scale on each map sheet for accurate map measurements.

Source of Map: Natural Resources Conservation Service

Web Soil Survey URL: <http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov>

Coordinate System: UTM Zone 13N NAD83

This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified data as of the version date(s) listed below.

Soil Survey Area: Douglas-Plateau Area, Colorado, Parts of Garfield and Mesa Counties

Survey Area Data: Version 5, Feb 1, 2008

Date(s) aerial images were photographed: 8/29/2005

The orthophoto or other base map on which the soil lines were compiled and digitized probably differs from the background imagery displayed on these maps. As a result, some minor shifting of map unit boundaries may be evident.



**Table—Corrosion of Steel**

<b>Corrosion of Steel— Summary by Map Unit — Douglas-Plateau Area, Colorado, Parts of Garfield and Mesa Counties</b>				
<b>Map unit symbol</b>	<b>Map unit name</b>	<b>Rating</b>	<b>Acres in AOI</b>	<b>Percent of AOI</b>
19	Cerro silty clay loam, 6 to 12 percent slopes	Moderate	9.9	11.9%
28	Cumulic Haploborolls, 1 to 3 percent slopes	Moderate	10.9	13.1%
47	Hesperus-Empedrado, moist-Pagoda complex 5 to 35 percent slopes	Moderate	37.5	45.2%
53	Pagoda-Hesperus complex, 12 to 40 percent slopes	Moderate	24.6	29.7%
<b>Totals for Area of Interest</b>			<b>82.9</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

**Rating Options—Corrosion of Steel**

*Aggregation Method:* Dominant Condition

*Component Percent Cutoff:* None Specified

*Tie-break Rule:* Higher


**Land Classifications**

Land Classifications are specified land use and management groupings that are assigned to soil areas because combinations of soil have similar behavior for specified practices. Most are based on soil properties and other factors that directly influence the specific use of the soil. Example classifications include ecological site classification, farmland classification, irrigated and nonirrigated land capability classification, and hydric rating.

**Ecological Site ID: NRCS Rangeland Site**

An "ecological site ID" is the symbol assigned to a particular ecological site. An "ecological site" is the product of all the environmental factors responsible for its development. It has characteristic soils that have developed over time; a characteristic hydrology, particularly infiltration and runoff, that has developed over time; and a characteristic plant community (kind and amount of vegetation). The vegetation, soils, and hydrology are all interrelated. Each is influenced by the others and influences the development of the others. For example, the hydrology of the site is influenced by development of the soil and plant community. The plant community on an ecological site is typified by an association of species that differs from that of other ecological sites in the kind and/or proportion of species or in total production. Descriptions of ecological sites are provided in the Field Office Technical Guide, which is available in local offices of the Natural Resources Conservation Service.


Custom Soil Resource Report  
Map—Ecological Site ID: NRCS Rangeland Site



## Custom Soil Resource Report

### MAP LEGEND


#### Area of Interest (AOI)


 Area of Interest (AOI)


#### Soils


 Soil Map Units

#### Soil Ratings

 R048AY238CO


 R048AY247CO

 R048AY285CO

 Not rated or not available

#### Political Features


 Cities

 PLSS Township and Range

 PLSS Section

#### Water Features

 Oceans

 Streams and Canals


#### Transportation

 Rails

 Interstate Highways

 US Routes

 Major Roads

 Local Roads

### MAP INFORMATION

Map Scale: 1:4,990 if printed on A size (8.5" × 11") sheet.

The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at 1:24,000.

Please rely on the bar scale on each map sheet for accurate map measurements.

Source of Map: Natural Resources Conservation Service

Web Soil Survey URL: <http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov>

Coordinate System: UTM Zone 13N NAD83

This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified data as of the version date(s) listed below.

Soil Survey Area: Douglas-Plateau Area, Colorado, Parts of Garfield and Mesa Counties

Survey Area Data: Version 5, Feb 1, 2008

Date(s) aerial images were photographed: 8/29/2005

The orthophoto or other base map on which the soil lines were compiled and digitized probably differs from the background imagery displayed on these maps. As a result, some minor shifting of map unit boundaries may be evident.

**Table—Ecological Site ID: NRCS Rangeland Site**

Ecological Site ID: NRCS Rangeland Site— Summary by Map Unit — Douglas-Plateau Area, Colorado, Parts of Garfield and Mesa Counties				
Map unit symbol	Map unit name	Rating	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
19	Cerro silty clay loam, 6 to 12 percent slopes	R048AY247CO	9.9	11.9%
28	Cumulic Haploborolls, 1 to 3 percent slopes	R048AY285CO	10.9	13.1%
47	Hesperus-Empedrado, moist-Pagoda complex 5 to 35 percent slopes	R048AY238CO	37.5	45.2%
53	Pagoda-Hesperus complex, 12 to 40 percent slopes	R048AY238CO	24.6	29.7%
<b>Totals for Area of Interest</b>			<b>82.9</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

**Rating Options—Ecological Site ID: NRCS Rangeland Site***Class:* NRCS Rangeland Site*Aggregation Method:* Dominant Condition*Component Percent Cutoff:* None Specified*Tie-break Rule:* Lower**Land Management**

Land management interpretations are tools designed to guide the user in evaluating existing conditions in planning and predicting the soil response to various land management practices, for a variety of land uses, including cropland, forestland, hayland, pastureland, horticulture, and rangeland. Example interpretations include suitability for a variety of irrigation practices, log landings, haul roads and major skid trails, equipment operability, site preparation, suitability for hand and mechanical planting, potential erosion hazard associated with various practices, and ratings for fencing and waterline installation.

**Mechanical Site Preparation (Surface)**

The ratings in this interpretation indicate the suitability for use of surface-altering soil tillage equipment during site preparation in forested areas. The ratings are based on slope, depth to a restrictive layer, plasticity index, rock fragments on or below the surface, depth to a water table, and ponding. The part of the soil from the surface to a depth of about 1 foot is considered in the ratings.

The ratings are both verbal and numerical. Rating class terms indicate the degree to which the soils are suited to this aspect of forestland management. The soils are described as "well suited," "poorly suited," or "unsuited" to this management activity.



## Custom Soil Resource Report


"Well suited" indicates that the soil has features that are favorable for the specified kind of site preparation and has no limitations. Good performance can be expected, and little or no maintenance is needed. "Poorly suited" indicates that the soil has one or more properties that are unfavorable for the specified kind of site preparation. Overcoming the unfavorable properties requires special design, extra maintenance, and costly alteration. "Unsuited" indicates that the expected performance of the soil is unacceptable for the specified kind of site preparation or that extreme measures are needed to overcome the undesirable soil properties.

Numerical ratings indicate the severity of individual limitations. The ratings are shown as decimal fractions ranging from 0.01 to 1.00. They indicate gradations between the point at which a soil feature has the greatest negative impact on the specified aspect of forestland management (1.00) and the point at which the soil feature is not a limitation (0.00).

The map unit components listed for each map unit in the accompanying Summary by Map Unit table in Web Soil Survey or the Aggregation Report in Soil Data Viewer are determined by the aggregation method chosen. An aggregated rating class is shown for each map unit. The components listed for each map unit are only those that have the same rating class as listed for the map unit. The percent composition of each component in a particular map unit is presented to help the user better understand the percentage of each map unit that has the rating presented.

Other components with different ratings may be present in each map unit. The ratings for all components, regardless of the map unit aggregated rating, can be viewed by generating the equivalent report from the Soil Reports tab in Web Soil Survey or from the Soil Data Mart site. Onsite investigation may be needed to validate these interpretations and to confirm the identity of the soil on a given site.


## Custom Soil Resource Report Map—Mechanical Site Preparation (Surface)



## Custom Soil Resource Report

### MAP LEGEND

#### Area of Interest (AOI)

 Area of Interest (AOI)


#### Soils


 Soil Map Units

#### Soil Ratings

 Unsited


 Poorly suited


 Well suited

 Not rated or not available

#### Political Features


 Cities

 PLSS Township and Range

 PLSS Section

#### Water Features

 Oceans

 Streams and Canals


#### Transportation

 Rails

 Interstate Highways

 US Routes

 Major Roads

 Local Roads

### MAP INFORMATION

Map Scale: 1:4,990 if printed on A size (8.5" × 11") sheet.

The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at 1:24,000.

Please rely on the bar scale on each map sheet for accurate map measurements.

Source of Map: Natural Resources Conservation Service

Web Soil Survey URL: <http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov>

Coordinate System: UTM Zone 13N NAD83

This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified data as of the version date(s) listed below.

Soil Survey Area: Douglas-Plateau Area, Colorado, Parts of Garfield and Mesa Counties

Survey Area Data: Version 5, Feb 1, 2008

Date(s) aerial images were photographed: 8/29/2005

The orthophoto or other base map on which the soil lines were compiled and digitized probably differs from the background imagery displayed on these maps. As a result, some minor shifting of map unit boundaries may be evident.

## Tables—Mechanical Site Preparation (Surface)

Mechanical Site Preparation (Surface)— Summary by Map Unit — Douglas-Plateau Area, Colorado, Parts of Garfield and Mesa Counties						
Map unit symbol	Map unit name	Rating	Component name (percent)	Rating reasons (numeric values)	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
19	Cerro silty clay loam, 6 to 12 percent slopes	Well suited	Cerro (70%)		9.9	11.9%
28	Cumulic Haploborolls, 1 to 3 percent slopes	Well suited	Cumulic Haploborolls (90%)		10.9	13.1%
47	Hesperus-Empedrado, moist-Pagoda complex 5 to 35 percent slopes	Poorly suited	Hesperus (35%)	Slope (0.50)	37.5	45.2%
			Empedrado, moist (30%)	Slope (0.50)		
			Pagoda (20%)	Slope (0.50)		
53	Pagoda-Hesperus complex, 12 to 40 percent slopes	Poorly suited	Pagoda (50%)	Slope (0.50)	24.6	29.7%
			Hesperus (20%)	Slope (0.50)		
Totals for Area of Interest					82.9	100.0%

Mechanical Site Preparation (Surface)— Summary by Rating Value		
Rating	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
Poorly suited	62.1	74.9%
Well suited	20.8	25.1%
<b>Totals for Area of Interest</b>	<b>82.9</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

## Rating Options—Mechanical Site Preparation (Surface)

*Aggregation Method:* Dominant Condition

*Component Percent Cutoff:* None Specified

*Tie-break Rule:* Higher

## Water Management

Water Management interpretations are tools for evaluating the potential of the soil in the application of various water management practices. Example interpretations include pond reservoir area, embankments, dikes, levees, and excavated ponds.

## Excavated Ponds (Aquifer-Fed)

Excavated ponds (aquifer-fed) are pits or dugouts that extend to a ground-water aquifer or to a depth below a permanent water table. Excluded are ponds that are fed only by surface runoff and embankment ponds that impound water 3 feet or more above the original surface. Excavated ponds are affected by depth to a permanent water table, saturated hydraulic conductivity (Ksat) of the aquifer, and quality of the



water as inferred from the salinity of the soil. Depth to bedrock and the content of large stones affect the ease of excavation.


The ratings are both verbal and numerical. Rating class terms indicate the extent to which the soils are limited by all of the soil features that affect the specified use. "Not limited" indicates that the soil has features that are very favorable for the specified use. Good performance and very low maintenance can be expected. "Somewhat limited" indicates that the soil has features that are moderately favorable for the specified use. The limitations can be overcome or minimized by special planning, design, or installation. Fair performance and moderate maintenance can be expected. "Very limited" indicates that the soil has one or more features that are unfavorable for the specified use. The limitations generally cannot be overcome without major soil reclamation, special design, or expensive installation procedures. Poor performance and high maintenance can be expected.

Numerical ratings indicate the severity of individual limitations. The ratings are shown as decimal fractions ranging from 0.01 to 1.00. They indicate gradations between the point at which a soil feature has the greatest negative impact on the use (1.00) and the point at which the soil feature is not a limitation (0.00).

The map unit components listed for each map unit in the accompanying Summary by Map Unit table in Web Soil Survey or the Aggregation Report in Soil Data Viewer are determined by the aggregation method chosen. An aggregated rating class is shown for each map unit. The components listed for each map unit are only those that have the same rating class as listed for the map unit. The percent composition of each component in a particular map unit is presented to help the user better understand the percentage of each map unit that has the rating presented.

Other components with different ratings may be present in each map unit. The ratings for all components, regardless of the map unit aggregated rating, can be viewed by generating the equivalent report from the Soil Reports tab in Web Soil Survey or from the Soil Data Mart site. Onsite investigation may be needed to validate these interpretations and to confirm the identity of the soil on a given site.


Custom Soil Resource Report  
Map—Excavated Ponds (Aquifer-Fed)



## Custom Soil Resource Report

### MAP LEGEND

#### Area of Interest (AOI)

 Area of Interest (AOI)


#### Soils


 Soil Map Units

#### Soil Ratings

 Very limited


 Somewhat limited

 Not limited

 Not rated or not available

#### Political Features


 Cities

 PLSS Township and Range

 PLSS Section

#### Water Features

 Oceans

 Streams and Canals


#### Transportation

 Rails

 Interstate Highways

 US Routes

 Major Roads

 Local Roads

### MAP INFORMATION

Map Scale: 1:4,990 if printed on A size (8.5" × 11") sheet.

The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at 1:24,000.

Please rely on the bar scale on each map sheet for accurate map measurements.

Source of Map: Natural Resources Conservation Service

Web Soil Survey URL: <http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov>

Coordinate System: UTM Zone 13N NAD83

This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified data as of the version date(s) listed below.

Soil Survey Area: Douglas-Plateau Area, Colorado, Parts of Garfield and Mesa Counties

Survey Area Data: Version 5, Feb 1, 2008

Date(s) aerial images were photographed: 8/29/2005

The orthophoto or other base map on which the soil lines were compiled and digitized probably differs from the background imagery displayed on these maps. As a result, some minor shifting of map unit boundaries may be evident.

## Tables—Excavated Ponds (Aquifer-Fed)

Excavated Ponds (Aquifer-Fed)— Summary by Map Unit — Douglas-Plateau Area, Colorado, Parts of Garfield and Mesa Counties						
Map unit symbol	Map unit name	Rating	Component name (percent)	Rating reasons (numeric values)	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
19	Cerro silty clay loam, 6 to 12 percent slopes	Very limited	Cerro (70%)	Depth to water (1.00)	9.9	11.9%
28	Cumulic Haploborolls, 1 to 3 percent slopes	Very limited	Cumulic Haploborolls (90%)	Cutbanks cave (1.00)	10.9	13.1%
				Depth to saturated zone (0.96)		
47	Hesperus-Empedrado, moist-Pagoda complex 5 to 35 percent slopes	Very limited	Hesperus (35%)	Depth to water (1.00)	37.5	45.2%
			Empedrado, moist (30%)	Depth to water (1.00)		
			Pagoda (20%)	Depth to water (1.00)		
53	Pagoda-Hesperus complex, 12 to 40 percent slopes	Very limited	Pagoda (50%)	Depth to water (1.00)	24.6	29.7%
			Hesperus (20%)	Depth to water (1.00)		
Totals for Area of Interest					82.9	100.0%

Excavated Ponds (Aquifer-Fed)— Summary by Rating Value		
Rating	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
Very limited	82.9	100.0%
<b>Totals for Area of Interest</b>	<b>82.9</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

## Rating Options—Excavated Ponds (Aquifer-Fed)

*Aggregation Method:* Dominant Condition

*Component Percent Cutoff:* None Specified

*Tie-break Rule:* Higher

## Pond Reservoir Areas

Pond reservoir areas hold water behind a dam or embankment. Soils best suited to this use have low seepage potential in the upper 60 inches. The seepage potential is determined by the saturated hydraulic conductivity (Ksat) of the soil and the depth to fractured bedrock or other permeable material. Excessive slope can affect the storage capacity of the reservoir area.

The ratings are both verbal and numerical. Rating class terms indicate the extent to which the soils are limited by all of the soil features that affect the specified use. "Not limited" indicates that the soil has features that are very favorable for the specified




use. Good performance and very low maintenance can be expected. "Somewhat limited" indicates that the soil has features that are moderately favorable for the specified use. The limitations can be overcome or minimized by special planning, design, or installation. Fair performance and moderate maintenance can be expected. "Very limited" indicates that the soil has one or more features that are unfavorable for the specified use. The limitations generally cannot be overcome without major soil reclamation, special design, or expensive installation procedures. Poor performance and high maintenance can be expected.

Numerical ratings indicate the severity of individual limitations. The ratings are shown as decimal fractions ranging from 0.01 to 1.00. They indicate gradations between the point at which a soil feature has the greatest negative impact on the use (1.00) and the point at which the soil feature is not a limitation (0.00).

The map unit components listed for each map unit in the accompanying Summary by Map Unit table in Web Soil Survey or the Aggregation Report in Soil Data Viewer are determined by the aggregation method chosen. An aggregated rating class is shown for each map unit. The components listed for each map unit are only those that have the same rating class as listed for the map unit. The percent composition of each component in a particular map unit is presented to help the user better understand the percentage of each map unit that has the rating presented.

Other components with different ratings may be present in each map unit. The ratings for all components, regardless of the map unit aggregated rating, can be viewed by generating the equivalent report from the Soil Reports tab in Web Soil Survey or from the Soil Data Mart site. Onsite investigation may be needed to validate these interpretations and to confirm the identity of the soil on a given site.


Custom Soil Resource Report  
Map—Pond Reservoir Areas



## Custom Soil Resource Report

### MAP LEGEND

#### Area of Interest (AOI)

 Area of Interest (AOI)


#### Soils


 Soil Map Units

#### Soil Ratings

 Very limited


 Somewhat limited

 Not limited

 Not rated or not available

#### Political Features


 Cities

 PLSS Township and Range

 PLSS Section

#### Water Features

 Oceans

 Streams and Canals


#### Transportation

 Rails

 Interstate Highways

 US Routes

 Major Roads

 Local Roads

### MAP INFORMATION

Map Scale: 1:4,990 if printed on A size (8.5" × 11") sheet.

The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at 1:24,000.

Please rely on the bar scale on each map sheet for accurate map measurements.

Source of Map: Natural Resources Conservation Service

Web Soil Survey URL: <http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov>

Coordinate System: UTM Zone 13N NAD83

This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified data as of the version date(s) listed below.

Soil Survey Area: Douglas-Plateau Area, Colorado, Parts of Garfield and Mesa Counties

Survey Area Data: Version 5, Feb 1, 2008

Date(s) aerial images were photographed: 8/29/2005

The orthophoto or other base map on which the soil lines were compiled and digitized probably differs from the background imagery displayed on these maps. As a result, some minor shifting of map unit boundaries may be evident.

## Tables—Pond Reservoir Areas

Pond Reservoir Areas— Summary by Map Unit — Douglas-Plateau Area, Colorado, Parts of Garfield and Mesa Counties						
Map unit symbol	Map unit name	Rating	Component name (percent)	Rating reasons (numeric values)	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
19	Cerro silty clay loam, 6 to 12 percent slopes	Very limited	Cerro (70%)	Slope (1.00)	9.9	11.9%
				Seepage (0.02)		
28	Cumulic Haploborolls, 1 to 3 percent slopes	Very limited	Cumulic Haploborolls (90%)	Seepage (1.00)	10.9	13.1%
47	Hesperus-Empedrado, moist-Pagoda complex 5 to 35 percent slopes	Very limited	Hesperus (35%)	Slope (1.00)	37.5	45.2%
				Seepage (0.04)		
			Empedrado, moist (30%)	Slope (1.00)		
				Seepage (0.72)		
			Pagoda (20%)	Slope (1.00)		
				Seepage (0.02)		
53	Pagoda-Hesperus complex, 12 to 40 percent slopes	Very limited	Pagoda (50%)	Slope (1.00)	24.6	29.7%
				Seepage (0.02)		
			Hesperus (20%)	Slope (1.00)		
				Seepage (0.04)		
Totals for Area of Interest					82.9	100.0%

Pond Reservoir Areas— Summary by Rating Value		
Rating	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
Very limited	82.9	100.0%
Totals for Area of Interest	82.9	100.0%

## Rating Options—Pond Reservoir Areas

*Aggregation Method:* Dominant Condition

*Component Percent Cutoff:* None Specified

*Tie-break Rule:* Higher



## Soil Properties and Qualities

The Soil Properties and Qualities section includes various soil properties and qualities displayed as thematic maps with a summary table for the soil map units in the selected area of interest. A single value or rating for each map unit is generated by aggregating the interpretive ratings of individual map unit components. This aggregation process is defined for each property or quality.

## Soil Qualities and Features

Soil qualities are behavior and performance attributes that are not directly measured, but are inferred from observations of dynamic conditions and from soil properties. Example soil qualities include natural drainage, and frost action. Soil features are attributes that are not directly part of the soil. Example soil features include slope and depth to restrictive layer. These features can greatly impact the use and management of the soil.

## Depth to Any Soil Restrictive Layer

A "restrictive layer" is a nearly continuous layer that has one or more physical, chemical, or thermal properties that significantly impede the movement of water and air through the soil or that restrict roots or otherwise provide an unfavorable root environment. Examples are bedrock, cemented layers, dense layers, and frozen layers.

This theme presents the depth to any type of restrictive layer that is described for each map unit. If more than one type of restrictive layer is described for an individual soil type, the depth to the shallowest one is presented. If no restrictive layer is described in a map unit, it is represented by the "> 200" depth class.

This attribute is actually recorded as three separate values in the database. A low value and a high value indicate the range of this attribute for the soil component. A "representative" value indicates the expected value of this attribute for the component. For this soil property, only the representative value is used.

107° 45' 22"




Map Scale: 1:4,990 if printed on A size (8.5" x 11") sheet.

## Custom Soil Resource Report

### MAP LEGEND

#### Area of Interest (AOI)


 Area of Interest (AOI)


#### Soils


 Soil Map Units


#### Soil Ratings


 0 - 25

 25 - 50

 50 - 100


 100 - 150


 150 - 200

 > 200

#### Political Features

 Cities

 PLSS Township and Range

 PLSS Section

#### Water Features

 Oceans


 Streams and Canals


#### Transportation

 Rails

 Interstate Highways

 US Routes

 Major Roads

 Local Roads

### MAP INFORMATION

Map Scale: 1:4,990 if printed on A size (8.5" × 11") sheet.

The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at 1:24,000.

Please rely on the bar scale on each map sheet for accurate map measurements.

Source of Map: Natural Resources Conservation Service  
Web Soil Survey URL: <http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov>  
Coordinate System: UTM Zone 13N NAD83

This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified data as of the version date(s) listed below.

Soil Survey Area: Douglas-Plateau Area, Colorado, Parts of Garfield and Mesa Counties  
Survey Area Data: Version 5, Feb 1, 2008

Date(s) aerial images were photographed: 8/29/2005

The orthophoto or other base map on which the soil lines were compiled and digitized probably differs from the background imagery displayed on these maps. As a result, some minor shifting of map unit boundaries may be evident.


**Table—Depth to Any Soil Restrictive Layer**

Depth to Any Soil Restrictive Layer— Summary by Map Unit — Douglas-Plateau Area, Colorado, Parts of Garfield and Mesa Counties				
Map unit symbol	Map unit name	Rating (centimeters)	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
19	Cerro silty clay loam, 6 to 12 percent slopes	>200	9.9	11.9%
28	Cumulic Haploborolls, 1 to 3 percent slopes	>200	10.9	13.1%
47	Hesperus-Empedrado, moist-Pagoda complex 5 to 35 percent slopes	>200	37.5	45.2%
53	Pagoda-Hesperus complex, 12 to 40 percent slopes	>200	24.6	29.7%
<b>Totals for Area of Interest</b>			<b>82.9</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

**Rating Options—Depth to Any Soil Restrictive Layer***Units of Measure:* centimeters*Aggregation Method:* Dominant Component*Component Percent Cutoff:* None Specified*Tie-break Rule:* Lower*Interpret Nulls as Zero:* No**Drainage Class**

"Drainage class (natural)" refers to the frequency and duration of wet periods under conditions similar to those under which the soil formed. Alterations of the water regime by human activities, either through drainage or irrigation, are not a consideration unless they have significantly changed the morphology of the soil. Seven classes of natural soil drainage are recognized-excessively drained, somewhat excessively drained, well drained, moderately well drained, somewhat poorly drained, poorly drained, and very poorly drained. These classes are defined in the "Soil Survey Manual."

Custom Soil Resource Report  
Map—Drainage Class






## Custom Soil Resource Report

### MAP LEGEND

#### Area of Interest (AOI)

 Area of Interest (AOI)




#### Soils

 Soil Map Units



#### Soil Ratings

 Excessively drained  
 Somewhat excessively drained  
 Well drained  
 Moderately well drained  
 Somewhat poorly drained  
 Poorly drained  
 Very poorly drained  
 Not rated or not available





#### Political Features

 Cities  
 PLSS Township and Range  
 PLSS Section

#### Water Features

 Oceans  
 Streams and Canals

#### Transportation

 Rails  
 Interstate Highways  
 US Routes  
 Major Roads



Local Roads

### MAP INFORMATION

Map Scale: 1:4,990 if printed on A size (8.5" × 11") sheet.

The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at 1:24,000.

Please rely on the bar scale on each map sheet for accurate map measurements.

Source of Map: Natural Resources Conservation Service  
Web Soil Survey URL: <http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov>  
Coordinate System: UTM Zone 13N NAD83

This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified data as of the version date(s) listed below.

Soil Survey Area: Douglas-Plateau Area, Colorado, Parts of Garfield and Mesa Counties  
Survey Area Data: Version 5, Feb 1, 2008

Date(s) aerial images were photographed: 8/29/2005

The orthophoto or other base map on which the soil lines were compiled and digitized probably differs from the background imagery displayed on these maps. As a result, some minor shifting of map unit boundaries may be evident.

**Table—Drainage Class**

<b>Drainage Class— Summary by Map Unit — Douglas-Plateau Area, Colorado, Parts of Garfield and Mesa Counties</b>				
<b>Map unit symbol</b>	<b>Map unit name</b>	<b>Rating</b>	<b>Acres in AOI</b>	<b>Percent of AOI</b>
19	Cerro silty clay loam, 6 to 12 percent slopes	Well drained	9.9	11.9%
28	Cumulic Haploborolls, 1 to 3 percent slopes	Well drained	10.9	13.1%
47	Hesperus-Empedrado, moist-Pagoda complex 5 to 35 percent slopes	Well drained	37.5	45.2%
53	Pagoda-Hesperus complex, 12 to 40 percent slopes	Well drained	24.6	29.7%
<b>Totals for Area of Interest</b>			<b>82.9</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

**Rating Options—Drainage Class**

*Aggregation Method:* Dominant Condition

*Component Percent Cutoff:* None Specified

*Tie-break Rule:* Higher

**Hydrologic Soil Group**

Hydrologic soil groups are based on estimates of runoff potential. Soils are assigned to one of four groups according to the rate of water infiltration when the soils are not protected by vegetation, are thoroughly wet, and receive precipitation from long-duration storms.

The soils in the United States are assigned to four groups (A, B, C, and D) and three dual classes (A/D, B/D, and C/D). The groups are defined as follows:

Group A. Soils having a high infiltration rate (low runoff potential) when thoroughly wet. These consist mainly of deep, well drained to excessively drained sands or gravelly sands. These soils have a high rate of water transmission.

Group B. Soils having a moderate infiltration rate when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of moderately deep or deep, moderately well drained or well drained soils that have moderately fine texture to moderately coarse texture. These soils have a moderate rate of water transmission.

Group C. Soils having a slow infiltration rate when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of soils having a layer that impedes the downward movement of water or soils of moderately fine texture or fine texture. These soils have a slow rate of water transmission.


Group D. Soils having a very slow infiltration rate (high runoff potential) when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of clays that have a high shrink-swell potential,

## Custom Soil Resource Report

soils that have a high water table, soils that have a claypan or clay layer at or near the surface, and soils that are shallow over nearly impervious material. These soils have a very slow rate of water transmission.

If a soil is assigned to a dual hydrologic group (A/D, B/D, or C/D), the first letter is for drained areas and the second is for undrained areas. Only the soils that in their natural condition are in group D are assigned to dual classes.


Custom Soil Resource Report  
Map—Hydrologic Soil Group



## Custom Soil Resource Report

### MAP LEGEND

#### Area of Interest (AOI)

 Area of Interest (AOI)

#### Soils

 Soil Map Units

#### Soil Ratings

 A

 A/D


 B

 B/D

 C


 C/D


 D

 Not rated or not available

#### Political Features

 Cities

 PLSS Township and Range


 PLSS Section

#### Water Features

 Oceans


 Streams and Canals


#### Transportation

 Rails

 Interstate Highways

 US Routes

 Major Roads

 Local Roads

### MAP INFORMATION

Map Scale: 1:4,990 if printed on A size (8.5" × 11") sheet.

The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at 1:24,000.

Please rely on the bar scale on each map sheet for accurate map measurements.

Source of Map: Natural Resources Conservation Service  
Web Soil Survey URL: <http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov>  
Coordinate System: UTM Zone 13N NAD83

This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified data as of the version date(s) listed below.

Soil Survey Area: Douglas-Plateau Area, Colorado, Parts of Garfield and Mesa Counties  
Survey Area Data: Version 5, Feb 1, 2008

Date(s) aerial images were photographed: 8/29/2005

The orthophoto or other base map on which the soil lines were compiled and digitized probably differs from the background imagery displayed on these maps. As a result, some minor shifting of map unit boundaries may be evident.



**Table—Hydrologic Soil Group**

Hydrologic Soil Group— Summary by Map Unit — Douglas-Plateau Area, Colorado, Parts of Garfield and Mesa Counties				
Map unit symbol	Map unit name	Rating	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
19	Cerro silty clay loam, 6 to 12 percent slopes	C	9.9	11.9%
28	Cumulic Haploborolls, 1 to 3 percent slopes	B	10.9	13.1%
47	Hesperus-Empedrado, moist-Pagoda complex 5 to 35 percent slopes	B	37.5	45.2%
53	Pagoda-Hesperus complex, 12 to 40 percent slopes	C	24.6	29.7%
<b>Totals for Area of Interest</b>			<b>82.9</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

### Rating Options—Hydrologic Soil Group

*Aggregation Method:* Dominant Condition

*Component Percent Cutoff:* None Specified

*Tie-break Rule:* Lower

### Representative Slope

Slope gradient is the difference in elevation between two points, expressed as a percentage of the distance between those points.

The slope gradient is actually recorded as three separate values in the database. A low value and a high value indicate the range of this attribute for the soil component. A "representative" value indicates the expected value of this attribute for the component. For this soil property, only the representative value is used.

Map—Representative Slope

Map Scale: 1:4,990 if printed on A size (8.5" x 11") sheet.

0 50 100 200 300 Meters

0 250 500 1,000 1,500 Feet

107° 45' 22" 107° 44' 38" 107° 45' 21"

39° 16' 19" 39° 16' 20" 39° 15' 57" 39° 15' 58"

262300 262400 262500 262600 262700 262800 262900 263000 263100 263200

4350500 4350400 4350300 4350200 4350100 4350000 4349900

15 22 23 28 47 53 53 53 19 19


T9S R93W

Harrison Creek

## Custom Soil Resource Report

### MAP LEGEND


#### Area of Interest (AOI)

 Area of Interest (AOI)


#### Soils


 Soil Map Units

#### Soil Ratings


 0 - 5

 5 - 15

 15 - 30


 30 - 45


 45 - 60

 Not rated or not available

#### Political Features

 Cities

 PLSS Township and Range

 PLSS Section

#### Water Features

 Oceans

 Streams and Canals


#### Transportation

 Rails

 Interstate Highways

 US Routes

 Major Roads

 Local Roads

### MAP INFORMATION

Map Scale: 1:4,990 if printed on A size (8.5" × 11") sheet.

The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at 1:24,000.

Please rely on the bar scale on each map sheet for accurate map measurements.

Source of Map: Natural Resources Conservation Service

Web Soil Survey URL: <http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov>

Coordinate System: UTM Zone 13N NAD83

This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified data as of the version date(s) listed below.

Soil Survey Area: Douglas-Plateau Area, Colorado, Parts of Garfield and Mesa Counties

Survey Area Data: Version 5, Feb 1, 2008

Date(s) aerial images were photographed: 8/29/2005

The orthophoto or other base map on which the soil lines were compiled and digitized probably differs from the background imagery displayed on these maps. As a result, some minor shifting of map unit boundaries may be evident.

**Table—Representative Slope**

<b>Representative Slope— Summary by Map Unit — Douglas-Plateau Area, Colorado, Parts of Garfield and Mesa Counties</b>				
<b>Map unit symbol</b>	<b>Map unit name</b>	<b>Rating (percent)</b>	<b>Acres in AOI</b>	<b>Percent of AOI</b>
19	Cerro silty clay loam, 6 to 12 percent slopes	9.0	9.9	11.9%
28	Cumulic Haploborolls, 1 to 3 percent slopes	2.0	10.9	13.1%
47	Hesperus-Empedrado, moist-Pagoda complex 5 to 35 percent slopes	20.0	37.5	45.2%
53	Pagoda-Hesperus complex, 12 to 40 percent slopes	26.0	24.6	29.7%
<b>Totals for Area of Interest</b>			<b>82.9</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

**Rating Options—Representative Slope**

*Units of Measure:* percent

*Aggregation Method:* Dominant Component

*Component Percent Cutoff:* None Specified

*Tie-break Rule:* Higher

*Interpret Nulls as Zero:* No


**Unified Soil Classification (Surface)**

The Unified soil classification system classifies mineral and organic mineral soils for engineering purposes on the basis of particle-size characteristics, liquid limit, and plasticity index. It identifies three major soil divisions: (i) coarse-grained soils having less than 50 percent, by weight, particles smaller than 0.074 mm in diameter; (ii) fine-grained soils having 50 percent or more, by weight, particles smaller than 0.074 mm in diameter; and (iii) highly organic soils that demonstrate certain organic characteristics. These divisions are further subdivided into a total of 15 basic soil groups. The major soil divisions and basic soil groups are determined on the basis of estimated or measured values for grain-size distribution and Atterberg limits. ASTM D 2487 shows the criteria chart used for classifying soil in the Unified system and the 15 basic soil groups of the system and the plasticity chart for the Unified system.

The various groupings of this classification correlate in a general way with the engineering behavior of soils. This correlation provides a useful first step in any field or laboratory investigation for engineering purposes. It can serve to make some general interpretations relating to probable performance of the soil for engineering uses.

For each soil horizon in the database one or more Unified soil classifications may be listed. One is marked as the representative or most commonly occurring. The representative classification is shown here for the surface layer of the soil.

Custom Soil Resource Report  
Map—Unified Soil Classification (Surface)






# Custom Soil Resource Report

## MAP LEGEND

### Area of Interest (AOI)

 Area of Interest (AOI)

### Soils

 Soil Map Units


### Soil Ratings


 CH


 CL

 CL-A (proposed)

 CL-K (proposed)

 CL-ML

 CL-O (proposed)

 CL-T (proposed)

 GC

 GC-GM

 GM

 GP

 GP-GC


 GP-GM


 GW


 GW-GC


 GW-GM

 MH

 MH-A (proposed)


 MH-K (proposed)


 MH-O (proposed)


 MH-T (proposed)

 ML


 ML-A (proposed)

 ML-K (proposed)

 ML-O (proposed)

 ML-T (proposed)


 OH

 OH-T (proposed)

 OL


 PT


 SC

 SC-SM


 SM


 SP

 SP-SC

 SP-SM

 SW


 SW-SC

 SW-SM

 Not rated or not available

### Political Features

 Cities

 PLSS Township and Range

 PLSS Section

### Water Features

 Oceans

 Streams and Canals


### Transportation

 Rails

 Interstate Highways

 US Routes

 Major Roads

 Local Roads

## MAP INFORMATION

Map Scale: 1:4,990 if printed on A size (8.5" × 11") sheet.

The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at 1:24,000.

Please rely on the bar scale on each map sheet for accurate map measurements.

Source of Map: Natural Resources Conservation Service  
Web Soil Survey URL: <http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov>  
Coordinate System: UTM Zone 13N NAD83

This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified data as of the version date(s) listed below.

Soil Survey Area: Douglas-Plateau Area, Colorado, Parts of Garfield and Mesa Counties  
Survey Area Data: Version 5, Feb 1, 2008

Date(s) aerial images were photographed: 8/29/2005

The orthophoto or other base map on which the soil lines were compiled and digitized probably differs from the background imagery displayed on these maps. As a result, some minor shifting of map unit boundaries may be evident.

**Table—Unified Soil Classification (Surface)**

Unified Soil Classification (Surface)— Summary by Map Unit — Douglas-Plateau Area, Colorado, Parts of Garfield and Mesa Counties				
Map unit symbol	Map unit name	Rating	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
19	Cerro silty clay loam, 6 to 12 percent slopes	ML	9.9	11.9%
28	Cumulic Haploborolls, 1 to 3 percent slopes	GC	10.9	13.1%
47	Hesperus-Empedrado, moist-Pagoda complex 5 to 35 percent slopes	CL	37.5	45.2%
53	Pagoda-Hesperus complex, 12 to 40 percent slopes	CL	24.6	29.7%
<b>Totals for Area of Interest</b>			<b>82.9</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

**Rating Options—Unified Soil Classification (Surface)**

*Aggregation Method:* Dominant Condition

*Component Percent Cutoff:* None Specified

*Tie-break Rule:* Lower

*Layer Options:* Surface Layer

**Water Features**


Water Features include ponding frequency, flooding frequency, and depth to water table.

**Depth to Water Table**

"Water table" refers to a saturated zone in the soil. It occurs during specified months. Estimates of the upper limit are based mainly on observations of the water table at selected sites and on evidence of a saturated zone, namely grayish colors (redoximorphic features) in the soil. A saturated zone that lasts for less than a month is not considered a water table.

This attribute is actually recorded as three separate values in the database. A low value and a high value indicate the range of this attribute for the soil component. A "representative" value indicates the expected value of this attribute for the component. For this soil property, only the representative value is used.


Custom Soil Resource Report  
Map—Depth to Water Table



## Custom Soil Resource Report

### MAP LEGEND

#### Area of Interest (AOI)


 Area of Interest (AOI)


#### Soils


 Soil Map Units


#### Soil Ratings

 0 - 25

 25 - 50

 50 - 100


 100 - 150


 150 - 200

 > 200

#### Political Features


 Cities

 PLSS Township and Range

 PLSS Section

#### Water Features

 Oceans

 Streams and Canals


#### Transportation

 Rails

 Interstate Highways

 US Routes

 Major Roads

 Local Roads

### MAP INFORMATION

Map Scale: 1:4,990 if printed on A size (8.5" × 11") sheet.

The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at 1:24,000.

Please rely on the bar scale on each map sheet for accurate map measurements.

Source of Map: Natural Resources Conservation Service  
Web Soil Survey URL: <http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov>  
Coordinate System: UTM Zone 13N NAD83

This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified data as of the version date(s) listed below.

Soil Survey Area: Douglas-Plateau Area, Colorado, Parts of Garfield and Mesa Counties  
Survey Area Data: Version 5, Feb 1, 2008

Date(s) aerial images were photographed: 8/29/2005

The orthophoto or other base map on which the soil lines were compiled and digitized probably differs from the background imagery displayed on these maps. As a result, some minor shifting of map unit boundaries may be evident.

**Table—Depth to Water Table**

<b>Depth to Water Table— Summary by Map Unit — Douglas-Plateau Area, Colorado, Parts of Garfield and Mesa Counties</b>				
<b>Map unit symbol</b>	<b>Map unit name</b>	<b>Rating (centimeters)</b>	<b>Acres in AOI</b>	<b>Percent of AOI</b>
19	Cerro silty clay loam, 6 to 12 percent slopes	>200	9.9	11.9%
28	Cumulic Haploborolls, 1 to 3 percent slopes	137	10.9	13.1%
47	Hesperus-Empedrado, moist-Pagoda complex 5 to 35 percent slopes	>200	37.5	45.2%
53	Pagoda-Hesperus complex, 12 to 40 percent slopes	>200	24.6	29.7%
<b>Totals for Area of Interest</b>			<b>82.9</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

## Rating Options—Depth to Water Table

*Units of Measure:* centimeters

*Aggregation Method:* Dominant Component

*Component Percent Cutoff:* None Specified

*Tie-break Rule:* Lower

*Interpret Nulls as Zero:* No

*Beginning Month:* January

*Ending Month:* December

## Flooding Frequency Class

Flooding is the temporary inundation of an area caused by overflowing streams, by runoff from adjacent slopes, or by tides. Water standing for short periods after rainfall or snowmelt is not considered flooding, and water standing in swamps and marshes is considered ponding rather than flooding.

Frequency is expressed as none, very rare, rare, occasional, frequent, and very frequent.

"None" means that flooding is not probable. The chance of flooding is nearly 0 percent in any year. Flooding occurs less than once in 500 years.

"Very rare" means that flooding is very unlikely but possible under extremely unusual weather conditions. The chance of flooding is less than 1 percent in any year.

"Rare" means that flooding is unlikely but possible under unusual weather conditions. The chance of flooding is 1 to 5 percent in any year.


"Occasional" means that flooding occurs infrequently under normal weather conditions. The chance of flooding is 5 to 50 percent in any year.

"Frequent" means that flooding is likely to occur often under normal weather conditions. The chance of flooding is more than 50 percent in any year but is less than 50 percent in all months in any year.

"Very frequent" means that flooding is likely to occur very often under normal weather conditions. The chance of flooding is more than 50 percent in all months of any year.




Custom Soil Resource Report  
Map—Flooding Frequency Class



## Custom Soil Resource Report

### MAP LEGEND

#### Area of Interest (AOI)

 Area of Interest (AOI)

#### Soils


 Soil Map Units

#### Soil Ratings


 None

 Very Rare

 Rare


 Occasional


 Frequent

 Very Frequent


#### Political Features


 Cities

 PLSS Township and Range

 PLSS Section

#### Water Features

 Oceans

 Streams and Canals


#### Transportation

 Rails

 Interstate Highways

 US Routes

 Major Roads

 Local Roads

### MAP INFORMATION

Map Scale: 1:4,990 if printed on A size (8.5" × 11") sheet.

The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at 1:24,000.

Please rely on the bar scale on each map sheet for accurate map measurements.

Source of Map: Natural Resources Conservation Service

Web Soil Survey URL: <http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov>

Coordinate System: UTM Zone 13N NAD83

This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified data as of the version date(s) listed below.

Soil Survey Area: Douglas-Plateau Area, Colorado, Parts of Garfield and Mesa Counties

Survey Area Data: Version 5, Feb 1, 2008

Date(s) aerial images were photographed: 8/29/2005

The orthophoto or other base map on which the soil lines were compiled and digitized probably differs from the background imagery displayed on these maps. As a result, some minor shifting of map unit boundaries may be evident.

**Table—Flooding Frequency Class**

<b>Flooding Frequency Class— Summary by Map Unit — Douglas-Plateau Area, Colorado, Parts of Garfield and Mesa Counties</b>				
<b>Map unit symbol</b>	<b>Map unit name</b>	<b>Rating</b>	<b>Acres in AOI</b>	<b>Percent of AOI</b>
19	Cerro silty clay loam, 6 to 12 percent slopes	None	9.9	11.9%
28	Cumulic Haploborolls, 1 to 3 percent slopes	Occasional	10.9	13.1%
47	Hesperus-Empedrado, moist-Pagoda complex 5 to 35 percent slopes	None	37.5	45.2%
53	Pagoda-Hesperus complex, 12 to 40 percent slopes	None	24.6	29.7%
<b>Totals for Area of Interest</b>			<b>82.9</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

**Rating Options—Flooding Frequency Class***Aggregation Method:* Dominant Condition*Component Percent Cutoff:* None Specified*Tie-break Rule:* More Frequent*Beginning Month:* January*Ending Month:* December

## Soil Reports

The Soil Reports section includes various formatted tabular and narrative reports (tables) containing data for each selected soil map unit and each component of each unit. No aggregation of data has occurred as is done in reports in the Soil Properties and Qualities and Suitabilities and Limitations sections.

The reports contain soil interpretive information as well as basic soil properties and qualities. A description of each report (table) is included.

## Building Site Development

This folder contains a collection of tabular reports that present soil interpretations related to building site development. The reports (tables) include all selected map units and components for each map unit, limiting features and interpretive ratings. Building site development interpretations are designed to be used as tools for evaluating soil suitability and identifying soil limitations for various construction purposes. As part of the interpretation process, the rating applies to each soil in its described condition and does not consider present land use. Example interpretations can include corrosion of concrete and steel, shallow excavations, dwellings with and without basements, small commercial buildings, local roads and streets, and lawns and landscaping.

## Roads and Streets, Shallow Excavations, and Lawns and Landscaping

Soil properties influence the development of building sites, including the selection of the site, the design of the structure, construction, performance after construction, and maintenance. This table shows the degree and kind of soil limitations that affect local roads and streets, shallow excavations, and lawns and landscaping.

The ratings in the table are both verbal and numerical. Rating class terms indicate the extent to which the soils are limited by all of the soil features that affect building site development. *Not limited* indicates that the soil has features that are very favorable for the specified use. Good performance and very low maintenance can be expected. *Somewhat limited* indicates that the soil has features that are moderately favorable for the specified use. The limitations can be overcome or minimized by special planning, design, or installation. Fair performance and moderate maintenance can be expected. *Very limited* indicates that the soil has one or more features that are unfavorable for the specified use. The limitations generally cannot be overcome without major soil reclamation, special design, or expensive installation procedures. Poor performance and high maintenance can be expected.

Numerical ratings in the table indicate the severity of individual limitations. The ratings are shown as decimal fractions ranging from 0.01 to 1.00. They indicate gradations between the point at which a soil feature has the greatest negative impact on the use (1.00) and the point at which the soil feature is not a limitation (0.00).

*Local roads and streets* have an all-weather surface and carry automobile and light truck traffic all year. They have a subgrade of cut or fill soil material; a base of gravel,

crushed rock, or soil material stabilized by lime or cement; and a surface of flexible material (asphalt), rigid material (concrete), or gravel with a binder. The ratings are based on the soil properties that affect the ease of excavation and grading and the traffic-supporting capacity. The properties that affect the ease of excavation and grading are depth to bedrock or a cemented pan, hardness of bedrock or a cemented pan, depth to a water table, ponding, flooding, the amount of large stones, and slope. The properties that affect the traffic-supporting capacity are soil strength (as inferred from the AASHTO group index number), subsidence, linear extensibility (shrink-swell potential), the potential for frost action, depth to a water table, and ponding.

*Shallow excavations* are trenches or holes dug to a maximum depth of 5 or 6 feet for graves, utility lines, open ditches, or other purposes. The ratings are based on the soil properties that influence the ease of digging and the resistance to sloughing. Depth to bedrock or a cemented pan, hardness of bedrock or a cemented pan, the amount of large stones, and dense layers influence the ease of digging, filling, and compacting. Depth to the seasonal high water table, flooding, and ponding may restrict the period when excavations can be made. Slope influences the ease of using machinery. Soil texture, depth to the water table, and linear extensibility (shrink-swell potential) influence the resistance to sloughing.

*Lawns and landscaping* require soils on which turf and ornamental trees and shrubs can be established and maintained. Irrigation is not considered in the ratings. The ratings are based on the soil properties that affect plant growth and trafficability after vegetation is established. The properties that affect plant growth are reaction; depth to a water table; ponding; depth to bedrock or a cemented pan; the available water capacity in the upper 40 inches; the content of salts, sodium, or calcium carbonate; and sulfidic materials. The properties that affect trafficability are flooding, depth to a water table, ponding, slope, stoniness, and the amount of sand, clay, or organic matter in the surface layer.

Information in this table is intended for land use planning, for evaluating land use alternatives, and for planning site investigations prior to design and construction. The information, however, has limitations. For example, estimates and other data generally apply only to that part of the soil between the surface and a depth of 5 to 7 feet. Because of the map scale, small areas of different soils may be included within the mapped areas of a specific soil.

The information is not site specific and does not eliminate the need for onsite investigation of the soils or for testing and analysis by personnel experienced in the design and construction of engineering works.

Government ordinances and regulations that restrict certain land uses or impose specific design criteria were not considered in preparing the information in this table. Local ordinances and regulations should be considered in planning, in site selection, and in design.

## **Report—Roads and Streets, Shallow Excavations, and Lawns and Landscaping**

[Onsite investigation may be needed to validate the interpretations in this table and to confirm the identity of the soil on a given site. The numbers in the value columns range from 0.01 to 1.00. The larger the value, the greater the potential limitation. The table shows only the top five limitations for any given soil. The soil may have additional limitations]

# Custom Soil Resource Report

Roads and Streets, Shallow Excavations, and Lawns and Landscaping— Douglas-Plateau Area, Colorado, Parts of Garfield and Mesa Counties							
Map symbol and soil name	Pct. of map unit	Local roads and streets		Shallow excavations		Lawns and landscaping	
		Rating class and limiting features	Value	Rating class and limiting features	Value	Rating class and limiting features	Value
19—Cerro silty clay loam, 6 to 12 percent slopes							
Cerro	70	Very limited		Somewhat limited		Somewhat limited	
		Low strength	1.00	Too clayey	0.28	Slope	0.04
		Shrink-swell	1.00	Cutbanks cave	0.10		
		Slope	0.04	Slope	0.04		
28—Cumulic Haploborolls, 1 to 3 percent slopes							
Cumulic haploborolls	90	Very limited		Very limited		Somewhat limited	
		Flooding	1.00	Cutbanks cave	1.00	Flooding	0.60
				Flooding	0.60	Large stones content	0.20
				Depth to saturated zone	0.35	Gravel content	0.09
						Droughty	0.02
47—Hesperus-Empedrado, moist-Pagoda complex 5 to 35 percent slopes							
Hesperus	35	Very limited		Very limited		Very limited	
		Slope	1.00	Slope	1.00	Slope	1.00
		Low strength	1.00	Cutbanks cave	0.10		
		Shrink-swell	0.50				
		Frost action	0.50				
Empedrado, moist	30	Very limited		Very limited		Very limited	
		Slope	1.00	Cutbanks cave	1.00	Slope	1.00
		Frost action	0.50	Slope	1.00		
Pagoda	20	Very limited		Very limited		Very limited	
		Slope	1.00	Slope	1.00	Slope	1.00
		Low strength	1.00	Cutbanks cave	0.10		
		Shrink-swell	0.50	Too clayey	0.03		



## Custom Soil Resource Report

Roads and Streets, Shallow Excavations, and Lawns and Landscaping— Douglas-Plateau Area, Colorado, Parts of Garfield and Mesa Counties							
Map symbol and soil name	Pct. of map unit	Local roads and streets		Shallow excavations		Lawns and landscaping	
		Rating class and limiting features	Value	Rating class and limiting features	Value	Rating class and limiting features	Value
53—Pagoda-Hesperus complex, 12 to 40 percent slopes							
Pagoda	50	Very limited		Very limited		Very limited	
		Slope	1.00	Slope	1.00	Slope	1.00
		Low strength	1.00	Cutbanks cave	0.10		
		Shrink-swell	0.50	Too clayey	0.03		
Hesperus	20	Very limited		Very limited		Very limited	
		Slope	1.00	Slope	1.00	Slope	1.00
		Low strength	1.00	Cutbanks cave	0.10		
		Shrink-swell	0.50				
		Frost action	0.50				

## Land Classifications

This folder contains a collection of tabular reports that present a variety of soil groupings. The reports (tables) include all selected map units and components for each map unit. Land classifications are specified land use and management groupings that are assigned to soil areas because combinations of soil have similar behavior for specified practices. Most are based on soil properties and other factors that directly influence the specific use of the soil. Example classifications include ecological site classification, farmland classification, irrigated and nonirrigated land capability classification, and hydric rating.

## Taxonomic Classification of the Soils

The system of soil classification used by the National Cooperative Soil Survey has six categories (Soil Survey Staff, 1999 and 2003). Beginning with the broadest, these categories are the order, suborder, great group, subgroup, family, and series. Classification is based on soil properties observed in the field or inferred from those observations or from laboratory measurements. This table shows the classification of the soils in the survey area. The categories are defined in the following paragraphs.

**ORDER.** Twelve soil orders are recognized. The differences among orders reflect the dominant soil-forming processes and the degree of soil formation. Each order is identified by a word ending in *sol*. An example is Alfisols.

**SUBORDER.** Each order is divided into suborders primarily on the basis of properties that influence soil genesis and are important to plant growth or properties that reflect the most important variables within the orders. The last syllable in the name of a suborder indicates the order. An example is Udalfs (*Ud*, meaning humid, plus *alfs*, from Alfisols).

## Custom Soil Resource Report

**GREAT GROUP.** Each suborder is divided into great groups on the basis of close similarities in kind, arrangement, and degree of development of pedogenic horizons; soil moisture and temperature regimes; type of saturation; and base status. Each great group is identified by the name of a suborder and by a prefix that indicates a property of the soil. An example is Hapludalfs (*Hapl*, meaning minimal horizonation, plus *udalfs*, the suborder of the Alfisols that has a udic moisture regime).

**SUBGROUP.** Each great group has a typic subgroup. Other subgroups are intergrades or extragrades. The typic subgroup is the central concept of the great group; it is not necessarily the most extensive. Intergrades are transitions to other orders, suborders, or great groups. Extragrades have some properties that are not representative of the great group but do not indicate transitions to any other taxonomic class. Each subgroup is identified by one or more adjectives preceding the name of the great group. The adjective *Typic* identifies the subgroup that typifies the great group. An example is Typic Hapludalfs.

**FAMILY.** Families are established within a subgroup on the basis of physical and chemical properties and other characteristics that affect management. Generally, the properties are those of horizons below plow depth where there is much biological activity. Among the properties and characteristics considered are particle-size class, mineralogy class, cation-exchange activity class, soil temperature regime, soil depth, and reaction class. A family name consists of the name of a subgroup preceded by terms that indicate soil properties. An example is fine-loamy, mixed, active, mesic Typic Hapludalfs.

**SERIES.** The series consists of soils within a family that have horizons similar in color, texture, structure, reaction, consistence, mineral and chemical composition, and arrangement in the profile.

### References:

Soil Survey Staff. 1999. Soil taxonomy: A basic system of soil classification for making and interpreting soil surveys. 2nd edition. Natural Resources Conservation Service. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 436.

Soil Survey Staff. 2006. Keys to soil taxonomy. 10th edition. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. (The soils in a given survey area may have been classified according to earlier editions of this publication.)

## Report—Taxonomic Classification of the Soils

[An asterisk by the soil name indicates a taxadjunct to the series]

Taxonomic Classification of the Soils– Douglas-Plateau Area, Colorado, Parts of Garfield and Mesa Counties	
Soil name	Family or higher taxonomic classification
Cerro	Fine, montmorillonitic Ustertic Argiborolls
Cumulic Haploborolls	Cumulic Haploborolls
Empedrado	Fine-loamy, mixed Typic Argiborolls
Hesperus	Fine-loamy, mixed Pachic Argiborolls
Pagoda	Fine, montmorillonitic Pachic Argiborolls

## Soil Chemical Properties

This folder contains a collection of tabular reports that present soil chemical properties. The reports (tables) include all selected map units and components for each map unit. Soil chemical properties are measured or inferred from direct observations in the field or laboratory. Examples of soil chemical properties include pH, cation exchange capacity, calcium carbonate, gypsum, and electrical conductivity.

## Chemical Soil Properties

This table shows estimates of some chemical characteristics and features that affect soil behavior. These estimates are given for the layers of each soil in the survey area. The estimates are based on field observations and on test data for these and similar soils.

*Depth* to the upper and lower boundaries of each layer is indicated.

*Cation-exchange capacity* is the total amount of extractable cations that can be held by the soil, expressed in terms of milliequivalents per 100 grams of soil at neutrality (pH 7.0) or at some other stated pH value. Soils having a low cation-exchange capacity hold fewer cations and may require more frequent applications of fertilizer than soils having a high cation-exchange capacity. The ability to retain cations reduces the hazard of ground-water pollution.

*Effective cation-exchange capacity* refers to the sum of extractable cations plus aluminum expressed in terms of milliequivalents per 100 grams of soil. It is determined for soils that have pH of less than 5.5.

*Soil reaction* is a measure of acidity or alkalinity. It is important in selecting crops and other plants, in evaluating soil amendments for fertility and stabilization, and in determining the risk of corrosion.

*Calcium carbonate* equivalent is the percent of carbonates, by weight, in the fraction of the soil less than 2 millimeters in size. The availability of plant nutrients is influenced by the amount of carbonates in the soil.

*Gypsum* is expressed as a percent, by weight, of hydrated calcium sulfates in the fraction of the soil less than 20 millimeters in size. Gypsum is partially soluble in water. Soils that have a high content of gypsum may collapse if the gypsum is removed by percolating water.

*Salinity* is a measure of soluble salts in the soil at saturation. It is expressed as the electrical conductivity of the saturation extract, in millimhos per centimeter at 25 degrees C. Estimates are based on field and laboratory measurements at representative sites of nonirrigated soils. The salinity of irrigated soils is affected by the quality of the irrigation water and by the frequency of water application. Hence, the salinity of soils in individual fields can differ greatly from the value given in the table. Salinity affects the suitability of a soil for crop production, the stability of soil if used as construction material, and the potential of the soil to corrode metal and concrete.

*Sodium adsorption ratio* (SAR) is a measure of the amount of sodium (Na) relative to calcium (Ca) and magnesium (Mg) in the water extract from saturated soil paste. It is the ratio of the Na concentration divided by the square root of one-half of the Ca + Mg

## Custom Soil Resource Report

concentration. Soils that have SAR values of 13 or more may be characterized by an increased dispersion of organic matter and clay particles, reduced saturated hydraulic conductivity and aeration, and a general degradation of soil structure.

# Custom Soil Resource Report

Chemical Soil Properties– Douglas-Plateau Area, Colorado, Parts of Garfield and Mesa Counties								
Map symbol and soil name	Depth	Cation-exchange capacity	Effective cation-exchange capacity	Soil reaction	Calcium carbonate	Gypsum	Salinity	Sodium adsorption ratio
	<i>In</i>	<i>meq/100g</i>	<i>meq/100g</i>	<i>pH</i>	<i>Pct</i>	<i>Pct</i>	<i>mmhos/cm</i>	
19—Cerro silty clay loam, 6 to 12 percent slopes								
Cerro	0-7	20-30	—	6.6-7.3	0	0	0	0
	7-12	15-35	—	7.4-7.8	0	0	0	0
	12-35	25-45	—	7.9-8.4	0-2	0	0.0-2.0	0
	35-60	15-30	—	7.9-8.4	5-15	0	0.0-2.0	0
28—Cumulic Haploborolls, 1 to 3 percent slopes								
Cumulic haploborolls	0-8	10-25	—	6.6-8.4	0-5	0	0.0-4.0	0
	8-20	10-20	—	7.4-8.4	5-10	0	0.0-4.0	0
	20-28	10-25	—	7.4-8.4	5-10	0	0.0-4.0	0
	28-60	4.0-10	—	7.4-8.4	5-10	0	0.0-4.0	0
47—Hesperus-Empedrado, moist-Pagoda complex 5 to 35 percent slopes								
Hesperus	0-7	10-20	—	6.6-7.3	0	0	0	0
	7-60	15-25	—	6.6-7.8	0	0	0	0
Empedrado, moist	0-10	10-25	—	6.6-7.3	0	0	0	0
	10-21	10-25	—	6.6-7.3	0	0	0	0
	21-28	10-20	—	6.6-7.8	0	0	0	0
	28-60	5.0-15	—	7.9-8.4	5-10	0	0.0-2.0	0
Pagoda	0-6	15-25	—	6.6-7.8	0	0	0	0
	6-17	15-25	—	6.6-7.8	0	0	0	0
	17-27	15-30	—	6.6-7.8	0	0	0	0
	27-60	10-30	—	7.9-8.4	5-15	0	0.0-2.0	0

# Custom Soil Resource Report

Chemical Soil Properties– Douglas-Plateau Area, Colorado, Parts of Garfield and Mesa Counties								
Map symbol and soil name	Depth	Cation-exchange capacity	Effective cation-exchange capacity	Soil reaction	Calcium carbonate	Gypsum	Salinity	Sodium adsorption ratio
	<i>In</i>	<i>meq/100g</i>	<i>meq/100g</i>	<i>pH</i>	<i>Pct</i>	<i>Pct</i>	<i>mmhos/cm</i>	
53—Pagoda-Hesperus complex, 12 to 40 percent slopes								
Pagoda	0-6	15-25	—	6.6-7.8	0	0	0	0
	6-17	15-25	—	6.6-7.8	0	0	0	0
	17-27	15-30	—	6.6-7.8	0	0	0	0
	27-60	10-30	—	7.9-8.4	5-15	0	0.0-2.0	0
Hesperus	0-7	10-20	—	6.6-7.3	0	0	0	0
	7-60	15-25	—	6.6-7.8	0	0	0	0



## Soil Physical Properties

This folder contains a collection of tabular reports that present soil physical properties. The reports (tables) include all selected map units and components for each map unit. Soil physical properties are measured or inferred from direct observations in the field or laboratory. Examples of soil physical properties include percent clay, organic matter, saturated hydraulic conductivity, available water capacity, and bulk density.

### Physical Soil Properties

This table shows estimates of some physical characteristics and features that affect soil behavior. These estimates are given for the layers of each soil in the survey area. The estimates are based on field observations and on test data for these and similar soils.

*Depth* to the upper and lower boundaries of each layer is indicated.

Particle size is the effective diameter of a soil particle as measured by sedimentation, sieving, or micrometric methods. Particle sizes are expressed as classes with specific effective diameter class limits. The broad classes are sand, silt, and clay, ranging from the larger to the smaller.

*Sand* as a soil separate consists of mineral soil particles that are 0.05 millimeter to 2 millimeters in diameter. In this table, the estimated sand content of each soil layer is given as a percentage, by weight, of the soil material that is less than 2 millimeters in diameter.

*Silt* as a soil separate consists of mineral soil particles that are 0.002 to 0.05 millimeter in diameter. In this table, the estimated silt content of each soil layer is given as a percentage, by weight, of the soil material that is less than 2 millimeters in diameter.

*Clay* as a soil separate consists of mineral soil particles that are less than 0.002 millimeter in diameter. In this table, the estimated clay content of each soil layer is given as a percentage, by weight, of the soil material that is less than 2 millimeters in diameter.

The content of sand, silt, and clay affects the physical behavior of a soil. Particle size is important for engineering and agronomic interpretations, for determination of soil hydrologic qualities, and for soil classification.

The amount and kind of clay affect the fertility and physical condition of the soil and the ability of the soil to adsorb cations and to retain moisture. They influence shrink-swell potential, saturated hydraulic conductivity (K<sub>sat</sub>), plasticity, the ease of soil dispersion, and other soil properties. The amount and kind of clay in a soil also affect tillage and earthmoving operations.

*Moist bulk density* is the weight of soil (oven-dry) per unit volume. Volume is measured when the soil is at field moisture capacity, that is, the moisture content at 1/3- or 1/10-bar (33kPa or 10kPa) moisture tension. Weight is determined after the soil is dried at 105 degrees C. In the table, the estimated moist bulk density of each soil horizon is expressed in grams per cubic centimeter of soil material that is less than 2 millimeters in diameter. Bulk density data are used to compute linear extensibility, shrink-swell potential, available water capacity, total pore space, and other soil properties. The moist bulk density of a soil indicates the pore space available for water and roots.

Depending on soil texture, a bulk density of more than 1.4 can restrict water storage and root penetration. Moist bulk density is influenced by texture, kind of clay, content of organic matter, and soil structure.

*Saturated hydraulic conductivity (Ksat)* refers to the ease with which pores in a saturated soil transmit water. The estimates in the table are expressed in terms of micrometers per second. They are based on soil characteristics observed in the field, particularly structure, porosity, and texture. Saturated hydraulic conductivity (Ksat) is considered in the design of soil drainage systems and septic tank absorption fields.

*Available water capacity* refers to the quantity of water that the soil is capable of storing for use by plants. The capacity for water storage is given in inches of water per inch of soil for each soil layer. The capacity varies, depending on soil properties that affect retention of water. The most important properties are the content of organic matter, soil texture, bulk density, and soil structure. Available water capacity is an important factor in the choice of plants or crops to be grown and in the design and management of irrigation systems. Available water capacity is not an estimate of the quantity of water actually available to plants at any given time.

*Linear extensibility* refers to the change in length of an unconfined clod as moisture content is decreased from a moist to a dry state. It is an expression of the volume change between the water content of the clod at 1/3- or 1/10-bar tension (33kPa or 10kPa tension) and oven dryness. The volume change is reported in the table as percent change for the whole soil. The amount and type of clay minerals in the soil influence volume change.

Linear extensibility is used to determine the shrink-swell potential of soils. The shrink-swell potential is low if the soil has a linear extensibility of less than 3 percent; moderate if 3 to 6 percent; high if 6 to 9 percent; and very high if more than 9 percent. If the linear extensibility is more than 3, shrinking and swelling can cause damage to buildings, roads, and other structures and to plant roots. Special design commonly is needed.

*Organic matter* is the plant and animal residue in the soil at various stages of decomposition. In this table, the estimated content of organic matter is expressed as a percentage, by weight, of the soil material that is less than 2 millimeters in diameter. The content of organic matter in a soil can be maintained by returning crop residue to the soil.

Organic matter has a positive effect on available water capacity, water infiltration, soil organism activity, and tilth. It is a source of nitrogen and other nutrients for crops and soil organisms.

*Erosion factors* are shown in the table as the K factor (Kw and Kf) and the T factor. Erosion factor K indicates the susceptibility of a soil to sheet and rill erosion by water. Factor K is one of six factors used in the Universal Soil Loss Equation (USLE) and the Revised Universal Soil Loss Equation (RUSLE) to predict the average annual rate of soil loss by sheet and rill erosion in tons per acre per year. The estimates are based primarily on percentage of silt, sand, and organic matter and on soil structure and Ksat. Values of K range from 0.02 to 0.69. Other factors being equal, the higher the value, the more susceptible the soil is to sheet and rill erosion by water.

*Erosion factor Kw* indicates the erodibility of the whole soil. The estimates are modified by the presence of rock fragments.

*Erosion factor Kf* indicates the erodibility of the fine-earth fraction, or the material less than 2 millimeters in size.

## Custom Soil Resource Report

*Erosion factor T* is an estimate of the maximum average annual rate of soil erosion by wind and/or water that can occur without affecting crop productivity over a sustained period. The rate is in tons per acre per year.

*Wind erodibility groups* are made up of soils that have similar properties affecting their susceptibility to wind erosion in cultivated areas. The soils assigned to group 1 are the most susceptible to wind erosion, and those assigned to group 8 are the least susceptible. The groups are described in the "National Soil Survey Handbook."

*Wind erodibility index* is a numerical value indicating the susceptibility of soil to wind erosion, or the tons per acre per year that can be expected to be lost to wind erosion. There is a close correlation between wind erosion and the texture of the surface layer, the size and durability of surface clods, rock fragments, organic matter, and a calcareous reaction. Soil moisture and frozen soil layers also influence wind erosion.

Reference:

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service.  
National soil survey handbook, title 430-VI. (<http://soils.usda.gov>)

# Custom Soil Resource Report

Physical Soil Properties— Douglas-Plateau Area, Colorado, Parts of Garfield and Mesa Counties														
Map symbol and soil name	Depth	Sand	Silt	Clay	Moist bulk density	Saturated hydraulic conductivity	Available water capacity	Linear extensibility	Organic matter	Erosion factors			Wind erodibility group	Wind erodibility index
										Kw	Kf	T		
	<i>In</i>	<i>Pct</i>	<i>Pct</i>	<i>Pct</i>	<i>g/cc</i>	<i>micro m/sec</i>	<i>In/In</i>	<i>Pct</i>	<i>Pct</i>					
19—Cerro silty clay loam, 6 to 12 percent slopes														
Cerro	0-7	-20-	-48-	30-33- 35	1.15-1.30	1.41-4.23	0.17-0.21	3.0-5.9	1.0-2.0	.28	.28	5	7	38
	7-12	- 8-	-55-	35-38- 40	1.15-1.30	0.42-4.23	0.17-0.21	3.0-5.9	0.5-1.0	.32	.32			
	12-35	- 6-	-47-	40-48- 50	1.15-1.30	0.42-1.41	0.14-0.17	6.0-8.9	0.5-1.0	.24	.24			
	35-60	-19-	-48-	27-34- 40	1.15-1.30	0.42-4.23	0.17-0.21	3.0-5.9	0.0-0.5	.37	.37			
28—Cumulic Haploborolls, 1 to 3 percent slopes														
Cumulic haploborolls	0-8	-57-	-18-	20-25- 30	1.25-1.40	1.41-14.11	0.10-0.13	0.0-2.9	2.0-3.0	.10	.17	3	5	56
	8-20	-57-	-18-	20-25- 30	1.25-1.40	1.41-14.11	0.07-0.09	0.0-2.9	1.0-2.0	.05	.17			
	20-28	-35-	-34-	27-31- 35	1.25-1.40	1.41-14.00	0.16-0.19	0.0-2.9	1.0-2.0	.20	.20			
	28-60	—	—	5-10- 15	1.45-1.60	42.34-141.14	0.03-0.04	0.0-2.9	1.0-2.0	.05	.15			

# Custom Soil Resource Report

Physical Soil Properties-- Douglas-Plateau Area, Colorado, Parts of Garfield and Mesa Counties														
Map symbol and soil name	Depth	Sand	Silt	Clay	Moist bulk density	Saturated hydraulic conductivity	Available water capacity	Linear extensibility	Organic matter	Erosion factors			Wind erodibility group	Wind erodibility index
										Kw	Kf	T		
	<i>In</i>	<i>Pct</i>	<i>Pct</i>	<i>Pct</i>	<i>g/cc</i>	<i>micro m/sec</i>	<i>In/in</i>	<i>Pct</i>	<i>Pct</i>					
47—Hesperus-Empedrado, moist-Pagoda complex 5 to 35 percent slopes														
Hesperus	0-7	-44-	-41-	10-15- 20	1.25-1.40	4.23-42.34	0.14-0.17	0.0-2.9	2.0-5.0	.20	.20	5	5	56
	7-60	-36-	-34-	20-31- 35	1.25-1.40	1.41-4.23	0.17-0.20	3.0-5.9	1.0-2.0	.20	.20			
Empedrado, moist	0-10	-40-	-38-	18-23- 27	1.25-1.40	4.23-14.11	0.16-0.18	0.0-2.9	2.0-4.0	.24	.24	5	6	48
	10-21	-35-	-34-	27-31- 35	1.25-1.40	4.00-14.00	0.17-0.21	3.0-5.9	0.5-1.0	.24	.24			
	21-28	-57-	-18-	20-25- 30	1.25-1.40	4.00-14.00	0.10-0.13	0.0-2.9	0.5-1.0	.10	.20			
	28-60	-40-	-38-	18-23- 27	1.25-1.40	4.23-14.11	0.14-0.18	0.0-2.9	0.0-0.5	.43	.43			
Pagoda	0-6	-35-	-34-	27-31- 35	1.25-1.40	1.41-4.23	0.17-0.21	3.0-5.9	2.0-3.0	.20	.20	5	6	48
	6-17	-35-	-34-	27-31- 35	1.25-1.40	1.41-4.23	0.17-0.21	3.0-5.9	1.0-3.0	.20	.20			
	17-27	-28-	-30-	35-43- 50	1.15-1.40	0.42-1.41	0.14-0.21	6.0-8.9	0.5-1.0	.32	.20			
	27-60	-29-	-31-	30-40- 50	1.15-1.40	0.42-4.23	0.14-0.21	3.0-5.9	0.0-0.5	.20	.20			
53—Pagoda-Hesperus complex, 12 to 40 percent slopes														
Pagoda	0-6	-35-	-34-	27-31- 35	1.25-1.40	1.41-4.23	0.17-0.21	3.0-5.9	2.0-3.0	.20	.20	5	6	48
	6-17	-35-	-34-	27-31- 35	1.25-1.40	1.41-4.23	0.17-0.21	3.0-5.9	1.0-3.0	.20	.20			
	17-27	-28-	-30-	35-43- 50	1.15-1.40	0.42-1.41	0.14-0.21	6.0-8.9	0.5-1.0	.32	.20			
	27-60	-29-	-31-	30-40- 50	1.15-1.40	0.42-4.23	0.14-0.21	3.0-5.9	0.0-0.5	.20	.20			
Hesperus	0-7	-44-	-41-	10-15- 20	1.25-1.40	4.23-42.34	0.14-0.17	0.0-2.9	2.0-5.0	.20	.20	5	5	56
	7-60	-36-	-34-	20-31- 35	1.25-1.40	1.41-4.23	0.17-0.20	3.0-5.9	1.0-2.0	.20	.20			

## Soil Qualities and Features

This folder contains tabular reports that present various soil qualities and features. The reports (tables) include all selected map units and components for each map unit. Soil qualities are behavior and performance attributes that are not directly measured, but are inferred from observations of dynamic conditions and from soil properties. Example soil qualities include natural drainage, and frost action. Soil features are attributes that are not directly part of the soil. Example soil features include slope and depth to restrictive layer. These features can greatly impact the use and management of the soil.

### Soil Features

This table gives estimates of various soil features. The estimates are used in land use planning that involves engineering considerations.

*A restrictive layer* is a nearly continuous layer that has one or more physical, chemical, or thermal properties that significantly impede the movement of water and air through the soil or that restrict roots or otherwise provide an unfavorable root environment. Examples are bedrock, cemented layers, dense layers, and frozen layers. The table indicates the hardness and thickness of the restrictive layer, both of which significantly affect the ease of excavation. *Depth to top* is the vertical distance from the soil surface to the upper boundary of the restrictive layer.

*Subsidence* is the settlement of organic soils or of saturated mineral soils of very low density. Subsidence generally results from either desiccation and shrinkage, or oxidation of organic material, or both, following drainage. Subsidence takes place gradually, usually over a period of several years. The table shows the expected initial subsidence, which usually is a result of drainage, and total subsidence, which results from a combination of factors.

*Potential for frost action* is the likelihood of upward or lateral expansion of the soil caused by the formation of segregated ice lenses (frost heave) and the subsequent collapse of the soil and loss of strength on thawing. Frost action occurs when moisture moves into the freezing zone of the soil. Temperature, texture, density, saturated hydraulic conductivity (Ksat), content of organic matter, and depth to the water table are the most important factors considered in evaluating the potential for frost action. It is assumed that the soil is not insulated by vegetation or snow and is not artificially drained. Silty and highly structured, clayey soils that have a high water table in winter are the most susceptible to frost action. Well drained, very gravelly, or very sandy soils are the least susceptible. Frost heave and low soil strength during thawing cause damage to pavements and other rigid structures.

*Risk of corrosion* pertains to potential soil-induced electrochemical or chemical action that corrodes or weakens uncoated steel or concrete. The rate of corrosion of uncoated steel is related to such factors as soil moisture, particle-size distribution, acidity, and electrical conductivity of the soil. The rate of corrosion of concrete is based mainly on the sulfate and sodium content, texture, moisture content, and acidity of the soil. Special site examination and design may be needed if the combination of factors results in a severe hazard of corrosion. The steel or concrete in installations that intersect soil boundaries or soil layers is more susceptible to corrosion than the steel



## Custom Soil Resource Report

or concrete in installations that are entirely within one kind of soil or within one soil layer.

For uncoated steel, the risk of corrosion, expressed as *low*, *moderate*, or *high*, is based on soil drainage class, total acidity, electrical resistivity near field capacity, and electrical conductivity of the saturation extract.

For concrete, the risk of corrosion also is expressed as *low*, *moderate*, or *high*. It is based on soil texture, acidity, and amount of sulfates in the saturation extract.

# Custom Soil Resource Report

Soil Features— Douglas-Plateau Area, Colorado, Parts of Garfield and Mesa Counties									
Map symbol and soil name	Restrictive Layer				Subsidence		Potential for frost action	Risk of corrosion	
	Kind	Depth to top	Thickness	Hardness	Initial	Total		Uncoated steel	Concrete
		<i>In</i>	<i>In</i>		<i>In</i>	<i>In</i>			
19—Cerro silty clay loam, 6 to 12 percent slopes									
Cerro		—	—		0	—	Low	Moderate	Low
28—Cumulic Haploborolls, 1 to 3 percent slopes									
Cumulic haploborolls		—	—		0	—	Low	Moderate	Low
47—Hesperus-Empedrado, moist-Pagoda complex 5 to 35 percent slopes									
Hesperus		—	—		0	—	Moderate	Moderate	Low
Empedrado, moist		—	—		0	—	Moderate	High	Low
Pagoda		—	—		0	—	Low	Moderate	Low
53—Pagoda-Hesperus complex, 12 to 40 percent slopes									
Pagoda		—	—		0	—	Low	Moderate	Low
Hesperus		—	—		0	—	Moderate	Moderate	Low

## Water Management

This folder contains a collection of tabular reports that present soil interpretations related to water management. The reports (tables) include all selected map units and components for each map unit, limiting features and interpretive ratings. Water management interpretations are tools for evaluating the potential of the soil in the application of various water management practices. Example interpretations include pond reservoir area, embankments, dikes, levees, and excavated ponds.

### Ponds and Embankments

This table gives information on the soil properties and site features that affect water management. The degree and kind of soil limitations are given for pond reservoir areas; embankments, dikes, and levees; and aquifer-fed excavated ponds. The ratings are both verbal and numerical. Rating class terms indicate the extent to which the soils are limited by all of the soil features that affect these uses. *Not limited* indicates that the soil has features that are very favorable for the specified use. Good performance and very low maintenance can be expected. *Somewhat limited* indicates that the soil has features that are moderately favorable for the specified use. The limitations can be overcome or minimized by special planning, design, or installation. Fair performance and moderate maintenance can be expected. *Very limited* indicates that the soil has one or more features that are unfavorable for the specified use. The limitations generally cannot be overcome without major soil reclamation, special design, or expensive installation procedures. Poor performance and high maintenance can be expected.

Numerical ratings in the table indicate the severity of individual limitations. The ratings are shown as decimal fractions ranging from 0.01 to 1.00. They indicate gradations between the point at which a soil feature has the greatest negative impact on the use (1.00) and the point at which the soil feature is not a limitation (0.00).

*Pond reservoir areas* hold water behind a dam or embankment. Soils best suited to this use have low seepage potential in the upper 60 inches. The seepage potential is determined by the saturated hydraulic conductivity (Ksat) of the soil and the depth to fractured bedrock or other permeable material. Excessive slope can affect the storage capacity of the reservoir area.

*Embankments, dikes, and levees* are raised structures of soil material, generally less than 20 feet high, constructed to impound water or to protect land against overflow. Embankments that have zoned construction (core and shell) are not considered. In this table, the soils are rated as a source of material for embankment fill. The ratings apply to the soil material below the surface layer to a depth of 5 or 6 feet. It is assumed that soil layers will be uniformly mixed and compacted during construction.

The ratings do not indicate the ability of the natural soil to support an embankment. Soil properties to a depth even greater than the height of the embankment can affect performance and safety of the embankment. Generally, deeper onsite investigation is needed to determine these properties.

Soil material in embankments must be resistant to seepage, piping, and erosion and have favorable compaction characteristics. Unfavorable features include less than 5 feet of suitable material and a high content of stones or boulders, organic matter, or

## Custom Soil Resource Report

salts or sodium. A high water table affects the amount of usable material. It also affects trafficability.

*Aquifer-fed excavated ponds* are pits or dugouts that extend to a ground-water aquifer or to a depth below a permanent water table. Excluded are ponds that are fed only by surface runoff and embankment ponds that impound water 3 feet or more above the original surface. Excavated ponds are affected by depth to a permanent water table, Ksat of the aquifer, and quality of the water as inferred from the salinity of the soil. Depth to bedrock and the content of large stones affect the ease of excavation.

Information in this table is intended for land use planning, for evaluating land use alternatives, and for planning site investigations prior to design and construction. The information, however, has limitations. For example, estimates and other data generally apply only to that part of the soil between the surface and a depth of 5 to 7 feet. Because of the map scale, small areas of different soils may be included within the mapped areas of a specific soil.

The information is not site specific and does not eliminate the need for onsite investigation of the soils or for testing and analysis by personnel experienced in the design and construction of engineering works.

Government ordinances and regulations that restrict certain land uses or impose specific design criteria were not considered in preparing the information in this table. Local ordinances and regulations should be considered in planning, in site selection, and in design.

### Report—Ponds and Embankments

[Onsite investigation may be needed to validate the interpretations in this table and to confirm the identity of the soil on a given site. The numbers in the value columns range from 0.01 to 1.00. The larger the value, the greater the potential limitation. The table shows only the top five limitations for any given soil. The soil may have additional limitations]

Ponds and Embankments— Douglas-Plateau Area, Colorado, Parts of Garfield and Mesa Counties							
Map symbol and soil name	Pct. of map unit	Pond reservoir areas		Embankments, dikes, and levees		Aquifer-fed excavated ponds	
		Rating class and limiting features	Value	Rating class and limiting features	Value	Rating class and limiting features	Value
19—Cerro silty clay loam, 6 to 12 percent slopes							
Cerro	70	Very limited		Somewhat limited		Very limited	
		Slope	1.00	Piping	0.32	Depth to water	1.00
		Seepage	0.02				
28—Cumulic Haploborolls, 1 to 3 percent slopes							
Cumulic haploborolls	90	Very limited		Somewhat limited		Very limited	
		Seepage	1.00	Seepage	0.19	Cutbanks cave	1.00
						Depth to saturated zone	0.96

# Custom Soil Resource Report

Ponds and Embankments— Douglas-Plateau Area, Colorado, Parts of Garfield and Mesa Counties							
Map symbol and soil name	Pct. of map unit	Pond reservoir areas		Embankments, dikes, and levees		Aquifer-fed excavated ponds	
		Rating class and limiting features	Value	Rating class and limiting features	Value	Rating class and limiting features	Value
47—Hesperus-Empedrado, moist-Pagoda complex 5 to 35 percent slopes							
Hesperus	35	Very limited		Somewhat limited		Very limited	
		Slope	1.00	Piping	0.66	Depth to water	1.00
		Seepage	0.04				
Empedrado, moist	30	Very limited		Very limited		Very limited	
		Slope	1.00	Piping	1.00	Depth to water	1.00
		Seepage	0.72				
Pagoda	20	Very limited		Somewhat limited		Very limited	
		Slope	1.00	Piping	0.24	Depth to water	1.00
		Seepage	0.02				
53—Pagoda-Hesperus complex, 12 to 40 percent slopes							
Pagoda	50	Very limited		Somewhat limited		Very limited	
		Slope	1.00	Piping	0.24	Depth to water	1.00
		Seepage	0.02				
Hesperus	20	Very limited		Somewhat limited		Very limited	
		Slope	1.00	Piping	0.66	Depth to water	1.00
		Seepage	0.04				

# References

---

American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO). 2004. Standard specifications for transportation materials and methods of sampling and testing. 24th edition.

American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM). 2005. Standard classification of soils for engineering purposes. ASTM Standard D2487-00.

Cowardin, L.M., V. Carter, F.C. Golet, and E.T. LaRoe. 1979. Classification of wetlands and deep-water habitats of the United States. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service FWS/OBS-79/31.

Federal Register. July 13, 1994. Changes in hydric soils of the United States.

Federal Register. September 18, 2002. Hydric soils of the United States.

Hurt, G.W., and L.M. Vasilas, editors. Version 6.0, 2006. Field indicators of hydric soils in the United States.

National Research Council. 1995. Wetlands: Characteristics and boundaries.

Soil Survey Division Staff. 1993. Soil survey manual. Soil Conservation Service. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 18. <http://soils.usda.gov/>

Soil Survey Staff. 1999. Soil taxonomy: A basic system of soil classification for making and interpreting soil surveys. 2nd edition. Natural Resources Conservation Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 436. <http://soils.usda.gov/>

Soil Survey Staff. 2006. Keys to soil taxonomy. 10th edition. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. <http://soils.usda.gov/>

Tiner, R.W., Jr. 1985. Wetlands of Delaware. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control, Wetlands Section.

United States Army Corps of Engineers, Environmental Laboratory. 1987. Corps of Engineers wetlands delineation manual. Waterways Experiment Station Technical Report Y-87-1.

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. National forestry manual. <http://soils.usda.gov/>

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. National range and pasture handbook. <http://www.glti.nrcs.usda.gov/>

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. National soil survey handbook, title 430-VI. <http://soils.usda.gov/>

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. 2006. Land resource regions and major land resource areas of the United States, the Caribbean, and the Pacific Basin. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 296. <http://soils.usda.gov/>

## Custom Soil Resource Report

United States Department of Agriculture, Soil Conservation Service. 1961. Land capability classification. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 210.



## **ATTACHMENT B**

STATE OF COLORADO

Bill Ritter, Jr., Governor

DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES

**DIVISION OF WILDLIFE**

AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER

Thomas E. Remington, Director

6060 Broadway

Denver, Colorado 80216

Telephone: (303) 297-1192

wildlife.state.co.us



*For Wildlife-  
For People*

12-28-10

TO: Randy Price

Mesa County Planning and Development

P.O. Box 20,000 Grand Junction, CO 81502-5022



RE: 2010-0278 CUP1 Delta Process Water Conditional Use Permit

Dear Mr. Price,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on Delta Petroleum Corporation's proposed water impoundment facility east of Collbran. Please consider the following comments.

CDOW has a statutory responsibility to manage all wildlife species in Colorado; this responsibility is embraced and fulfilled through CDOW's mission to protect, preserve, enhance, and manage the wildlife of Colorado for the use, benefit, and enjoyment of the people of Colorado and its visitors. We encourage Mesa County to afford the highest protection for Colorado's wildlife species and habitats through the development and implementation of management actions combined with the use of best management practices (BMPs) to protect wildlife and their habitats.

Although small water bodies in Colorado are an attractant to many terrestrial and aquatic species, small dispersed water bodies in Colorado (like those created by oil and gas field pits containing fluid) are particularly attractive to waterfowl migrating between breeding and wintering grounds. Waterfowl look for small dispersed water bodies in Colorado as essential stopover points to rest and feed during their long migration. Small dispersed water bodies in Colorado are also extremely attractive to bats, which feed on congregating insects.

CDOW recommends that this water impoundment pond be fenced and netted to exclude wildlife to reduce the risk of significant adverse impacts to wildlife and migratory birds. At a minimum, fencing should consist of a seven foot high fence constructed of material strong enough and in such a configuration so as to prohibit deer and elk from entering a pit. It should functionally prohibit small animals from entering through gaps or between spaces. Prevention of small animal from entering may require a second type of fencing (chicken wire) to be wrapped around (at the ground level) the taller exclusionary fence. The small animal exclusionary fence(s) should be buried one foot below grade and extend at least two feet above grade level. Fence support structures should be placed in close enough proximity to each other and be sufficiently anchored into the ground so that the fence will not sag or waver and can withstand the pressure of a large animal pushing against it. Netting should be built in

DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES, Mike King, Executive Director

WILDLIFE COMMISSION, Tim Glenn, Chair • Robert Streeter, Vice Chair • Mark Smith, Secretary

Members, David R. Brougham • Dennis Buechler • Dorothea Farris • Allan Jones • John Singletary • Dean Wingfield

Ex Officio Members, Mike King and John Stulp

such a way as to exclude birds or waterfowl from entering. Properly installed nets should be supported by a steel frame and cable to prevent sagging. Sides should also be netted to prevent ground entry by birds and other wildlife. Nets should be installed 4 to 5 feet above the pond surface to allow for a little sagging. Nets that sag too much, may dip into a pit/pond after a heavy snow-load which will expose the contaminated liquid and entrap waterfowl. These recommendations for netting and fencing contained in this letter are consistent with the COGCC Oil and gas rules for wildlife protection.

Protecting the integrity of aquatic habitat is of extreme importance to the CDOW. Construction activities in and around wetland areas can result in direct habitat loss and impact the ecological functions. Wetlands should be surveyed by foot prior to any staging or ground disturbing activities. CDOW recommends a baseline inventory of aquatic species that should include amphibians, invertebrates, and vegetation. Protection of aquatic resources can be accomplished by avoiding construction activities, staging areas, and the development of temporary roads or road segments within 300 feet of the riparian zones associated with perennial and ephemeral waterways and wetland habitats. When avoidance is not possible, road access to waterways and riparian and wetland habitats should be limited. Any proposed culvert or bridge installations should be constructed during dry periods to minimize erosion and sedimentation. These structures should also not limit fish passage when they are installed. Culverts or crossings should be constructed under heavily used roads to provide migration corridors for use by amphibians and reptiles. All surface disturbances should be revegetated promptly with locally adapted native species preferred by wildlife.

The CDOW requests notification of hazardous materials spills, especially those that occur near a riparian area. All equipment used in this project should be disinfected per CDOW protocol prior to and after use of equipment in drainages. The CDOW requests that Delta Petroleum Corporation prepare a Spill Prevention Plan including spill location stations for sites that are high risk with little opportunity to easily contain a spill, and locations adjacent to wetlands. The CDOW also requests that Delta Petroleum Corporation notify the DOW of any spill, accident or release of chemicals, fuel, or other contaminant material in a riparian area or entering a stream, wetland or water body.

The introduction of or spreading of non-native, undesirable vegetation and noxious weeds is a challenge to control. Reducing the impact of weeds is a vigilant, and long-term multiple season effort. Weed impacts can be reduced by limiting the vehicles associated with the construction component of this project, washing vehicles to prevent weed seed spread, utilization of certified weed free seed and straw and conducting pre disturbance weed surveys in the project area. Weed management activities should be monitored along with reclamation success on at least an annual basis.

If you have any questions, please feel free to call Michael Blanck, the District Wildlife Manager in Collbran. He can be reached at 970-250-4505.



JT Romatzke  
Area Wildlife Manager  
Colorado Division of Wildlife

cc. Velarde, Warren, Blanck