



ALS Paragon



Dissolved Gasses Case Narrative

Colorado Oil & Gas Conservation Commission

Complaint 200204222

Work Order Number: 0902200

1. This report consists of 1 water sample. The sample was received cool and intact by ALS Paragon on 02/25/09. The sample was free of headspace prior to analysis. The sample had a pH > 2 at the time of analysis.
2. The sample was prepared and analyzed according to method RSK-175 procedures and SOP449R0.
3. The preparation batch included a method blank, laboratory control sample, laboratory control sample duplicate, and sample duplicate. Per method requirements, a matrix spike was also performed for this analysis. Since the matrix spike was not performed on a sample from this order number, the matrix spike results are not included in this report. The following is the sample used for the matrix QC:

Sample ID	QC Type	Batch ID
0902200-1	DUP	HC090225-1

Similarity of matrix and therefore relevance of the QC results should not be automatically inferred for any sample other than the native sample selected for QC.

4. All preparation QC results were within the acceptance criteria.
5. All samples are associated with one or more of the following analytical QC: initial calibrations, initial calibration verifications (ICV), and continuing calibration verifications (CCV).
6. All analytical QC were within the acceptance criteria.
7. Sample dilutions were not required for the requested analysis.
8. The sample was prepared and analyzed within the established holding times.
9. Manual integrations are performed when needed to provide consistent and defensible data following the guidelines in SOP 939 Revision 3.



The data contained in the following report have been reviewed and approved by the personnel listed below. In addition, ALS Paragon certifies that the analyses reported herein are true, complete and correct within the limits of the methods employed.

Emily Knodel
Emily Knodel
Organics Primary Data Reviewer

03-02-09
Date

Dan Sheneman
Dan Sheneman
Organics Final Data Reviewer

03-02-09
Date

***ALS Paragon
Data Qualifier Flags
Chromatography and Mass Spectrometry***

- U or ND:** This flag indicates that the compound was analyzed for but not detected.
- J:** This flag indicates an estimated value. This flag is used as follows: (1) when estimating a concentration for tentatively identified compounds (TICs) where a 1:1 response is assumed; (2) when the mass spectral and retention time data indicate the presence of a compound that meets the volatile and semivolatile GC/MS identification criteria, and the result is less than the reporting limit (RL) but greater than the method detection limit (MDL); (3) when the retention time data indicate the presence of a compound that meets the GC identification criteria, and the result is less than the RL but greater than the MDL; and (4) the reported value is estimated.
- B:** This flag is used when the analyte is detected in the associated method blank as well as in the sample. It indicates probable blank contamination and warns the data user. This flag shall be used for a tentatively identified compound (TIC) as well as for a positively identified target compound.
- E:** This flag identifies compounds whose concentration exceeds the upper level of the calibration range.
- A:** This flag indicates that a tentatively identified compound is a suspected aldol-condensation product.
- X:** This flag indicates that the analyte was diluted below an accurate quantitation level.
- *:** This flag indicates that a spike recovery is equal to or outside the control criteria used.
- +:** This flag indicates that the relative percent difference (RPD) equals or exceeds the control criteria.

ALS Paragon

Sample Number(s) Cross-Reference Table

Paragon OrderNum: 0902200

Client Name: Colorado Oil & Gas Conservation Commission

Client Project Name: Complaint 200204222

Client Project Number:

Client PO Number: OE PHA 09000000004

Client Sample Number	Lab Sample Number	COC Number	Matrix	Date Collected	Time Collected
Dasko WW	0902200-1		WATER	24-Feb-09	14:37
Trip Blank	0902200-2		WATER	24-Feb-09	

Report To:

Phone:

Fax:

E-mail:

Company:

Address:

Complaint 2002 04222

Circle method (right): provide additional information as needed (comments).

Sample ID	Date	Time *	Lab ID	Matrix	Preservative (Indicate type.... HCl, etc.)	No. of Containers
Dasko WW	24 Feb 09	14:37	①	W	none	9
" "	" "	14:37	①	W	H ₂ SO ₄	1
Tron Black			②	W	HCl	2

[illegible]

* Time Zone:	E	S	T
CST	MST	PST	

Matrix Key: O = oil S = soil NS = non-soil solid W = water L = liquid E = extract F = filter

Comments:

filter + pressure methods upk. receipt

Anims = Br, Cl, F, Nb₂, Nb₃, Sb
 zoo.7 = Bq, Be, B, Ca, Cr, Cu, Fe, Li, Mg, Mn, Ni, K, Na, Zn, Sr
 zoo.E = Sb, As, Cd, Pb, Mo, Se, Ag, Te, U

Relinquished By: _____ (1)

Signature

Printed Name

Date 24 February Time _____

Company Col. C. F. Haslam, Comm.

Received 1

Signature _____

Printed Name Laya JO
2/25/09

Date 4-23-01 Time 1030

CONDITION OF SAMPLE UPON RECEIPT FORM

Paragon Analytics

Client: COGCC
Project Manager: AWWorkorder No: 0902200
Initials: LJO Date: 2/25/09

1. Does this project require any special handling in addition to standard Paragon procedures?	YES	<input checked="" type="radio"/> NO
2. Are custody seals on shipping containers intact?	NONE	<input checked="" type="radio"/> YES NO
3. Are Custody seals on sample containers intact?	<input checked="" type="radio"/> NONE	YES NO
4. Is there a COC (Chain-of-Custody) present or other representative documents?	<input checked="" type="radio"/> YES	NO
5. Are the COC and bottle labels complete and legible ?	<input checked="" type="radio"/> YES	NO
6. Is the COC in agreement with samples received? (IDs, dates, times, no. of samples, no. of containers, matrix, requested analyses, etc.)	<input checked="" type="radio"/> YES	NO
7. Were airbills / shipping documents present and/or removable?	DROP OFF <input checked="" type="radio"/> YES	NO
8. Are all aqueous samples requiring preservation preserved correctly? (excluding volatiles)	N/A	<input checked="" type="radio"/> YES <input checked="" type="radio"/> NO
9. Are all aqueous non-preserved samples pH 4-9?	N/A	<input checked="" type="radio"/> YES NO
10. Is there sufficient sample for the requested analyses?	<input checked="" type="radio"/> YES	NO
11. Were all samples placed in the proper containers for the requested analyses?	<input checked="" type="radio"/> YES	NO
12. Are all samples within holding times for the requested analyses?	<input checked="" type="radio"/> YES	NO
13. Were all sample containers received intact? (not broken or leaking, etc.)	<input checked="" type="radio"/> YES	NO
14. Are all samples requiring no headspace (VOC, GRO, RSK/MEE, Rx CN/S, radon) headspace free? Size of bubble: <u> </u> < green pea <u> x </u> > green pea	N/A	YES <input checked="" type="radio"/> NO
15. Do perchlorate LCMS-MS samples have headspace? (at least 1/3 of container required)	<input checked="" type="radio"/> N/A	YES NO
16. Were samples checked for and free from the presence of residual chlorine? (Applicable when PM has indicated samples are from a chlorinated water source; note if field preservation with sodium thiosulfate was not observed.)	<input checked="" type="radio"/> N/A	YES NO
17. Were the samples shipped on ice?	<input checked="" type="radio"/> YES	NO
18. Were cooler temperatures measured at 0.1-6.0°C? IR gun used*: <input checked="" type="radio"/> #2 #4 RAD ONLY <input checked="" type="radio"/> YES		NO
Cooler #: <u>1</u>		
Temperature (°C): <u>1.16</u>		
No. of custody seals on cooler: <u>2</u>		
External µR/hr reading: <u>14</u>		
Background µR/hr reading: <u>11</u>		
Were external µR/hr readings ≤ two times background and within DOT acceptance criteria? <input checked="" type="radio"/> YES <input type="radio"/> NO <input type="radio"/> NA (If no. see Form 008.)		

Additional Information: PROVIDE DETAILS BELOW FOR A NO RESPONSE TO ANY QUESTION ABOVE, EXCEPT #1 AND #16.

- The 125ml amber for toc analysis had no identification label.
- * The 500ml poly for metals analysis was received unpreserved.
- + Sample #2 (Trip Blank) 2 of 2 40ml vial contain headspace > pea.

If applicable, was the client contacted? ☒ YES / NO / NA Contact: Peter GintantasDate/Time: e-mail 2/25/09Project Manager Signature / Date: [Signature] 2/25/09

*IR Gun #2: Oakton, SN 29922500201-0066

*IR Gun #4: Oakton, SN 2372220101-0002

Dissolved Gasses

Method RSK175

Method Blank

Lab Name: ALS Paragon

Work Order Number: 0902200

Client Name: Colorado Oil & Gas Conservation Commission

ClientProject ID: Complaint 200204222

Lab ID: HC090225-1MB

Sample Matrix: WATER

% Moisture: N/A

Date Collected: N/A

Date Extracted: 25-Feb-09

Date Analyzed: 25-Feb-09

Prep Method: METHOD

Prep Batch: HC090225-1

QCBatchID: HC090225-1-1

Run ID: HC090225-1A

Cleanup: NONE

Basis: N/A

File Name: 00981.dat

Sample Aliquot: 38.5 ml

Final Volume: 38.5 ml

Result Units: UG/L

Clean DF: 1

CASNO	Target Analyte	DF	Result	Reporting Limit	Result Qualifier	EPA Qualifier
74-82-8	METHANE	1	1	1	U	
74-85-1	ETHENE	1	1	1	U	
74-84-0	ETHANE	1	2	2	U	

Data Package ID: HC0902200-1

Date Printed: Monday, March 02, 2009

ALS Paragon

LIMS Version: 6.248A

Page 1 of 1

Dissolved Gasses

Method RSK175

Sample Results

Lab Name: ALS Paragon

Work Order Number: 0902200

Client Name: Colorado Oil & Gas Conservation Commission

ClientProject ID: Complaint 200204222

Field ID: Dasko WW

Lab ID: 0902200-1

Sample Matrix: WATER

% Moisture: N/A

Date Collected: 24-Feb-09

Date Extracted: 25-Feb-09

Date Analyzed: 25-Feb-09

Prep Method: METHOD

Prep Batch: HC090225-1

QCBatchID: HC090225-1-1

Run ID: HC090225-1A

Cleanup: NONE

Basis: As Received

File Name: 00983.dat

Sample Aliquot: 38.5 ml

Final Volume: 38.5 ml

Result Units: UG/L

Clean DF: 1

CASNO	Target Analyte	Dilution Factor	Result	Reporting Limit	Result Qualifier	EPA Qualifier
74-82-8	METHANE	1	2.2	1		
74-85-1	ETHENE	1	1	1	U	
74-84-0	ETHANE	1	2	2	U	

Data Package ID: HC0902200-1

Date Printed: Monday, March 02, 2009

ALS Paragon

LIMS Version: 6.248A

Page 1 of 1

Dissolved Gasses

Method RSK175

Laboratory Control Sample and Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate

Lab Name: ALS Paragon

Work Order Number: 0902200

Client Name: Colorado Oil & Gas Conservation Commission

ClientProject ID: Complaint 200204222

Lab ID: HC090225-1LCS

Sample Matrix: WATER

% Moisture: N/A

Date Collected: N/A

Date Extracted: 02/25/2009

Date Analyzed: 02/25/2009

Prep Method: METHOD

Prep Batch: HC090225-1

QCBatchID: HC090225-1-1

Run ID: HC090225-1A

Cleanup: NONE

Basis: N/A

File Name: 00980.dat

Sample Aliquot: 38.5 ml

Final Volume: 38.5 ml

Result Units: UG/L

Clean DF: 1

CASNO	Target Analyte	Spike Added	LCS Result	Reporting Limit	Result Qualifier	LCS % Rec.	Control Limits
74-82-8	METHANE	140	120	1		85	80 - 120%
74-85-1	ETHENE	245	209	1		85	80 - 120%
74-84-0	ETHANE	262	220	2		84	80 - 120%

Lab ID: HC090225-1LCSD

Sample Matrix: WATER

% Moisture: N/A

Date Collected: N/A

Date Extracted: 02/25/2009

Date Analyzed: 02/25/2009

Prep Method: METHOD

Prep Batch: HC090225-1

QCBatchID: HC090225-1-1

Run ID: HC090225-1A

Cleanup: NONE

Basis: N/A

File Name: 00987.dat

Sample Aliquot: 38.5 ml

Final Volume: 38.5 ml

Result Units: UG/L

Clean DF: 1

CASNO	Target Analyte	Spike Added	LCSD Result	Reporting Limit	Result Qualifier	LCSD % Rec.	RPD Limit	RPD
74-82-8	METHANE	140	115	1		82	25	4
74-85-1	ETHENE	245	207	1		84	25	1
74-84-0	ETHANE	262	214	2		81	25	3

Data Package ID: HC0902200-1

Date Printed: Monday, March 02, 2009

ALS Paragon

LIMS Version: 6.248A

Page 1 of 1

Dissolved Gasses

Method RSK175

Duplicate Sample Results

Lab Name: ALS Paragon

Work Order Number: 0902200

Client Name: Colorado Oil & Gas Conservation Commission

ClientProject ID: Complaint 200204222

Field ID: Dasko WW

Lab ID: 0902200-1D

Sample Matrix: WATER

% Moisture: N/A

Date Collected: 02/24/2009

Date Extracted: 02/25/2009

Date Analyzed: 02/25/2009

Prep Batch: HC090225-1

QCBatchID: HC090225-1-1

Run ID: HC090225-1A

Cleanup: NONE

Basis: As Received

File Name: 00984.dat

Sample Aliquot: 38.5 ml

Final Volume: 38.5 ml

Result Units: UG/L

Clean DF: 1

CASNO	Target Analyte	Sample Result	Samp Qual	Duplicate Result	Dup Qual	Reporting Limit	Dilution Factor	RPD	RPD Limit
74-82-8	METHANE	2.2		2.82		1	1	22	25
74-85-1	ETHENE	1	U	1	U	1	1		25
74-84-0	ETHANE	2	U	2	U	2	1		25

Data Package ID: HC0902200-1

Date Printed: Monday, March 02, 2009

ALS Paragon

LIMS Version: 6.248A

Page 1 of 1