BEFORE THE OIL AND GAS CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF COLORADO

IN THE MATTER OF ALLEGED VIOLATIONS OF THE RULES AND REGULATIONS OF THE COLORADO OIL AND GAS CONSERVATION COMMISSION BY **STAR ACQUISITION VIII, LLC,** LA PLATA COUNTY, COLORADO CAUSE NO. 1V

)

)

)

)

)

DOCKET NO. 0809-OV-16

NOTICE OF HEARING

TO ALL INTERESTED PARTIES AND TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN:

On October 27, 1981, Pyramid Oil Company completed the Dakota Formation in the Rowe #1 Well (API #05-067-06550), located in the NE¼ SE¼ of Section 21, Township 33 North, Range 12 West, N.M.P.M., which had been drilled between August 6, 1981 and October 26, 1981.

From 1981 to the present, operation of the Rowe #1 Well has been transferred to various companies including Hathaway Company or Hathaway Operators, Inc. (November 30, 1986), Terra Exploration & Production Company (December 23, 2000), and to Star Acquisition VIII, LLC ("Star"), as of September 1, 2006. On December 13, 2007, Red Mesa Holdings/O&G LLC took over operations on the Rowe #1 Well.

On April 9, 2007, the COGCC staff ("Staff") received a telephone call from a landowner near the Rowe #1 Well informing Staff that drilling operations had recently commenced and wanting the Staff to monitor operations.

On April 10, 2007, Staff inspected Star's oil and gas operations at the Rowe #1 Well and the Rowe #1A Well (no API #), also located in the NE¼ SE¼ of Section 21, Township 33 North, Range 12 West, N.M.P.M., approximately 20 feet north of the Rowe #1 Well. There was a drilling rig on the Rowe #1A Well, and Star's supervisor, Mr. Kent Stevens, and Star's General Manager, Mr. Tom L. Stover ("Mr. Stover") were at the site.

The rig was set up over a cellar of corrugated pipe (approximately six feet in diameter) and conductor pipe (13³/₈-inch outside diameter, "O.D.") set in a wellbore. At the time of the April 10, 2007 inspection, liquids from the well were being unloaded via a blooie line into an unlined earthen pit, and well liquids were blowing up through the ground outside the well cellar.

Staff requested to see the drilling permit, which was supposed to be posted in the in the dog house; Star's supervisor said that the permit was either back at Star's office or in the supervisor's trailer.

According to tower reports, the Rowe #1A Well had been drilled to a depth of 1,345 feet, and the drill string had gotten stuck at 1,315 feet. The driller was able to back off approximately 1,140 feet of the stuck string and lay it down in the pipe rack. At the time of the April 10, 2007 Staff inspection, fishing operations were underway to remove the fish (approximately 175 feet of drill string including the bottom hole assembly and eight drill collars) that was stuck in the hole.

Staff discussed immediate plans for the Rowe #1A Well with Star's supervisor and Mr. Stover, who showed Staff Star's plans to set 11-inch O.D. casing to approximately 1,300 feet or 20 feet into the Mancos shale. They also discussed options available if fishing operations were not successful, including setting casing at a depth other than what was approved on the permit and plugging and abandonment. They also discussed public concerns expressed to Staff pertaining to the protection of shallow fresh water zones (80 feet to 180 feet deep) and the current casing and cementing designs in approved drilling permits for other wells operated by Star in the Red Mesa Field.

On April 11, 2007, Staff inspected the Rowe #1 Well and the Rowe #1A Well. The driller was rigging down at the time and informed Staff that the fishing operations were a failure and that the well had been plugged from bottom to top with cement. From the tower reports and the account of the plugging work, it seemed either a new well had been drilled at the Rowe #1A Well site or an old hole was re-entered and pre-drilling activities had removed any evidence of the pre-existing hole.

On April 11, 2007, as a result of a landowner's concern about protection of shallow fresh water sands and Star's deviation from historical drilling practices, the Staff attempted to examine Star's wellbore designs in Star's Applications for Permits-to-Drill ("APDs"), discussed with Star personnel the company's field-wide strategic plans and individual well analyses, including recently completed remedial work, and discovered that Star had commenced drilling operations on the Rowe #1A Well without submitting an APD and without prior COGCC approval and planned to continue drilling operations without an approved APD.

On April 16, 2007, Staff issued Notice of Alleged Violation #200109041 ("NOAV") to Star (Operator #10192) for violation of the following Rules at the Rowe #1A Well:

a. Rule 303.a., which requires filing an APD, Form 2, prior to commencing drilling operations;

b. Rule 303.d.(1), which requires filing a Drill Site Access/Road Reclamation Form, Form 2A, as an attachment to the APD;

c. Rule 303.d.(2), which requires filing Form 2 and 2A with the local governmental designee at the same time or before the forms are filed with the COGCC;

d. Rule 305.b.(1), which requires providing written notice to the surface owner and local governmental designee of drilling operations at least 30 days prior to the estimated date of commencement of drilling operations;

e. Rule 306.a., which requires consulting in good faith with the surface owner prior to commencement of drilling operations;

f. Rule 306.a.(1), which requires providing the surface owner specific information as part of good-faith consultation efforts;

g. Rule 306.a.(3), which requires consultation with the local governmental designee prior to commencement of drilling operations;

h. Rule 317.c., which requires posting a copy of the approved APD at the

i. Rule 318., which requires specific distances between wells drilled to a common source of supply; and

j. Rule 319., which requires an operator to obtain approval of its plugging method prior to plugging a well.

The NOAV required Star to submit a copy of all drilling records, a Form 2, a Form 2A, a Well Abandonment Report, Form 6, and all necessary attachments for COGCC approval by April 30, 2007.

Star signed the NOAV on April 16, 2007 and returned it to the COGCC on May 21,

Star's response to the NOAV explained that it drilled the Rowe #1A Well without prior filing and approval of an APD as an emergency measure to protect a fresh water zone. Star believed this process was permitted by Rule 317.d.

Rule 317.d., part of the general drilling rules applicable to all wells that are drilled or deepened, specifies as follows:

Casing program to protect hydrocarbon horizons

and ground water. The casing program adopted for each well must be so planned and maintained as to protect any potential oil or gas bearing horizons penetrated during drilling from infiltration of injurious waters from other sources, and to prevent the migration of oil, gas or water from one (1) horizon to another, that may result in the degradation of

drilling rig;

2007.

ground water. A Sundry Notice, Form 4, including a detailed work plan and a wellbore diagram, shall be submitted and approved by the Director prior to any routine or planned casing repair operations. During well operations, prior verbal approval for unforeseen casing repairs followed by the filing of a Sundry Notice, Form 4, after completion of operations shall be acceptable.

Star alleged that it re-entered the Rowe #1 Well and discovered that no cement was used for the 7-inch casing and that the 7-inch casing was stuck in the hole. Star believed that Rule 317.d. allowed it to conduct an emergency skid of the rig and drill the Rowe #1A Well to protect a fresh water zone.

Rule 317.d., quoted above, does not allow an operator to skid a rig and commence drilling a new well without prior approval of the Director, irrespective of a perceived emergency to protect ground water.

Star responded quickly to meet with Staff to discuss COGCC Rules. To date, however, COGCC has not received all drilling records for the Rowe #1A Well, as required by the NOAV, Rule 308A. (Drilling Completion Report, Form 5) and Rule 311. (Well Abandonment Report, Form 6).

On April 15, 2008, Staff attempted to deliver a proposed Administrative Order by Consent ("AOC") to Star at Star's business address (1099 18th Street, Suite #600, Denver, Colorado 80202) on file with the COGCC and the Colorado Secretary of State and discovered that there was no business in Suite #600 at that location. The AOC proposed to find Star in violation of the ten Rules enumerated above, and to assess Star a total fine of \$10,000.

On or about April 15 and 16, 2008, Staff attempted to contact Star by telephone and discovered that all of Star's telephone numbers on file with the COGCC had been disconnected. Staff also attempted to contact Mr. Stover by cell phone, using a telephone number he had provided to Staff, but that number had been disconnected.

On April 16, 2008, Staff attempted to deliver the proposed AOC and cover letters to Mr. Stover at his residential address and requested a change of address for Star for COGCC's records. The messenger was unable to obtain a signature indicating the AOC and cover letters had been received.

On April 21, 2008, Staff sent the proposed AOC and cover letters to Mr. Stover at his residential address via U.S. Mail, Certified, Return Receipt Requested. On April 24, 2008, the COGCC received confirmation from J. Stover that the proposed AOC and cover letters had been delivered and received on April 22, 2008.

To date, Mr. Stover has not contacted the COGCC to discuss or accept the AOC.

Star should be found in violation of Rule 303.a.(1) for failure to file an APD, Form 2, prior to commencing drilling operations.

Star should be found in violation of Rule 303.d.(1) for failure to file a Drill Site Access/Road Reclamation Form, Form 2A, as an attachment to the APD, prior to commencing drilling operations.

Star should be found in violation of Rule 303.d.(2) for failure to file Form 2 and Form 2A with the La Plata County local governmental designee at the same time or before the forms are filed with the COGCC.

Star should be found in violation of Rule 305.b.(1) for failure to provide written notice to the surface owner and the La Plata County local governmental designee of its drilling operations at least 30 days prior to the estimated date of commencement of drilling operations.

Star should be found in violation of Rule 306.a. for failure to consult in good faith with the surface owner prior to commencement of drilling operations.

Star should be found in violation of Rule 306.a.(1) for failure to provide the surface owner the following specific information as part of good-faith consultation efforts: a description or diagram of the proposed drilling location, dimensions of the well site, and, if known, the location of associated production or injection facilities, pipelines, roads and any other areas to be used for oil and gas operations.

Star should be found in violation of Rule 306.a.(3) for failure to consult with the local governmental designee of La Plata County prior to commencement of drilling operations.

Star should be found in violation of Rule 317.c. for failure to post a copy of an approved APD at the drilling rig.

Star should be found in violation of Rule 318. for not drilling the Rowe #1A Well at least 1,200 feet from the Rowe #1 Well, another producing well from the common source of supply, without an order of the Commission after a hearing, or a variance under Rule 502.b.

Star should be found in violation of Rule 319.a.(6) for plugging and abandoning the Rowe #1A Well without obtaining prior approval of the Director.

Rule 523. provides for the assessment of a base fine of One Thousand dollars (\$1,000) per violation of each of the ten Rules cited above.

Star should be assessed a fine of One Thousand dollars (\$1,000) per violation for each of the ten Rules cited above, for commencing drilling operations at the Rowe #1A Well prior to complying with obligations set forth in Rules 303.a.(1), 303.d.(1), 303.d.(2), 305.b.(1), 306.a., 306.a.(1), 306.a.(3), 317.c., and 318., and for plugging and abandoning the Rowe #1A Well prior to complying with the obligations set forth in Rule 319.a.(6), for a total fine of Ten Thousand dollars (\$10,000).

The Commission should find that a knowing and willful pattern of violations exists because Star has failed to perform the abatement and corrective actions required by the NOAV, has failed to provide forwarding information to the COGCC, and has failed to contact the COGCC with respect to the proposed AOC. Until the fine assessed by this order is paid in full and all records required by the Rules are submitted to the COGCC for the Rowe #1A Well, the Director should not approve any APD, any Certificate of Clearance and/or Change of Operator, Form 10, or other permit for conducting oil and gas operations for Star or any entity of which Mr. Stover is a principal, majority owner, operational or general manager, or which Mr. Stover otherwise controls.

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN, that the Oil and Gas Conservation Commission of the State of Colorado, pursuant to the above, has scheduled the above-entitled matter for hearing on:

- Date: Monday, September 22, 2008 Tuesday, September 23, 2008
- Time: 9:00 a.m.
- Place: Suite 801, The Chancery Building 1120 Lincoln Street Denver, Colorado 80203

In accordance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, if any party requires special accommodations as a result of a disability for this hearing, please contact Margaret Humecki at (303) 894-2100 ext. 139, prior to the hearing and arrangements will be made.

Pursuant to said hearing in the above-entitled matter at the time and place aforesaid, or at any adjourned meeting, the Commission will enter such orders as it deems appropriate to protect the health, safety and welfare of the public and to prevent the waste of oil and gas, either or both, in the operations of said field, and to carry out the purposes of the statute.

In accordance with Rule 509., any interested party desiring to protest the granting of the application or to intervene on the application should file with the Commission a written protest or intervention no later than August 25, 2008, briefly stating the basis of the protest or intervention. Such interested party shall, at the same time, serve a

copy of the protest or intervention to the person filing the application. An original and 13 copies shall be filed with the Commission. Anyone who files a protest or intervention must be able to participate in a prehearing conference during the week of August 25, 2008. Pursuant to Rule 503.f., if a party who has received notice under Rule 503.b. wishes to receive further pleadings in the above-referenced matter, that party must file a protest or intervention in accordance with these rules.

IN THE NAME OF THE STATE OF COLORADO

OIL AND GAS CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF COLORADO

By_____ Patricia C. Beaver, Secretary

Dated at Suite 801 1120 Lincoln St. Denver, Colorado 80203 August 4, 2008